

# Planning and Search – Research Review \*

KONRAD BURNIK

In this paper we give a short summary of several important development in the field of planning and search. One of the first planning systems was STRIPS [4]. STRIPS represents a world as a collection of first-order predicate calculus formulas and was using theorem proving to obtain the sequence of actions. It could handle a large number of formulas and was used as part of the program for the Shakey robot.

In the early 90s, a new approach to planning in STRIPS domains was introduced, namely the GRAPHPLAN ([1], [2]) which used a compact structure called the planning graph to define heuristics for search. GRAPHPLAN always returns the shortest possible partial-order plan or reports that there is no valid plan for a given problem. GRAPHPLAN was orders of magnitude faster than the partial-order planners of that time. The winner of the 2002 AIPS planning competition was LPG ([5]), which was searching planning graphs using a local search technique

A different approach to planning was to apply satisfiability to planning. The first such system was SATPLAN ([7]). It was made due to the success of local search in solving SAT instances. Both, GRAPHPLAN and SATPLAN work in such a way that they first create an appropriate structure from the problem and then search that structure.

The Heuristic Search Planner or HSP ([3]) was one of the first successful approaches of using heuristics search to planning. HSP extracted heuristics directly from STRIPS encodings and used a hill-climbing search from start to goal state. It was generally one of the first approaches that made the state-space search based planners feasible. The performance of HSP is comparable to GRAPHPLAN and SATPLAN. The most successful state-space searcher is Hoffman's ([6] FASTFORWARD searcher or FF, the winner of the AIPS 2000 planning competition. FF uses a simplified planning graph heuristic with a very fast search algorithm that combines the forward and local search in a novel approach.

---

\*submitted as part of Udacity AI Engineer Nanodegree

## References

- [1] Blum, A. L. and Furst, M. (1995). Fast planning through planning graph analysis. In Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-95), pp. 1636-1642, Montreal. Morgan Kaufmann.
- [2] Blum, A., L. and Furst, M. (1997). Fast planning through planning graph analysis. Artificial Intelligence, YO(1-2), 281-300.
- [3] Bonet, B. and Geffner, H. (1999). Planning as heuristic search: New results. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Planning, pp. 360-372, Durham, UK. Springer-Verlag.
- [4] Fikes, R. E. and Nilsson, N. J. (1971). STRIPS: A new approach to the application of theorem proving to problem solving. Artificial Intelligence, 2(3-4), 189- 208.
- [5] Gerevini, A. and Serina, I. (2002). LPG: A planner based on planning graphs with action costs. In Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on AI Planning and Scheduling, pp. 281-290, Menlo Park, California. AAAI Press.
- [6] Hoffmann, J. (2000). A heuristic for domain independent planning and its use in an enforced hill-climbing algorithm. In Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Methodologies for Intelligent Systems, pp. 216- 227, Charlotte, North Carolina. Springer-Verlag.
- [7] Kautz, H. and Selman, B. (1992). Planning as satisfiability. In ECAI 92: 10th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence Proceedings, pp. 359-363, Vienna. Wiley.