# SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASSICAL INTERPOLATION PROBLEM

#### PETRO KOLOSOV

# 1. Discussion on interpolation of cubes

This is the story of a student with a deep curiosity for mathematics. Although, not a specialist in mathematics, our young explorer always possessed a strong sense of mathematical beauty and aesthetics. The mathematical knowledge of the individual was limited by undergraduate level course, which includes the basics of matrix operations, basic calculus, and elementary linear algebra. One day, our student found himself observing the tables of finite differences, precisely finite differences of cubes.

By observing the table

n	$n^3$	$\Delta(n^3)$	$\Delta^2(n^3)$	$\Delta^3(n^3)$
0	0	1	6	6
1	1	7	12	6
2	8	19	18	6
3	27	37	24	6
4	64	61	30	6
5	125	91	36	
6	216	127		
7	343			

**Table 1.** Table of finite differences of  $n^3$ .

The first question that visited curious mind was

# Question 1.1. How to reconstruct the value of $n^3$ from its finite differences?

Precisely, the inquiry is to find a way to reconstruct the values of the sequence  $\{0, 1, 8, 27, 64, \ldots\}$  given the values of finite differences in the table.

In its essence, the problem is so old that it can be traced back to ancient Babylonian and Greek times, several centuries BC and first centuries AD [1]. The process of finding new data points based on the range of a discrete set of known data points is called interpolation. Interpolation, as we know it today, was developed in 1674–1684 by Isaac Newton in his works referenced as foundation of classical interpolation theory [2]. For instance, Newton's interpolation formula addresses the question (1.1) immediately, because

$$27 = 6\binom{3}{3} + 6\binom{3}{2} + 1\binom{3}{1} + 0\binom{3}{0} = 6 + 18 + 3$$

where 6, 6, 1, 0 is the first row in table (1). In general,

$$n^{3} = 6\binom{n}{3} + 6\binom{n}{2} + 1\binom{n}{1} + 0\binom{n}{0}$$

because  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \Delta^{d-k} f(0) {x \choose d-k}$ , see [3, p. 190].

Great! But there is one thing, the student who has risen the question (1.1) had no clue about interpolation theory at all. What he decided then? Exactly, he decided to try to re-invent interpolation formula himself, fueled by the purest feeling of mystery. His mind was occupied by only a single thought: All mathematical truths exist timelessly, we only reveal and describe them. That mindset inspired our student to start his own mathematical journey.

By observing the table of finite differences (1) we can notice that the first finite difference of cubes may be expressed in terms of its third finite differences  $\Delta^3(n^3) = 6$ , as follows

$$\Delta(0^3) = 1 + 6 \cdot 0$$

$$\Delta(1^3) = 1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1$$

$$\Delta(2^3) = 1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 + 6 \cdot 2$$

$$\Delta(3^3) = 1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 + 6 \cdot 2 + 6 \cdot 3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Delta(n^3) = 1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 + 6 \cdot 2 + 6 \cdot 3 + \dots + 6n$$

By using sigma notation, we get

$$\Delta(n^3) = 1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 + 6 \cdot 2 + 6 \cdot 3 + \dots + 6 \cdot n = 1 + 6 \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^k$$

However, there is a more beautiful way to prove that  $\Delta(n^3) = 1 + 6 \sum_{k=0}^{n} k$ . We refer to one of the finest articles in the area of polynomials and power sums, that is Johann Faulhaber and sums of powers written by Donald Knuth [4]. Indeed, this article is a great mean to reach piece of mind in mathematics. We now focus on the odd power identities shown at [4, p. 9]

$$n^{1} = \binom{n}{1}$$

$$n^{3} = 6\binom{n+1}{3} + \binom{n}{1}$$

$$n^{5} = 120\binom{n+2}{5} + 30\binom{n+1}{3} + \binom{n}{1}$$

It is quite interesting that the identity in terms of triangular numbers  $\binom{n+1}{2}$  and finite differences of cubes becomes more obvious

$$\Delta n^3 = (n+1)^3 - n^3 = 6\binom{n+1}{2} + \binom{n}{0}$$

It easy to see that

$$\Delta n^3 = \left\lceil 6\binom{n+2}{3} + \binom{n+1}{1} \right\rceil - \left\lceil 6\binom{n+1}{3} + \binom{n}{1} \right\rceil = 6\binom{n+1}{2} + \binom{n}{0}$$

because  $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ .

Moreover, the concept above allows us to reach N-fold power sums  $\sum^{N} k^{2m+1}$  or finite differences  $\Delta^N k^{2m+1}$  by simply altering binomial coefficients indexes. Quite strong and impressive.

We can observe that triangular numbers  $\binom{n+1}{2}$  are equivalent to

$$\binom{n+1}{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k$$

because  $\binom{n+1}{m+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{k}{m}$ . This leads us to the fact that

$$\Delta n^3 = (n+1)^3 - n^3 = 1 + 6\sum_{k=0}^n k$$

An experienced mathematician would immediately notice a spot to apply Faulhaber's formula [5] to get the closed form of the sum  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} k$ 

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k = \frac{1}{2}(n+n^2)$$

Thus, the finite difference  $\Delta(n^3)$  takes the well-known form, which matches Binomial theorem [6]

$$\Delta(n^3) = 1 + 6\left[\frac{1}{2}(n+n^2)\right] = 1 + 3n + 3n^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2} {3 \choose k} n^k$$

And...that could be the end of the story, isn't it? Because all what remains is to say that

$$n^{3} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1)^{3} - k^{3} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( 1 + 6 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1 + 3k + 3k^{2}$$

Thus, the polynomial  $n^3$  is interpolated successfully, and thus, our protégée's question (1.1) is answered positively. Because we have successfully found the function that matches  $n^3$  from the values of its finite differences from the table (1).

However, not this time. Luckily enough (say), the student who has stated the question (1.1) wasn't really aware of the approaches above neither. What a lazy student! Probably, that's exactly the case when unawareness leads to a fresh sight to century-old questions, leading to unexpected results and new insights. Instead, our investigator spotted a little bit different pattern in  $\Delta n^3 = 6\binom{n+1}{2} + \binom{n}{0}$ .

Consider the polynomial  $n^3$  as sum of its finite differences

$$n^{3} = [1 + 6 \cdot 0]$$

$$+ [1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1]$$

$$+ [1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 + 6 \cdot 2] + \cdots$$

$$+ [1 + 6 \cdot 0 + 6 \cdot 1 + 6 \cdot 2 + \cdots + 6 \cdot (n-1)]$$

SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 5

We can observe that the term 1 appears n times, the item  $6 \cdot 0$  appears n - 0 times, the item  $6 \cdot 1$  appears n - 1 times and so on. By rearranging recurring common terms

$$n^{3} = n + [(n - 0) \cdot 6 \cdot 0]$$

$$+ [(n - 1) \cdot 6 \cdot 1]$$

$$+ [(n - 2) \cdot 6 \cdot 2] + \cdots$$

$$+ [(n - k) \cdot 6 \cdot k] + \cdots$$

$$+ [1 \cdot 6 \cdot (n - 1)]$$

By applying compact sigma sum notation yields an identity for cubes  $n^3$ 

$$n^{3} = n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 6k(n-k)$$

We can freely move the term n under the summation, because there are exactly n iterations. Therefore,

$$n^3 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 6k(n-k) + 1$$

By inspecting the expression 6k(n-k)+1, we can notice that it is symmetric over k. Let be  $T_1(n,k)=6k(n-k)+1$  then

$$T_1(n,k) = T_1(n,n-k)$$

This symmetry allows us to alter summation bounds easily. Hence,

$$n^3 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 6k(n-k) + 1$$

By arranging the values of  $T_1(n, k)$  as a triangular array, we see that cube identities indeed are true

**Table 2.** Values of  $T_1(n,k) = 6k(n-k) + 1$ . See the sequence A287326 in OEIS [7].

The following recurrence holds for  $T_1(n, k)$ 

$$T_1(n,k) = 2T_1(n-1,k) - T_1(n-2,k)$$

Which is indeed true, because

$$T_1(5,2) = 2 \cdot 25 - 13 = 37$$

Finally, our curious learner has reached the first milestone, by finding his own answer to the question (1.1) and the answer was positive. What an excitement it was! However, it wouldn't take long. Indeed, curiosity is not something that can be fulfilled completely, and thus new questions arise. Somehow, the inquirer got a strong feeling that something bigger, something even more general hides behind the identity  $n^3 = \sum_{k=1}^n 6k(n-k) + 1$ . That was quite intuitive. Fair enough that the next question was

**Question 1.2.** Given that the identity  $n^3 = \sum_{k=1}^n 6k(n-k) + 1$  holds for the polynomial  $n^3$ , can it be extended or generalized to higher-degree powers, such as  $n^4$  or  $n^5$ , in a similar manner?

However, this time it was not so easy for the young explorer to find identity for  $n^4$  or  $n^5$  by simply observing the tables of finite differences. The previous approach to express the difference of cubes  $\Delta n^3$  in terms of  $\Delta^3 n^3 = 6$  and then express the cubes as  $n^3 = 6$ 

SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 7  $\sum_{k} 6k(n-k)+1$  — was not successful. Moreover, it wasn't even clear what is the generic form of an identity our student was looking for, a lot of concerns came from a simple interpolation task. Thus, the question (1.2) was shared with the mathematical community. And there was an answer.

### 2. A SYSTEM OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

In 2018, Albert Tkaczyk published two papers [8, 9] presenting analogous identities for polynomials  $n^5$ ,  $n^7$  and  $n^9$  derived in a manner similar to  $n^3 = \sum_{k=1}^n 6k(n-k) + 1$ . Tkaczyk assumed that the identity for  $n^5$  takes the following explicit form

$$n^{5} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ Ak^{2}(n-k)^{2} + Bk(n-k) + C \right]$$

where A, B, C are yet-unknown coefficients. We denote A, B, C as  $\mathbf{A}_{2,0}, \mathbf{A}_{2,1}, \mathbf{A}_{2,2}$  to reach the compact form of double sum

$$n^{5} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{2} \mathbf{A}_{2,r} k^{r} (n-k)^{r}$$

By observing the equation above, the potential form of generalized odd-power identity becomes more obvious. One important note to add here, we define  $0^x = 1$  for all x, see [3, p. 162]. This is because when k = n and r = 0 the term  $k^r(n - k)^r = n^0 \cdot 0^0$ , thus we must define  $0^x = 1$  for all x.

To evaluate the set of coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{2,0}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{2,1}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{2,2}$  we construct and solve a certain system of linear equations, which is built as follows

$$n^{5} = \mathbf{A}_{2,0} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{0} (n-k)^{0} + \mathbf{A}_{2,1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{1} (n-k)^{1} + \mathbf{A}_{2,2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} (n-k)^{2}$$

By expanding the sums  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r}$  using Faulhaber's formula [5], we get an equation

$$\mathbf{A}_{2,0}n + \mathbf{A}_{2,1} \left[ \frac{1}{6} (n^3 - n) \right] + \mathbf{A}_{2,2} \left[ \frac{1}{30} (n^5 - n) \right] - n^5 = 0$$

By multiplying by 30 both right-hand side and left-hand side, we get

$$30\mathbf{A}_{2,0}n + 5\mathbf{A}_{2,1}(n^3 - n) + \mathbf{A}_{2,2}(n^5 - n) - 30n^5 = 0$$

$$30\mathbf{A}_{2,0} - 5\mathbf{A}_{2,1}n + 5\mathbf{A}_{2,1}n^3 - \mathbf{A}_{2,2}n + \mathbf{A}_{2,2}n^5 - 30n^5 = 0$$

By combining the common terms, we obtain

$$n(30\mathbf{A}_{2,0} - 5\mathbf{A}_{2,1} - \mathbf{A}_{2,2}) + 5\mathbf{A}_{2,1}n^3 + n^5(\mathbf{A}_{2,2} - 30) = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} 30\mathbf{A}_{2,0} - 5\mathbf{A}_{2,1} - \mathbf{A}_{2,2} &= 0\\ \mathbf{A}_{2,1} &= 0\\ \mathbf{A}_{2,2} - 30 &= 0 \end{cases}$$

By solving the system above, we evaluate the coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{2,0}, \mathbf{A}_{2,1}, \mathbf{A}_{2,2}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_{2,2} &= 30 \\ \mathbf{A}_{2,1} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{A}_{2,0} &= 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus, the identity for  $n^5$ 

$$n^5 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 30k^2(n-k)^2 + 1$$

Again, the terms  $30k^2(n-k)^2 + 1$  are symmetric over k. Let be  $T_2(n,k) = 30k^2(n-k)^2 + 1$  then

$$T_2(n,k) = T_2(n,n-k)$$

By arranging the values of  $T_2(n, k)$  as a triangular array, we see that the identity for  $n^5$  is indeed true

**Table 3.** Values of  $T_2(n,k) = 30k^2(n-k)^2 + 1$ . See the sequence A300656 in OEIS [10].

The following recurrence holds for  $T_2(n,k)$ 

$$T_2(n,k) = 3T_2(n-1,k) - 3T_2(n-2,k) + T_2(n-3,k)$$

Which is indeed true because

$$T_2(6,2) = 3 \cdot 1081 - 3 \cdot 481 + 271 = 1921$$

Thus, our curious learner who stated the question (1.2) got the answer, yet again green light was lit. This time, the answer contained even more than methodology to find a set of coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{2,0}, \mathbf{A}_{2,1}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_{2,2}$  — it contained a generic form of odd power identity  $n^{2m+1}$  for any natural m. Hence, the part of questions-answers we discuss so far ends here, it is time to state a conjecture.

Conjecture 2.1. There is a set of coefficients  $A_{m,0}, A_{m,1}, \ldots, A_{m,m}$  such that

$$n^{2m+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} k^{r} (n-k)^{r}$$

We already know that to identify the coefficients we have to build and solve a certain system of linear equations, however, we cannot perform any kind of induction on that, hence conjecture cannot be proven just by building and solving endless systems of linear equations. SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 10 There must be a formula that evaluates the set of coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{m,0}, \mathbf{A}_{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{A}_{m,m}$  for every non-negative integer m — our young investigator thought.

# 3. Recurrence relation

In 2018, the recurrence relation [11] that evaluates the coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$  for non-negative integer m was provided by Max Alekseyev, George Washington University. The main idea of Alekseyev's approach was to utilize a recurrence relation to evaluate the set of coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$  starting from the base case  $\mathbf{A}_{m,m}$  and then evaluating the next coefficient  $\mathbf{A}_{m,m-1}$  recursively, and so on up to  $\mathbf{A}_{m,0}$ . We utilize Binomial theorem  $(n-k)^r = \sum_{t=0}^r (-1)^t {r \choose t} n^{r-t} k^t$  and a specific version of Faulhaber's formula [5]

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p} = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{p} {p+1 \choose j} B_{j} n^{p+1-j} = \frac{1}{p+1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} {p+1 \choose j} B_{j} n^{p+1-j} \right] - \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{p+1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} {p+1 \choose j} B_{j} n^{p+1-j} \right] - \frac{B_{p+1}}{p+1}$$

The reason we use modified version of Faulhaber's formula is because we tend to omit summation bounds, for simplicity. This helps us to collapse the common terms across complex sums, see also [12, p. 2]. Therefore, we expand the sum  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r}$  using Binomial theorem

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \sum_{t=0}^{r} (-1)^{t} \binom{r}{t} n^{r-t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{t+r}$$

By applying Faulhaber's formula above, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \sum_{t=0}^{r} (-1)^{t} {r \choose t} n^{r-t} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{t+r+1} \sum_{j} {t+r+1 \choose j} B_{j} n^{t+r+1-j} \right) - \frac{B_{t+r+1}}{t+r+1} \right]$$

By moving the common term  $\frac{(-1)^t}{t+r+1}$  out of brackets

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \sum_{t=0}^{r} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} \left[ \sum_{j} {t+r+1 \choose j} B_{j} n^{2r+1-j} - B_{t+r+1} n^{r-t} \right]$$

By expanding the brackets

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{r} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} \sum_{j} {t+r+1 \choose j} B_{j} n^{2r+1-j} \right] - \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{r} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} B_{t+r+1} n^{r-t} \right]$$

By moving the sum in j and omitting summation bounds in t

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \left[ \sum_{j,t} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} {t+r+1 \choose j} B_{j} n^{2r+1-j} \right] - \left[ \sum_{t} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} B_{t+r+1} n^{r-t} \right]$$

By rearranging the sums we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \left[ \sum_{j} B_{j} n^{2r+1-j} \sum_{t} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} {t+r+1 \choose j} \right] - \left[ \sum_{t} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} B_{t+r+1} n^{r-t} \right]$$

$$(1)$$

We can notice that

# Lemma 3.1. For integers r, j

$$\sum_{t} {r \choose t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{r+t+1} {r+t+1 \choose j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2r+1){2r \choose r}} & \text{if } j = 0\\ \frac{(-1)^{r}}{j} {r \choose 2r-j+1} & \text{if } j > 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* An elegant proof is done by Markus Scheuer in [13].

In particular, the sum in lemma (3.1) is zero for  $0 < j \le r$ . To utilize the lemma (3.1), we have to move j = 0 out of summation in (1) to avoid division by zero in  $\frac{(-1)^r}{j}$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j} n^{2r+1-j} \sum_{t} \binom{r}{t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} \binom{t+r+1}{j} \right] - \left[ \sum_{t} \binom{r}{t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} B_{t+r+1} n^{r-t} \right]$$

SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 12 Hence, we simplify the equation (1) by using lemma (3.1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + \underbrace{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{j} \binom{r}{2r-j+1} B_{j} n^{2r-j+1}\right]}_{(\star)}$$
$$-\underbrace{\left[\sum_{t} \binom{r}{t} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t+r+1} B_{t+r+1} n^{r-t}\right]}_{(\diamond)}$$

By introducing  $\ell = 2r - j + 1$  to  $(\star)$  and  $\ell = r - t$  to  $(\diamond)$  we collapse the common terms across two sums

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + \left[ \sum_{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{2r+1-\ell} \binom{r}{\ell} B_{2r+1-\ell} n^{\ell} \right]$$
$$- \left[ \sum_{\ell} \binom{r}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{r-\ell}}{2r+1-\ell} B_{2r+1-\ell} n^{\ell} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + 2 \sum_{\text{odd } \ell} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{2r+1-\ell} \binom{r}{\ell} B_{2r+1-\ell} n^{\ell}$$

By replacing odd  $\ell$  by  $\ell = 2k + 1$ , and by simplifying 2's, we get

**Proposition 3.2** (Bivariate Faulhaber's Formula).

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{r} (n-k)^{r} = \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{2r-2k} \binom{r}{2k+1} B_{2r-2k} n^{2k+1}$$

Assuming that  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$  is defined by the odd-power identity  $n^{2m+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} k^{r} (n-k)^{r}$ , we obtain the following relation for polynomials in n

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{(-1)^r}{r-k} \binom{r}{2k+1} B_{2r-2k} n^{2k+1} \equiv n^{2m+1}$$
 (2)

Basically, the relation (2) is the generating function we utilize to evaluate the values of  $\mathbf{A}_{m,0}, \mathbf{A}_{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{A}_{m,m}$ . We now fix the unused values of  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$  so that  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r} = 0$  for every r < 0 or r > m.

Taking the coefficient of  $n^{2m+1}$  in (2) yields

$$\mathbf{A}_{m,m} = (2m+1) \binom{2m}{m}$$

SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 13 because  $\mathbf{A}_{m,m} \frac{1}{(2m+1)\binom{2m}{m}} = 1$ .

That's may not be immediately clear why the coefficient of  $n^{2m+1}$  is  $(2m+1)\binom{2m}{m}$ . To extract the coefficient of  $n^{2m+1}$  from the expression (2), we isolate the relevant terms by setting r=m in the first sum, and k=m in the second sum. This gives

$$[n^{2m+1}] \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r-k} \binom{r}{2k+1} B_{2r-2k} n^{2k+1} - n^{2m+1} \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{A}_{m,m} \frac{1}{(2m+1)\binom{2m}{m}} + \sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r-m} \binom{r}{2m+1} B_{2r-2m} - 1$$

We observe that the sum

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{(-1)^r}{r-m} \binom{r}{2m+1} B_{2r-2m}$$

does not contribute to the determination of the coefficients because the binomial coefficient  $\binom{r}{2m+1}$  vanishes for all  $r \leq m$ . Consequently, all terms in the sum are zero. Thus,

$$\mathbf{A}_{m,m} \frac{1}{(2m+1)\binom{2m}{m}} - 1 = 0 \implies \mathbf{A}_{m,m} = (2m+1)\binom{2m}{m}$$

Taking the coefficient of  $n^{2d+1}$  for an integer d in the range  $\frac{m}{2} \leq d \leq m-1$  in (2) gives

$$[n^{2d+1}] \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{1}{(2r+1)\binom{2r}{r}} n^{2r+1} + \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r-k} \binom{r}{2k+1} B_{2r-2k} n^{2k+1} - n^{2m+1} \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{A}_{m,d} \frac{1}{(2d+1)\binom{2d}{d}} + \sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r-d} \binom{r}{2d+1} B_{2r-2d}.$$

For every  $\frac{m}{2} \leq d$ , the binomial coefficient  $\binom{r}{2d+1}$  vanishes, because for all  $r \leq m$  holds r < 2d+1. As a particular example, when r = m and  $d = \frac{m}{2}$ , we have

$$\binom{m}{m+1} = 0.$$

Therefore, the entire sum involving  $\binom{r}{2d+1}$  vanishes, and we conclude

$$\mathbf{A}_{m,d} \frac{1}{(2d+1)\binom{2d}{d}} = 0 \implies \mathbf{A}_{m,d} = 0.$$

SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 14 Hence, for all integers d such that  $\frac{m}{2} \le d \le m-1$ , the coefficient  $\mathbf{A}_{m,d} = 0$ . In contrast, for values  $d \le \frac{m}{2} - 1$ , the binomial coefficient  $\binom{r}{2d+1}$  can be nonzero; for instance, if r = m and  $d = \frac{m}{2} - 1$ , then

$$\binom{m}{m-1} \neq 0,$$

allowing the corresponding terms to contribute to the determination of  $\mathbf{A}_{m,d}$ .

Taking the coefficient of  $n^{2d+1}$  for d in the range  $\frac{m}{4} \leq d < \frac{m}{2}$  in (2), we obtain

$$\mathbf{A}_{m,d} \frac{1}{(2d+1)\binom{2d}{d}} + 2(2m+1)\binom{2m}{m}\binom{m}{2d+1} \frac{(-1)^m}{2m-2d} B_{2m-2d} = 0.$$

Solving for  $\mathbf{A}_{m,d}$  yields

$$\mathbf{A}_{m,d} = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(2m+1)!}{d! \, d! \, m! \, (m-2d-1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{m-d} B_{2m-2d}.$$

Proceeding recursively, we can compute each coefficient  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$  for integers r in the ranges

$$\frac{m}{2^{s+1}} \le r < \frac{m}{2^s}, \text{ for } s = 1, 2, \dots$$

by using previously computed values  $\mathbf{A}_{m,d}$  for d > r, via the relation

$$\mathbf{A}_{m,r} = (2r+1)\binom{2r}{r} \sum_{d=2r+1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,d} \binom{d}{2r+1} \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{d-r} B_{2d-2r}.$$

Finally, we define the following recurrence relation for coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$ 

**Proposition 3.3.** For integers m and r

$$\mathbf{A}_{m,r} = \begin{cases} (2r+1)\binom{2r}{r} & \text{if } r = m \\ (2r+1)\binom{2r}{r} \sum_{d=2r+1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,d} \binom{d}{2r+1} \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{d-r} B_{2d-2r} & \text{if } 0 \le r < m \\ 0 & \text{if } r < 0 \text{ or } r > m \end{cases}$$

where  $B_t$  are Bernoulli numbers [14]. It is assumed that  $B_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

For example,

m/r	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	1							
1	1	6						
2	1	0	30					
3	1	-14	0	140				
4	1	-120	0	0	630			
5	1	-1386	660	0	0	2772		
6	1	-21840	18018	0	0	0	12012	
7	1	-450054	491400	-60060	0	0	0	51480

**Table 4.** Coefficients  $A_{m,r}$ . See OEIS sequences [15, 16].

Properties of the coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$ 

- $\bullet \ \mathbf{A}_{m,m} = \binom{2m}{m}$
- $\mathbf{A}_{m,r} = 0$  for m < 0 and r > m
- $\mathbf{A}_{m,r} = 0 \text{ for } r < 0$
- $\mathbf{A}_{m,r} = 0$  for  $\frac{m}{2} \le r < m$
- $\mathbf{A}_{m,0} = 1$  for  $m \ge 0$
- $\mathbf{A}_{m,r}$  are integers for  $m \leq 11$
- Row sums:  $\sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} = 2^{2m+1} 1$

$$n^{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 6k(n-k) + 1$$

$$n^{5} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 30k^{2}(n-k)^{2} + 1$$

$$n^{7} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 140k^{3}(n-k)^{3} - 14k(n-k) + 1$$

$$n^{9} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 630k^{4}(n-k)^{4} - 120k(n-k) + 1$$

$$n^{11} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 2772k^{5}(n-k)^{5} + 660k^{2}(n-k)^{2} - 1386k(n-k) + 1$$

$$n^{13} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 51480k^{7}(n-k)^{7} - 60060k^{3}(n-k)^{3} + 491400k^{2}(n-k)^{2} - 450054k(n-k) + 1$$

Thus, the conjecture (2.1) is true

**Theorem 4.1** (Odd power identity). There is a set of coefficients  $\mathbf{A}_{m,0}, \mathbf{A}_{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{A}_{m,m}$  such that

4. Main results

$$n^{2m+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} k^{r} (n-k)^{r}$$

**Definition 4.2** (Bivariate sum  $T_m$ ).

$$T_m(n,k) = \sum_{r=0}^m \mathbf{A}_{m,r} k^r (n-k)^r$$

**Proposition 4.3** (Recurrence for  $T_m$ ). For non-negative integers m and n

$$T_m(n,k) = \sum_{t=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{t-1} {m+1 \choose t} T_m(n-t,k)$$

**Proposition 4.4** (Odd power decomposition). For non-negative integers m and n

$$n^{2m+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{t-1} {m+1 \choose t} T_m(n-t,k)$$

Corollary 4.5 (Odd power decomposition m-1).

$$n^{2m-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{m} (-1)^{t} {m \choose t} T_{m-1}(n-t,k)$$

**Proposition 4.6** (Odd power binomial form). For integers n and a such that  $n-2a \ge 0$ 

$$(n-2a)^{2m+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{k=a+1}^{n-a} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} (k-a)^r (n-k-a)^r$$

Proposition 4.7 (Sum of odd powers).

$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} t^{2m+1} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{m,r} k^{r} (n-k)^{r}$$

#### References

- [1] Walter Gautschi. Interpolation before and after Lagrange. Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino, 70(4):347–368, 2012. https://seminariomatematico.polito.it/rendiconti/70-4/347.pdf.
- [2] Meijering, Erik. A chronology of interpolation: from ancient astronomy to modern signal and image processing. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 90(3):319–342, 2002. https://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/63085/files/meijering0201.pdf.
- [3] Graham, Ronald L. and Knuth, Donald E. and Patashnik, Oren. Concrete mathematics: A foundation for computer science (second edition). Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1994. https://archive.org/details/concrete-mathematics.
- [4] Knuth, Donald E. Johann Faulhaber and sums of powers. Mathematics of Computation, 61(203):277–294, 1993. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9207222.
- [5] Alan F. Beardon. Sums of powers of integers. The American mathematical monthly, 103(3):201–213, 1996. https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1996.12004725.
- [6] Abramowitz, Milton and Stegun, Irene A. and Romer, Robert H. Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables, 1988.
- [7] Petro Kolosov. Numerical triangle, row sums give third power, Entry A287326 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2017. https://oeis.org/A287326.
- [8] Tkaczyk, Albert. About the problem of a triangle developing the polynomial function, 2018. Published electronically at LinkedIn.
- [9] Tkaczyk, Albert. On the problem of a triangle developing the polynomial function continuation. Published electronically at LinkedIn, 2018.

# SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 18

- [10] Petro Kolosov. Numerical triangle, row sums give fifth power, Entry A300656 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2018. https://oeis.org/A300656.
- [11] Alekseyev, Max. MathOverflow answer 297916/113033, 2018. https://mathoverflow.net/a/297916/ 113033.
- [12] Knuth, Donald E. Two notes on notation. *The American Mathematical monthly*, 99(5):403-422, 1992. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9205211.
- [13] Scheuer, Markus. MathStackExchange answer 4724343/463487, 2023. https://math.stackexchange.com/a/4724343/463487.
- [14] Harry Bateman. Higher transcendental functions [volumes i-iii], volume 1. McGRAW-HILL book company, 1953.
- [15] Petro Kolosov. Entry A302971 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2018. https://oeis. org/A302971.
- [16] Petro Kolosov. Entry A304042 in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2018. https://oeis.org/A304042.

# SURPRISING POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES ARISING FROM A CLASS. INTERPOLATION PROBLEM 19

## APPLICATION 1: MATHEMATICA PROGRAMS

Wew support out research with additional Wolfram Mathematica programs to validate the main results. Refer to the GitHub repository to find the Mathematica files

- surprising-polynomial-identities-classical-interpolation.m—is the package file where all functions are defined, execute it (Shift+Enter) before testing.
- surprising-polynomial-identities-classical-interpolation.nb is a working notebook where functions are used to validate the main results.

To test equation use the following commands

- OddPowerIdentity[n, 2] =  $(n+1)(n^3 n^2 + n 1)n + n$  validates odd power identity (4.1) for m = 0, 1, 2, ..., in this example m = 2.
- OddPowerIdentitySimplified[n, 4] =  $n^9$  validates odd power identity (4.1) for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  and simplifies it, in this example m = 4.
- BivariateSumT[10, 3, 2]
- RecurrenceForT[10, 3, 2]
- TableForm[Table[BivariateSumT[3, n, k], {n, 0, 10}, {k, 0, n}], TableAlignments
  -> Left]
- TableForm[Table[RecurrenceForT[3, n, k], {n, 0, 10}, {k, 0, n}], TableAlignments
  -> Left]
- ullet OddPowerDecomposition[n, 5]  $= n^{11}$
- OddPowerBinomialForm[2, 15, 5] =  $3125 = 5^5$