Logistic Regression, Expectation Maximization and Probability Bounds

Submit a PDF of your answers to Canvas

1. In this problem we will train a binary logistic regression classifier. Download the starter notebook and the associated dataset.

Training in binary logistic regression involves minimizing the following:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i} \log \left(1 + e^{-y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{w}} \right)$$

where $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ is the class label, x_i is the feature vector, w is the unknown weight vector, and the sum is taken over the training data. The gradient of this expression with respect to w is

$$\nabla_{w}\ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i} \frac{-y_{i}}{1 + e^{y_{i}\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}_{i}}} \mathbf{x}_{i}.$$

- **a)** Write a function to compute the logistic loss for a dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$. Your function should take a weight vector \mathbf{w} as input and return a scalar.
- **b)** Write a function to compute the gradient of the logistic loss evaluated at **w**. Your function should take a weight vector **w** as input and return a column vector.
- **c**) Run gradient descent on the provided dataset using the functions you created. Make sure to specify an appropriate stopping condition.
- **d)** What is the error rate on the (training) dataset?
- **e) Optional.** Improve the gradient descent algorithm by using Newton's method. Newton's works by estimating the function using a second order Taylor series approximation. You will need to compute the Hessian of the logistic loss function.
- **2.** *K means and EM*. The K means algorithm is an example of an expectation maximization (EM) algorithm. K means involves finding the closest cluster center for each each data point as:

$$z_i = \arg\min_k ||\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k||_2^2$$

Note that z_i indicates the cluster to which point i is assigned.

The EM algorithm can also be used for clustering. In Gaussian mixture models, the *responsibility* of each cluster *k* for a data point *i* is computed as

$$r_{ik} = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{\mu}_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{k'} \pi_{k'} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{\mu}_{k'}, \Sigma_{k'})}.$$

This is often referred to as *soft* assignment, as each cluster (each mixture component) takes some responsibility for each data point. Conversely, hard assignment involves assigning each data point to the cluster that has the largest *responsibility*:

$$z_i = \arg\max_k r_{ik}.$$

- a) Show that the two expressions for z_i are equivalent when $\Sigma_k = I$ and $\pi_k = 1/K$.
- **b)** Argue that, in some cases, the soft and hard assignments are nearly equivalent:

$$r_{ik} \approx \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \gg \pi_{k'} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i | \mu_{k'}, \Sigma_{k'}) \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

- **3.** Empirical CDFs. Recall that the cumulative distribution function of a random variable with pdf f(x) is given by $F(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \le x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(y) dy$.
 - a) Use the definition of expectation to show that $F(x) = E[\mathbb{I}\{X \le x\}].$
 - **b)** Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n denote i.i.d. samples from f(x). The empirical distribution of continuous data is usually defined as $\widehat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_i \leq x\}$. What is $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{F}_n(x)]$?
 - c) Fix an x, and we have that $var(\widehat{F}_n(x)) = E[(\widehat{F}_n(x) F(x))^2]$. Show that $var(\widehat{F}_n(x)) = \frac{F(x)(1-F(x))}{n}$.
 - **d)** Show that for all x, $E[(\widehat{F}_n(x) F(x))^2] \le \frac{1}{4n}$.