

# Use AI as an RA for Economic Analysis

---

Kohei Kawaguchi, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

# Replacing Human RA with AI RA

- 100% replaceable
- Needs the same level of supervision
- Merits
  - Accelerate iterations
  - Parallelize tasks
  - Integrate research and implementation
  - Better implementation
- Demerits
  - Integrity
  - Training opportunity

## Resources

- git clone [git@github.com:kohei-kawaguchi/TestAI.git](https://github.com/kohei-kawaguchi/TestAI.git)

## Which AI to use

- OpenAI Codex CLI: <https://developers.openai.com/codex/cli/>
  - Smart, consistent, but not fun to work with
  - Currently, needs WSL or Linux container on Windows
  - Install the CLI and VS Code/Cursor extension
- Claude Code CLI: <https://www.claude.com/product/claude-code>
  - Less smart than Codex, but lovely
  - Company understands the importance of UI/UX
  - Works with Windows
  - Install the CLI and VS Code/Cursor extension
- Cursor: <https://cursor.com/>
  - Bad at task management

## Demonstration

- Solving and simulating equilibrium with Codex CLI
- Running causal inference with Claude Code CLI

## General Principle

- Follow the best practices of project management
- Supervise AI RA **exactly** like human RA
- If AI does not work, it is not because of AI, but because of your project management

## On-demand data analysis is the best task for AI

- The task can be mathematically defined and described
- The end user is the supervisor themselves
- Little concern for maintainability

# What AI is NOT good at

## Economics

- Equilibrium, endogenous/exogenous, observable/unobservable, parameters of interest/nuisance parameters are exotic concepts for AI
  - Translate them into CS/statistical concepts
  - Test knowledge with an oral exam

## Solving bugs/problems

- AI makes up a fake solution if asked to **solve** a problem
  - Always ask to find the **root cause** of the problem
  - Solution must be determined by the supervisor



# Key framework

## Pipeline management

- Package-like folder structure, git version control, document → implementation → test → validation workflow, command scripts

## Theory-driven approach

- Supervisor writes the ground truth as a document

## Multi-level validations

- Consistency check, unit tests, visualized reports, but **no** code inspection

## Scope management

- Specify what can be changed and what cannot be changed

# Solving and simulating an economic model

## Planning

1. Create an issue and create a separate branch
2. Write down the model setting in a document
3. Ask AI to write down the equilibrium condition (oral exam)
4. Ask AI to write down the pseudo code (oral exam)
5. Ask AI to write down the issue and plan in a md file

# Solving and simulating an economic model (continued)

## Implementation

1. Ask AI to write functions in `src/` following the pseudocode

## Verification

1. Ask AI to write unit tests in `scripts/test` and check all pass
2. Ask AI to list any inconsistency between the pseudocode and `src/` implementation

# Solving and simulating an economic model (continued)

## Validation

1. Ask AI to write an execution file in `scripts/pipeline` and execute it
2. Ask AI to write a reporting file in `scripts/report` and render it
3. Generate relevant comparative statics, spot any strange behavior, speculate the reasons or let AI investigate the root causes (never ask AI to solve the issue)

## Rules

- Every time you change the implementation, make sure to repeat the same process
- Every time you streamline the implementation, require no backward compatibility
- At each of the above steps, ask AI to commit changes, so that AI can summarize the changes and status for reference

## Running a model-free analysis

- No difference from the previous workflow if we specify tasks using math
- But this can be cumbersome for model-free analysis
- We often use informal instructions for RAs
- We often use economics jargon to describe the task
- This can hinder the use of AI in this context
- Solution: use the **oral exam** method intensively

# Running a model-free analysis (continued)

## Dynamic reporting

1. Ask AI to make a dynamic report loading the data
2. Ask AI to set up a live server to review the report
3. Ask AI to summarize the variables of the data sets

## Oral exam

1. Explain to AI what you want to achieve
2. Ask AI whether it knows how to implement it
3. Ask AI to search relevant sources until it returns a legitimate answer
4. Once a legitimate answer is obtained, ask AI to summarize it in the report

# Running a model-free analysis (continued)

## Implementation

1. Ask AI to run the analysis in the report

## Validation

1. Ask AI to refresh the report and verify the result



This oral exam method works for **data cleaning** tasks as well

## Tips

- Make a docs/issue/ folder and let AI summarize the problem and plan for each issue
- Make a gitignored scripts/temporary/ folder for AI to write temporary scripts for investigating problems
- Never let AI automatically git add/commit/pull/push, explicitly ask AI to commit
- Periodically ask AI to streamline the document and implementation, make it explicit no backward compatibility is needed
- Let AI write down pseudocode of the implementation
- Let AI add a link to source for any method it suggests
- Let AI read the document and git history to understand the current issue and status



## Mobile work

-  **AWS**: Easily connect to cloud machines and access resources anywhere
-  **Termius**: Great SSH client for connecting to servers from your laptop, tablet, or phone

## Reviewing conversation history

- Codex: `~/.codex/sessions/YYYY/MM/DD/*.jsonl`
- Claude: `~/.claude/history.jsonl`
- Ask AI to read the conversation history and git history to review your instruction