# "By Example" introduction into the Mathematica PairwiseComparisons` Package

Konrad Kułakowski (C) 2014, 2015 e-mail: konrad@kulakowski.org

The package and documentation is available under GNU General Public Licence,

see: http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/gpl.html

To install the package on the computer start Mathematica and choose File/Install..., then select PairwiseComparisons.m as the package source and press OK.

To install the package at the Raspberry PI just copy them into /opt/Wolfram/WolframEngine/10.0/AddOns/ExtraPackages and restart the application

Introduction

Loading the package

<< PairwiseComparisons`;

Printing function usage

? KoczkodajIdx

KoczkodajIdx[M] returns the value of the Koczkodaj inconsistency computed for the matrix M

Printing full (with implementation) function usage

?? KoczkodajIdx

Koczkodajldx[M] returns the value of the Koczkodaj inconsistency computed for the matrix M

KoczkodajIdx[PairwiseComparisons`Private`matrix\_] :=
 Last[KoczkodajTheWorstTriad[PairwiseComparisons`Private`matrix]]

Print all the public functions in the package

## ?PairwiseComparisons`\*

#### **▼** PairwiseComparisons`

AlJadd	EigenvalueRank- Sym	HREGeomFullR- ank	KoczkodajImpro- veMatrixStep	RankToVector
AlJgeom	ErrorMatrix	HREGeomInter- mediateRank	KoczkodajTheW- orstTriad	RecreatePCMat- rix
COP1Check	GeometricRank	HREGeomMatrix	KoczkodajTheW- orstTriads	Saatyldx
COP1ViolationL- ist	GeometricResc- aledRank	HREGeomParti- alRank	KoczkodajTriadl- dx	SaatyldxSym
COP2Check	GetMatrixEntry	HREGeomResc- aledRank	LocalDiscrepan- cyMatrix	SetDiagonal
COP2ViolationL- ist	GlobalDiscrepa- ncy	HREMatrix	PrincipalEigenV- alue	VersionPC
DeleteColumns	HarkerMatrix	HREPartialRank	PrincipalEigenV- alueSym	
DeleteRows	HREConstantTe- rmVector	HRERescaledR- ank	PrincipalEigenV- ector	
DeleteRowsAnd- Columns	HREFullRank	KoczkodajConsi- stentTriad	PrincipalEigenV- ectorSym	
EigenvalueRank	HREGeomCons- tantTermVect- or	Koczkodajldx	RankOrder	

# Assign the matrix to the variable M

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 4 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left\{ \{1, 2, 3\}, \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1, 4 \right\}, \left\{ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, 1 \right\} \right\}$$

# Eigenvalue based method

# Calculate the principal eigenvalue of the matrix M

PrincipalEigenValue[M]

3.10785

Calculate the principal eigenvector of the matrix M

PrincipalEigenVector[M]

{4.16017, 2.8845, 1.}

Calculate the value of the Saaty (eigenvalue based) inconsistency index of M

SaatyIdx[M]

0.0539237

Calculate the eigenvalue based ranking based on M

```
EigenvalueRank[M]
{0.517134, 0.35856, 0.124306}
```

Calculate the geometric mean based ranking based on M

Geometric Mean method (Logarithmic Least Square Method)

```
N[GeometricRank[M]]
{1.81712, 1.25992, 0.43679}
```

Calculate the geometric mean based ranking based on M rescaled so that the sum of entries is 1

```
N[GeometricRescaledRank[M]]
{0.517134, 0.35856, 0.124306}
```

Please note that if you want to have numeric (not symbolic) output the input data also should be "numeric".

Thus, it is not a bad idea to add a numeric conversion N@ to the input matrix. For example:

```
N[GeometricRank[N@M]]
{1.81712, 1.25992, 0.43679}
```

The above applies to all the methods in the package, except eigenvalue based methods such as PrincipalEigenValue,

PrincipalEigenVector, Saatyldx and EigenvalueRank, which are "by design" numeric.

Heuristic Rating Estimation Method (additive)

Calculate the HRE Matrix for the given matrix M where the unknown concepts are  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$  and the known concepts are  $\{c_4 = 5, c_5 = 9\}$ . It is assumed that the unknown concepts has value 0 whilst the known concepts have the values greater than 0.

Further references could be found in papers:

\* Konrad Kułakowski,

Heuristic Rating Estimation Approach to The Pairwise Comparisons Method http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.0386

\* Konrad Kułakowski, A heuristic rating estimation algorithm for the pairwise comparisons method http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10100 - 013 - 0311 - x

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{HREMatrix} \left[ \begin{array}{ccccccc} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} & m_{1,4} & m_{1,5} \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} & m_{2,4} & m_{2,5} \\ m_{3,1} & m_{3,2} & m_{3,3} & m_{3,4} & m_{3,5} \\ m_{4,1} & m_{4,2} & m_{4,3} & m_{4,4} & m_{4,5} \\ m_{5,1} & m_{5,2} & m_{5,3} & m_{5,4} & m_{5,5} \end{array} \right], \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ & \left( \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & -\frac{m_{1,2}}{4} & -\frac{m_{1,3}}{4} \\ -\frac{m_{2,1}}{4} & 1 & -\frac{m_{2,3}}{4} \\ -\frac{m_{3,1}}{4} & -\frac{m_{2,3}}{4} & 1 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Calculate the HRE constant term vector for M where  $C_U$  equals  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$  and  $C_K$  equals  $\{c_4 = 5, c_5 = 9\}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{5\,m_{1,4}}{4} + \frac{9\,m_{1,5}}{4} \\ \frac{5\,m_{2,4}}{4} + \frac{9\,m_{2,5}}{4} \\ \frac{5\,m_{3,4}}{4} + \frac{9\,m_{3,5}}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

Auxiliary function that transform an upper triangle matrix into a full and reciprocal matrix

$$\texttt{M} = \texttt{RecreatePCMatrix} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{3}{5} & \frac{4}{7} & \frac{5}{8} & \frac{5}{10} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{5}{7} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{10}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{2} & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
 
$$\left\{ \left\{ 1, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{7}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{5}{3}, 1, \frac{5}{7}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{10}{3} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{7}{4}, \frac{7}{5}, 1, \frac{7}{2}, 4 \right\}, \left\{ \frac{8}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{7}, 1, \frac{4}{3} \right\}, \left\{ 2, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, 1 \right\} \right\}$$

Defining known and unknown alternatives. It is assumed that c<sub>2</sub> and c<sub>3</sub> are known and equal 5 and 7 correspondingly

$$mk = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
{{0}, {5}, {7}, {0}, {0}}

Calculate the HRE ranking vector for unknown alternatives i.e. for c<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>4</sub> and c<sub>5</sub> only

```
mu = N[HREPartialRank[M, mk]]

(2.52765

2.88338

2.61696)
```

Calculate the full HRE ranking for the given input matrix M and the vector mk

```
mu = N[HREFullRank[M, mk]]
{2.52765, 5., 7., 2.88338, 2.61696}
```

Calculate the full HRE ranking rescaled so that all its entries sum up to 1

```
mu = N[HRERescaledRank[M, mk]]
{0.126206, 0.249651, 0.349511, 0.143967, 0.130665}
```

Heuristic Rating Estimation Method (multiplicative/geometric)

Further references could be found in papers:

\* Konrad Kułakowski, Grobler-Dębska Katarzyna, Wąs Jarosław, Heuristic rating estimation - geometric approach, http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.6981

Calculate the HRE constant term vector

```
m_{1,1} m_{1,2} m_{1,3} m_{1,4} m_{1,5}
                               m_{2,1} m_{2,2} m_{2,3} m_{2,4} m_{2,5}
                                                            0
0
                                                           q_4
                               m_{4,1} m_{4,2} m_{4,3} m_{4,4} m_{4,5}
                              m_{5,1} m_{5,2} m_{5,3} m_{5,4} m_{5,5}
```

```
\underline{\log(q_4\,q_5\,m_{1,2}\,m_{1,3}\,m_{1,4}\,m_{1,5})}
                  log(10)
 \frac{\log(q_4\,q_5\,m_{2,1}\,m_{2,3}\,m_{2,4}\,m_{2,5})}{}
                   log(10)
 \log(q_4 \, q_5 \, m_{3,1} \, m_{3,2} \, m_{3,4} \, m_{3,5})
                   log(10)
```

Calculate the HRE geometric ranking vector for unknown alternatives i.e. for  $c_1$ ,  $c_4$  and  $c_5$  only

```
mu = N[HREGeomPartialRank[M, mk]]
2.11273
2.49035
( 2.13344 )
```

Calculate the full HRE geometric ranking for the given input matrix M and the vector mk

```
mu = N[HREGeomFullRank[M, mk]]
{2.11273, 5., 7., 2.49035, 2.13344}
```

Calculate the full HRE geometric ranking, rescaled so that all its entries sum up to 1

```
mu = N[HREGeomRescaledRank[M, mk]]
{0.11276, 0.266858, 0.373602, 0.132914, 0.113866}
```

Show intermediate (before raising up to the power) HRE geometric partial rank vector

N[HREGeomIntermediateRank[M, mk]]

```
0.324843
0.396261
0.329081
```

Bana e Costa and Vansnick's Condition of Order Preservation test

Let calculate the eigenvalue ranking

```
rank = EigenvalueRank[M]
\{0.119092, 0.27476, 0.356526, 0.130954, 0.118669\}
```

.... and check whether the first Bana e Costa and Vansnick condition "condition of order preservation - COP" is satisfied

```
COP1Check[M, mu]
```

True

then check whether the second Bana e Costa and Vansnick condition (preserving intensity of preferences postulate) is satisfied

```
COP2Check[M, mu]
```

False

Prints the list of pairs for which the 1st COP is not satisfied

```
COP1ViolationList[M, mu]
```

{}

Prints the list of pairs of pairs for which the 2nd COP is not satisfied

```
COP2ViolationList[M, mu]
```

```
{{False, {{1, 2}, {1, 5}}}}, {False, {{1, 2}, {4, 2}}}, {False, {{1, 2}, {5, 2}}}},
   {False, {{1, 3}, {1, 5}}}, {False, {{1, 3}, {4, 2}}}, {False, {{1, 3}, {4, 3}}},
   {False, {{1, 3}, {5, 2}}}, {False, {{1, 3}, {5, 3}}}, {False, {{1, 4}, {1, 5}}},
   {False, {{1, 4}, {2, 3}}}, {False, {{1, 5}, {1, 2}}}, {False, {{1, 5}, {1, 3}}},
   {False, {{1,5}, {1,4}}}, {False, {{1,5}, {2,3}}}, {False, {{1,5}, {5,4}}},
   \{ \texttt{False,} \ \{ \{ \texttt{2,1} \} \texttt{,} \ \{ \texttt{2,4} \} \} \} \texttt{,} \ \{ \texttt{False,} \ \{ \{ \texttt{2,1} \} \texttt{,} \ \{ \texttt{2,5} \} \} \} \texttt{,} \ \{ \texttt{False,} \ \{ \{ \texttt{2,1} \} \texttt{,} \ \{ \texttt{5,1} \} \} \} \texttt{,} 
    {False, {{2, 3}, {1, 4}}}, {False, {{2, 3}, {1, 5}}}, {False, {{2, 4}, {2, 1}}},
    \{False, \{\{2, 4\}, \{3, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{2, 5\}, \{2, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{2, 5\}, \{3, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{2, 5\}, \{3, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{2, 5\}, \{3, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{2, 4\}, \{3, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{2, 5\}, \{3
    {False, {{3, 1}, {2, 4}}}, {False, {{3, 1}, {2, 5}}}, {False, {{3, 1}, {3, 4}}},
    \{False, \{\{3, 1\}, \{3, 5\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{3, 1\}, \{5, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{3, 2\}, \{4, 1\}\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{3, 1\}, \{3, 2\}, \{4, 1\}\}\}\}
   {False, {{3, 2}, {5, 1}}}, {False, {{3, 4}, {3, 1}}}, {False, {{3, 5}, {3, 1}}},
   {False, {{4, 1}, {3, 2}}}, {False, {{4, 1}, {5, 1}}}, {False, {{4, 2}, {1, 2}}},
   {False, {{4, 2}, {1, 3}}}, {False, {{4, 3}, {1, 3}}}, {False, {{4, 5}, {5, 1}}},
   {False, {{5, 1}, {2, 1}}}, {False, {{5, 1}, {3, 1}}}, {False, {{5, 1}, {3, 2}}},
   \{False, \{\{5, 1\}, \{4, 1\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{5, 1\}, \{4, 5\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{5, 2\}, \{1, 2\}\}\}, \{False, \{\{5, 1\}, \{4, 1\}\}\}\}
   {False, {{5, 2}, {1, 3}}}, {False, {{5, 3}, {1, 3}}}, {False, {{5, 4}, {1, 5}}}}
```

Koczkodaj's Iterative Inconsistency Reduction algorithm

Calculate the value of the Koczkodaj inconsistency index

```
N[KoczkodajIdx[M]]
0.78125
```

Prints the worst Koczkodaj triad in M. As we can see it is  $m_{5,3} = \frac{1}{4}$ ,

$$m_{3,1} = \frac{7}{4}$$
,  $m_{5,1} = 2$ . The value of inconsistency introduced by this triad is  $\frac{25}{32}$ 

KoczkodajTheWorstTriad[M]

$$\left\{ \{5, 3, 1\}, \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{4}, 2 \right\}, \frac{25}{32} \right\}$$

Perform one step of the Koczkodaj inconsistency reduction algorithm. On the output there is a new slightly modified matrix M2 that is expected to be more consistent than M

M<sub>2</sub> = N[KoczkodajImproveMatrixStep[M]]

KoczkodajIdx[M<sub>2</sub>]

0.585087

Aggregation of Individual Judgments (AII)

Let us consider three different PC matrices X, Y and Z that come from three different experts

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x}_{12} & \mathbf{x}_{13} & \mathbf{x}_{14} \\ \mathbf{x}_{21} & 1 & \mathbf{x}_{23} & \mathbf{x}_{24} \\ \mathbf{x}_{31} & \mathbf{x}_{32} & 1 & \mathbf{x}_{34} \\ \mathbf{x}_{41} & \mathbf{x}_{42} & \mathbf{x}_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \\ \mathbf{Y} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{y}_{12} & \mathbf{y}_{13} & \mathbf{y}_{14} \\ \mathbf{y}_{21} & 1 & \mathbf{y}_{23} & \mathbf{y}_{24} \\ \mathbf{y}_{31} & \mathbf{y}_{32} & 1 & \mathbf{y}_{34} \\ \mathbf{y}_{41} & \mathbf{y}_{42} & \mathbf{y}_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \\ \mathbf{Z} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{z}_{12} & \mathbf{z}_{13} & \mathbf{z}_{14} \\ \mathbf{z}_{21} & 1 & \mathbf{z}_{23} & \mathbf{z}_{24} \\ \mathbf{z}_{31} & \mathbf{z}_{32} & 1 & \mathbf{z}_{34} \\ \mathbf{z}_{41} & \mathbf{z}_{42} & \mathbf{z}_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \end{split}$$

Then it is possible to aggregate the results using appropriate functions.

Aggregate Individual Judgments additively (AlJadd):

#### AIJadd[X, Y, Z] // MatrixForm

Aggregate Individual Judgments geometrically (AlJgeom)

### AIJgeom[X, Y, Z] // MatrixForm

Note that these two functions works also for result lists i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{AIJgeom}[\{\textbf{x}_1,\,\textbf{x}_2,\,\textbf{x}_3,\,\textbf{x}_4\},\,\{\textbf{y}_1,\,\textbf{y}_2,\,\textbf{y}_3,\,\textbf{y}_4\}] \\ & \{\sqrt{\textbf{x}_1\,\,\textbf{y}_1}\,\,,\,\sqrt{\textbf{x}_2\,\,\textbf{y}_2}\,\,,\,\sqrt{\textbf{x}_3\,\,\textbf{y}_3}\,\,,\,\sqrt{\textbf{x}_4\,\,\textbf{y}_4}\,\} \\ & \text{AIJadd}[\{\textbf{x}_1,\,\textbf{x}_2,\,\textbf{x}_3,\,\textbf{x}_4\},\,\{\textbf{y}_1,\,\textbf{y}_2,\,\textbf{y}_3,\,\textbf{y}_4\}] \\ & \{\frac{1}{2}\,\,(\textbf{x}_1+\textbf{y}_1)\,,\,\frac{1}{2}\,\,(\textbf{x}_2+\textbf{y}_2)\,,\,\frac{1}{2}\,\,(\textbf{x}_3+\textbf{y}_3)\,,\,\frac{1}{2}\,\,(\textbf{x}_4+\textbf{y}_4)\,\} \end{aligned}$$

Incomplete Pairwise Comparisons Matrix - Harker Method

Let M be an incomplete pairwise comparisons matrix.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \Box \\ 1/2 & 1 & 2 \\ \Box & 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

Thus, to compute the ranking based on M we need to compute matrix A (hereinafter referred to as Harker matrix)

# A = HarkerMatrix[M];

#### A // MatrixForm

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 2 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 2 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 2
\end{pmatrix}$$

Then compute the eigenvalue based ranking and inconsistency index as usuall:

#### EigenvalueRank[A]

```
{0.571429, 0.285714, 0.142857}
```

#### SaatyIdx[A]

0