

**Exercise 1** (Fréchet-Hoeffding bounds inequality; 4 Points). Let  $C$  be a 2-dimensional copula. Prove that for every  $(u, v)$  in  $[0, 1]^2$ ,

$$\max(u + v - 1, 0) \leq C(u, v) \leq \min(u, v).$$

*Hint. Use the monotonicity in each component for the upper bound. For the lower bound use the inclusion-exclusion principle.*

We follow the proof of Theorem 2.2.3 in [Nel06]. Let  $(u, v)$  be an arbitrary point in  $[0, 1]^2$ . By proposition 1.10.iii  $C$  is 2-increasing, so for  $\varepsilon = 1 - u$  we get  $C((u, v) + \varepsilon(1, 0)) = C(1, v) \geq C(u, v)$  and accordingly  $C(u, 1) \geq C(u, v)$ . By proposition 1.10.ii  $C$  has uniform univariate marginals, so  $C(1, v) = v$  and  $C(u, 1) = u$ . All together  $C(u, v) \leq \min(u, v)$ . Also by proposition 1.10.i  $C$  is grounded, so  $0 = C(0, v) \leq C((0, v) + u(1, 0)) = C(u, v)$ , again because  $C$  is 2-increasing. Finally, because  $C$  is 2-increasing, the volume  $V_C([u, 1] \times [v, 1]) = C(1, 1) - C(1, v) - C(u, 1) + C(u, v) = 1 - u - v + C(u, v) \geq 0$ , where however I don't understand how we can derive this from  $C$  being 2-increasing. Solving for  $C(u, v)$  yields  $C(u, v) \geq u + v - 1$ . All together we get  $C(u, v) \geq \max(u + v - 1, 0)$ .

**Exercise 2** (Survival Copula; 4 Points). For a pair  $(X, Y)$  of real-valued random variables with joint distribution function  $H$ , the joint survival function is given by  $\bar{H}(x, y) = P[X > x, Y > y]$ . The marginal distribution functions of  $X$  and  $Y$  are denoted by  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively. The corresponding survival functions are given by  $\bar{F} = 1 - F$  and  $\bar{G} = 1 - G$ , respectively. Let  $C$  be a copula for  $(X, Y)$ , i.e.  $C$  is a copula such that  $H(x, y) = C(F(x), G(y))$ . Define the survival copula  $\hat{C}(u, v) = u + v - 1 + C(1 - u, 1 - v)$  and show that

$$\bar{H}(x, y) = \hat{C}(\bar{F}(x), \bar{G}(y)).$$

Moreover, prove that  $\hat{C}$  is indeed a copula.

We follow the explanation in the beginning of section 2.6 of [Nel06]. We use the fact that  $P(X > x, Y > y) = P(X > x) + P(Y > y) - P(\{X > x\} \cup \{Y > y\})$  so that

$$\overline{H}(x, y) = P(X > x) + P(Y > y) - 1 + P(X \leq x, Y \leq y).$$

by definitions of  $\overline{F}$  and  $\overline{G}$  and the fact that  $H(x, y) = C(F(x), G(y))$  we get

$$= \overline{F}(x) + \overline{G}(y) - 1 + C(F(x), G(y)).$$

Finally, again by definition of  $\overline{F}$  and  $\overline{G}$ , we get

$$= \overline{F}(x) + \overline{G}(y) - 1 + C(1 - \overline{F}(x), 1 - \overline{G}(y)).$$

Now if we define the survival copula  $\hat{C}$  as above, we arrive at  $\overline{H}(x, y) = \hat{C}(\overline{F}(x), \overline{G}(y))$ . To see that  $\hat{C}$  is a copula, we prove that it is grounded, has uniform univariate marginals and is 2-increasing. Because  $\hat{C}(u, 0) = u - 1 + C(1 - u, 1) = 0$  and analogously  $\hat{C}(0, v) = 0$ ,  $\hat{C}$  is grounded. Also  $\hat{C}(u, 1) = u + C(1 - u, 0) = u$  and accordingly for  $v$ , so that it has uniform univariate marginals. Finally we want to see whether  $\hat{C}$  is 2-increasing. Also here because of the symmetry of  $\hat{C}$  it suffices to consider only  $u$ . For all  $u \in [0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - u]$  we get  $\hat{C}((u + \varepsilon), v) - \hat{C}((u), v) = u + \varepsilon + v - 1 + C(1 - u - \varepsilon, 1 - v) - u - v + 1 - C(1 - u, 1 - v) = \varepsilon + C(1 - u - \varepsilon, 1 - v) - C(1 - u, 1 - v)$ . Here it is unclear, why this should be greater or equal than zero.

**Exercise 3** (Invariance properties; 4 Points). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be random variables with distribution function  $F_X$  and  $F_Y$ , respectively. Let  $F_{XY}$  be the joint distribution function of  $(X, Y)$  and  $C_{XY}$  be a copula for  $(X, Y)$ , i.e.  $F_{XY}(x, y) = C_{XY}(F_X(x), F_Y(y))$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are strictly increasing and

continuous on  $\text{Ran}(X)$  and  $\text{Ran}(Y)$ , respectively, then  $C_{XY}$  is also a copula for the random vector  $(a(X), b(Y))$ , i.e, the joint distribution function of  $(a(X), b(Y))$  fulfills  $F_{a(X)b(Y)}(x, y) = C_{XY}(F_{a(X)}(x), F_{b(Y)}(y))$ . Thus,  $C_{XY}$  is invariant under strictly increasing transformations of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

We follow the proof of theorem 2.4.3 in [Nel06]. Because  $a$  and  $b$  are strictly increasing, we can apply  $a^{-1}$  inside of  $P$ . That is,  $F_{a(X)}(x) = P(a(X) \leq x) = P(X \leq a^{-1}(x)) = F_X(a^{-1}(x))$  and accordingly  $F_{b(Y)}(y) = F_Y(b^{-1}(y))$  so that for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  by Sklar's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a(X)b(Y)}(F_{a(X)}(x), F_{b(Y)}(y)) &= P(a(X) \leq x, b(Y) \leq y), \\ &= P(X \leq a^{-1}(x), Y \leq b^{-1}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

with the same trick as above. Using Sklar's theorem again, we can rewrite this to

$$\begin{aligned} &= C_{XY}(F_X(a^{-1}(x)), F_Y(b^{-1}(y))), \\ &= C_{XY}(F_{a(X)}(x), F_{b(Y)}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

by the relation we found out in the beginning. Now  $X$  and  $Y$  are continuous.

That means that  $\text{Ran } F_{a(X)} = F_{b(Y)} = [0, 1]$  so we get the equality indeed for every  $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$  and together with  $F_{a(X)b(Y)}(x, y) = C_{a(X)b(Y)}(F_{a(X)}(x), F_{b(Y)}(y))$  we get  $F_{a(X)b(Y)}(x, y) = C_{XY}(F_X(x), F_Y(y))$ .

**Exercise 4** (Distributional transform; 4 Points). Let  $X$  be a real-valued random variable with distribution function  $F$ , and let  $V \sim U(0, 1)$  be an independent random variable uniformly distributed on  $(0, 1)$ . The *generalized distribution function* of  $X$  is defined by

$$F(x, \lambda) = P(X < x) + \lambda P(X = x) \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad F(x, \lambda) = F(x-) + \lambda(F(x) - F(x-)),$$

and the *generalized distribution transform*  $U$  of  $X$  is given by  $U = F(X, V)$ .

Show that  $U \sim U(0, 1)$ .

*Hint: Define  $p = P(X < F^{-1}(\alpha))$  and  $q = P(X = F^{-1}(\alpha))$  and show the equality*

$$\{U \leq \alpha\} = \{X < F^{-1}(\alpha)\} \cup \{X = F^{-1}(\alpha), p + Vq \leq \alpha\}.$$

*You can use without proof that  $F(F^{-1}(\alpha)) \leq \alpha$  and if  $F$  is continuous at  $F^{-1}(\alpha)$  that it holds that  $F(F^{-1}(\alpha)) = \alpha$ .*

We follow the proof of proposition 2.1 in [Rü09]. By definition of  $F$  we have  $U = F(X, V) \leq \alpha$  if and only if  $(X, V) \in \{(x, \lambda): P(X < x) + \lambda P(X = x) \leq \alpha\}$ . Now consider the case that  $0 = q = P(X = F^{-1}(\alpha))$ . Then  $P(\{U \leq \alpha\}) = P(\{P(X < x) \leq \alpha\}) = P(\{X < F^{-1}(\alpha)\}) = P(X \leq F^{-1}(\alpha)) = \alpha$  since  $F$  is continuous at  $\alpha$ . Now if  $q > 0$ , then  $(X, V) \in \{(x, \lambda): P(X < x) + \lambda P(X = x) \leq \alpha\}$  is equivalent to  $(X, V) \in \{X < F^{-1}(\alpha)\} \cup \{X = F^{-1}(\alpha), p + Vq \leq \alpha\}$ , *however I don't really see how this works.* Employing we again get

$$P(U \leq \alpha) = P(F(X, V) \leq \alpha).$$

Solving  $q + V\beta \leq \alpha$  for  $V$  we get

$$= q + \beta P(V \leq (\alpha - q)/\beta).$$

*However I don't quite get how this works.* Since  $V \sim U(0, 1)$  we get

$$= q + \beta \frac{\alpha - q}{\beta} = \alpha.$$

## References

- [Nel06] NELSEN, Roger B.: *An introduction to copulas*. Springer, 2006
- [Rü09] RÜSCHENDORF, Ludger: On the distributional transform, Sklar's theorem, and the empirical copula process. In: *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 139 (2009), Nr. 11, 3921-3927. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037837580900158X>