IDENTITIES IN ITERATED RASCAL TRIANGLES

PETRO KOLOSOV

ABSTRACT. In this manuscript we show new binomial identities in iterated rascal triangles. In particular, iterated rascal numbers are closely related to $(1, q)$-binomial coefficients. Finally, we state an open conjecture about the relation between iterated rascal numbers and (p, q)-binomial coefficients.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

In 2010, three middle school students, Alif Anggoro, Eddy Liu, and Angus Tulloch [1], were challenged to provide the next row for the number triangle shown below:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 1 & & & \\
& & 1 & & 1 & & \\
& 1 & & 2 & & 1 & \\
1 & & 3 & & 3 & & 1
\end{array}
$$

The expected answer that matches Pascal's triangle [2] was "1 4 6 4 1". However, Anggoro, Liu, and Tulloch suggested "1 4 5 4 1" instead. They devised this new row via so-called diamond formula:

$$
\textbf{South} = \frac{\textbf{East} \cdot \textbf{West} + 1}{\textbf{North}}
$$

So that upcoming rows of the triangle are

n/k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	1							
1	1	1						
2	1	2	1					
3	1	3	3	1				
4	1	4	5	4	1			
5	1	5	7	7	5	1		
6	1	6	9	10	9	6	1	
7	1	7	11	13	13	11	7	1

Table 1. Rascal triangle. See the OEIS sequence A077028 [3].

Since then, a lot of work has been done over the topic of rascal triangles. Numerous identities and relations have been revealed. For instance, few combinatorial interpretations of rascal numbers provided at [4], in particular, these interpretations establish a relation between rascal numbers and combinatorics of binary words. Few generalization approaches were proposed, namely generalized and iterated rascal triangles [5, 6]. In particular, the

concept of iterated rascal numbers establishes a close connection between rascal numbers and binomial coefficients.

2. Binomial identities in Iterated Rascal Triangles

Prior we begin our discussion it is worth to introduce a few preliminary facts and statements. Define the iterated rascal number

Definition 2.1. *Iterated rascal number* [6]

$$\binom{n}{k}_i = \sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{n-k}{m}\binom{k}{m} \tag{2.1}$$

First important thing is to notice that iterated rascal number is a partial case of Vandermonde convolution [7]. Consider Vandermonde convolution

$$\binom{a+b}{r} = \sum_{m=0}^{r} \binom{a}{m}\binom{b}{r-m}$$

Thus,

$$\binom{n}{k}_i = \sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{n-k}{m}\binom{k}{m} = \sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{n-k}{m}\binom{k}{k-m} \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore, iterated rascal number is partial case of Vandermonde convolution with upper summation bound equals to i. Without further hesitation consider our findings.

Proposition 2.2. *Iterated rascal triangle equals to Pascal's triangle up to i-th column.*

$$\binom{n}{k}_i = \binom{n}{k}, \quad 0 \le k \le i \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Proof is given by [6]. $\square$

Then binomial identity follows

$$\binom{n}{i-k}_i = \binom{n}{i-k}$$

Applying binomial coefficients symmetry principle we obtain

$$\binom{n}{n-i+k}_i = \binom{n}{n-i+k}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Iterated rascal triangle equals to Pascal's triangle up to $2i + 1$-th row*

$$\binom{n}{k}_i = \binom{n}{k}, \quad 0 \le n \le 2i + 1$$

Therefore, for every fixed $i \ge 0$

$$\binom{2i+1-n}{k}_i = \binom{2i+1-n}{k} \tag{2.4}$$

Equation (2.4) is of interest because in contrast to rascal column identity (2.3) it gives relation over k for each i, so that it is true for all cases in i, k: $i < k$, $i = k$ and $k > i$.

Taking $t \ge 2i + 1$ for every fixed $i \ge 0$

$$\binom{t-n}{k}_{t-i-1} = \binom{t-n}{k}$$

Proof. Proof of proposition (2.3). We have three possible relations between i, k: $k < i$, $k = i$, $k > i$. So we have to prove that for every i, k

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{2i+1-n-k}{m}\binom{k}{m} - \sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{2i+1-n-k}{m}\binom{k}{m} = 0$$

For the case $k < i$ proof is given in Jenna Gregory et al. [6]. For the case $k = i$ proof is trivial. Thus, the remaining case is $k > i$ yields that

$$\sum_{m=i+1}^{k} \binom{2i+1-n-k}{m}\binom{k}{m} = 0$$

Considering the constraints,

$$\begin{cases} n \ge 0 \\ k \ge i+1 \\ 2i+1-n-k \le i-n \\ m \ge i+1 \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{m=i+1}^{k} \binom{2i+1-n-k}{m}\binom{k}{m}$$

is indeed equals zero because binomial coefficients $\binom{i-n-s}{i+1+s}$ are zero for each $i, n, s \geq 0$. Therefore, the proposition (2.3) is true. $\qquad\square$

Moreover, equation (2.4) gives Vandermonde-like identity

Proposition 2.4. *(Vandermonde-like identity.)*

$$\binom{2i+1-n}{k} = \sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{2i+1-n-k}{m}\binom{k}{m}$$

In particular, given $n = 0$ proposition (2.4) yields

$$\binom{2i+1}{k} = \sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{2i+1-k}{m}\binom{k}{m}$$

Now, let's smoothly switch our focus to finite differences of binomial coefficients and iterated rascal numbers. Considering the table of differences $\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k}_3$

In[67]:= `Grid[Table[Binomial[n, k] - RascalNumber[n, k, 3], {n, 0, 20}, {k, 0, n}], Frame → All]`

Out[67]=

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
0																				
0	0																			
0	0	0																		
0	0	0	0																	
0	0	0	0	0																
0	0	0	0	0	0															
0	0	0	0	0	0	0														
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0													
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0												
0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0											
0	0	0	0	15	26	15	0	0	0	0										
0	0	0	0	35	81	81	35	0	0	0	0									
0	0	0	0	70	196	262	196	70	0	0	0	0								
0	0	0	0	126	406	658	658	406	126	0	0	0	0							
0	0	0	0	210	756	1414	1716	1414	756	210	0	0	0	0						
0	0	0	0	330	1302	2730	3830	3830	2730	1302	330	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	495	2112	4872	7680	8885	7680	4872	2112	495	0	0	0	0				
0	0	0	0	715	3267	8184	14232	18525	18525	14232	8184	3267	715	0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0	1001	4862	13101	24816	35697	40186	35697	24816	13101	4862	1001	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	1365	7007	20163	41217	64713	80587	80587	64713	41217	20163	7007	1365	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	1820	9828	30030	65780	111705	152020	168230	152020	111705	65780	30030	9828	1820	0	0	0	0

(Annotations on figure: k=4, A000332, n=8)

Figure 1. Difference $\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k}_3$. Highlighted column is $\binom{n}{4}$. Sequence A000332 in the OEIS [8].

We can spot that having $i = 3$ the $k = 4$-th column gives binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{4}$. Indeed, this rule is true for every i.

Proposition 2.5. *(Row-column difference.) For every fixed $i \geq 0$*

$$\binom{n+2i}{i} - \binom{n+2i}{i}_{i-1} = \binom{n+i}{i}$$

Proof. We have previously stated that iterated rascal numbers are closely related to Vandermonde convolution (2.2). Thus, proposition (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{i} \binom{n+i}{m}\binom{i}{i-m} - \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \binom{n+i}{m}\binom{i}{m}$$

Therefore, $\binom{n+2i}{i} - \binom{n+2i}{i}_{i-1} = \binom{n+i}{i}$ is indeed true. $\qquad \square$

Proposition (2.5) yields to few more identities. Applying binomial coefficients symmetry

$$\binom{n+2i}{n+i} - \binom{n+2i}{n+i}_{i-1} = \binom{n+i}{n}$$

Taking $j = n + i$ gives

$$\binom{j+i}{j} - \binom{j+i}{j}_{i-1} = \binom{j}{j-i}$$

$$\binom{j+i}{i} - \binom{j+i}{i}_{i-1} = \binom{j}{i}$$

Proposition (2.5) can be generalized even further, for every fixed $i < k$.

Proposition 2.6. *(Binomial coefficient difference iterated rascal number.) For every fixed $i < k$*

$$\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k}_{i} = \sum_{m=i+1}^{k} \binom{n-k}{m}\binom{k}{k-m}$$

Proof. It is true by means of Vandermonde convolution. $\qquad \square$

3. Q-Binomial identities in Iterated Rascal Triangles

Consider the table of differences of binomial coefficients and iterated rascal numbers one more time as there is another pattern we can spot.

In[67]:= `Grid[Table[Binomial[n, k] - RascalNumber[n, k, 3], {n, 0, 20}, {k, 0, n}], Frame → All]`

Out[67]=

0																				
0	0																			
0	0	0																		
0	0	0	0																	
0	0	0	0	0																
0	0	0	0	0	0															
0	0	0	0	0	0	0														
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0													
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0												
0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0											
0	0	0	0	15	26	15	0	0	0	0										
0	0	0	0	35	81	81	35	0	0	0	0									
0	0	0	0	70	196	262	196	70	0	0	0	0								
0	0	0	0	126	406	658	658	406	126	0	0	0	0							
0	0	0	0	210	756	1414	1716	1414	756	210	0	0	0	0						
0	0	0	0	330	1302	2730	3830	3830	2730	1302	330	0	0	0	0					
0	0	0	0	495	2112	4872	7680	8885	7680	4872	2112	495	0	0	0	0				
0	0	0	0	715	3267	8184	14232	18525	18525	14232	8184	3267	715	0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0	1001	4862	13101	24816	35697	40186	35697	24816	13101	4862	1001	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	1365	7007	20163	41217	64713	80587	80587	64713	41217	20163	7007	1365	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	1820	9828	30030	65780	111705	152020	168230	152020	111705	65780	30030	9828	1820	0	0	0	0

(Annotations in figure: highlighted column labelled **k=5**, sequence **A096943**, highlighted row labelled **n=9**.)

Figure 2. Difference $\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k}_3$. Highlighted column is $(1,5)$-binomial coefficient $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix}\right]^5$. Sequence A096943 in the OEIS [9].

The $(1, q)$-binomial coefficients $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix}\right]^q$ are special kind of binomial coefficients defined by

Definition 3.1. $(1, q)$-*Binomial coefficient* [10]

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^q = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } k = 0, n = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n \\ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}^q + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}^q \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, the relation shown in Figure (2) is true for every i, so that it establishes a relation between $(1, q)$-binomial coefficients and iterated rascal numbers.

Proposition 3.2. *(Relation between iterated rascal numbers and $(1, q)$-binomial coefficients.)* *For every fixed $i \geq 0$*

$$\binom{2i + 3 + j}{i + 2} - \binom{2i + 3 + j}{i + 2}_i = \begin{bmatrix} i + 2 + j \\ i + 2 \end{bmatrix}^{i+2}$$

Taking $t = i + 2$ in (3.2) yields

$$\binom{2t-1+j}{t} - \binom{2t-1+j}{t}_{t-2} = \begin{bmatrix} t+j \\ t \end{bmatrix}^t$$

In particular, having $i = 1$ proposition (3.2) gives the OEIS sequence A006503 [11] such that third column of $(1,3)$-Pascal triangle A095660 [12].

4. Conclusions

Conclusions of your manuscript.

References

[1] Anggoro, Alif and Liu, Eddy and Tulloch, Angus. The Rascal Triangle. *The College Mathematics Journal*, 41(5):393–395, 2010. https://doi.org/10.4169/074683410X521991.

[2] Sloane, N. J. A. Pascal's triangle read by rows. Entry A007318 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 1994. https://oeis.org/A007318.

[3] Sloane, N. J. A. The Rascal triangle read by rows. Entry A077028 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2002. https://oeis.org/A077028.

[4] Amelia Gibbs and Brian K. Miceli. Two Combinatorial Interpretations of Rascal Numbers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.11045*, 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.11045.

[5] Hotchkiss, Philip K. Generalized Rascal Triangles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11159*, 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11159.

[6] Gregory, Jena and Kronholm, Brandt and White, Jacob. Iterated ascal triangles. *Aequationes mathematicae*, pages 1–18, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-023-00987-6.

[7] Andrews, George E. and Askey, Richard and Roy, Ranjan and Roy, Ranjan and Askey, Richard. *Special functions*, volume 71. Cambridge university press Cambridge, 2000.

[8] Sloane, N. J. A. Binomial coefficient binomial(n,4). Entry A000332 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2009. https://oeis.org/A000332.

[9] Sloane, N. J. A. Sixth column of (1,5)-Pascal triangle. Entry A096943 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2004. https://oeis.org/A096943.

[10] Sloane, N. J. A. Pascal (1,5) triangle. Entry A096940 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2004. https://oeis.org/A096940.

[11] Sloane, N. J. A. Entry A006503 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 1995. https://oeis.org/A006503.

[12] Sloane, N. J. A. Pascal (1,3) triangle. Entry A095660 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2004. https://oeis.org/A095660.

Version: Local-0.1.0

Software Developer, DevOps Engineer

Email address: kolosovp94@gmail.com

URL: https://kolosovpetro.github.io