

## 2 Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Our code for Part 2 is within the files *Part2.py* and *Part2.ipynb*.

### 2.1 Emission Parameters Estimation

In order to derive the count values, we process the file as follows.

Each line in the file represents a word followed by its label. For each label, we store the number of occurrences of each word in a dictionary with the key as the word, and the value as the number of occurrences. For a particular label, this results in a dictionary that looks something like the following:

```
{'Nous': 100, 'avons': 101, 'tout': 105, 'aim': 7, '.': 1067, ... }
```

We store this dictionary as the value of another dictionary, where the key represents the label. This results in the following dictionary:

```
emissions = {'B-negative': {' ': 1, 'Accueil': 7, 'Ambiance': 2, ...},  
            'B-positive': { ... }, ... }
```

This allows us to efficiently retrieve  $\text{Count}(y \rightarrow x)$  using

```
emissions[y][x]
```

Similarly, for computational efficiency, we store the counts of each label in a separate dictionary:

```
emission_counts = {'B-negative': 675, 'B-neutral': 113, 'B-positive': 810,  
                  'I-negative': 233, 'I-neutral': 43, 'I-positive': 181, 'O': 24512}
```

We can then easily retrieve  $\text{Count}(y)$  using

```
emission_counts[x]
```

This allows us to efficiently retrieve the emission parameters  $e(x|y)$ , at the cost of a minor space complexity increase.

### 2.2 Laplace Smoothing

For smoothing, we implement a getter function for the emission parameters. If the given word  $x$  does not exist in our parameters learnt from training data, we return the smoothed version of the parameters.

```
def get_emission_parameters(emissions, emission_counts, x, y, k=1):  
    ''' Returns the MLE of the emission parameters based on the emissions dictionary '''  
    state_data = emissions[y]  
    count_y = emission_counts[y] #sum(state_data.values()) # Denominator  
  
    # If x == "#UNK#", it will return the following  
    count_y_x = k  
  
    # If x exists in training, return its MLE instead  
    if x != "#UNK#":  
        count_y_x = state_data[x] # Numerator  
  
    e = count_y_x / (count_y + k)  
    return e{}
```

## 2.3 Sequence Labeling

For sequence labeling, we implement a function that takes as input the sentence to be labeled, and the emission parameters as described in Section 2.1:

```
def label_sequence(sentence, emissions, emission_counts):
    ''' Takes a list `sentence` that contains words of a sentence as strings '''
    tags = []

    for word in sentence:
        predicted_label = ""
        max_prob = -1

        # Find y with maximum probability
        for y in emissions:

            if word not in observations:
                word = "#UNK#"

            if (word in emissions[y]) or (word == "#UNK#"):
                prob = get_emission_parameters(emissions, emission_counts, word, y)

                # If this is higher than the previous highest, use this
                if prob > max_prob:
                    predicted_label = y
                    max_prob = prob

        # Add prediction to list
        tags.append(predicted_label)

    return tags
```

For each word, it finds the arg max over  $y$ , and assigns this as the tag. The final output of this function is a list containing the predicted tags.

## 2.4 Results

Our results on the four datasets are as follows:

Dataset	F-Score	
	Entity	Entity Type
EN	0.6297	0.4595
SG	0.2952	0.1501
CN	0.1929	0.1134
FR	0.2751	0.1169

# 3 First-Order Hidden Markov Model

## 3.1 Transition Parameters Emission

In order to derive the transition values, we process the file as follow.

Each line in the file represents a word followed by its label. For each label, we create a transition denoted by a tuple (previous label, current label). For the first and last label in each sentence, we also

add the transitions (START, label) and (label, STOP) respectively. This results in a dictionary that looks something like the following.

```
{('START', 'O'): 1474, ('O', 'O'): 21452, ('O', 'STOP'): 1621, ...}
```

In addition, for each tuple (a, b) that we add to the dictionary, we also add the counts of a and b to a separate dictionary. This allows us to obtain the counts of each transition, as follows.

```
{'START': 1632, 'O': 24512, 'B-positive': 810, 'I-positive': 181,
  'B-negative': 675, 'B-neutral': 113, 'I-negative': 233, 'I-neutral': 43}
```

This allows us to efficiently retrieve  $\text{Count}(y_{i-1}, y_i)$  and  $\text{Count}(y_{i-1})$ , and obtain the transition parameters

$$q(y_i|y_{i-1}) = \frac{\text{Count}(y_{i-1}, y_i)}{\text{Count}(y_{i-1})}$$

Note that STOP is not necessary in the second dictionary, since there will never be a transition *from* STOP. In addition, when  $\text{Count}(y_{i-1}^*) = 0$ , we simply allow  $q(y_i|y_{i-1}^*) = 0$ .

## 3.2 Viterbi Algorithm

Combining Parts 2 and 3, we now have the estimated transition and emission parameters. We will denote them as

$$a_{u,v} = q(v|u) = \frac{\text{Count}(u, v)}{\text{Count}(u)}$$

$$b_u(x_j) = e(x|y) = \frac{\text{Count}(u \rightarrow x_j)}{\text{Count}(u)}$$

For each sequence, a `pandas.DataFrame` object of size  $|\mathcal{T}| \times (n+2)$  is used to store the probabilities of a given state at a given time, where  $n$  is the length of the sequence, and  $\mathcal{T}$  is the set of all states in training.  $(n+2)$  is used to include the START and STOP observations as well.

Similarly, another `pandas.DataFrame` object of the same size is created as a backpointer table to perform the backtracing algorithm. The probability table will be known as `P` while the backpointer table will be known as `B`.

### 3.2.1 Initialization

We begin by initializing  $\pi(0, \text{START}) = 1$ .

```
P.loc['START', 0] = 1
```

### 3.2.2 Recursion

Next, as per the Viterbi algorithm:

$$\pi(j, v) = \max_{u \in \mathcal{T}} \{\pi(j-1, u) \cdot a_{u,v} \cdot b_v(x_j)\}, \forall v \in \mathcal{T}$$

In addition, we also use the backpointer table to store the state transition  $(y_{j-1}, y_j)$ :

$$\beta(j, v) = \arg \max_{u \in \mathcal{T}} \{\pi(j-1, u) \cdot a_{u,v} \cdot b_v(x_j)\}, \forall v \in \mathcal{T}$$

```
x_j = obs_seq[j-1]
for v in states: # current state
    for u in states: # previous state
        p = P.loc[u, j-1] * a(u, v) * b(v, x)
        if p > P.loc[v, j]: # keep larger probability
            P.loc[v, j] = p # update probability
            B.loc[v, j] = u # update backpointer
```

This operation is repeated for  $j = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ , where  $x_{n+1} = \text{STOP}$ .

### 3.2.3 Backtracking

Finally, once the Viterbi algorithm is complete, we use the backpointer table to obtain the state sequence.

$$y_n = \beta(n+1, \text{STOP})$$

$$y_{j-1} = \beta(j, y_j), \forall j \in \{n-1, \dots, 2, 1\}$$

The Python implementation of this is as follows:

```
state_seq = ['STOP'] # initialization
for i in range(n-1, 0, -1): # {n-1, ..., 2, 1}
    curr_state = state_seq[-1] # y_j
    prev_state = B.loc[curr_state, i] # y_{j-1}
    state_seq.append(prev_state)
```

In special cases where there is no observed transition (i.e.  $P(y_{j-1}|y_j) = 0$ ), we might obtain an observation sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  that has a probability of 0. In this scenario, we simply predict a sequence of O's.

## 3.3 Results

Our results on the four datasets are as follows:

Dataset	F-Score	
	Entity	Entity Type
EN	0.6379	0.5556
SG	0.4185	0.2531
CN	0.3955	0.2700
FR	0.3994	0.2232

## 4 Second-Order Hidden Markov Model

## 5 Design Challenge

### 5.1 Preprocessing

#### 5.1.1 String tokenization

Each file can be considered a corpus, the documents being each sentence in the file. Tokenizing was carried out by converting each sentence into a list of words. During tokenization, all whitespace was stripped from the beginning and end of each word. Also, each token is normalized by converting to lowercase.

#### 5.1.2 Replacing irrelevant words

In the initial problem, the training data contains a large vocabulary of words which occurred only a single time. To mitigate this issue, several types of words were converted into various placeholders instead, as they do not carry semantic meaning.

The placeholders used are as follows:

1. #PUNC#: strings that do not contain alphanumeric characters
2. #HASH#: hashtags (strings beginning with a # character)
3. #AT#: @ mentions (strings beginning with a @ character)
4. #NUM#: strings that contain only digits
5. #URL#: strings that begin with `http:` and end with `.com`

### 5.1.3 Stop words

Stop words were also initially converted to a token labeled #STOP#. However, during evaluation on the EN and SG dataset with Part 3, it was shown to reduce the  $F_1$  score on both the *Correct Entity* and *Correct Entity Type* tasks. It was also shown to produce poorer  $F_1$  scores on the EN dataset with Part 5.

Taking into account the negative correlation between removing stop words and  $F_1$  scores, as well as the fact that we do not have French stop words, we decided not to remove stop words from the training data.

## 5.2 Simple vectorization

We discuss an implementation to convert each word into a vector, allowing us to train a recurrent neural network (RNN) with the text sequences.

### 5.2.1 Tokenizing

Tokenizing transforms each text into a sequence of integers, which allows us to vectorize the text corpus.

We first obtain a vocabulary of all unique words that have appeared in the training data. We then assign a unique integer to each word, as well as 1 additional integer for the #UNK# token, which denotes words not present in the vocabulary.

```
{'rt': 0,
 '#AT#': 1,
 '#PUNC#': 2,
 'encore': 3,
 ...,
 'bpa': 2502,
 '#UNK#': 2503}
```

The following is a sample output sequence that has been tokenized:

```
# input: 'rt #AT# #PUNC# encore #PUNC# #AT# for ... #PUNC#'
[ 0, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 4, ..., 2]
```

### 5.2.2 One-hot encoding

Once each word has been converted into a corresponding integer, each integer is then converted into a vector via one-hot encoding.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of all words in the training vocabulary, including #UNK#. Then, one-hot encoding maps each input to a vector,  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}|}$ .

$$f(n)_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## 5.3 word2vec

*word2vec* is used as a feature extraction method, to convert the sparse one-hot encoding into dense vectors.

### 5.3.1 Skip-gram

A skip-gram architecture with a window size of 5 is used for the training of the *word2vec*. This means that given a sequence of words  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}$ , for an input variable  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ , the target variable  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \in \{\mathbf{x}^{(i-2)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i-1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i+1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i+2)}\}$ .

We initialize two sets of weights,  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{300 \times |\mathcal{V}|}$  and  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}| \times 300}$ , with each value drawn from a normal distribution  $\mathcal{N} \sim (0, 0.1^2)$ .

### 5.3.2 Forward

The model is defined as such:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}^{(i)} &\in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}|} \\
\mathbf{h}^{(i)} &= \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \\
\mathbf{o}^{(i)} &= \mathbf{U}\mathbf{h}^{(i)} \\
\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)} &= \text{softmax}(\mathbf{o}^{(i)}) \\
\mathcal{L} &= - \sum_{\mathbf{y}^{(i)}} \mathbf{y}^{(i)} \cdot \log(\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)})
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The loss function is the sum of the cross-entropy loss between all target variables in the context, and the predicted variable.

### 5.3.3 Backward

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(i)}} &= \text{softmax}(\mathbf{o}^{(i)}) - \mathbf{y}^{(i)} \\
&= \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{U}} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(i)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{U}} \\
&= (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \mathbf{h}^{(i)T}
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(i)}} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(i)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(i)}} \\
&= \mathbf{U}^T (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)})
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{W}} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(i)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(i)}}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \\
&= \mathbf{U}^T (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \mathbf{x}^{(i)T}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

### 5.3.4 Training

#### Positive sampling

For each word in every sentence, we take that word to be the center word. Then, for each word in its context, we update the weights according to the equations above.

#### Negative sampling

For each word in every sentence, we take that word to be the center word. We also obtain the context words. Next, we sample 20 words from the vocabulary, excluding the center and context words. The probability of sampling a word  $w$  is given by the following equation:

$$P(w) = \frac{\text{Count}(w)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\sum_{w'} (\text{Count}(w')^{\frac{3}{4}})}$$

The corresponding loss function for the negative samples is then given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{\mathbf{y}^{(i)}} -\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \cdot \log(\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)})$$




Figure 1: t-SNE visualization of *word2vec* model on EN dataset

### 5.3.5 Visualization

We use t-SNE to reduce each word vector to 2 dimensions for visualization. The results are shown in Figure 1.

## 5.4 Recurrent neural network (RNN)

### 5.4.1 Forward

We use a RNN, with a computational graph as shown in Figure 2. The RNN maps an input sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  to an output sequence  $\mathbf{o}$ . The predicted labels are then given by  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{o})$ . The loss function  $\mathcal{L}$  compares the predicted labels  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  with the target labels  $\mathbf{y}$  through cross-entropy loss,




Figure 2: RNN

where  $C$  is the number of classes in the classification task.

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_i^C \mathbf{y}_i \cdot \log(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i)$$

The remaining nodes in the graph are computed as shown in Equation 6.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^{(t)} &= \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}^{(t-1)} \\ \mathbf{h}^{(t)} &= \tanh(\mathbf{a}^{(t)}) \\ \mathbf{o}^{(t)} &= \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}^{(t)} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} &= \text{softmax}(\mathbf{o}^{(t)}) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{V}$  are the weight matrices, while  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  are biases. By choice of hyperparameters,  $\mathbf{h}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^{128 \times 1}$ .

For an input sequence,  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}$ , we first compute the hidden units  $\mathbf{h}^{(t)}$  as shown in Equation 7. At  $t = 1$ , the hidden unit is computed using only  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$ . Once we have the value of  $\mathbf{h}^{(t)}$ , we can then compute its predicted state  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)}$  with Equation 6.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}^{(1)} &= \tanh(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) \\ \mathbf{h}^{(t)} &= \tanh(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}^{(t-1)}), \forall t \in \{2, \dots, n\} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

#### 5.4.2 Backward

To perform backpropagation, our objective is to obtain the partial differential of  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to the weights and biases. The gradients are taken to be the **sum** over all time steps  $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

##### Output layer

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}} &= \text{softmax}(\mathbf{o}^{(t)}) - \mathbf{y}^{(t)} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{c}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)}) \frac{\partial (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{h}^{(t)})}{\partial \mathbf{c}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)})
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{V}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{V}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)}) \frac{\partial (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{h}^{(t)})}{\partial \mathbf{V}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)}) \mathbf{h}^{(t)T}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

### Hidden layer

To compute the gradients of the hidden units  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}}$ , we initialize the gradient at  $t = n$ , since it has no subsequent time step, and its gradient is dependent only on  $\mathbf{o}^{(n)}$ .




Figure 3: Computational graph showing gradients for  $\mathbf{h}^{(n)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(n)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(n)}} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(n)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(n)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(n)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(n)}} \\
&= (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(n)} - \mathbf{y}^{(n)}) \frac{\partial (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{h}^{(n)})}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(n)}} \\
&= \mathbf{V}^T (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(n)} - \mathbf{y}^{(n)})
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now, we can formulate the rest of the gradients recursively.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}}$$

From the result in Equation 11, we have that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} = \mathbf{V}^T (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)})$$




Figure 4: Computational graph showing gradients for  $\mathbf{h}^{(t)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \\
&= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial (\tanh(\mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}))}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}^{(t)})}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \\
&= \mathbf{W}^T \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial (\tanh(\mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}))}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}} \\
&= \mathbf{W}^T \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t+1)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \\
&= \mathbf{V}^T (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)}) + \mathbf{W}^T \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t+1)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

It is next imperative to show that the Jacobian  $J^{(t+1)} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}} = \frac{\partial (\tanh(\mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}))}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}} = \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t+1)})^2)$ .

We begin with the knowledge that  $\frac{d}{dx} \tanh(x) = 1 - \tanh^2(x)$ .

$$J_{ij}^{(t+1)} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_i^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}_j^{(t+1)}}$$

For  $i \neq j$ ,  $J_{ij}^{(t)} = 0$ .  
For  $i = j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{ii}^{(t+1)} &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_i^{(t+1)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}_i^{(t+1)}} = \frac{\partial (\tanh(\mathbf{a}^{(t+1)}))_i}{\partial \mathbf{a}_i^{(t+1)}} \\
&= 1 - \tanh^2(\mathbf{a}^{(t+1)})_i \\
&= 1 - (\mathbf{h}_i^{(t+1)})^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore J^{(t)} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (\mathbf{h}_1^{(t)})^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - (\mathbf{h}_2^{(t)})^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & 1 - (\mathbf{h}_i^{(t)})^2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 - (\mathbf{h}_n^{(t)})^2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2)$$

We can then use this result to obtain the gradients with respect to  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{U}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{b}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}^{(t-1)})}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}^{(t-1)})}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \mathbf{h}^{(t-1)^T}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{U}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{U}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}^{(t-1)})}{\partial \mathbf{U}} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \mathbf{x}^{(t)^T}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In summary,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{U}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \mathbf{x}^{(t)^T} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \mathbf{h}^{(t-1)^T} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{b}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \text{diag}(1 - (\mathbf{h}^{(t)})^2) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(t)}} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{V}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)}) \mathbf{h}^{(t)^T} \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}} &= \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)})
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

### 5.4.3 Training

#### Regularization

We add  $L_2$  regularization to the weights  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{V}$ .

This adds an additional term to their gradients, e.g.  $\lambda \|\mathbf{U}\|_1$ , where  $\lambda$  is the regularization penalty coefficient.

The regularization term helped to deal with the class imbalance in the labels, illustrated in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Distribution of labels in FR dataset

### Batch updates

The weight updates were done in batches.  $b$  sentences were randomly chosen, where  $b$  is a hyperparameter. The gradients of each sentence were first independently obtained. Then, the mean of those gradients were taken and applied to the weights.

This helped to smooth the loss decrease over training. We compare the value of the training loss over time before and after implementing batch updates in Figure 6.




Figure 6: Training loss against epochs

### Class weighting

The class imbalance as illustrated in Figure 5 caused the model to over-predict a particular class, resulting in very recall.

In order to correct the class imbalance, the gradient function was modified to include class weights  $w$ :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{(t)}}{\partial \mathbf{o}^{(t)}} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(t)} - \mathbf{y}^{(t)} \circ \mathbf{w}$$

However, by introducing class weights, it was found that the precision of the results drastically worsened. As such, class weighting was not used.

#### 5.4.4 Evaluation

Inference was done using the same forward pass of the RNN to obtain  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ .

However, words that are not found in the training vocabulary cannot be directly converted via *word2vec*. Instead, the context words of the center word are taken and converted to one-hot labels. Then, the mean of the one-hot labels is taken to be the representative "one-hot encoding" of the center word, and the *word2vec* vector is obtained. This allows unknown words to be represented by their context.

## 5.5 Results

Our results on the EN and FR datasets are as follows:

Dataset	F-Score	
	Entity	Entity Type
EN	TBD	TBD
FR	TBD	TBD