# MATH 6740: Financial Mathematics and Simulation Homework 4 solutions/presentation

Jubiao "Jack" Yang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY 12180

Solve Exercise Problems 2.5 and 2.10 in (Shreve, 2004, Chapter 2), and Problems 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4 in (Shreve, 2004, Chapter 3).

#### 1. Exercise 2.5

The p.d.f. for random variable X is:

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy$$

$$= \int_{-|x|}^{+\infty} \frac{2|x| + y}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(2|x| + y)^2}{2}\right\} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|x|}^{+\infty} (2|x| + y) \exp\left\{-\frac{(2|x| + y)^2}{2}\right\} d(2|x| + y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-|x|}^{+\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{(2|x| + y)^2}{2}\right\} d\frac{(2|x| + y)^2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\exp\left\{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right\} - \exp\left\{-\infty\right\}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\}, \tag{1}$$

and the p.d.f. for random variable Y is:

$$f_{Y}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{2|x|+y}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(2|x|+y)^{2}}{2}\right\} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\max\{0,-y\}}^{+\infty} (2x+y) \exp\left\{-\frac{(2x+y)^{2}}{2}\right\} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\max\{0,-y\}}^{+\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{(2x+y)^{2}}{2}\right\} d\frac{(2x+y)^{2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\exp\left\{-\frac{(2\max\{0,-y\}+y)^{2}}{2}\right\} - 0\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{|y|^{2}}{2}\right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}\right\}. \tag{2}$$

Therefore both X and Y are standard normal random variables. The covariance of them is:

$$cov(X,Y) = E[(X - E[X]) (Y - E[Y])] = E[XY]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} xy f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \frac{2|x| + y}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{(2|x| + y)^2}{2} \right\} dx \right) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y \cdot 0 dy = 0,$$
(3)

therefore X and Y are uncorrelated. However, since  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) \neq f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ , X and Y are not independent.

#### 2. Exercise 2.10

$$\int_{A} g(X)dP(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x)1_{\omega \in A} f_{X}(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{y f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} dy \right) 1_{\omega \in A} f_{X}(x)dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy \right) 1_{\omega \in A} dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx \right) 1_{\omega \in A} dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y 1_{\omega \in A} f_{Y}(y) dy = \int_{A} Y dP(Y). \tag{4}$$

### 3. Exercise 3.1

According to Definition 3.3.3(iii) in Shreve (2004),  $W(u_2) - W(u_1)$  is independent of  $\mathbb{F}(u_1)$ ; while according to Definition 3.3.3(i) in Shreve (2004),  $\mathbb{F}(t) \subset \mathbb{F}(u_1)$ . Therefore  $W(u_2) - W(u_1)$  is independent of  $\mathbb{F}(t)$ .

## 4. Exercise 3.2

For  $0 \le s \le t$ :

$$E[W^{2}(t) - t|\mathbb{F}_{s}] = E[(W(t) - W(s))^{2} + 2W(t)W(s) - W^{2}(s) - t|\mathbb{F}(s)]$$

$$= E[(W(t) - W(s))^{2}] + 2W(s)E[W(t) - W(s) + W(s)|\mathbb{F}(s)] - W^{2}(s) - t$$

$$= var(W(t) - W(s)) + 2W(s)(W(s) + E[W(t) - W(s)|\mathbb{F}(s)]) - W^{2}(s) - t$$

$$= t - s + 2W^{2}(s) - W^{2}(s) - t$$

$$= W^{2}(s) - s,$$
(5)

therefore  $\{W^2(t) - t\}$  is a martingale.

### 5. Exercise 3.4

5.1. (i)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)| \cdot \max_{0 \le k \le n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)| \ge \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j))^2, \tag{6}$$

therefore the first variation of Brownian motion is:

$$\lim_{\|\Pi\| \to 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)| \ge \lim_{\|\Pi\| \to 0} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j))^2}{\max_{0 \le k \le n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|} = \frac{T}{0} = \infty.$$
 (7)

5.2. (ii)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|^3 \le \max_{0 \le k \le n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)| \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|^2, \tag{8}$$

therefore the cubic variation of Brownian motion is:

$$\lim_{||\Pi|| \to 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|^3 \le \lim_{||\Pi|| \to 0} \max_{0 \le k \le n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)| \cdot \lim_{||\Pi|| \to 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|^2$$

$$= 0 \cdot T = 0 \qquad (9)$$

Appendix A. Original Homework Questions (attached)

**Exercise 2.5.** Let (X,Y) be a pair of random variables with joint density function

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \left\{ egin{aligned} rac{2|x|+y}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-rac{(2|x|+y)^2}{2}
ight\} & ext{if } y \geq -|x|, \ 0 & ext{if } y < -|x|. \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

Show that X and Y are standard normal random variables and that they are uncorrelated but not independent.

**Exercise 2.10.** Let X and Y be random variables (on some unspecified probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ), assume they have a joint density  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$ , and assume  $\mathbb{E}|Y| < \infty$ . In particular, for every Borel subset C of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{(X,Y)\in C\} = \int_C f_{X,Y}(x,y)\,dx\,dy.$$

In elementary probability, one learns to compute  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=x]$ , which is a nonrandom function of the dummy variable x, by the formula

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|X=x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y|X}(y|x) dy, \qquad (2.6.1)$$

where  $f_{Y|X}(y|x)$  is the conditional density defined by

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)}.$$

The denominator in this expression,  $f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,\eta) d\eta$ , is the marginal density of X, and we must assume it is strictly positive for every x. We introduce the symbol g(x) for the function  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X=x]$  defined by (2.6.1); i.e.,

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y|X}(y|x) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} dy.$$

In measure-theoretic probability, conditional expectation is a random variable  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X]$ . This exercise is to show that when there is a joint density for (X,Y), this random variable can be obtained by substituting the random variable X in place of the dummy variable x in the function g(x). In other words, this exercise is to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = g(X).$$

(We introduced the symbol g(x) in order to avoid the mathematically confusing expression E[Y|X=X].)

Since g(X) is obviously  $\sigma(X)$ -measurable, to verify that  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = g(X)$ , we need only check that the partial-averaging property is satisfied. For every Borel-measurable function h mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and satisfying  $\mathbb{E}|h(X)| < \infty$ , we have

 $\mathbb{E}h(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) f_X(x) dx. \tag{2.6.2}$ 

This is Theorem 1.5.2 in Chapter 1. Similarly, if h is a function of both x and y, then

 $\mathbb{E}h(X,Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x,y) f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy \qquad (2.6.3)$ 

whenever (X, Y) has a joint density  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$ . You may use both (2.6.2) and (2.6.3) in your solution to this problem.

Let A be a set in  $\sigma(X)$ . By the definition of  $\sigma(X)$ , there is a Borel subset B of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $A = \{\omega \in \Omega; X(\omega) \in B\}$  or, more simply,  $A = \{X \in B\}$ . Show the partial-averaging property

$$\int_{A} g(X)d\mathbb{P} = \int_{A} Yd\mathbb{P}.$$

**Exercise 3.1.** According to Definition 3.3.3(iii), for  $0 \le t < u$ , the Brownian motion increment W(u) - W(t) is independent of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ . Use this property and property (i) of that definition to show that, for  $0 \le t < u_1 < u_2$ , the increment  $W(u_2) - W(u_1)$  is also independent of  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ .

**Exercise 3.2.** Let W(t),  $t \ge 0$ , be a Brownian motion, and let  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , be a filtration for this Brownian motion. Show that  $W^2(t) - t$  is a martingale. (Hint: For  $0 \le s \le t$ , write  $W^2(t)$  as  $(W(t) - W(s))^2 + 2W(t)W(s) - W^2(s)$ .)

Exercise 3.4 (Other variations of Brownian motion). Theorem 3.4.3 asserts that if T is a positive number and we choose a partition  $\Pi$  with points  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = T$ , then as the number n of partition points approaches infinity and the length of the longest subinterval  $\|\Pi\|$  approaches zero, the sample quadratic variation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j))^2$$

approaches T for almost every path of the Brownian motion W. In Remark 3.4.5, we further showed that  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)\right)(t_{j+1} - t_j)$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (t_{j+1} - t_j)^2$  have limit zero. We summarize these facts by the multiplication rules

$$dW(t) dW(t) = dt, \quad dW(t) dt = 0, \quad dt dt = 0.$$
 (3.10.1)

(i) Show that as the number m of partition points approaches infinity and the length of the longest subinterval approaches zero, the sample first variation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|$$

approaches  $\infty$  for almost every path of the Brownian motion W. (Hint:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j))^2$$

$$\leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \left| W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k) \right| \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j) \right|.)$$

(ii) Show that as the number n of partition points approaches infinity and the length of the longest subinterval approaches zero, the sample cubic variation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j) \right|^3$$

approaches zero for almost every path of the Brownian motion W.

Shreve, S. E., 2004. Stochastic calculus for finance II: Continuous-time models. Vol. 11. Springer Science & Business Media.