

Towards Detecting Signatures of Life with the Future LIFE Telescope

B. S. Konrad¹, E. Alei¹, D. Angerhausen¹, S. P. Quanz¹, and the LIFE Collaboration²

¹Institute for Particle Physics & Astrophysics, ETH Zurich | ²www.life-space-mission.com

LIFE can accurately constrain the size and surface conditions of an Earth-twin exoplanet.

LIFE can detect the bio-signature pair O_3 - CH_4 in an Earth-twin exoplanet.

Introduction – Earth-Twin Study

The goal for LIFE is to correctly characterize the atmospheres of rocky exoplanets and potentially detect biosignature pairs (e.g. O_3 - N_2O or O_3 - CH_4) in the mid-infrared (MIR). It is crucial to investigate how accurately the exoplanet's MIR thermal emission needs to be measured to allow for a sufficiently precise characterization.


To find a first answer to this question, we studied the **MIR emission of a cloud-free Earth-twin planet** orbiting a Sun-like star at a distance of 10 pc from us (Fig. 1). With the LIFE_{SIM} instrument simulator [2], we

Konrad+, 2022 [1]

estimated the wavelength-dependent noise expected in observations with LIFE by accounting for all major sources of astrophysical noise. We then ran atmospheric retrievals for **spectra of different quality** (variable resolution (R) and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N)), to analyze how well we manage to characterize the Earth-twin atmosphere as a function of the quality of the thermal emission spectrum. This approach provided us with **first estimates for the minimal requirements for the LIFE instrument**.



Figure 1: Simulated MIR Earth spectrum. We indicate the most relevant absorption bands of all considered atmospheric gases.




Background – Atmospheric Retrievals

A **retrieval** finds the best fit of a model for the exoplanet spectrum to an observed spectrum. Further, it retrieves Bayesian **estimates and uncertainties for the model parameters** (Fig. 4). The parameters describe planet and atmosphere properties, such as the planet radius, the pressure-temperature structure, and the abundances of atmospheric molecules. Our retrieval code depends on two subroutines:

1. **petitRADTRANS** [3]: 1D radiative transfer routine that calculates the spectrum for a given set of model parameter values.
2. **MultiNest via pyMultiNest** [4,5]: Parameter estimation with Nested Sampling [6]. Finds the set of parameters (with uncertainties) that best fits the observed spectrum.

Figure 4: Retrieval procedure. By running a retrieval on an observed exoplanet spectrum we can infer planetary and atmospheric properties and characterize the exoplanet.



Background – Biosignature Pairs

Biosignatures are substances, objects, or patterns that, if detected, strongly suggest biological activity. **Atmospheric biosignatures** are gases that are either produced directly via biological processes (e.g. O_2 , CH_4 , N_2O) or are derived from the latter via environmental effects (e.g. O_3 from O_2 via photochemistry). However, since most bio-

signature gases could also be produced in abiotic processes, the detection of a single gas is not a robust biosignature. But the simultaneous presence of two gases with abundances out of thermodynamic equilibrium (e.g., for Earth, O_2 / O_3 with CH_4 or N_2O) can only be justified if both gases are continually replenished by biological processes. These pairs of gases are called **biosignature pairs** [7, 8].

Result & Conclusion – Earth-Twin Study

Independent of the spectral quality, the planet **size and the surface conditions are well constrained**. For all tested MIR LIFE_{SIM} spectra we manage to constrain (Fig. 2):

- ✓ **Planet radius:** uncertainty $< \pm 0.1 R_\oplus$
- ✓ **Surface temperature:** uncertainty $< \pm 20 K$
- ✓ **Surface pressure:** uncertainty $< \pm 0.5 dex$

The uncertainties on the retrieved values decrease slightly with increasing quality of the considered spectrum.

The retrieval **results for the main molecules** present in Earth's atmosphere show the following behaviour (Fig. 3):

Figure 2: Retrieval results for the planetary radius R_{pl} , surface pressure P_0 , and surface temperature T_0 for different R and S/N of the LIFE_{SIM} spectra. The error bars denote the 68% confidence intervals.





Figure 3: Retrieval results for trace gases at different R and S/N LIFE mock observations. ✓: Constrained abundance (uncertainty $< \pm 1.0 dex$); ○: constrained but cannot exclude lower abundances; ✗: unconstrained abundance.




Background – LIFE

A long-term goal for exoplanet research is to assess the habitability of Earth-like exoplanets, characterize their atmospheres, and search for signs of life. For this, a **new generation of space-based telescopes** is required. NASA aims to measure the stellar light reflected by exoplanets in the visible (VIS) and near-infrared (NIR) [9,10]. In contrast, the **"Large interferometer For Exoplanets" (LIFE)** [11] will measure the MIR thermal emission of terrestrial exoplanets. The LIFE collaboration is working toward realizing such a mission (see also talk by Eleonora Alei in Session 7; Fig. 5).

A planet's MIR emission is of interest to us, because many important molecules have

detectable spectral features in the MIR but not in the NIR/VIS (e.g. CH_4). Further, the MIR allows for a better assessment of the planetary radius, lower atmosphere, and surface conditions (if cloud-free) [12, 13]. Finally, the MIR provides direct access to the potential biosignature pairs O_3 - N_2O and O_3 - CH_4 (O_3 , N_2O , and CH_4 all have spectral features in the MIR range).

Figure 5: Artist impression of the LIFE instrument.



Björn S. Konrad

Contact Me:
konradb@student.ethz.ch

Personal Website:
<https://konradbjorn.github.io>



References:

- [1] Konrad, B. S., et al. 2022, A&A, 664, A23
- [2] Dannert, F., et al. 2022, A&A, 664, A22
- [3] Molière, P., et al. 2019, A&A, 627, A67
- [4] Feroz, F., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1601–1614
- [5] Buchner, J., et al. 2014, A&A, 564, A125
- [6] Skilling, J., 2006, Bayesian Anal., 1, 833
- [7] Lovelock, J. E., 1965, Nature, 207, 568
- [8] Lippincott, E. R., et al. 1967, ApJ, 147, 753
- [9] The LUVOIR Team, 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1912.06219
- [10] Gaudi, B. S., et al. 2020, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2001.06683
- [11] Quanz, S. P., et al. 2022, A&A, 664, A21
- [12] Des Marais, D. J., et al. 2002, Astrobiology, 2, 153
- [13] Line, M., et al. 2019, BAAS, 51, 271