

Bachelor's Thesis



Czech
Technical
University
in Prague

F3

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Department of Radioelectronics

Distributed signal processing in radio communication networks

Jakub Kolář
Open Electronic Systems

November 2016
kolarj39@fel.cvut.cz

Acknowledgement / Declaration

Thank you all.

I announce I made this thing alone.
In Prague, 3. 12. 2016

.....

Abstrakt / Abstract

Pokus napsat bakalarku a take ji obhajit.

Klíčová slova: konsensus algoritmus

Fireflies are really amazing.

Keywords: averaging consensus algorithm

/ Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Outline	1
2 Graph theory	2
2.1 Motivation	2
2.2 Definitions	3
2.2.1 Undirected graph	3
2.2.2 Directed graph	3
2.2.3 Adjacency matrix	4
2.2.4 Degree matrix	4
2.2.5 Incidence matrix	4
2.3 Laplacian matrix	5
2.3.1 Definitions	5
2.3.2 Basic properties	5
2.3.3 Bounds for eigenvalues	6
2.3.4 Matrix tree theorem	8
2.3.5 Eigen value λ_2	8
2.3.6 Operations with dis- joint graphs	8
3 Linear consensus algorithms	10
3.1 Motivation	10
3.2 Discrete and continuous time	10
3.3 Convergence analysis	10
References	11

/ Figures

2.1.	View on city Königsberg.	2
2.2.	Example of a undirected graph. ..	3
2.3.	Example of a directed graph.	3
2.4.	A bigger graph to present Gershgorin theorem.	7
2.5.	Plot of Gershgorin circles.	7
2.6.	Graph with two componennts. ..	9

Chapter 1

Introduction

To begin with an idea of an average consensus algorithm, let's make a thought experiment. We are looking for an average quantity, for example an average temperature in a room, with a group of wireless communication devices, that can exchange informations, provided they are in range to reach each other. We deploy these thermometers in the room randomly, with no special requirements on a topology. Next, let's consider, that for each pair of the thermometers we can decide, whether they can exchange information or not - meaning we know all neighbours of all devices, that are mutually in range to communicate.

Now, we can encode our experiment settings to a graph. A very natural way to represent this graph is drawing it. To do so, we simply take all thermometers as different vertices. Of course, every vertex always knows a result of its own measurement. By an edge between two vertices we mark the situation, that these two nodes can exchange informations. Which means, every node knows also the value that measures its neighbour. This ought to be only a very simple illustration how to transfer a physically realisable experiment to the terms of graphs.

Finally, as we shall see, if we fulfill some basic convergence conditions on the properties of this graph, the average consensus algorithm acts like this: We synchronously update the value in each node by some increment, which depends only on the old value in this node and the values of its direct neighbours in the graph. By doing this long enough, you obtain in each node a value, which goes in limit to the average of all initially measured values.

1.1 Outline

A Graph theory provides a very elegant way to represent informations encoded by graphs as matrices. In the first chapter we will provide some basic definitions to the Graph theory and properties of these important matrices. Using matrices, we will also briefly mention some very useful results from Matrix analysis, because also a serious object of our interest will be topic of eigenvalues of matrices. We will define a Laplacian of a graph and show some of its basic properties.

In next chapter, we will in detail provide the average consensus algorithm description and show some examples with graphically illustrated solution.

In last part of this thesis, we will try to implement this algorithm to easily solve some typical problems in area of wireless digital communication - time synchronisation or carrier frequency synchronisation. In a very simple case, we can also show how do the nonidealities change the result of algorithm (additive zero-mean noise).

Chapter 2

Graph theory

2.1 Motivation

It is commonly well known, that the elements of Graph theory were set by a mathematician Leonhard Euler in 1736. He solved a problem called Seven Bridges of Königsberg.




Figure 2.1. View on city Königsberg with marked bridges [1] .

The problem was formulated like this: River Pregel flows through the city and creates two islands in there. These two islands are connected with the rest of the city by seven bridges (see figure above). The question was, whether it is possible to take a walk through the city in such a way, to pass each bridge exactly once. Euler described this problem as a graph, where the edges represented the seven bridges, and the vertices were the separated parts of the city.

Euler proved, that in this case, it is impossible to pass each bridge only once and showed, that the Eulerian trial (i.e. a trial, that contains every edge exactly once) exists if and only if every vertex of the graph is of an even degree.

Nowadays, a very modern and interesting example of usage of the Graph theory is visualisation and simulation of the communication networks, such as the Internet, mobile network etc. A typical task is to find the best route from a source to a destination location with respect to a given specific metric. This metric can depend on many parameters, such as a number of intermediate devices (RIP), round-trip delay or a bandwidth of the connectivity (OSPF). These Graph algorithms are often based on a very efficient improvement of basic Depth-first search. (This is a case of the famous Dijkstra's algorithm used by OSPF routing protocol, to find the best way from each node to all the others with edges with a given cost.)

We also briefly mention the term of Spanning trees. In Ethernet-based communication is very important to avoid loops in a network, because they might cause a congestion of the network and failure of the service. A Spanning tree of a graph is a factor of this graph, which originates by removing some of its edges, in a way to preserve all vertices reachable, and removes all cycles in the graph. Later, in a chapter about Laplacian we shall see how to simply find a count of these Spanning trees.

To finish this motivation part, a very nice example of the usage of distributed algorithm is a Network time protocol (NTP). It is important to have globally synchronised time between server computers. Few of the servers are connected to the reference clocks and next, to them hierarchically connected servers, are averaging neighbour's time to obtain final value of time they use.

2.2 Definitions

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is described by a pair of its vertices V and edges E . $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N\}$ is a set of N vertices. By the vertices we understand the points connected by the edges. An edge (v_i, v_j) means a connection between vertices v_i and v_j .

2.2.1 Undirected graph




Figure 2.2. Example of a simple undirected graph to demonstrate basic definitions.

The graph $G = (V, E)$ above could be described by set of vertices $V = \{1; 2; 3; 4; 5\}$ and set of edges $E = \{(1, 4); (1, 3); (2, 4); (2, 5); (3, 2); (3, 3); (3, 5); (4, 5)\}$.

Set of neighbours \mathcal{N}_i of a vertex v_i is $\mathcal{N}_i = \{v_j \in V | (v_i, v_j) \in E\}$. For example $\mathcal{N}_4 = \{1; 2; 5\}$. Degree of a node is $d_i = |\mathcal{N}_i|$.

2.2.2 Directed graph




Figure 2.3. Example of a directed graph.

For directed graph holds the same as for undirected with only difference, we distinguish the edges (v_i, v_j) and (v_j, v_i) . Then, for a degree of a node in directed graph, we have to consider only neighbours available via oriented edges, $d_i^{IN} = |\mathcal{N}_i|$. Drawing the figure, we distinguish the orientation of edges with arrows.

2.2.3 Adjacency matrix

Adjacency matrix is a very natural way of a full graph description. This matrix is $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and for graph G with N vertices describes inner connectivity of the graph with information, to what all vertices goes an edge from a given vertex. Its values $a_{i,j}$ are defined as:

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is the edge } (v_i, v_j), \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Adjacency matrix of a graph from figure 2.2 reads

$$\mathbf{A}_{2.2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can see, that Adjacency matrix of an undirected graph is symmetric.

And adjacency matrix of a graph from figure 2.3 is

$$\mathbf{A}_{2.3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For directed graph the Adjacency matrix generally is not symmetric.

For undirected graphs allowing *Weighted graphs* means, that for each pair of vertices i, j we assign a certain weight $a_{i,j}$, that satisfies conditions: 1) $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$, 2) $a_{i,j} \geq 0$ and 3) $a_{i,j} \neq 0$ if and only if vertices i and j are not connected by an edge. This is only a generalization of the Adjacency matrix definition above.

2.2.4 Degree matrix

A Degree matrix $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is a diagonal matrix bearing an information about degree of each vertex. Its diagonal elements are $d_i = \sum_{i \neq j} a_{i,j}$ and all nondiagonal elements are equal to 0. For example Degree matrix of undirected graph from figure 2.2 reads $\mathbf{D}_{2.2} = \text{diag}\{2, 3, 4, 3, 3\}$. Next, for the case of directed graph we have to consider only incoming edges. Which for graph from figure 2.3 means $\mathbf{D}_{2.3} = \text{diag}\{2, 1, 1, 2, 3\}$. And also let's define $\Delta = \max_i(d_i)$.

2.2.5 Incidence matrix

An Incidence matrix of a directed graph provides for each edge an information about an initial and terminal vertex. For a graph with N vertices and L edges the Incidence matrix $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times L}$ elements $e_{i,j}$ are defined as:

$$e_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if edge } j \text{ begins in the vertex } i, \\ -1 & \text{if edge } j \text{ ends in the vertex } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So Incidence matrix for graph on figure 2.3 reads

$$\mathbf{E}_{2,3} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can see, this matrix is very rare. In each column contains only one pair of 1 and -1. The adjacency matrix provides same information but with typically smaller matrix.

2.3 Laplacian matrix

The following part about Laplacian is based mainly on [2].

2.3.1 Definitions

Now, in previous text we defined Adjacency and Degree matrix of a graph G . Next, we define the *Laplacian matrix* $\mathbf{L}(G)$ of a graph,

$$\mathbf{L}(G) = \mathbf{D}(G) - \mathbf{A}(G).$$

Matrix $\mathbf{L}(G)$ for a graph with N vertices is $\mathbf{L}(G) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. From definitions of $\mathbf{D}(G)$ and $\mathbf{A}(G)$ implies, that loops in graph have no influence on $\mathbf{L}(G)$.

To make hold some important results from Linear algebra and Matrix analysis, we will next consider only undirected and loopless graphs. Which means, that the corresponding Adjacency matrix will be symmetric. Having symmetric $\mathbf{A}(G)$, the corresponding Laplacian matrix will be also a symmetric matrix.

Taking the Incidence matrix of graph $\mathbf{E}(G)$, we can find the Laplacian matrix of graph G as

$$\mathbf{L}(G) = \mathbf{E}(G)\mathbf{E}^T(G).$$

Next, we mark $\mu(G, x)$ the characteristical polynom of $\mathbf{L}(G)$ defined as

$$\mu(G, x) = \det(\mathbf{L} - x\mathbf{I}).$$

Roots of this characteristical polynom are called *Laplacian eigenvalues* of G . As it is common in literature, we will denote them $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N$, enumerated with lower indices in an increasing order with counting multiplicities. N denotes the number of vertices. The set $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N\}$ is called the *spectrum* of $\mathbf{L}(G)$.

2.3.2 Basic properties

Theorem 2.1. If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is symmetric then \mathbf{A} has real eigenvalues.

Proof: For example [3], page number 92.

Theorem 2.2. Let G be an undirected graph without loops. Then 0 is an eigenvalue for the Laplacian matrix of G with an eigenvector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$.

Proof: Found in [4]. If G is an undirected graph then the sum of the entries in row i of Adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} gives exactly the degree d_i of vertex i . So we can write:

$$\mathbf{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

And from that:

$$\mathbf{L}(G) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{D}(G) - \mathbf{A}(G)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 - d_1 \\ d_2 - d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_N - d_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In which we easily recognize the relation holding for eigenvalues.

Theorem 2.3. The Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{L}(G)$ is positive semi-definite and singular.

Proof: Let λ be an eigenvalue and v its corresponding eigenvector. Then

$$\mathbf{L}v = \lambda v,$$

$$\lambda = v^T \mathbf{L}v = v^T \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E}^T v = (v^T \mathbf{E})(\mathbf{E}^T v) = (\mathbf{E}^T v)^T (\mathbf{E}^T v) = \|\mathbf{E}^T v\|^2 \geq 0.$$

\mathbf{L} is singular, because sum of all elements in each column is zero.

We can come to the positive semidefiniteness also using the following quadratic form:

$$\langle \mathbf{L}x, x \rangle = \sum_{(u,v) \in E(G)} (x_u - x_v)^2,$$

which results will be always non-negative.

2.3.3 Bounds for eigenvalues

Theorem 2.4. *Gershgorin circle theorem.* Consider matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let's denote

$$r_i = \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^N |a_{ij}|, \quad K_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - a_{ii}| \leq r_i\}.$$

The K_i sets are called *Gershgorin circles*. It holds for all eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N\}$ of the matrix \mathbf{A} , that they are all localized in the union of *Gershgorin circles* $\{K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots \cup K_N\}$ in the Complex plane.

Proof: Let λ be an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} and its corresponding eigenvector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$. So holds $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$. Let x_k be the biggest absolute value number in vector \mathbf{x} . Then $\lambda x_k = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{kj} x_j$. Next move the $a_{kk} x_k$ summand from RHS to LHS. We obtain $x_k (\lambda - a_{kk}) = \sum_{j=1; j \neq k}^N a_{kj} x_j$. Now we take an absolute value of this equation, divide by x_k and using Triangle inequality we go to:

$$|\lambda - a_{kk}| = \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1; j \neq k}^N a_{kj} x_j}{x_k} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1; j \neq k}^N \left| \frac{a_{kj} x_j}{x_k} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1; j \neq k}^N |a_{kj}| = r_k.$$




Figure 2.4. Graph to present Gershgorin theorem.

Example 2.5. To present Gershgorin theorem practically, consider the graph bellow:
With its Laplacian matrix:

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 5 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 5 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And a characteristical polynomial: $\mu(G, x) = x^{10} - 36x^9 + 561x^8 - 4\,954x^7 + 27\,236x^6 - 96\,318x^5 + 218\,121x^4 - 303\,398x^3 + 233\,888x^2 - 75\,870x$. Note, that \mathbf{L} is a symmetric matrix and 0 is clearly a root of $\mu(G, x)$.

Numerically solving $\mu(G, x) = 0$ in Matlab we obtain the folowing eigenvalues (rounding for 3 decimal points):

$$\{0; 1,274; 1,416; 3,100; 3,233; 3,936; 4,826; 5,280; 6,458; 6,476\}.$$

All values are real and non-negative. Finally, plotting the graph with marked eigenvalues and Gershgorin circles. As expected, all eigenvalues are included in the circles.




Figure 2.5. Plot of Eigenvalues and according Gershgorin circles.

Theorem 2.6. Let G be a graph with N vertices. Then holds:

- $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{N}{N-1} \min_i \{d(v_i) | v_i \in V(G)\},$
- $\lambda_N \leq \max_i \{d(v_i) + d(v_j) | (v_i, v_j) \in E(G)\},$
- If G is a simple graph, then $\lambda_N \leq N,$
- $\sum_{m=1}^N \lambda_m = 2|E(G)| = \sum_{v_i} d(v_i),$
- $\lambda_N \geq \frac{N}{N-1} \max_i \{d(v_i) | v_i \in V(G)\}.$

These may be found very useful for example when using numerical methods for solving the roots of $\mu(G, x)$. It is well known, that we don't have exact analytical formulas to obtain roots of polynomials with higher degree than 5. Using these bounds, we know where the roots must be, respective where they can not be.

■ 2.3.4 Matrix tree theorem

$\mathbf{L}(G)$ may be also referred to as Kirchhoff matrix due to the following theorem. A *tree* is a connected, acyclic graph. A *spanning tree* of graph G is a tree which originates as a subgraph, preserving the set of vertices $V(G)$ and removing some of its edges to avoid cycles. It is clear, that a spanning tree may be found only for connected graphs.

An (i, j) -cofactor of a matrix is a determinant of a submatrix formed by deleting the i -th row and the j -th column.

Theorem 2.7. *Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem.* Let G be a connected graph with its Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{L}(G)$. Then all $\mathbf{L}(G)$ cofactors are equal and this common value is the number of spanning trees of G .

Proof: Omitted. Is based on decomposing the Laplacian matrix into product of Incidence matrix and its transpose and then usage of Cauchy-Binet formula.

Example 2.8. For graph from Figure 2.4 we could so find 7587 spanning-trees.

■ 2.3.5 Eigen value λ_2

We call graph G with N vertices connected if there is a path from any vertex v_i to any other vertex v_j , $\forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Eigen value λ_2 is also called *graph connectivity*. This eigenvalue is probably the most important from the whole spectrum. Holds, that $\lambda_2 > 0$ if and only if the graph is connected. Moreover, the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{L}(G)$ is the number of connected components.

Diameter of a graph G , $diam(G)$, is the biggest number of edges we have to pass, to get from one vertex to another.

In [2] are in detail described some interesting properties and bounds for λ_2 . Very interesting and easily interpretable, in context of the connectivity term, is the following one. Let's consider graph G with N vertices and diameter $diam(G)$. Then holds:

$$diam(G) \geq \frac{4}{N\lambda_2}.$$

■ 2.3.6 Operations with disjoint graphs

Very detailed reading about this part of Laplacian topic may be found beside in [2] also in [5]. Let's now briefly mention what happens with Laplacian of a graph, that is not connected.

Considering the definition of the Laplacian $\mathbf{L}(G) = \mathbf{D}(G) - \mathbf{A}(G)$, we are not surprised, that Laplacian matrix of a graph consisting of k mutually disjoint sets of vertices will have block diagonal form obtained from matrices $\mathbf{L}(G_1), \mathbf{L}(G_2), \dots, \mathbf{L}(G_k)$.

Theorem 2.9. Let G be a graph created as a union of disjoint graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k . Then holds:

$$\mu(G, x) = \prod_{i=1}^k \mu_i(G_i, x).$$

Example 2.10.

Let's take a graph from the following figure, consisting of two disjoint components with vertices $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$.




Figure 2.6. Example of a graph with two disconnected components.

Laplacian matrix of the whole graph reads:

$$\mathbf{L}(G) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\mathbf{L}(G)$ is a block diagonal matrix consisting of submatrices $\mathbf{L}(G_{\{1,2,3\}})$ and $\mathbf{L}(G_{\{4,5,6,7\}})$. For characteristic polynomial holds:

$\mu(G, x) = \mu(G_{\{1,2,3\}}, x) \mu(G_{\{4,5,6,7\}}, x) = (x^3 - 6x^2 + 9x)(x^4 - 8x^3 + 19x^2 - 12x) = x^7 - 14x^6 + 76x^5 - 198x^4 + 243x^3 - 108x^2$. Note, that 0 is clearly double root corresponding to the 2 components.

Chapter 3

Linear consensus algorithms

3.1 Motivation

Let's think about an experiment, where few nodes aim to provide only one result of measurement based on many local measurements. For example we measure an average temperature in a room. Very accurate measure devices are expensive. We can generally try to replace small number of very good devices by some probably bigger number of less reliable devices whose benefit will be an interchange of information between near nodes.

They We want to replace a number of nodes, that exchange information. Many less accurate reliable nodes as an alternative to very accurate and very reliable but also very expensive nodes.

3.2 Discrete and continuous time

3.3 Convergence analysis

asdsafsaf



References

- [1] Bogdan Giusca. *The problem of the Seven Bridges of Königsberg*. 2005.
https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Konigsberg_bridges.png.
- [2] Bojan Mohar. *The Laplacian spectrum of graphs*. 1991.
- [3] Miroslav Dont. *Maticova analiza*. 2011.
- [4] Anne Marsden. *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian and Their Relationship to the Connectedness of a Graph*. 2013.
- [5] Michael William Newman. *The Laplacian Spectrum of Graphs*. 2000.