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# Introduction

# Background and Context

- Overview of the importance of studying dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and biodegradable dissolved organic carbon (BDOC) in glacial rivers.

- The role of glacial meltwaters in global carbon cycles.

- Introduction to Vestari-Jökulsa and Virkisa rivers.

Ein Bild, das Text, Karte, Atlas, Screenshot enthält.

Automatisch generierte Beschreibung

Fig. 1: location of the two rivers with the respective sampling sites

topographical map of Iceland.

<https://gatt.natt.is/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/e6712430-a63c-4ae5-9158-c89d16da6361>

Coordinate system EPSG:3057 - ISN93 / Lambert 1993

# Significance of the Study

- Discuss the contribution to physical geography and environmental sciences.

- Implications for understanding carbon dynamics in glacial environments.

Iceland’s glaciers are under-studied in terms of DOC and BDOC dynamics, with little focus on regional river systems like Vestari-Jökulsá and Virkisá.

Structure of the Thesis - Briefly outline the content of each chapter.

In addition, both the Hofsjökull and Vatnajökull ice caps are among the best studied and have the longest running mass balance monitoring programmes in Iceland. So, despite their relatively modest contribution to the global glacier and ice cap volume - which stands at only a few per cent - glaciers in Iceland are of significant scientific interest due to being very well-monitored and the insights they offer into the response of glaciers in maritime climate zones to climate change. (Aðalgeirsdóttir et al. 2006)

Projections indicate that within a century, the ice caps will have diminished by half and will have fully disappeared within two centuries if the warming rate remains constant. It is also notable that the reduction in volume will be qualitative comparable for the two ice caps, exhibiting a gradual initial decrease that will accelerate with a greater increase in temperature. (Aðalgeirsdóttir et al. 2006)

# Research Objectives and Questions

- Clearly state the aims of the study.

- Formulate specific research questions or hypotheses.

Compare DOC and BDOC between two Icelandic glacial rivers, investigating how catchment features affect carbon dynamics.

Pex.

* How do carbon fluxes (DOC loads) from each catchment compare when normalized by catchment area?
* What landscape or glaciological factors might explain any observed differences?

# **Literature Review**

The study of glacial DOC represents a relatively recent area of research. The dynamics of DOC and BDOC vary geographically, and the present review will mention studies conducted in Greenland, Svalbard, Alaska, Canada, Asia, Antarctica, the Alps, and Iceland. Glacial DOC contributes to the global carbon cycle, and this research aims to add to the understanding Icelandic glacial DOC export.

# Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)

In water, organic material (OM) is present in dissolved (DOM) form, which originates i.a. from decaying plants, soil and microbial biomass, as well as leachate, but also in the *solid phase of soils and sediments* (SOM). While the alterations in SOM composition take time (p.ex. ageing

of sediments), chemical properties and composition of DOM are subject to faster change and are influenced by hydrology with snowmelt for example (Gabor et al. 2014).

OM in natural waters has been classified as either, dissolved or particulate organic carbon by filtration (typically with 0.2-1.2 μm filters). This distinction is a practical/ operational rather than a natural one. (Aiken 2014)

# Biodegradable dissolved Organic Carbon (BDOC)

* how BDOC differs from total DOC.
* Discuss microbial processing of DOC and how its composition affects **biodegradability**.

**Lutz et al. (2015)** – Microbial influence on DOC bioavailability in Icelandic glacial environments​

**Stibal et al. (2008)** – Microbial primary production in Svalbard glaciers and its impact on DOC cycling- wants further studies

**~~Holt et al. (2024)~~** ~~– Microbial preference for young carbon in glacial DOC​​~~

- Definition and its role in aquatic ecosystems.

- Methods of measuring BDOC.

# DOC and BDOC in Glacial Rivers

DOC in glacial rivers is impacted by different factors i.e. hydrology, temperature, microbial activity and seasonal discharge variations. That way, DOC varies not only seasonally in glacial fed rivers (Spencer et al. 2014; Chifflard et al. 2024) but also diurnal (Chifflard et al. 2024).

# Sources of DOC in glacial rivers

Externally derived (allochthonous) sources include the deposition of organic material from the atmosphere, such as anthropogenic aerosols (Fossil fuel combustion byproducts / biomass burning byproducts), or natural organic materials (poles, soil particles and plant residues) transported by wind. In addition, wind-borne material from proglacial soils and vegetation in proximity to glaciers has been identified. (Holt, Kellerman et al., 2023; Holt, McKenna et al., 2024)

Internal derived (autochthonous) sources are microbial production (supraglacial and subglacial) as well as glacier overrun and leaching of subglacial organic material. (Holt, Kellerman et al 2023; Holt, McKenna et al 2024)

Another process is photochemical degradation, where ultraviolet radiation converts aromatic organic compounds into simpler, aliphatic compounds (Holt, Kellerman et al. (Holt, Kellerman et al. 2021)

The relative interaction of these different sources and processes determines the concentration, composition and age of the DOC pool in glaciers. Regional differences in the sources of anthropogenic emissions and in-situ production lead to global diversity in the composition of glacier DOM. (Holt, McKenna et al 2024), (Behnke et al. 2021; Holt, Kellerman et al. 2021; Holt, Kellerman et al. 2023; Holt, McKenna et al. 2024; Hood et al. 2009; Musilova et al. 2017; Smith et al. 2017; Spencer, Vermilyea et al. 2014; Stubbins et al. 2012; etc.)

# DOC in glacial rivers around the world/in different locations

As elaborated above, there exists a global diversity in the composition of glacier DOM. That’s why various studies on DOC and BDOC in glacial rivers did not only focus on different aspects like the composition, sources and exports but were also centred on different geographical locations:

Hood et al. (2009, 2020) and Behnke et al. (2021) focused on **Alaskan** glaciers as DOC sources.

Like Bhatia et al. (2010, 2011, 2013), Kellerman et al. (2020, 2021) concentrated on the molecular composition and the seasonal dynamics of the DOC fluxes of the **Greenland Ice Sheet**. And Lawson et al. (2014) identified the Ice Sheet as a significant DOC source.

Kulinski et al. (2014) addressed the DOC transport into Arctic fjords on **Svalbard**, while Zhu et al. (2016) researched the high bioavailable properties of the exported DOC there.

Yu et al. (2021) compared glacial and permafrost DOC fluxes from the **Tibetan Plateau**, while Zhang et al. (2018) noted the anthropogenic influences on the DOC depositions there.

Smith et al. (2017) studied DOC in **Antarctic** glaciers.

Concerning **Alpine** glaciers, Singer et al. (2012) studied the bioavailability of DOC, while Boix et al. (2019) focus on climate-driven DOC shifts.

For **Iceland**, Chifflard et al. (2019, 2024) elaborated on DOC flux estimates (2019) with distinct seasonal/diurnal variability in DOC composition (2024).

# Analysing DOC and BDOC in Glacial Rivers

The DOC concentrations in various studies mentioned above, were mainly determined by high-temperature combustion in different models of Total Organic Carbon Analyser. For the characterisation of the DOM quality Fluorescence spectroscopic methods were also used in some studies which will be the subject of this chapter.

Most of the compounds of DOM and SOM can be differentiated by their light absorbing, chromophoric, or also light emitting, fluorophoric properties. To the chromophoric fractions of organic material count the humics: yellow to brown colour influenced by the content of aromatic carbon moieties, from plant litter and soil and to a lesser extent microbial biomass. Another one is the proteinaceous material, frequently including fluorescent amino acids, to be precise, tryptophan and tyrosine, from decomposition of plant material and extracellular microbial products (Gabor et al. 2014).

DOM composition influences the location of excitation and emission peaks. The excitation spectrum of DOM fluorescence ranges from 250 to 400 nm, with a corresponding emission spectrum ranging from 350 to 500 nm. (Stedmon & Bro 2008)

Fluorescence, recorded across a range of emission wavelengths while exciting the sample at various wavelengths, results in three-dimensional scans known as excitation–emission matrices (EEMs) (Gabor et al. 2014).

### Different fluorescence indices

By analysing the wavelength ranges of absorption and emission most chemical information on the origin and the chemical quality of DOM can be obtained. For this reason, **different fluorescence indices** have been developed (Gabor et al. 2014):

Generally, concerning the humic-like fluorescence signal (peak C), these indices assess either the location or the magnitude or compare its intensity to that of a microbially derived, or protein-like, peak (peak T and/or M). (Gabor et al. 2014)

For marine environments, the fluorescence properties of DOM proved valuable for its characterization, even at low DOC levels (Coble, 1996). **Coble** identified typical fluorophores found in both marine and coastal waters, categorizing five distinct fluorescence peaks as either protein-like or humic-like (Table 1) (Gabor et al. 2014). Building on this research, aquatic scientists developed fluorescence indices to help interpret changes in the quality of (DOM) in natural waters (Gabor et al. 2014).

Concerning the age of DOM, Parlanti et al. (2000) established the “**freshness index**” (Gabor et al. 2014) and demonstrated that fluorescence can be applied to measure the level of biological activity but also to identify its various stages (Parlanti et al. 2000) and renamed the **peaks of Coble** (1996) (detected fluorescence peak areas in an excitation–emission spectrum of aquatic DOM, Table 1).

Table 1: detected fluorescence peak areas

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Component** | **ex (nm)** | **em (nm)** | **Coble (1996)** | **Parlanti et al. (2000)** |
| Humic-like | 330–350 | 420–480 | C | α |
| Humic-like | 250–260 | 380–480 | A | α’ |
| Marine humic-like | 310–320 | 380–420 | M | β |
| Tyrosine-like, protein-like | 270–280 | 300–320 | B | ɣ |
| Tryptophan-like, protein-like/phenol-like | 270–280 | 320–350 | T | δ |

With: em = emission wavelengths, ex = excitation wavelengths, (Parlanti et al. 2000)

From there, Huguet et al. 2009, concerning riverine, or more specifically estuarine environments developed the **β/α,** thenrenamed **BIX Index,** (Gabor et al. 2014) the “index of recent autochthonous contribution (BIX)” (Huguet et al. 2009), to identify the β fluorophore which indicates biological activity is occurring (Huguet et al. 2009). High BIX values (>1) indicate that dissolved organic matter (DOM) primarily originates from local biological processes and represents recently produced organic material. Conversely, lower BIX values (0.6–0.7) reflect reduced DOM generation within natural aquatic systems (Table 2). (Huguet et al. 2009)

Table 2: BIX-Index

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **BIX values** | **DOM characteristics** |
| 0.6–0.7 | Low autochthonous component |
| 0.7–0.8 | Intermediate autochthonous component |
| 0.8–1 | Strong autochthonous component |
| >1 | Biological or aquatic bacterial origin |

(Properties of DOM linked to the variability in BIX values (from the Mediterranean Sea, Huguet et al. 2009 after Parlanti et al. 2006)

Zsolnay et al. (1999) introduced a **humification index**, **HIX index** which links greater humification to longer emission wavelengths and lower H/C ratios, and reflects how extensively the organic matter was humified (Gabor et al. 2014 :311) The HIX is a reliable method for evaluating how humified organic matter is (Ohno 2002) and assess how extensively DOM in soil has matured (Table 3) (Huguet et al. 2009).

Table 3: Humification Index

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **HIX values** | **DOM characteristics** |
| >16 | Strong humic character/ important terrigenous contribution |
| 6–10 | Important humic character and weak recent autochthonous component |
| 4–6 | Weak humic character and important recent autochthonous component |
| <4 | Biological or aquatic bacterial origin |

Properties of DOM linked to the variability in HIX values (from the Seine, Loire and Gironde estuaries, Huguet et al. 2009 after Vacher 2004)

In natural water bodies, DOM is largely made up of aquatic fulvic acids which are heterogenous and organic. McKnight et al. 2001 established a **fluorescence index (FI)** to qualify the original source and chemical characteristics of dissolved fulvic acid. (In contrast, concerning the origin of the nonhumic components of DOM, the FI is possibly not of use and other data is needed.) The emission peak of fluorophores in fulvic acids of microbial origin appear at shorter wavelengths then the one of fluorophores in fulvic acids of terrestrial origin. The FI is based on the ratio of fluorescence emission intensities measured at 450 nm and 500 nm wavelength (with excitation at 370 nm), to differentiate the origins of aquatic fulvic acids. (McKnight et al. 2001 :39:47). In their study, McKnight et al. 2001 obtained ~1,9 as value for fulvic acids originating from microbes, and of ~1.4 for terrestrial origin (Table 4).

Table 4: Fluorescence Index

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **FI value** | **DOM characteristics** |
| ~1,9 | microbially derived fulvic acids |
| ~1.4 | terrestrially derived fulvic acids |

McKnight et al. (2001) also pointed out that the range of index values would vary depending on the instrument used.

Additionally is mentioned here the chromophoric index, the Specific UV absorbance (SUVA). It is defined as the UV absorbance of a water sample at a given wavelength normalized for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration. SUVA254, (L mg⁻¹ m⁻¹), is calculated by dividing the water sample's absorbance at 254 nm by its DOC concentration (mg L-1). It reflects the overall aromatic character of the sample. (Gabor et al. 2014 :304, Weishaar et al. 2003)

### Analysing the EEMs

A common way of presenting DOM fluorescence data is with excitation–emission matrices (EEMs). Statistical multivariate data analysis techniques like the **parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC;** Stedmon et al. 2003, 2008), analyse the entire EEM datasets to pinpoint spectral features and assess how different excitation/emission regions contribute to the overall fluorescence profile. (Aiken 2014) PARAFAC assists in analysing fluorescent DOM by breaking down the fluorescence matrices into distinct, independent components. (Stedmon et al. 2003)

The advantage of PARAFAC is if the model is accurate, it will produce results that have real chemical significance. (Murphy et al. 2014)

canonical decomposition or CANDECOMP

PARAFAC is one of the multi-dimensional methods designed to work with data arranged in three or more dimensions as for example, fluorescence EEMs—which are structured by sample, excitation wavelength, and emission wavelength. (Murphy et al. 2013)

PARAFAC yields a model that captures both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the dataset, unravelling the complex fluorescence signal into its constituent components—each defined by its own excitation and emission spectrum. (Stedmon & Bro 2008)

It serves as a powerful method for profiling and measuring shifts in DOM fluorescence, thereby allowing different fractions to be tracked in natural environments. (Cory & McKnight 2005)

Concerning the interpretation of the data, it is impossible to convert the fluorescence of every component into a concentration, as the component identity is not known. The peak fluorescence intensity of each component can be determined and expressed in the same calibration units—such as Raman units. The greater fluorescence signal in one component, may indicate higher fluorescence, not concentration, as fluorescence depends also on unknown molar absorptivity and quantum efficiency. Quantitative and qualitative differences among samples are revealed by comparing the relative fluorescence intensities of each component and their ratios. (Stedmon & Bro 2008)

Lawson

# Overview of Icelandic Glaciers and glacial rivers

Despite its high latitude, Iceland experiences a relatively mild climate, largely due to a branch of the Gulf Stream that flows along its southern and western shores. Precipitation levels vary widely across the country, with the southern regions being generally warmer and wetter. The greatest amounts of precipitation are typically recorded on the island’s glaciers. (Helgason & Nijssen 2024 :2743). So due to high precipitation and low evaporation, Iceland’s annual river runoff is estimated to be nearly four times the global average (Gíslason, 2008).

The central highlands make up around 40% of the island’s total area, while glaciers and ice caps cover approximately 10%. Vatnajökull, Europe’s largest ice cap outside the polar regions (~7700 km²), lies in the island’s southeast. Two additional ice caps, Langjökull (~835 km²) and Hofsjökull (~810 km²), are situated in the central highlands, while Mýrdalsjökull (~598 km²), is situated near the central southern coast of Iceland. (Helgason & Nijssen 2024 :2743; Hannesdóttir et al. 2020)

Being two largest of the four major icecaps in Iceland, **Hofsjökull** (900 km2) and **Vatnajökull** (8100 km2) cover ca. 10% of the country. Hofsjökull, situated in Iceland’s central highlands, spans elevations from 600 to 1800 meters above sea level and overlays a volcanic caldera. While Vatnajökull stretches along the southeastern coast, with a peak elevation of over 2000 meters. (Aðalgeirsdóttir et al. 2006).

Glacial meltwater contributes to at least one-third of Iceland’s total runoff, supplying the country’s major rivers (Björnsson & Pálsson 2008).

By the end of this century, river runoff in the region is expected to rise by approximately 50%; however, it will decline thereafter as the ice caps continue to shrink. This anticipated surge in glacial runoff represents one of the most significant hydrological impacts of future climate change in Iceland. (Aðalgeirsdóttir et al. 2006)

As far as the outlet glaciers of the two glacier rivers that are the subject of this study are concerned, the northern outlet glacier at Hofsjökull will generally be less susceptible to climate warming than the southern outlet glacier at Vatnajökull ice cap, as it reaches lower altitudes Projections indicate that both the ice caps and the outlet glaciers are set to disappear over the course of the next two centuries, with the climate warming, first retreating slowly and then faster. (Aðalgeirsdóttir et al. 2006).

Not only are glacier-fed rivers the most extensive ones but also the ones with the greatest discharge, marked by dark, cloudy waters rich in suspended sediments, while other types of rivers have generally clear water. These glacial rivers start to swell in June, with summer floods transporting large volumes of sediment. (Louvat et al. 2008 :682)

Glacier rivers are characterised by specific biotic and abiotic conditions, such as no primary organic production, with inputs originating exclusively from allochthonous sources. Moreover, there is extremely low temperatures with minimal diurnal temperature range. They also exhibit high water velocity, substratum instability and a considerable abundance of transported material, including gravel, sand and stones. (Steffan 1971: 485) These eroded sediments from the glaciers present a significant challenge to the accurate measurement of hydrological data. In addition to the corruption of sensors by burying and jamming, the formation of braided channels and highly erodible riverbeds further complicates the processes. (Bergur 2012: 8)

Kjartansson (1945) **grouped Icelandic rivers** into three categories according to their origin: glacial, direct-runoff, and spring-fed rivers, with many rivers representing a combination of these types. (Helgason & Nijssen 2024 :2744)

Rist (1990) introduced a classification system through which rivers in Iceland can be categorized by the extent of the origin and source of their runoff; with D - direct runoff, J - glacial runoff, L - groundwater and S if they flow through a lake. The first letter is indicating the primary source of runoff (Jónsdóttir et al. 2008 :428)

Petersen et al. (1995 :329) classified lowland rivers in Iceland as alpine, noting that their environmental conditions are like those found in alpine regions of central Europe, or as arctic.

# Study Sites

The selection of the two glacial rivers, Vestari-Jökulsá and Virkisá (Fig. 1) combines the study sites of two research projects currently being conducted by the Soil and Water Ecosystems working group at the University of Marburg's Department of Geography. At the Vestari-Jökulsá river (VJR), located in the northern region of Iceland, longitudinal changes in macroinvertebrate assemblages are studied[[1]](#footnote-1) (Fig. 2). Concerning the Virkisá River (VR) in the south of the island, the variability of the concentration and composition of organic carbon is being assessed and modelled[[2]](#footnote-2) (Fig. 3). (UMR 2025)

# Vestari-Jökulsá River

The Vestari-Jökulsá River (or West-Jökulsá) originates from the Sátujökull Glacier, an outlet glacier north-west of the Hofsjökull Ice cap in the central highlands of Iceland (Fig. 1).

Hofsjökull, among the country's most extensive ice caps, covers an active central volcano that features a caldera filled with ice, with its peak exceeding 1,600m.a.s.l. (Björnsson & Pálsson 2008 :369). Sátujökull is a surge-type glacier (Evans et al. 2010, Ingólfsson et al. 2017 :54)

The origin of the VJR is D+J+L (Crochet 2015 :8), with D - direct runoff, J - glacial runoff and L – groundwater (classification by Rist (1990), see above).

The river's course, from the glacier (on ca. 900m.a.s.l.) to its confluence with the Austari-Jökulsá River (AJR) (on ca. 160m.a.s.l.) (Free Map Tools 2024), is characterised by a slope that ranges from 5-10 ‰ for most of its journey (in the upper, middle and lowland reaches (below 200m.a.s.l.)). Except for the transition between the Highland plateau and the lowland valley, where the slope increases to approximately 20 ‰ (Gislason et al. 2000 :411).

It is possible that some topographic activity under the ice cap of Hofsjökull before the beginning of the Holocene caused the river to be diverted from its traditional course in Vesturdalur valley, the valley it originally carved out, into the Goðdaladalur valley, where it now flows in a deep canyon (Hjartarson 2003 :182). The VJR belongs to the main river system Héraðsvötn (Hróðmarsson et al. 2009).

The river catchment is 820 km² at the lowest gauging station (VHM-145) on the VJR (shortly before the conjunction with the AJR) in the lowland valley, which is situated at ca. 45 km from the glacier (Gislason et al. 2000 :412). For most of the river's course, the banks and surroundings are barren and are only covered (grasses, sedges and mosses) when the river flows through the lowlands (Gislason et al. 2000 :414).

The catchment area at the Gauging station VHM-145, which is located approximately where the confluence of the VJR and AJR are. The Watershed drains 850km2, has a mean altitude of 753m.a.s.l. and is mainly characterized by little or no vegetation (63%), grassland (15%) and moss (9%) while 11% of the area glacierized (with a mean glacier latitude of 1269m.a.s.l.) are (wetland and lakes constitute less than 2% of the area) (Crochet 2013 :73).

As the area lies within the glacier's precipitation shadow, the climate is relatively mild by Icelandic standards (Hjartarson 2003 :8)

The VJR has a fixed logger for water level measurements at Goðdalabrú, the Water level gauge 145 (vhm145) (Hróðmarsson et al. 2009).

The annual mean streamflow AQ of the VJR, is 20.6 m3/s (year 1971–2000) (Crochet 2013 :79) The positive significant trend in annual mean streamflow is related to increased glacier melt due to rising temperatures (Helgason et al. 2025).

Concerning the degree of anthropogenic impact, (degree of gauge impact) the catchment attribute is u - no influence (Helgason et al. sup. 2025 :28, adapted from Klingler et al., 2021) Criteria for the different degrees of gauge impact-> no influence (Helgason & Nijssen 2024 :2767).

VJR has carved canyons into the bedrock (Hjartarson 2003 :48) while it traverses first late Pleistocene hyaloclastites, late Pleistocene lavas and then tertiary bedrock (Hjartarson & Saemundsson 2014).

# Virkisá River

The Virkisá River (VR) originates from the Virkisjökull-Falljökull glacier, a high-mass-turnover outlet glacier (Bradwell et al. 2013: 971), draining the Öræfajökull ice cap covering the Öræfajökull stratovolcano (Everest et al. 2017 :937; Mackay et al. 2019 :1835) The accumulation of ice at the summit (∼2000m.a.s.l.) is predominantly drained by this channel (Mackay 2019: 1835). The Öræfajökull ice cap itself is the southern part of the Vatnajökull ice cap (Phillips et al. 2013: 1546)

Virkisjökull-Falljökull can be described as a double glacier, splitting at approximately 1200m.a.s.l., comprising the northern arm, Virkisjökull, and the southern arm, Falljökull. (Everest et al. 2017 :937; Mackay et al. 2018 :2178; Flett 2016 :70). The two glaciers encircle Rauðikambur Nunatak (ca. 600m.a.s.l) (Bradwell et al.2013: 961; Phillips et al. 2013: 1546).

Melting at the glacier terminus can occur at any time of the year, but most mass loss happens during the summer, with the ablation season generally lasting from May to late September. (Flett 2016 :70)

Both glaciers exhibit a considerable quantity of debris-laden ice at their fronts. (Everest et al. 2017: 937) which have their margins at the proglacial lake. On the eastern side the glacier terminus drains via the proglacial foreland surface (Flett et al. 2017: 1667) forming an unconsolidated bed, into the lake. (*Fig. 3*)

On the western side of the VR the topography is hummocky under which a body of old glacier ice. The landscape, formed by slump scars and sinkholes indicating slow collapse processes, is characterised as ice-stagnation topography. (Everest & Bradwell 2003)

The glacial lakebed is composed of buried ice. Sedimentation and changes in water level are the result of two processes: the input of meltwater and rainwater from the surface, and the outflow of water through the buried ice at the bottom of the lake. (Everest et al. 2017: 939)

When buried ice is melting it will have an impact on the catchment hydrology. Concerning the ice-floored lake It is not known at which velocity this ice core will melt and impact significantly the bathymetry of the lake itself. (Flett 2016; Everest & Bradwell 2003)

The low permeability of the bedrock on the opposite side of the lake results in a restriction of discharge within a relatively stable riverbed of the Virkisá river. (Flett et al. 2017: 1667)

The headwater of the Virkisá river is formed by this proglacial lake (Mackay et al. 2019: 1835)

The catchment area has an extent of roughly 31 km² (Flett et al. 2017: 1667; MacDonald et al. 2016: 152).

The glacial lake, by acting as a sediment trap, impacts the river incision into the outwash plains and increases the erosion of the coast. (Flett 2016; Jóhannesson & Sigurðarson, 2005)

Virkisá runs from the glacier terminus across the highly permeable outwash plain, Skeiðarásandur, covering about 30 km before reaching the sea (Flett 2016 :60).

The river initially flows between push moraines and predominantly bedrock and then crosses unconsolidated glacial outwash sediments. The thin soils that may have developed in these conditions are unable to support other vegetation than mainly mosses, grasses and shrubs. (Mackay 2019: 1836; Mackay et al. 2018: 2178)

Virkisjökull is both a significant tourist destination and a grazing area, with the surrounding sandur and slopes used for sheep pasture. (Flett 2016 :79)

The climate is a relatively mild oceanic climate. (MacDonald 2016: 152)

The Öræfi region has a relatively mild maritime climate, marked by a small annual temperature range of about 11°C and approximately 150 days of precipitation in the form of rain or snow each year (Einarsson, 1984). Annual precipitation on the eastern side can reach up to 3000 mm. Although there is no distinct seasonal trend, the months of October, December, and January tend to be the wettest at sea level in this area. (Flett, 2016)

Due to the prevailing North-Northeast winds over the ice cap, the Virkisjökull catchment may lie within a pronounced rain shadow, leading to substantial local variation in conditions around Öræfajökull. Therefore, caution is needed when using precipitation data from areas directly east or west of the Virkisjökull catchment. (Flett 2016 :79)

As it is the case with other glaciers in Iceland, the Virkisjökull-Falljökull is retreating (MacDonald 2016: 152), though not dynamically but by different geomorphological processes it is irregularly reducing in size, deteriorating and collapsing (Bradwell et al. 2013: 972; Phillips et al. 2013: 1545).

These findings suggest that the pro-glacial river at Virkisjökull is highly sensitive to meltwater input, driven by an efficient network of well-developed conduits in both the subglacial and pro-glacial zones. The comparable flow velocities of meltwater within the glacier and the river underscore the effectiveness of this conduit system in rapidly transporting water. (Flett 2016 :276)

Seasonal fluctuations in glacier melt are the primary driver of river flow in the Virkisjökull catchment. The catchment’s geomorphology not only enables through storage a base flow year-round, even in times of insignificant melting but also the reduction of flow, depending on the season, for a day. (Flett, 2016 :277)

# Comparative Characteristics

*AK - Comparison of the two rivers in terms of size, glacial input, and environmental settings.*

*Maybe a little table extra ? oder ist das schon abgehandelt da ich die rel genau beschreibe ?*

Vergleiche:

Icecaps verschiedene

Länge der Flüsse

Kurzer Fluss, langer Fluss

Einfluss Tidenhub ??

Könnte Tabelle:  
 mit der Zusammenfassung der Unterschiede und der **Gleichheiten**.

# Methodology

As part of this work, a variety of field data was collected and subsequently analysed.

# Sampling points

In the following the respective sampling sites are presented.

# Vestari-Jökulsá River

The Vestari-Jökulsá river is formed by three branches, eastern-, middle- and western branch. While the first sampling site **WJ00** is being located on the glacier snout, the following, sites **WJ01-WJ05**, are located on the eastern branch in the first 5km of the river, on approximately 900m.a.s.l. to 820m.a.s.l. (Free Map Tools 2024). This branch is separated from the other branches by a hyaloclastite mountain ridge (Krókafell, 966m.a.s.l) (Gislason et al. 2000 :412). The area's cut by a fissure zone, linked to a volcano under the glacier (Adalsteinsson et al. 2000).

Adalsteinsson et al. (2000) distinguished variations in the mineral concentration of the three branches that constitute the VJR, indicating that in the western and middle branch the glacial melt water interfered with the volcanic area where carbon dioxide upwelling takes place (Sigurdsson 1990). Regarding the middle reaches (sampling point **WJ10**) in July, Adalsteinsson et al. (2000) ascribed the lower mineral content then in September to the influence of melt water, given the high mineral content in the groundwater inflow.

Adalsteinsson et al. (2000) reported that, for the middle reaches of the VJR, glacial meltwater constituted approximately 50%, and 20% in the lower reaches. Groundwater contributed 50% of the discharge, significantly higher than the average 10% for glacial rivers in Iceland (Sigurdsson 1990).

The decrease in glacial downstream components in the lower VJR reaches is balanced by high water speed which keeps suspended sediment levels high. This may affect primary production more than the chemical composition or the origin of the water. (Adalsteinsson et al. 2000 :739)

Due to the difficult accessibility of the river in certain parts (i.a. deep riverbed), limited infrastructure (few roads in the highlands, prohibition to drive off-road (EAI, 2023)) and for logistical reasons, the sampling sites correspond the sampling sites of the current PhD thesis there (Knauft UMR, to be published). Therefor the next sampling site, **WJ10** is located ca. 23km from the glacier.

**WJ09** seems to be spring fed as the water is much clearer than the water from the VJR. Approximately 7km after this sample point, the VJR is first joined from the east by the non-glacial Midhlutará river. Then, almost 1km after the sampling site **WJ11** and 2,5km before the last sampling site **WJ12**, is the confluence with the Hofsá river located (which partly also originates from the Sátujökull glacier). These last sampling sites **WJ11** and **WJ12** are located at ca. 200m.a.s.l. (Free Map Tools 2024)

Ein Bild, das Text, Karte, Diagramm enthält.
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Fig. 2: Vestari-Jökulsá river with the sampling sites

# Virkisá River

At the Virkisá River, the sampling points were placed at approximately equal intervals to show a development over the course of the river. Two sampling points, **S1** and **S2**, are located between the glacier and the lake, the other sampling points, **S3 – S7,** are found along the river, behind the lake, on average around 500 metres apart.

Ein Bild, das Karte, Screenshot, Erde, Digitales Compositing enthält.
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Fig. 3: Sample location at the Viskria river

“The glacier margin is no longer undergoing dynamic retreat but is now undergoing non-uniform downwasting, decay and collapse through a range of geomorphological processes” Bradwell, T., Sigurðsson, O. & Everest, J. 2013

# Research Design

As described in chapter 2.6, the sampling sites followed, partly two PhD projects (cf. above) as well as the procedures and analysis with also different Master and Bachelor thesis in the working group (UMR 2025)

# Sample Collection and Data Analysis

### Water sampling

At the Vestari-Jökulsá river sampling took place in July 2024, from the 17.07.2024 to the 23.07.2024 (Appendix IV). Due to the length of the VJR, the resultant distance between the sampling points (see *Fig. 3*)and the distinct diurnal discharge behaviour of glacier rivers (cf. above) a distinction was made between samples taken in the morning (VM) and afternoon (NM) at both rivers. At the period of the sample collection, the sun is at its zenith in the Icelandic sky at 13:00 GMT (Quelle), thus delineating the boundary between the morning and afternoon sampling periods.

The samples at the Virkisá River were taken shortly after that period, the 27.07.2024 and the 28.07.2024 (Appendix IV). The sampling locations (Fig. 2).

For statistical relevance and due to logistical restrains 2 bottles (DURAN® Laboratory Bottle, 500ml) of **water samples** were collected. (before collection, each time, they were rinsed thoroughly with river water at the new sampling location). Either on-site or in the field laboratory (see Appendix I, in the evening of the same day, depending on weather conditions), the water samples were prepared, as described in the following paragraph:

For the **DOC samples**, ca. 40 ml of water was filtered through two Whatman® 934-AH glass microfibre filter discs with a pore size of 0.7 μm, following Chifflard (2024 :3). Due to the specific conditions in Iceland (low temperatures and the associated possible freezing of the samples), the vials are filled with headspace. The filters were combusted in advance at 450°C for 4 hours in the muffle stove (After filtration, these filters will be used for the determination of particulate organic carbon POC. These results will not form part of the present work.). Muffling and acid cleaning (with 0.1 N HCl) was also performed on the 40 ml vials.

For the **BDOC samples**, 4 ml of unfiltered water was filled up to approximately 40 ml vial with filtered water. After a period of 14 days, these BDOC samples were again filtered through 2 glass fibre filters (treated, as described before, Whatman GF/F, pore sizes 0.7 μm). (These filters are being disposed of.)

Based on the works of Fasching et al. 2016, Fasching and Battin 2011, Hood et al. 2015, Singer et al. 2012 and Chifflard et al. 2019 two filters are used for the filtering of DOC and BDOC to obtain a near-sterile sample.

All samples were stored in a cool and dark place (refrigerator or, in the highlands outside) to minimise biological activity (Quelle).

For the analysis, the samples were brought to the Soil Laboratory at the University Marburg.

### CO2 sensor

*In addition,* to obtain data on CO2, a sensor (constructed and borrowed from Annika Feld-Golinski, following Bastviken et al. 2015) was placed in the water at the sampling location for at least one hour.

Vm =

CO2  =

fCO2 =

<https://mybinder.org/v2/gh/kokkaso/MA_supplementary_Data/main?filepath=CO2_Flux_Final.ipynb>

### Complementary data

A calibrated **EXO2 Multiparameter Sonde** **(Firma)** was used in-situ to obtain additional data on the water, like water temperature, concentration of dissolved oxygen and chlorophyll, specific conductivity, pH and turbidity (Appendices I,IV).

**Hourly** **meteorological data** was obtained from the Icelandic Meteorological Office for two stations: the Sáta weather station, near the VJR, and the Skaftafell station, near the VR (Figure 2, Appendix III).

For each river, meteorological conditions for the exact hour of water sampling were considered to best represent the immediate environmental conditions during data collection. However, as the weather stations are located at some distance from the sampling sites, and Icelandic weather patterns can vary considerably over short distances due to complex topography and rapid atmospheric changes (Crochet et al., 2007), the data may not fully capture the local conditions.

Data on the **water discharge** was from the V145 and V624

The **distance** of the individual sampling stations (Appendix IV) along the rivers to the respective glaciers was determined using the QGis software ((QGIS Development Team, 2022) Appendix I).

### Laboratory analyses

At UMR, the filtered samples' DOC concentration was determined using a TOC analyser **Total Organic Carbon Analyser** (TOC, Shimadzu 2021, Appendix I), which combusts the organic matter at high temperatures and then thermally detects the resulting CO2. (Chifflard et al. 2024) The TOC was set up and calibrated using distilled water after approx. every 10 samples, in accordance with the standard operating procedures of the laboratory.at UMR soil lab (Appendix I).

BDOC was determined by the difference between the DOC sample and the sample labelled BDOC which was incubated (left in the refrigerator, in the dark) for 12 days with microbial inocula composed of unfiltered sample water. (Fellman, Spencer et al. 2010)

It would be preferable to analyse also the fluorescence of the DOM samples without delay, but it is not logistically possible. (Barker et al. 2013)

Fluorescence measurements were carried out with the **Spectrofluorophotometer** Shimadzu RF-6000 (2024, Appendix I and IV). Measurements were performed at a scan rate of 12,000 nm per minute with a 1 cm quartz cuvette (following the methodology described by Singer et al. 2012). Fluorescence intensity data were collected to construct excitation-emission matrices (EEMs) using excitation wavelengths covering 200 to 450 nm, with 5 nm intervals, and emission wavelengths between 250 and 700 nm with 2 nm intervals. The corresponding absorbance data was used for correcting the inner filter effect. (Chifflard et al. 2024)

The performance of the instruments was supervised by measuring the Raman peak intensity of distilled water at the start of different measurements (once per day) (no fluctuation >X%, variation of Raman peak <X%) (following Barker et al. 2013). The instrument-specific excitation and emission correction factors were used to correct all measured fluorescence intensities (Cory et al. 2010). The low DOC concentration (<1mg/L) (and absorbance at 320 nm <0.002) made inner filter effects correction obsolete. (high-intensity area attributed to the Rayleigh, normalized ???) (Barker et al. 2013).

For Absorbance measurements, the **Spectrophotometer** (Thermo Fisher 2013, Appendix I) with 1 cm quartz cuvettes was employed (Appendix IV). (Chifflard et al. 2024)

Both, the Spectrofluorophotometer and the Spectrophotometer were calibrated with distilled water, that means the emission peak of distilled water was taken as the reference value. (Chifflard et al. 2024)

The data from the laboratory as well as the data obtained for example in the field or obtained from institutes (see the Chapters a),b) and c), Appendix IV), was compiled in a Master file (Appendix IV) and evaluated as described in the following chapter below.

### Statistical analyses

For the Analysis of the data, the outliers were removed by standard deviation and means per sample location were calculated.

PARAFAC was computed in StudioR (Quelle R) using the StaRdom (Quelle Stardom) package to calculate the indices.

The excitation-emission matrices (EEM) are split into their individual components (signals). The result shows which fluorescent components are present in the sample and which fluoresce most intensely.

# Results

Differences between Vestari-Jökulsá and Virkisá can be seen already in the water temperature etc.

# DOC and BDOC concentration

# DOC Concentrations

- Presentation of DOC data for Vestari-Jökulsa and Virkisa.

DOC concentrations varied between the two rivers.

Deskriptive Statistik

Für jeden Fluss:

• Mittelwert (mean)

• Median

• Standardabweichung (std)

• Minimum & Maximum

Visualisierung

• Boxplot

• Punktdiagramm über distance\_from\_glacier\_in\_m

Tageszeit

# BDOC Concentrations

- Presentation of BDOC data.

- Comparative analysis between the two rivers.

BDOC values and BDOC percentages (relative to DOC) also showed distinct patterns.

<http://localhost:8888/notebooks/1_DOC.ipynb>

<http://localhost:8888/notebooks/zumWord.ipynb>

# Spatial and Temporal Variations

- Analysis of variations in DOC and BDOC over time and between different sampling locations.

# Longitudinal Patterns Along the River

DOC and BDOC concentrations plotted against distance from the glacier.

# Influence of Time of Day and Sampling Site

Some parameters differed between morning and afternoon samples.

-> may reflect changes in temperature, light, or biological activity.

# Relationships with Environmental Parameters

Correlation analysis - relationships between DOC/BDOC and variables: temperature, conductivity,

# DOM Fluorescence Indices and Composition

Indices like BIX, HIX, and S275–295 indicated differences in DOM quality.

# Statistical Findings

- Results from statistical tests (e.g., t-tests, ANOVA).

- Significance levels and confidence intervals.

# Summary of Key Differences Between Rivers

The comparison revealed systematic differences in DOC levels, lability (BDOC%), and DOM quality, likely driven by catchment characteristics and glacier type.

# Discussion

# Interpretation of Results

- Explanation of what the findings indicate about DOC and BDOC levels in the two rivers.

# Comparison with Existing Literature

- How the results align or contrast with previous studies.

# Factors Influencing DOC and BDOC

- Discussion of environmental or climatic factors affecting the observed concentrations.

# Implications for Carbon Cycling

- The role of glacial rivers in regional and global carbon budgets.

- Potential impacts on downstream ecosystems.

Research indicates that, on a global scale, glaciers supply ancient, BDOC, downstream, into both riverine and oceanic trophic networks (e.g., Hood et al., 2009; Fellman et al., 2015; Holt, McKenna et al., 2024). Despite its aged character, the pronounced bioavailability of glacier DOC is likely driven largely by relatively young organic carbon produced in situ by microbial communities on the glacier surface. (Holt, Fellman et al. 2024)

As glaciers retreat and their contribution of glacier DOC decreases—while stream conditions increasingly favour microbial growth (e.g., Hood et al., 2015; Kohler et al., 2024)—the main source of bioavailable modern OC is expected to shift from glacier‑derived inputs (e.g., algal production on glacial surfaces) to in‑stream production. (Holt, Fellman et al. 2024)

Also, for example, vegetation expansion that follows the retreat of glaciers also results in alterations to the composition of the DOC. (Robinson et al. 2023)

# Limitations of the Study

- Reflection on methodological or data limitations.

- Impact of limitations on the study's conclusions.

# Conclusion

# Summary of Key Findings

- Recap of the main results and their significance.

# Answers to Research Questions

- Direct responses to the objectives and questions posed in Chapter 1.

# Recommendations for Future Research

- Suggestions for further studies to build on your findings.

# Final Remarks

- Concluding thoughts on the importance of the study.

---
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https://kort.gis.is/mapview/?app=kort&l=en&c=480843,336277&z=7.4&ls=4199,1056,4146,4056,4165,1053&op=4146\_1,4056\_0.41,4165\_1&lo=8096\_2,3878\_12,3879\_509,3915\_510,3911\_13,4344\_30,4345\_948,4347\_949,4348\_950,4346\_951,4516\_952,4517\_953,3939\_516,3929\_567,3905\_37,4893\_40,4895\_827,4894\_828,3920\_593,3883\_43,3945\_602,4178\_517,4179\_518,3910\_49,4755\_57,3918\_652,3895\_64,6943\_66,6944\_725,3909\_68,3923\_662,3906\_83,3894\_120,6229\_125,6230\_1006,3930\_677,3890\_127,3913\_688,3907\_130,3893\_138,3935\_690,3928\_692,3943\_720,3921\_724,3908\_154,3926\_733,3932\_744,3898\_162,3933\_787,3888\_173,3901\_308,3892\_311,3919\_809,3886\_313,8140\_319,6231\_325,6232\_1007,3904\_327,3924\_812,3891\_433,3927\_679,3917\_823,3914\_826,3889\_447,3916\_844,3902\_475,3882\_482,3949\_874,3885\_499,5840\_507,3925\_947,3944\_962,3931\_991,3946\_999,3941\_1005,3922\_1048,4091\_963,4029\_875,3977\_964,4021\_876,3974\_1008,4036\_966,4004\_746,4359\_967,4001\_968,4022\_969,4003\_970,4170\_971,4047\_972,3979\_973,4006\_974,4177\_975,3980\_976,3982\_977,4007\_978,4015\_979,4008\_980,4020\_981,4099\_982,3967\_983,4026\_984,3995\_693,3984\_694,4000\_695,3993\_696,4355\_697,4071\_698,4013\_699,4098\_700,4066\_701,4002\_702,4009\_703,3990\_704,4028\_705,3985\_1009,3950\_1010,3951\_1011,3955\_1012,3952\_1013,4024\_1014,3956\_1015,3957\_1016,3986\_1017,3953\_1018,3987\_1019,3962\_1020,4065\_1021,5703\_1049,3958\_1050,3964\_788,7440\_789,4253\_790,4256\_1022,4258\_519,4257\_663,3968\_664,4084\_665,4259\_680,4260\_594,3999\_595,7442\_596,3969\_681,3961\_520,4109\_597,3998\_598,4261\_791,7456\_792,4005\_726,4262\_727,7446\_728,7445\_729,7449\_1023,7448\_1024,4263\_1051,4264\_1052,4416\_603,4417\_604,3971\_691,4418\_605,5618\_606,4909\_607,4419\_608,4191\_721,3972\_793,4336\_815,4335\_816,3975\_817,3983\_877,3996\_1025,5841\_829,4111\_521,4012\_830,4033\_846,4018\_847,4042\_848,4265\_849,4010\_850,4073\_851,4092\_852,7444\_853,4074\_854,4011\_855,4085\_856,4037\_857,3988\_858,4156\_859,4038\_860,4266\_861,7443\_862,4048\_863,4080\_831,4267\_832,7447\_864,4016\_794,4017\_795,4054\_609,4105\_610,7468\_611,4086\_612,4268\_613,4269\_614,4062\_615,4063\_616,4270\_617,7471\_618,7470\_619,7469\_620,4271\_621,7472\_622,4064\_623,4093\_624,4272\_625,4157\_626,4273\_627,7473\_628,7476\_629,7475\_630,7474\_631,4274\_632,4106\_633,7503\_634,7502\_635,7501\_636,7477\_637,4175\_638,7482\_639,4087\_640,4151\_641,4055\_796,4097\_992,7632\_713,4070\_714,4108\_715,4077\_716,7467\_878,7465\_879,7464\_880,7463\_881,4110\_882,4082\_883,4107\_884,4083\_885,4275\_886,4075\_887,4096\_717,4100\_718,4090\_719,5643\_954,5644\_955,5641\_956,6956\_957,6955\_958,6954\_959,6953\_960,6952\_961,7457\_522,4076\_523,4153\_524,7458\_525,3970\_526,4112\_527,4088\_528,4059\_529,4094\_530,4095\_531,7451\_532,7459\_533,4276\_534,4277\_535,3965\_536,7462\_537,7461\_538,7460\_539,4625\_888,4101\_540,5048\_666,4142\_730,4141\_731,4754\_732,3973\_689,4217\_766,4202\_767,4172\_768,4166\_769,4167\_770,4168\_771,4213\_772,4214\_773,4102\_774,4040\_775,3989\_776,4039\_777,4053\_778,4078\_779,4079\_780,4173\_781,4174\_782,4176\_783,4034\_833,3994\_784,4027\_993,4061\_834,4043\_682,4041\_541,4045\_642,3963\_599,4025\_785,3959\_994,4150\_667,4067\_643,4149\_835,4146\_683,4145\_889,4143\_542,4144\_543,4160\_786,4056\_995,4165\_996,4159\_997,4164\_890,4158\_891,4046\_892,4058\_893,4216\_836,4032\_837,4044\_838,4198\_839,4196\_840,4161\_668,4035\_669,3978\_670,4283\_671,3960\_644,4057\_645,4050\_600,4155\_601,4049\_684,4278\_685,4119\_686,4181\_687,8092\_672,4244\_673,4243\_674,4245\_544,4247\_545,4248\_1053,4246\_1054,4242\_1055,4249\_675,4052\_894,4187\_653,3997\_654,4781\_646,4780\_647,4777\_648,4756\_649,4775\_650,4774\_651,4215\_1026,3991\_1027,8108\_546,8103\_1028,4206\_1029,4229\_1030,8104\_454,8105\_455,4230\_1031,4228\_1032,4227\_1033,8098\_1034,4207\_1035,8106\_461,4205\_1036,4209\_678,4208\_824,4200\_825,4210\_1000,4197\_1001,4201\_1002,4183\_655,4370\_656,4185\_657,4369\_658,4189\_659,4188\_660,4186\_661,5060\_797,8110\_798,8111\_799,8112\_800,8109\_801,4193\_802,4192\_803,7438\_804,7439\_805,5624\_806,6857\_895,6858\_896,6859\_897,6860\_898,6861\_899,6872\_900,6871\_901,6862\_902,6863\_903,6864\_904,6865\_905,6868\_906,6867\_907,6873\_908,6869\_909,6870\_910,6874\_911,6875\_912,6876\_913,4194\_914,6877\_915,6878\_916,6879\_917,6880\_918,6881\_919,6884\_920,6883\_921,6885\_922,6891\_923,6890\_924,6889\_925,6888\_926,6887\_927,6886\_928,4195\_929,7710\_930,4948\_1037,4949\_1038,4339\_1039,4250\_1040,4204\_1041,5061\_1042,4212\_1043,4203\_1044,4252\_1045,4280\_1046,4219\_985,4233\_986,4222\_987,4223\_988,4224\_989,4235\_990,4237\_547,4236\_548,4238\_549,4225\_550,4226\_551,4239\_552,4240\_553,4220\_554,4221\_555,3992\_556,4232\_511,4218\_512,4231\_513,4946\_514,4234\_557,5166\_558,4211\_515,4241\_559,4279\_807,4068\_865,4295\_866,4285\_867,4291\_868,4293\_869,4072\_870,4287\_871,4284\_560,4286\_561,4281\_562,3966\_563,4282\_564,4294\_722,4289\_998,4292\_1047,4169\_676,4296\_808,4299\_931,4310\_841,8153\_932,4309\_933,4313\_842,4311\_934,4312\_843,4298\_935,4297\_872,4307\_873,4317\_936,4304\_937,4314\_938,4303\_939,4316\_940,4315\_941,4306\_942,4302\_943,4301\_944,4300\_945,4305\_946,4319\_734,5053\_735,5054\_736,4318\_737,5055\_738,5056\_739,4290\_740,5057\_741,5058\_742,4320\_743,8097\_723,8107\_565,8100\_471,8099\_566,8101\_473,8102\_474,8139\_818,8141\_819,8142\_820,8146\_821,8147\_822,8149\_1003,8150\_1004

https://kort.gis.is/mapview/?app=kort&l=en&c=492934,338082&z=6.4&ls=4199,1056,3993,4355,4146,4056,1053&op=3993\_0.54,4355\_1,4146\_1,4056\_0.55&lo=8096\_2,3878\_12,3879\_509,3915\_510,3911\_13,4344\_30,4345\_948,4347\_949,4348\_950,4346\_951,4516\_952,4517\_953,3939\_516,3929\_567,3905\_37,4893\_40,4895\_827,4894\_828,3920\_593,3883\_43,3945\_602,4178\_517,4179\_518,3910\_49,4755\_57,3918\_652,3895\_64,6943\_66,6944\_716,3909\_68,3923\_662,3906\_83,3894\_120,6229\_125,6230\_1006,3930\_677,3890\_127,3913\_679,3907\_130,3893\_138,3935\_681,3928\_683,3943\_711,3921\_715,3908\_154,3926\_724,3932\_735,3898\_162,3933\_778,3888\_173,3901\_308,3892\_311,3919\_800,3886\_313,8140\_319,6231\_325,6232\_1007,3904\_327,3924\_803,3891\_433,3927\_814,3917\_823,3914\_826,3889\_447,3916\_844,3902\_475,3882\_482,3949\_874,3885\_499,5840\_507,3925\_947,3944\_962,3931\_991,3946\_999,3941\_1005,3922\_1048,4091\_963,4029\_875,3977\_964,4021\_876,3974\_1008,4036\_966,4004\_737,4359\_967,4001\_968,4022\_969,4003\_970,4170\_971,4047\_972,3979\_973,4006\_974,4177\_975,3980\_976,3982\_977,4007\_978,4015\_979,4008\_980,4020\_981,4099\_982,3967\_983,4026\_984,3995\_684,3984\_685,4000\_686,3993\_687,4355\_688,4071\_689,4013\_690,4098\_691,4066\_692,4002\_693,4009\_694,3990\_695,4028\_696,3985\_1009,3950\_1010,3951\_1011,3955\_1012,3952\_1013,4024\_1014,3956\_1015,3957\_1016,3986\_1017,3953\_1018,3987\_1019,3962\_1020,4065\_1021,5703\_1049,3958\_1050,3964\_779,7440\_780,4253\_781,4256\_1022,4258\_519,4257\_663,3968\_664,4084\_665,4259\_815,4260\_594,3999\_595,7442\_596,3969\_816,3961\_520,4109\_597,3998\_598,4261\_782,7456\_783,4005\_717,4262\_718,7446\_719,7445\_720,7449\_1023,7448\_1024,4263\_1051,4264\_1052,4416\_603,4417\_604,3971\_682,4418\_605,5618\_606,4909\_607,4419\_608,4191\_712,3972\_784,4336\_806,4335\_807,3975\_808,3983\_877,3996\_1025,5841\_829,4111\_521,4012\_830,4033\_846,4018\_847,4042\_848,4265\_849,4010\_850,4073\_851,4092\_852,7444\_853,4074\_854,4011\_855,4085\_856,4037\_857,3988\_858,4156\_859,4038\_860,4266\_861,7443\_862,4048\_863,4080\_831,4267\_832,7447\_864,4016\_785,4017\_786,4054\_609,4105\_610,7468\_611,4086\_612,4268\_613,4269\_614,4062\_615,4063\_616,4270\_617,7471\_618,7470\_619,7469\_620,4271\_621,7472\_622,4064\_623,4093\_624,4272\_625,4157\_626,4273\_627,7473\_628,7476\_629,7475\_630,7474\_631,4274\_632,4106\_633,7503\_634,7502\_635,7501\_636,7477\_637,4175\_638,7482\_639,4087\_640,4151\_641,4055\_787,4097\_992,7632\_704,4070\_705,4108\_706,4077\_707,7467\_878,7465\_879,7464\_880,7463\_881,4110\_882,4082\_883,4107\_884,4083\_885,4275\_886,4075\_887,4096\_708,4100\_709,4090\_710,5643\_954,5644\_955,5641\_956,6956\_957,6955\_958,6954\_959,6953\_960,6952\_961,7457\_522,4076\_523,4153\_524,7458\_525,3970\_526,4112\_527,4088\_528,4059\_529,4094\_530,4095\_531,7451\_532,7459\_533,4276\_534,4277\_535,3965\_536,7462\_537,7461\_538,7460\_539,4625\_888,4101\_540,5048\_666,4142\_721,4141\_722,4754\_723,3973\_680,4217\_757,4202\_758,4172\_759,4166\_760,4167\_761,4168\_762,4213\_763,4214\_764,4102\_765,4040\_766,3989\_767,4039\_768,4053\_769,4078\_770,4079\_771,4173\_772,4174\_773,4176\_774,4034\_833,3994\_775,4027\_993,4061\_834,4043\_817,4041\_541,4045\_642,3963\_599,4025\_776,3959\_994,4150\_667,4067\_643,4149\_835,4146\_818,4145\_889,4143\_542,4144\_543,4160\_777,4056\_995,4165\_996,4159\_997,4164\_890,4158\_891,4046\_892,4058\_893,4216\_836,4032\_837,4044\_838,4198\_839,4196\_840,4161\_668,4035\_669,3978\_670,4283\_671,3960\_644,4057\_645,4050\_600,4155\_601,4049\_819,4278\_820,4119\_821,4181\_822,8092\_672,4244\_673,4243\_674,4245\_544,4247\_545,4248\_1053,4246\_1054,4242\_1055,4249\_675,4052\_894,4187\_653,3997\_654,4781\_646,4780\_647,4777\_648,4756\_649,4775\_650,4774\_651,4215\_1026,3991\_1027,8108\_546,8103\_1028,4206\_1029,4229\_1030,8104\_454,8105\_455,4230\_1031,4228\_1032,4227\_1033,8098\_1034,4207\_1035,8106\_461,4205\_1036,4209\_678,4208\_824,4200\_825,4210\_1000,4197\_1001,4201\_1002,4183\_655,4370\_656,4185\_657,4369\_658,4189\_659,4188\_660,4186\_661,5060\_788,8110\_789,8111\_790,8112\_791,8109\_792,4193\_793,4192\_794,7438\_795,7439\_796,5624\_797,6857\_895,6858\_896,6859\_897,6860\_898,6861\_899,6872\_900,6871\_901,6862\_902,6863\_903,6864\_904,6865\_905,6868\_906,6867\_907,6873\_908,6869\_909,6870\_910,6874\_911,6875\_912,6876\_913,4194\_914,6877\_915,6878\_916,6879\_917,6880\_918,6881\_919,6884\_920,6883\_921,6885\_922,6891\_923,6890\_924,6889\_925,6888\_926,6887\_927,6886\_928,4195\_929,7710\_930,4948\_1037,4949\_1038,4339\_1039,4250\_1040,4204\_1041,5061\_1042,4212\_1043,4203\_1044,4252\_1045,4280\_1046,4219\_985,4233\_986,4222\_987,4223\_988,4224\_989,4235\_990,4237\_547,4236\_548,4238\_549,4225\_550,4226\_551,4239\_552,4240\_553,4220\_554,4221\_555,3992\_556,4232\_511,4218\_512,4231\_513,4946\_514,4234\_557,5166\_558,4211\_515,4241\_559,4279\_798,4068\_865,4295\_866,4285\_867,4291\_868,4293\_869,4072\_870,4287\_871,4284\_560,4286\_561,4281\_562,3966\_563,4282\_564,4294\_713,4289\_998,4292\_1047,4169\_676,4296\_799,4299\_931,4310\_841,8153\_932,4309\_933,4313\_842,4311\_934,4312\_843,4298\_935,4297\_872,4307\_873,4317\_936,4304\_937,4314\_938,4303\_939,4316\_940,4315\_941,4306\_942,4302\_943,4301\_944,4300\_945,4305\_946,4319\_725,5053\_726,5054\_727,4318\_728,5055\_729,5056\_730,4290\_731,5057\_732,5058\_733,4320\_734,8097\_714,8107\_565,8100\_471,8099\_566,8101\_473,8102\_474,8139\_809,8141\_810,8142\_811,8146\_812,8147\_813,8149\_1003,8150\_1004

<https://vatnavefsja.vedur.is/#/mainmap>

<https://vatnavefsja.vedur.is/#/mainmap>

<https://kort.gis.is/mapview/?app=kort&lang=is>

<https://kort.gis.is/mapview/?app=kort>

<https://atlas.lmi.is/mapview/?application=DEM>

<https://dem.gis.is/mapview/?application=DEM>

<https://vatnavefsja.vedur.is/#/mainmap>

<https://gatt.natt.is/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/477cdfa0-9b78-449b-ad93-a048f059ba7d>

3Dmodell Öfajökull

<https://atlas.lmi.is/3dmodel/Oraefa_w_Names/Oraefa_20181102.html>

<https://www.lmi.is/is/landupplysingar/haedargogn/haedarlikon>

Bodenkarte

<https://loftgaedasja.gis.is/mapview/?application=loftgaedasja>

# Appendices

## Supplementary Code and Data

The source code and data used in this thesis are available in the following public GitHub repository:

**GitHub Repository**: <https://github.com/kokkaso/MA_supplementary_Data>

An interactive version of the Jupyter notebooks can be accessed via Binder, allowing the execution of the code directly in a web browser without local installation:

**Binder** (launch in browser): <https://mybinder.org/v2/gh/kokkaso/MA_supplementary_Data/main>

## List of instruments, materials and software

* 1. Instruments

1. EXO2 Sonde

YSI Inc. / Xylem Inc.(2025): EXO2 multiparameter Water Quality Sonde,

<https://www.ysi.com/exo2> (Accessed: 5 March 2025)

1. Spectrophotometer

Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (2013): GENESYS 10S UV-Visible Spectrophotometer,

<https://www.thermofisher.com/de/de/home/industrial/spectroscopy-elemental-isotope-analysis/molecular-spectroscopy/uv-vis-spectrophotometry/instruments/genesys-uv-vis-spectrophotometer.html> (Accessed: 5 March 2025)

1. TOC - Total organic carbon analyzer

Shimadzu Corporation (2021): Total organic carbon analyzer (TOC analyzer), TOC-L CPN,

<https://www.shimadzu.co.uk/products/total-organic-carbon-analysis/toc-analysis/toc-l-series/index.html> (Accessed: 5 March 2025)

1. Spectrofluorophotometer

Shimadzu Corporation (2024): Spectrofl­uorophotometer RF-6000, Shimadzu

<https://www.shimadzu.de/products/molecular-spectroscopy/fluorescence/fluorescence-spectroscopy/rf-6000/index.html> (Accessed: 5 March 2025)

**~~Material~~**

* ~~DURAN® Laboratory Bottles, 500ml~~
* ~~glass fiber filters (Whatman GF/F, pore sizes 0.7 μm)~~
* ~~steril 1-l Whirl-Paks®~~ 
  1. Software

QGIS.org (2024) QGIS Geographic Information System (Version 3.34 - Florence). Open-Source Geospatial Foundation. Available at: https://qgis.org (Accessed: 6 March 2025).

R Core Team (2024) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Version 4.3.2. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: https://www.R-project.org (Accessed: 6 March 2025).

RStudio Team (2024) RStudio: Integrated development environment for R. Version 2024.03.0. Posit Software, PBC. Available at: https://posit.co (Accessed: 6 March 2025).

**PACKAGES**

Picture of the “field” laboratories (inside and outside) both glaciers.

* 1. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Large Language Models (LLM)
     1. DeepL Translate, Deepl SE: <https://www.deepl.com/translator>

Translation support

* + 1. ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2025)

Inspiration …

*“You're absolutely right, and I apologize for the confusion! It seems that some of the papers I mentioned may not exist, or I might have made an error when providing references. […]” ChatGPT 4o mini*

… and coding support

* + 1. NotebookLM, Google, 2025. Verfügbar: <https://notebooklm.google.com>

Reading support of a papers

* + 1. Perplexity AI, 2025, <https://www.perplexity.ai>.

cross-checking the possibilities of OpenAI

and making sure that I am still smarter in researching papers than

## Co2-Logger Result

* 1. Vestari-Jokulsa River
  2. Virkisa River

## Weather station Data

* 1. Sáta (17.07 - 23.07.2024)

Name: Sáta

Station Nr.: 3054

Type: Automatic weather station

Lat.; Lon.: 65,06278; -18,83833

height: 785 m.a.s.l.

1Air temperature (1 min. average) [°C]

Maximum temperature (highest 1 min. average of the last hour) [°C]

Minimum temperature (lowest 1 min. average of the last hour) [°C]

Wind direction (10 min. average) [°]. N: 0°, E: 90°, S: 180°, W: 270°

Wind speed (10 min. average) [m/s]

Maximum 10 min. average wind speed of the last hour [m/s]

Maximum wind gust (3 sec value) [m/s]

Precipitation in the past hour [mm] (unprocessed data)

Excel Table - C:\Users\sophia\Dropbox\MASTER\1\_Alicia\WETTER\wetterTabelle Alicia

Icelandic Meteorological Office 2025: Icelandic Meteorological Office Database, delivery no. 2025-03-10 11:04:16/IMO Self service of Weather observations

* 1. Skaftafell

Name: Skaftafell

Station Nr.: 6499

Type: Automatic weather station

Lat.; Lon.: 64,01437; -16,97212

height: 86 m.a.s.l.

1Air temperature (1 min. average) [°C]

Maximum temperature (highest 1 min. average of the last hour) [°C]

Minimum temperature (lowest 1 min. average of the last hour) [°C]

Wind direction (10 min. average) [°]. N: 0°, E: 90°, S: 180°, W: 270°

Wind speed (10 min. average) [m/s]

Maximum 10 min. average wind speed of the last hour [m/s]

Maximum wind gust (3 sec value) [m/s]

Precipitation in the past hour [mm] (unprocessed data)

Excel Table: - C:\Users\sophia\Dropbox\MASTER\3\_AnnKathrin\WETTER

Icelandic Meteorological Office 2024: Icelandic Meteorological Office Database, delivery no. 2024-10-29 12:53:51/IMO Self service of Weather observations
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