

# INLP – Assignment 2

**Course Coordinator:** Manish Srivatsava

**Name :** Lakshmipathi Balaji

**Roll No:** 2021114007

**Mail :** lakshmipathi.balaji@gmail.com

## Project details:

- POS tagging using LSTM/ GRU/ RNN.
- Hyperparameter tuning for best accuracy.

## Procedure:

I have implemented a LSTM to do POS tagging using the given datasets – ud-treebanks-v2.11/UD\_English-Atis/en\_atis-ud-{train,dev,test}.conllu. The modularity in code is well maintained.

- **Things Learnt:** A clear understanding of the LSTMs and some background math of it.

## Best Model Observed:

The hyperparameters used for the model -

```
# PARAMETERS
EMBEDDING_DIM = 300
BATCH_SIZE = 4
HIDDEN_DIM = 256
EPOCHS = 2
NUM_LAYERS = 3
```

Loss Function – NLLloss function (Negative log likelihood loss)

Optimiser – Adam ( This optimiser auto adjusts learning rate so that parameter is not used in optimiser)

F1 scores, Accuracies, Loss per each epoch are given below -

## INLP – Assignment 2

```
Starting {0} Epoch 2023-03-09 14:59:27.550224
-1_Epoch Accuracy - 0
Accuracy of the network on the 6644 validation examples: 93 %
0_Epoch Accuracy - 93.10656231186033
Epoch 0 completed with loss 0.3708084225654602
Starting {1} Epoch 2023-03-09 14:59:43.303225
0_Epoch Accuracy - 93.10656231186033
Accuracy of the network on the 6644 validation examples: 94 %
1_Epoch Accuracy - 94.37086092715232
Epoch 1 completed with loss 0.09596716612577438
Accuracy of the network on the 6644 validation examples: 94 %
Accuracy of the network on the 6580 test examples: 94 %
      precision    recall  f1-score   support

     0       1.00      0.73      0.84       392
     1       0.97      0.91      0.94       256
     2       0.82      0.99      0.90       512
     3       0.98      0.97      0.97      1166
     4       0.95      1.00      0.98      1434
     5       0.95      0.99      0.97      1567
     6       0.94      0.87      0.91       629
     7       0.96      0.64      0.77       127
     8       0.94      0.95      0.95       220
     9       1.00      0.97      0.99       109
    10       0.91      0.79      0.85        76
    11       0.98      0.91      0.94        56
    12       0.97      1.00      0.99        36

 accuracy
macro avg      0.95      0.90      0.92      6580
weighted avg    0.95      0.95      0.95      6580

[[ 286   0 105   0   0   0   0   1   0   0   0   0   0]
 [   0 233   0   0   0   0  23   0   0   0   0   0   0]
 [   0   0 508   1   0   2   1   0   0   0   0   0   0]
 [   0   0   1 1131   2  28   4   0   0   0   0   0   0]
 [   0   0   3   0 1429   0   0   0   0   0   1   1   0]
 [   0   0   1   6   0 1557   0   1   1   0   1   0   0]
 [   0   8   0   9  59   1  550   0   2   0   0   0   0]
 [   0   0   0   5   0  37   1  81   3   0   0   0   0]
 [   0   0   0   3   1   3   1   0 209   0   3   0   0]
 [   0   0   0   0   0   2   0   0   0 106   1   0   0]
 [   0   0   0   0   1   3   3   1   7   0  60   0   1]
 [   0   0   0   0   5   0   0   0   0   0   0  51   0]
 [   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0  36]]
```

It can be observed that f1 score is high with good support and the confusion\_matrix also shows that.

# INLP – Assignment 2

## Challenges Faced:

- I was not able to use the complete power of my inbuilt external gpu RTX-3060-4gb. After going through many I got to know about how I can use my gpu for this purpose.
- Though there was a little knowledge about LSTMs before I had to go through 3B1B videos and pytorch to get to know actual functionality and code of LSTMs.

## Realisations:

- Initially I implemented a LSTM with pretrained embeddings with a embedding layer separately for train,valid,test datasets but after some time I realised this is not a good way as the meaning the vectors for the same word may change as the dataset changed so I had to shift back to use embedding layer for each input.
- Then I passed sentence to embedding layer where each word is indexed by a dictionary storing all words and used individual dictionaries for 3 datasets and realised this will give vectors depending on as early as the word occurred in their respective datasets rather than the actual meaning.
- Then I finally got a conclusion to do the embeddings for each sentence where each word is indexed by training dataset dictionary for all of the datasets and treating new words as UNK.

## Helpful links:

- [3b1b playlist on neural networks](#)
- Pytorch tutorials.
- Intermediate models that are produced during hyperparameter tuning are here.[models](#)