## Package 'jfa'

October 12, 2020

Title Bayesian and Classical Audit Sampling

Version 0.4.0

Description Implements the audit sampling workflow as dis-

cussed in Derks et al. (2019) <doi:10.31234/osf.io/9f6ub>. The package makes it easy for an auditor to plan an audit sample, sample from the population, and evaluating that sample using various confidence bounds according to the International Standards on Auditing. Furthermore, the package implements Bayesian equivalents of these methods.

Language en-US
License GPL-3
Encoding UTF-8
LazyData true
RoxygenNote 7.1.1
VignetteBuilder knitr
Suggests testthat, knitr, rmarkdown

## **R** topics documented:

audi	tPrior				(	re	eat	P	a	Pr	io	r I	Di:	str	ihi	uti	or	, w	vit	h	Αı	ud	it	Ιν	ıfa	rn	าส	tic	าท								
Index																																					17
	sampling.	•	•	 •	٠	•	•			•		•	•				•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		14
	planning .																																				
	evaluation																																				
	BuildIt																																				
	auditPrior																																				1

## **Description**

This function creates a prior distribution for Bayesian audit sampling according to several methods discussed in Derks et al. (2020). The returned object is of class jfaPrior and can be used with associated print() and plot() methods. jfaPrior objects can be used as input for the prior argument in other functions.

2 auditPrior

## Usage

## **Arguments**

materiality	a value between $0$ and $1$ representing the materiality of the audit as a fraction of the total size or value. Can be NULL for some methods.
confidence	the confidence level desired from the confidence bound (on a scale from 0 to 1). Defaults to $0.95$ , or $95\%$ confidence.
method	the method by which the prior distribution is constructed. Defaults to the arm method, which uses the audit risk model (Derks et al., 2019). Can be one of none, median, hypotheses, arm, sample or factor. See the Details section for more information.
ir	the inherent risk probability from the audit risk model. Defaults to 1 for 100% risk.
cr	the inherent risk probability from the audit risk model. Defaults to 1 for 100% risk.
expectedError	a fraction representing the percentage of expected mistakes in the sample relative to the total size, or a number (>= 1) that represents the number of expected mistakes.
likelihood	can be one of binomial, poisson, or hypergeometric. See the Details section for more information.
N	the population size (only required when likelihood = 'hypergeometric').
pHmin	When using method = 'hypotheses', the prior probability of the hypothesis $\theta < \text{materiality}.$
pHplus	When using method = 'hypotheses', the prior probability of the hypothesis $\theta >$ materiality.
factor	When using method = 'factor', the value of the weighting factor for the results of the previous sample.
sampleN	When using method sample or factor, the number of transactions that were inspected in the previous sample.
sampleK	When using method sample or factor, the total taint in the previous sample.

## **Details**

This section elaborates on the available methods for constructing a prior distribution.

- none: This method constructs a prior distribution according to the principle of minimum information.
- median: This method constructs a prior distribution so that the prior probabilities of tolerable and intolerable misstatement are equal.
- hypotheses: This method constructs a prior distribution with specified prior probabilities for the hypotheses of tolerable and intolerable misstatement. Requires specification of the pHmin and pHplus arguments.
- arm: This method constructs a prior distribution according to the assessed risks in the audit risk model. Requires specification of the ir and cr arguments.

auditPrior 3

• sample: This method constructs a prior distribution on the basis of an earlier sample. Requires specification of the sampleN and sampleK arguments.

• factor: This method constructs a prior distribution on the basis of last year's results and a weighting factor. Requires specification of the factor, sampleN and sampleK arguments.

This section elaborates on the available likelihoods and corresponding prior distributions for the likelihood argument.

poisson: The Poisson likelihood is used as a likelihood for monetary unit sampling (MUS).
 Its likelihood function is defined as:

$$p(x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$

The conjugate  $gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  prior has probability density function:

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta x}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

• binomial: The binomial likelihood is used as a likelihood for record sampling *with* replacement. Its likelihood function is defined as:

$$p(x) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

The conjugate  $beta(\alpha, \beta)$  prior has probability density function:

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} x^{\alpha - 1} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1}$$

• hypergeometric: The hypergeometric likelihood is used as a likelihood for record sampling *without* replacement. Its likelihood function is defined as:

$$p(x=k) = \frac{\binom{K}{k} \binom{N-K}{n-k}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$

The conjugate beta-binomial $(\alpha, \beta)$  prior (Dyer and Pierce, 1993) has probability density function:

$$f(k|n, \alpha, \beta) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{B(k+\alpha, n-k+\beta)}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$$

## Value

An object of class jfaPrior containing:

method the method by which the prior distribution is constructed. likelihood the likelihood by which the prior distribution is updated. the name of the probability density function of the prior distribution. priorD nPrior the prior assumed sample size. **kPrior** the prior assumed sample errors aPrior the prior parameter alpha. bPrior the prior parameter beta. the materiality that was used to construct the prior distribution. materiality

4 auditPrior

if specified as input, the population size. For methods median and hypotheses, the prior probability of the hypothesis pHmin  $\theta$  < materiality. For methods median and hypotheses, the prior probability of the hypothesis pHmin  $\theta$  > materiality. For methods sample and factor, the total number of transactions in the earlier sampleN sample. sampleK For methods sample and factor, the number of transactions that were misstated

in the earlier sample.

## Author(s)

Koen Derks, <k.derks@nyenrode.nl>

## References

Derks, K., de Swart, J., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Wille, J., & Wetzels, R. (2019). JASP for audit: Bayesian tools for the auditing practice.

Derks, K., de Swart, J., van Batenburg, P. Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Wetzels, R. (2020). Priors in a Bayesian Audit: How Integrating Information into the Prior Distribution can Improve Audit Transparency and Efficiency.

## See Also

planning sampling evaluation

```
library(jfa)
# Specify the materiality, confidence, and expected errors:
materiality <- 0.05 # 5%
confidence <- 0.95 # 95%
expectedError <- 0.025 # 2.5%
# Specify the inherent risk (ir) and control risk (cr):
ir <- 1 # 100%
cr <- 0.6 # 60%
# Create a beta prior distribution according to the Audit Risk Model (arm)
# and a binomial likelihood:
prior <- auditPrior(materiality = materiality, confidence = confidence,</pre>
                   method = "arm", ir = ir, cr = cr,
                   expectedError = expectedError, likelihood = "binomial")
print(prior)
        jfa Prior Distribution Summary (Bayesian)
# ---
# Input:
# Confidence:
                          0.95
# Expected sample errors: 0.025
# Likelihood:
                          binomial
```

BuildIt 5

BuildIt

BuildIt Construction financial statements

## Description

Fictional data from a construction company in the United States, containing 3500 observations identification numbers, book values, and audit values. The audit values are added for illustrative purposes, as these would need to be assessed by the auditor in the execution stage of the audit.

## Usage

```
data(BuildIt)
```

#### **Format**

A data frame with 3500 rows and 3 variables.

**ID** unique record identification number.

**bookValue** book value in US dollars (\$14.47–\$2,224.40). **auditValue** true value in US dollars (\$14.47–\$2,224.40).

## References

Derks, K., de Swart, J., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Wille, J., & Wetzels, R. (2019). JASP for audit: Bayesian tools for the auditing practice.

## **Examples**

```
data(BuildIt)
```

evaluation

Evaluation of Audit Samples using Confidence / Credible Bounds

## **Description**

This function takes a data frame (using sample, bookValue, and auditValues) or summary statistics (using nSumstats and kSumstats) and evaluates the audit sample according to the specified method. The returned object is of class jfaEvaluation and can be used with associated print() and plot() methods.

#### **Usage**

## **Arguments**

sample a data frame containing at least a column of Ist values and a column of Soll

(true) values.

bookValues a character specifying the column name for the Ist values in the sample.

auditValues a character specifying the column name for the Soll values in the sample.

counts a integer vector of the number of times each transaction in the sample is to be

evaluated (due to it being selected multiple times for the sample).

confidence the required confidence level for the bound. Default is 0.95 for 95% confidence.

nSumstats an integer specifying the number of transactions in the sample. If specified,

overrides the sample, bookValues and auditValues arguments and assumes that the data come from summary statistics specified by both nSumstats and

kSumstats.

kSumstats a value specifying the sum of taints (proportional errors) found in the sam-

ple. If specified, overrides the sample, bookValues and auditValues arguments and assumes that the data come from summary statistics specified by both

kSumstats and nSumstats.

method the method that is used to evaluate the sample. This can be either one of

poisson, binomial, hypergeometric, stringer, stringer-meikle, stringer-lta, stringer-pvz, rohrbach, moment, direct, difference, quotient, or regression.

materiality a value specifying the performance materiality as a fraction of the total value

(or size) of the population (a value between 0 and 1). If specified, the function also returns the conclusion of the analysis with respect to the performance materiality. The value is discarded when direct, difference, quotient, or

regression method is chosen.

N an integer specifying the total number of transactions in the population.

prior a logical indicating whether to use a prior distribution when evaluating. Defaults

to FALSE for frequentist evaluation. If TRUE, the prior distribution is updated by the corresponding likelihood. Chooses a conjugate gamma distribution for the Poisson likelihood, a conjugate beta distribution for the binomial likelihood, and

a conjugate beta-binomial distribution for the hypergeometric likelihood.

nPrior a value for the prior parameter  $\beta$  (number of transactions in the assumed prior

sample).

kPrior a value for the prior parameter  $\alpha$  (total tainting in the assumed prior sample).

 ${\tt rohrbachDelta} \quad \text{a value specifying $\Delta$ in Rohrbach's augmented variance bound (Rohrbach, 1993)}.$ 

momentPoptype a character specifying the type of population for the modified moment method

(Dworin and Grimlund, 1986). Can be either one of accounts or inventory.

Options result in different methods for calculating the central moments.

populationBookValue

a value specifying the total value of the transactions in the population. Required when method is one of direct, difference, quotient, or regression, but optional otherwise.

minPrecision

a value specifying the required minimum precision. If specified, the function also returns the conclusion of the analysis with respect to the required minimum precision. This value must be specified as a fraction of the total value of the population (a value between 0 and 1).

csA if method = "coxsnell", the  $\alpha$  parameter of the prior distribution on the mean

taint. Default is set to 1, as recommended by Cox and Snell (1979).

csB if method = "coxsnell", the  $\beta$  parameter of the prior distribution on the mean

taint. Default is set to 3, as recommended by Cox and Snell (1979).

csMu if method = "coxsnell", the mean of the prior distribution on the mean taint.

Default is set to 0.5, as recommended by Cox and Snell (1979).

## **Details**

This section lists the available options for the methods argument.

- poisson: The confidence bound taken from the Poisson distribution. If combined with prior = TRUE, performs Bayesian evaluation using a *gamma* prior and posterior.
- binomial: The confidence bound taken from the binomial distribution. If combined with prior = TRUE, performs Bayesian evaluation using a *beta* prior and posterior.
- hypergeometric: The confidence bound taken from the hypergeometric distribution. If combined with prior = TRUE, performs Bayesian evaluation using a *beta-binomial* prior and posterior.
- stringer: The Stringer bound (Stringer, 1963).
- stringer-meikle: Stringer bound with Meikle's correction for understatements (Meikle, 1972).
- stringer-lta: Stringer bound with LTA correction for understatements (Leslie, Teitlebaum, and Anderson, 1979).
- stringer-pvz: Stringer bound with Pap and van Zuijlen's correction for understatements (Pap and van Zuijlen, 1996).
- rohrbach: Rohrbach's augmented variance bound (Rohrbach, 1993).
- moment: Modified moment bound (Dworin and Grimlund, 1986).
- coxsnell: Cox and Snell bound (Cox and Snell, 1979).
- direct: Confidence interval using the direct method (Touw and Hoogduin, 2011).
- difference: Confidence interval using the difference method (Touw and Hoogduin, 2011).
- quotient: Confidence interval using the quotient method (Touw and Hoogduin, 2011).
- regression: Confidence interval using the regression method (Touw and Hoogduin, 2011).

## Value

An object of class jfaEvaluation containing:

- n an integer specifying the sample size used in the evaluation.
- k an integer specifying the number of transactions that contained an error.
- t a value specifying the sum of observed taints.

confidence a value specifying the confidence level of the result.

mle a value specifying the most likely error in the population as a proportion.

precision a value specifying the difference between the mle and the upper confidence

bound as a proportion.

popBookvalue if specified as input, the total Ist value of the population.

pointEstimate if method is one of direct, difference, quotient, or regression, the value

of the point estimate.

lowerBound if method is one of direct, difference, quotient, or regression, the value

of the lower bound of the interval.

upperBound if method is one of direct, difference, quotient, or regression, the value

of the upper bound of the interval.

confBound the upper confidence bound on the error percentage.

method the evaluation method that was used.

materiality if materiality is specified, the performance materiality used.

conclusion if materiality is specified, the conclusion about whether to approve or not

approve the population.

N if N is specified, the population size that is used.

populationK the assumed total errors in the population. Used in inferences with hypergeometric

method.

prior a logical indicating whether a prior was used in the analysis.

nPrior if a prior is specified, the assumed prior sample size.

kPrior if a prior is specified, the assumed prior total taint.

multiplicationFactor

if method = "coxsnell", the multiplication factor for the F-distribution.

df1 if method = "coxsnell", the df1 for the F-distribution. df2 if method = "coxsnell", the df2 for the F-distribution.

## Author(s)

Koen Derks, <k.derks@nyenrode.nl>

#### References

Cox, D. and Snell, E. (1979). On sampling and the estimation of rare errors. *Biometrika*, 66(1), 125-132.

Dworin, L., and Grimlund, R. A. (1986). Dollar-unit sampling: A comparison of the quasi-Bayesian and moment bounds. *Accounting Review*, 36-57.

Leslie, D. A., Teitlebaum, A. D., & Anderson, R. J. (1979). *Dollar-unit sampling: a practical guide for auditors*. Copp Clark Pitman; Belmont, Calif.: distributed by Fearon-Pitman.

Meikle, G. R. (1972). *Statistical Sampling in an Audit Context: An Audit Technique*. Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants.

Pap, G., and van Zuijlen, M. C. (1996). On the asymptotic behavior of the Stringer bound 1. *Statistica Neerlandica*, 50(3), 367-389.

Rohrbach, K. J. (1993). Variance augmentation to achieve nominal coverage probability in sampling from audit populations. *Auditing*, 12(2), 79.

Stringer, K. W. (1963). Practical aspects of statistical sampling in auditing. *In Proceedings of the Business and Economic Statistics Section* (pp. 405-411). American Statistical Association.

Touw, P., and Hoogduin, L. (2011). *Statistiek voor Audit en Controlling*. Boom uitgevers Amsterdam.

#### See Also

auditPrior planning sampling

```
library(jfa)
set.seed(1)
# Generate some audit data (N = 1000):
data <- data.frame(ID = sample(1000:100000, size = 1000, replace = FALSE),</pre>
                 bookValue = runif(n = 1000, min = 700, max = 1000))
# Using monetary unit sampling, draw a random sample from the population.
s1 <- sampling(population = data, sampleSize = 100, units = "mus",</pre>
             bookValues = "bookValue", algorithm = "random")
s1_sample <- s1$sample</pre>
s1_sample$trueValue <- s1_sample$bookValue</pre>
s1\_sample\$trueValue[2] <- s1\_sample\$trueValue[2] - 500 # One overstatement is found
# Using summary statistics, calculate the upper confidence bound according
# to the binomial distribution:
e1 <- evaluation(nSumstats = 100, kSumstats = 1, method = "binomial",
               materiality = 0.05)
print(e1)
# -----
         jfa Evaluation Summary (Frequentist)
# ------
# Input:
# Confidence:
                         95%
                        5%
# Materiality:
# Minium precision: 100%
# Sample size:
                         100
# Sample errors:
                         1
# Sum of taints:
                         1
# Method:
                         binomial
# Output:
# Most likely error:
                        1%
# Upper bound:
                         4.656%
# Precision:
                        3.656%
# Conclusion:
                       Approve population
# Evaluate the raw sample using the stringer bound and the sample counts:
e2 <- evaluation(sample = s1_sample, bookValues = "bookValue", auditValues = "trueValue",
```

```
method = "stringer", materiality = 0.05, counts = s1_sample$counts)
print(e2)
            jfa Evaluation Summary (Frequentist)
# Input:
# Confidence:
                       95%
# Materiality:
                       5%
# Materiality:
# Minium precision: 100%
" 100 100
# Sum of taints: 0.0
# Method:
                       0.644
                       stringer
# Output:
# Most likely error:
                       0.644%
# Upper bound:
                       4.049%
# Precision:
                       3.405%
# Conclusion:
                       Approve population
# ------
```

planning

Frequentist and Bayesian Planning for Audit Samples

## Description

This function calculates the required sample size for an audit, based on the poisson, binomial, or hypergeometric likelihood. A prior can be specified to perform Bayesian planning. The returned object is of class jfaPlanning and can be used with associated print() and plot() methods.

## Usage

## **Arguments**

a value between 0 and 1 representing the materiality of the audit as a fraction of the total size or value. Can be NULL, but minPrecision should be specified in that case.

confidence the confidence level desired from the confidence bound (on a scale from 0 to 1). Defaults to 0.95, or 95% confidence.

expectedError a fraction representing the percentage of expected mistakes in the sample relative to the total size, or a number (>= 1) that represents the number of expected mistakes.

minPrecision The minimum precision to be obtained. Can be NULL, but materiality should be specified in that case.

likelihood can be one of binomial, poisson, or hypergeometric.

N the population size (required for hypergeometric calculations).

maxSize the maximum sample size that is considered for calculations. Defaults to 5000

for efficiency. Increase this value if the sample size cannot be found due to it

being too large (e.g., for a low materiality).

increase the desired increase step for the sample size calculation.

prior whether to use a prior distribution when planning. Defaults to FALSE for fre-

quentist planning. If TRUE, the prior distribution is updated by the specified likelihood. Chooses a conjugate gamma distribution for the Poisson likelihood, a conjugate beta distribution for the binomial likelihood, and a conjugate beta-

binomial distribution for the hypergeometric likelihood.

kPrior the prior parameter  $\alpha$  (number of errors in the assumed prior sample).

nPrior the prior parameter  $\beta$  (total number of observations in the assumed prior sam-

ple).

#### **Details**

This section elaborates on the available likelihoods and corresponding prior distributions for the likelihood argument.

poisson: The Poisson likelihood is used as a likelihood for monetary unit sampling (MUS).
 Its likelihood function is defined as:

$$p(x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$

The conjugate  $gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  prior has probability density function:

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta x}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

• binomial: The binomial likelihood is used as a likelihood for record sampling *with* replacement. Its likelihood function is defined as:

$$p(x) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

The conjugate  $beta(\alpha, \beta)$  prior has probability density function:

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} x^{\alpha - 1} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1}$$

• hypergeometric: The hypergeometric likelihood is used as a likelihood for record sampling *without* replacement. Its likelihood function is defined as:

$$p(x = k) = \frac{\binom{K}{k} \binom{N - K}{n - k}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$

The conjugate beta-binomial( $\alpha, \beta$ ) prior (Dyer and Pierce, 1993) has probability density function:

$$f(k|n,\alpha,\beta) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{B(k+\alpha,n-k+\beta)}{B(\alpha,\beta)}$$

#### Value

An object of class jfaPlanning containing:

materiality the value of the specified materiality. Can be NULL.

confidence the confidence level for the desired population statement.

sampleSize the resulting sample size.

expectedSampleError

the number of full errors that are allowed to occur in the sample.

expectedError the specified number of errors as a fraction or as a number.

likelihood the specified likelihood.

errorType whether the expected errors where specified as a percentage or as an integer.

minPrecision The minimum precision to be obtained. Can be NULL.

N the population size (only returned in case of a hypergeometric likelihood).

populationK the assumed population errors (only returned in case of a hypergeometric likeli-

hood).

prior a list containing information on the prior parameters.

#### Author(s)

Koen Derks, <k.derks@nyenrode.nl>

#### References

Dyer, D. and Pierce, R.L. (1993). On the Choice of the Prior Distribution in Hypergeometric Sampling. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 22(8), 2125 - 2146.

## See Also

```
auditPrior sampling evaluation
```

```
library(jfa)
\# Using the binomial distribution, calculates the required sample size for a
# materiality of 5% when 2.5% mistakes are expected to be found in the sample.
# Frequentist planning with binomial likelihood:
p1 <- planning(materiality = 0.05, confidence = 0.95, expectedError = 0.025,
               likelihood = "binomial")
print(p1)
              jfa Planning Summary (Frequentist)
# Input:
# Confidence:
                           95%
# Materiality:
                           5%
# Minimum precision:
                          100%
# Likelihood:
                           binomial
```

```
# Expected sample errors: 6
# ------
# Output:
# Sample size:
                      234
# Bayesian planning with uninformed prior:
p2 <- planning(materiality = 0.05, confidence = 0.95, expectedError = 0.025,
            likelihood = "binomial", prior = TRUE)
print(p2)
# -----
           jfa Planning Summary (Bayesian)
# Input:
# Confidence:
                      95%
# Materiality:
# Minimum precision:
# Likelihood:
# Prior:
                      100%
                      binomial
# Prior:
                      beta(1, 1)
# Expected sample errors: 5.5
# ------
# Output:
# Sample size:
                    220
# Bayesian planning with informed prior:
prior <- auditPrior(materiality = 0.05, confidence = 0.95, cr = 0.6,</pre>
                 expectedError = 0.025, likelihood = "binomial", method = "arm")
p3 <- planning(materiality = 0.05, confidence = 0.95, expectedError = 0.025,
            prior = prior)
print(p3)
     jfa Planning Summary (Bayesian)
# -----
# Input:
# Confidence: 95%
# Materiality: 5%
# Minimum precision: 100%
# Likelihood: binomial
# Prior: beta(2.275, 50.725)
# Expected sample errors: 4.23
# Output:
# Sample size:
                    169
# -----
```

14 sampling

## **Description**

This function takes a data frame and performs sampling according to one of three popular algorithms: random sampling, cell sampling, or fixed interval sampling. Sampling is done in combination with one of two sampling units: records or monetary units The returned object is of class jfaSampling and can be used with associated print() and plot() methods.

## Usage

#### **Arguments**

,	
population	a data frame containing the population the auditor wishes to sample from.
sampleSize	the number of observations that need to be selected from the population. Can also be an object of class $jfaPlanning$ .
bookValues	a character specifying the name of the column containing the book values (as in the population data).
units	can be either records (default) for record sampling, or $\mbox{{\it mus}}$ for monetary unit sampling.
algorithm	can be either one of random (default) for random sampling, cell for cell sampling, or interval for fixed interval sampling.
intervalStartin	gPoint
	the starting point in the interval (used only in fixed interval sampling)
ordered	if TRUE (default), the population is first ordered according to the value of their book values.
ascending	if TRUE (default), order the population in ascending order.
withReplacement	
	whether sampling should be performed with replacement. Defaults to FALSE.
seed	seed to reproduce results. Default is 1.

## **Details**

This first part of this section elaborates on the possible options for the units argument:

- records: In record sampling, each observation in the population is seen as a sampling unit. An observation of \$5000 is therefore equally likely to be selected as an observation of \$500.
- mus: In monetary unit sampling, each monetary unit in the population is seen as a sampling unit. An observation of \$5000 is therefore ten times more likely to be selected as an observation of \$500.

This second part of this section elaborates on the possible options for the algorithm argument:

sampling 15

random: In random sampling each sampling unit in the population is drawn with equal probability.

- cell: In cell sampling the sampling units in the population are divided into a number (equal to the sample size) of intervals. From each interval one sampling unit is selected with equal probability.
- interval: In fixed interval sampling the sampling units in the population are divided into a number (equal to the sample size) of intervals. From each interval one sampling unit is selected according to a fixed starting point (intervalStartingPoint).

## Value

An object of class jfaSampling containing:

population a data frame containing the input population.
sample a data frame containing the selected observations.

bookValues if specified, the name of the specified book value column.

algorithm the algorithm that was used for sampling.
units the sampling units that were used for sampling.

#### Author(s)

Koen Derks, <k.derks@nyenrode.nl>

#### References

Wampler, B., & McEacharn, M. (2005). Monetary-unit sampling using Microsoft Excel. *The CPA journal*, 75(5), 36.

## See Also

auditPrior planning evaluation

16 sampling

```
# Sampling units: Records
# Algorithm: Random sampling
# Output:
# Obtained sample size: 100
# Proportion n/N: 0.1
# Use the result from the planning stage in the sampling stage:
p1 <- planning(materiality = 0.05, confidence = 0.95, expectedError = 0.025,
               likelihood = "binomial")
# Draw a sample via random monetary unit sampling:
s2 <- sampling(population = population, sampleSize = p1, algorithm = "random",</pre>
              units = "mus", seed = 1, bookValues = "bookValue")
print(s2)
                 jfa Selection Summary
# Input:
# Population size:
                        1000
# Requested sample size: 234
# Requested Sample 3120.

# Sampling units: Monetary units

# Algorithm: Random sampling
# Output:
# Obtained sample size: 234
# Proportion n/N: 0.234
# Percentage of value: 23.3%
# -----
```

# **Index**

```
*Topic audit
    auditPrior, 1
    evaluation, 5
    planning, 10
    sampling, 14
*Topic bound
    evaluation, 5
*Topic confidence
    evaluation, 5
*Topic datasets
    BuildIt, 5
*Topic distribution
    auditPrior, 1
*Topic evaluation
    evaluation, 5
*Topic planning
    planning, 10
*Topic prior
    auditPrior, 1
*Topic sample
    planning, \textcolor{red}{10}
    {\tt sampling}, {\color{red} 14}
*Topic sampling
     sampling, 14
*Topic size
    planning, 10
auditPrior, 1, 9, 12, 15
BuildIt, 5
evaluation, 4, 5, 12, 15
planning, 4, 9, 10, 15
sampling, 4, 9, 12, 14
```