Semantic Frame Induction

Course: Natural Language Processing I

Laura Aina, Eliška Hartlová, Francesco Stablum

November 13th 2015

What is a semantic frame?

▶ A semantic frame is a schematization of the situation/event/state expressed by a predicative lexical item through the set of lexical items typically associated with it and their semantic roles

Example

Mary eats an apple. \rightsquigarrow Frame: Ingestion Mary [NP-Subject-Ingestor] eats [VP-Predicate] an apple [NP-Direct Object - Ingestible].

▶ Framenet is a computational lexicon that contains frame-semantic descriptions of English lexical items, together with semantically annotated attestations in corpora.

The task The data Models Evaluation

Framenet: an example

1gestion	Lexical	Unit Index				
			Lexical Unit	LU Status	Lexical Entry Report	Annotation Report
		he	breakfast.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
ls:			consume.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
re:			devour.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
estor [Ing] nantic Type: Sentient	The ingestion is the person eating or drinking.	_	dine.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
gree [Degr]	The extent to which the ingestables are consumed by the ingestor. The wolves DEVOURED the carcass completely		down.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
ration [Dur]	The length of time spent on the ingestion activity.		drink.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
trument (Ins)	· -		eat.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
nantic Type: Physical_entity nner [Manr]	Meaner of performing an action.		feast.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
	act of ingestion.		feed.v	Finished_Initial	Lexical entry	Annotation
	efinition: Ingestor consumes food or divery to the digestive system.	### The extent to which the granted are consumed by the granted are from the granted are consumed by the granted are from the granted are consumed by the granted are from the granted are consumed by the granted are from the granted are consumed by the granted are from the granted are consumed by the granted are from the granted are	### The works Month Th	### Consumer to do or drink (forestable) which entalls putting the forestable in the mouth for livery to the dignative system. This may archive the recent of management of the forestable in the mouth for sentence that describe the version of food to show a WO of mobile at this frame. **Case ** **Case ** **Case ** **The forestable forestable in the management of drinking. **The forestable is the person enting or drinking. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the management active. **The forestable is the foresta	### LUStatus Lexical LUStatus	Lexical Little Lexical Entry Report Lexical Entry Report

The task The data Models Evaluation

Clustering verbs according to frames

- ► Frame parsing is the task of extracting frames from semantic predicate-argument structures.
- In this case: clustering verbs according to frames given their arguments Subject and Direct Object.

Example

Mary eats an apple \rightsquigarrow V: eat S: Mary DOBJ: apple

Building the dataset

Google Syntactic N-grams - English 1 Million Verbargs

Set of n-grams (130M) consisting of verbs with all their immediate arguments

Example

eats while/IN/mark/3 he/PRP/nsubj/3 eats/VBZ/advcl/0 breakfast/NN/dobj/3 10 1950,2 1970,1 1976,1 1979,1 1993,2 1999,1 2001,1 2003,1

Preprocessing

- 1. Extraction of triples: V S DO
- 2. Stemming
- 3. Collapsing repetitions
- 4. Filtering by the top n verbs/entries

lata

Models

Model 0

- ▶ Frame lexicon: Dirichlet prior β . For each f = 1..F, sample three word multinomials: $\phi_f^{(v)}, \phi_f^{(s)}, \phi_f^{(o)} \sim Dir(\beta)$
- ► **Tuple data**: For each tuple *i*..*N*,
 - ▶ Draw its frame indicator f_i (from a fixed prior)
 - Draw the three words from their respective multinomials: $w_i^{(v)} \sim \phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{(v)}$; $w_i^{(s)} \sim \phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{(s)}$; $w_i^{(o)} \sim \phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{(s)}$

Inference algorithm

EM

Assumption

Every tuple is modeled independently

Model 1

- ▶ F frames, and Dirichlet priors α, β
- ▶ Frame lexicon: For each frame $f \in 1..F$, and argument position $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
 - Draw word multinomial $\phi_f^{(a)} \sim Dir(\beta)$
- ▶ **Document-tuple data**: For each document $d \in 1..D$
 - ▶ Draw frame multinomial $\theta_d \sim Dir(\alpha)$
 - ► For each tuple *i* in the document,
 - ▶ Draw frame indicator $f_i \sim \theta_d$
 - ▶ Draw word triple: for each argument position $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ Draw $w_i^{(a)} \sim \phi_{f_i}^{(a)}$

Inference algorithm

Can be seen as LDA with "frames" instead of "topics"

- Latent variable models syntactic arguments selection and document-level effects
- Collapsed Gibbs sampling

Evaluation

- Determine similarity between found clusters and those given by Framenet
- ▶ E.g. **Jaccard coefficient**: $Jacc(A, B) = \frac{|AB|}{A \cup B}$, where A is the set of found items and B the set of wanted items