

Moving to client-side hashing for online authentication

Enka Blanchard¹ Xavier Coquand² Ted Selker³

Digitrust, Loria, Université de Lorraine, www.koliaza.com

Bsecure, Paris

University of Maryland, Baltimore County

9th International Workshop on Socio-Technical Aspects in SecuriTy @ ESORICS September 26th, 2019

Why hash passwords?

Password hashing: best practices

How to hash correctly:

- Use a salt for each password
- Use a secure password hashing algorithm (Argon2 or bcrypt)
- Protect against side-channels (e.g. HTTP)
- Don't keep duplicates or logs

Poll: how long have we been saying this?

1968: Sir Maurice Vincent Wilkes, commenting on Roger Needham's 1967 password system

2015-2016 leaks

website	encryption	# accounts leaked	leak date
000webhost.com	clear	15035687	Mar. 2015
sprashivai.ru	clear	3472645	May 2015
ashleymadison.com	bcrypt	36140796	July 2015
17.media	MD5	3824575	Sep. 2015
mpgh.net	MD5+salt	3119180	Oct. 2015
r2games.com	MD5+salt	11758232	Oct. 2015
nexusmods.com	MD5+salt	5918540	Dec. 2015
mate1.com	clear	27402581	Feb. 2016
naughtyamerica.com	MD5	989401	Apr. 2016
badoo.com	MD5	122730419	June 2016

 $\mbox{{\bf Table:}}$ Partial list of leaks analysed in 2016 by Jaeger et al.

How about client-side hashing?

Detecting client-side hashing

How we can find out if a website uses client-side hashing:

- Semantic and syntactic analysis: observe "password" in the packet or follow memory cells
- Computing load analysis: good hashing takes resources

This can only detect absence of good hashing, no positive guarantee.

Client-side hashing in the Alexa 50

Websites

google.com	tmall.com	blogspot.com	mail.ru	xvideos.com
youtube.com	reddit.com	netflix.com	bing.com	tribunnews.com
facebook.com	instagram.com	linkedin.com	microsoft.com	amazon.co.jp
baidu.com	live.com	bilibili.com	whatsapp.com	google.co.in
wikipedia.org	vk.com	twitch.tv	naver.com	github.com
qq.com	sohu.com	pornhub.com	aliexpress.com	okezone.com
yahoo.com	jd.com	login.tmall.com	livejasmin.com	imdb.com
amazon.com	yandex.ru	360.cn	microsoftonline.com	google.com.hk
taobao.com	sina.com.cn	csdn.net	alipay.com	pages.tmall.com
twitter.com	weibo.com	yahoo.co.jp	ebay.com	stackoverflow.com

Client-side hashing in the Alexa 50

Websites

google.com	tmall.com	blogspot.com	mail.ru	xvideos.com
youtube.com	reddit.com	netflix.com	bing.com	tribunnews.com
facebook.com	instagram.com	linkedin.com	microsoft.com	amazon.co.jp
baidu.com	live.com	bilibili.com	whatsapp.com	google.co.in
wikipedia.org	vk.com	twitch.tv	naver.com	github.com
qq.com	sohu.com	pornhub.com	aliexpress.com	okezone.com
yahoo.com	jd.com	login.tmall.com	livejasmin.com	imdb.com
amazon.com	yandex.ru	<u>360.cn</u>	microsoftonline.com	google.com.hk
taobao.com	sina.com.cn	csdn.net	alipay.com	pages.tmall.com
twitter.com	weibo.com	yahoo.co.jp	ebay.com	stackoverflow.com

1-to-1 correspondance between client-side hashing and Chinese websites

Why not use client-side hashing?

Client-side hashing: drawbacks

Four potential drawbacks:

- Incompatibility with legacy protocols
- Same-site authentication attacks after leaks
- Computing power limits
- Script blocking

Client-side hashing: advantages

Six main advantages:

- No credential reuse attack
- Lower server costs
- Stronger hashing
- Makes phishing slightly harder
- Simpler if standardised
- Enforces accountability

Client-side hashing: advantages

Six main advantages:

- No credential reuse attack
- Lower server costs
- Stronger hashing
- Makes phishing slightly harder
- Simpler if standardised
- Enforces accountability

Making changes: globally

To change the ecosystem:

- Update the belief that client-side is detrimental, for both researchers and developers
- Change the incentive structure, as with the padlock

Ideally: convince large browser developers or standards organisation

Making changes: for the user

It should not affect their experience in general.

Two main immediate options:

- Create an extension to warn them in case of unsecure systems
- Detecting and hashing passwords on the client

Thank you for your attention