Exercise 7.13

- Smoke ⇒ Smoke
 ≡ ⁷Smoke ∨ Smoke ≡ True
 Valid
- 2. Smoke ⇒ Fire ≡ 7Smoke V Fire Neither
- 3. (Smoke ⇒ Fire) ⇒ (7Smoke ⇒ 7Fire)

 ≡ (7Smoke ∨ Fire) ⇒ (77Smoke ∨ 7Fire)

 ≡ (7Smoke ∨ Fire) ⇒ (Smoke ∨ 7Fire)

 ≡ (7Smoke ∨ Fire) ∨ (Smoke ∨ 7Fire)

 ≡ (Smoke ∧ 7Fire) ∨ Smoke ∨ 7Fire

 ≡ (Smoke ∨ Smoke ∨ 7Fire) ∧ (7Fire ∨ Smoke ∨ 7Fire)

 ≡ (Smoke ∨ 7Fire) ∧ (7Fire ∨ Smoke)

 ≡ 7Fire ∨ Smoke

 Neither
- 4. Smoke V Fire V Fire

 ≡ Smoke V True ≡ True

 Valid

7. (Big
$$\Lambda$$
 Dump) V 7 Dump
$$\equiv (Big V ^7Dump) \Lambda (Dump V ^7Dump)$$

$$\equiv (Big V ^7Dump) \Lambda \text{ True}$$

$$\equiv (Big V ^7Dump)$$
Neither

Exercise 7.23

1.真值表如下:用 1 表示 True,用 0 表示 False

设 A= [(Food⇒Party)∨(Drinks⇒Party)]⇒[(Food∧Drinks)⇒Party]

Food	Drinks	Party	(Food⇒Party)	(Drinks⇒Party)	(Food∧Drinks)⇒Party	Α
0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1

0	1	0	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1

故,该语句是**有效的。**

2. 左右两边分别转换为 CNF

左边: (Food ⇒ Party) ∨ (Drinks ⇒ Party)

≡(¬Food ∨ Party) ∨ (¬Drinks ∨ Party)

≡(¬Food ∨ Party ∨ ¬Drinks ∨ Party)

≡(¬Food ∨ ¬Drinks ∨ Party)

右边: (Food ^ Drinks) ⇒ Party

≡¬(Food ∧ Drinks) ∨ Party

≡(¬Food ∨ ¬Drinks) ∨ Party

≡(¬Food ∨ ¬Drinks ∨ Party)

解释:左右两边化为 CNF 后是相同的语句,设 B=(¬Food ∨ ¬Drinks ∨ Party),所以原语句相当于 B⇒B,对于任意的 B 都是有效的

3.证明:

需证明 (Food⇒Party)∨(Drinks⇒Party) ∨¬[(Food^Drinks)⇒Party] 是不可满足的

(1) 化为 CNF

(Food⇒Party) ∨ (Drinks⇒Party) ∨ ¬ [(Food∧Drinks)⇒Party]

≡(¬Food ∨ ¬Drinks ∨ Party) ∧ ¬ [¬Food ∨ ¬Drinks ∨ Party]

≡(¬Food ∨ ¬Drinks ∨ Party) ∧ Food ∧ Drinks ∧ ¬Party

(2) 归结操作

子句集合为 clauses={¬Food v ¬Drinks v Party, Food, Drinks, ¬Party}
子句归结后形成新子句集合 new={¬Drinks v Party, ¬Food v Party, ¬Food v ¬Drinks}
更新子句集合 clauses={¬Drinks v Party, ¬Food v Party, ¬Food v ¬Drinks}∪clauses
子句归结后形成新子句集合 new={Food, Drinks, ¬Party, ¬Food, ¬Drinks, Party......}
更新子句集合 clauses=new∪clauses

子句归结过程中有: Food, ¬Food 归结为空子句

所以语句(Food⇒Party) ∨ (Drinks⇒Party) ∨ ¬ [(Food ∧ Drinks)⇒Party] 是不可满足的,即原语句是有效的。