# Risk Analysis Report by Aldous

ID #: 188293

Conversation #: 1

Conversation Date: 08.23.2024

# **Detailed Analysis**

# Ideology

The user expresses a strong alignment with the ideology that ISIS is the only group fighting for their people, which is rooted in a belief that violent jihad is a religious duty. This indicates a connection to extremist narratives that frame violence as a necessary and righteous act in defense of their faith and community. The mention of governments "killing our people" also suggests an "us vs. them" mentality, a common theme in extremist ideologies.

#### **Emotional State**

The dominant emotions in this conversation appear to be frustration, anger, and a sense of duty. The user's frustration is directed at perceived injustices against their group, leading to an emotional attachment to ISIS as a defender of their faith. However, there's also some hesitation and confusion when presented with an alternative interpretation of their religious duties, suggesting underlying uncertainty.

## **Action Tendency**

The user's language indicates a leaning towards potential violent action, as they express a belief that it is their duty to fight. However, there is no clear indication of immediate planning or intent to act, particularly given their final statement about needing to think. This shows a potential opening for deradicalization.

#### **Radicalization Stage**

The user appears to be in the active radicalization stage. They have adopted a belief system that justifies violence, but there is still some openness to alternative interpretations, as shown by their willingness to think about the new information provided.

#### **Key Inflection Points**

"What context?" - This indicates a challenge to the bot's suggestion of an alternative understanding of Islam, marking a critical moment where the user's curiosity opens a door for further engagement.

"Idk all this...I just know it is my duty to fight." - A significant point where the user admits to a limited understanding of religious teachings, yet maintains their belief in the duty to fight. This suggests that while they are entrenched in a radical viewpoint, they might lack comprehensive knowledge, which can be addressed in further conversations.

# **Recommended Approach**

### What kinds of things to say next:

- Continue to provide alternative interpretations of Islamic teachings, focusing on verses that emphasize peace, mercy, and justice.
- Ask open-ended questions that encourage the user to reflect on the broader implications of violence, such as, "How do you think violence affects the larger Muslim community?" or "What do you think the Prophet would say about using violence to achieve justice?"

# What kinds of things to avoid saying:

- Avoid any statements that could be perceived as dismissive or authoritarian, as this could reinforce the user's defensive stance.
- Steer clear of direct challenges to the user's current beliefs without offering a compassionate alternative, as this could lead to further entrenchment in their views.

#### **Unmet Emotional Needs**

A need for belonging and purpose, which is being fulfilled by the idea of joining ISIS.

A desire for justice and retaliation against perceived oppression, which fuels their anger and frustration.

# Any other factors deradicalization experts should be aware of when engaging with this individual:

The user's beliefs seem deeply influenced by a narrow interpretation of religious texts. This suggests that educational interventions that broaden their understanding of Islamic teachings could be effective.

The user's current state of uncertainty is a critical window for engagement, and efforts should be made to capitalize on this before they solidify their commitment to violent action.

#### **Risk Rating**

**Medium** – The user expresses a willingness to engage in violence, but their openness to rethinking their beliefs suggests they are not yet fully committed to action, providing a crucial opportunity for intervention.