# C++ Programming - Handout 2

Konrad Wojda, 9307820244

#### Exercise 1

According to: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binomial\_coefficient#Recursive\_formula There is a recursive formula for binomial coefficient:

## Recursive formula [edit]

One method uses the recursive, purely additive formula

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$$

for all integers n, k such that  $1 \le k < n$ , with boundary values

$$\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1$$

for all integers  $n \ge 0$ .

Let's use it to write function in C++.

1) Function for computinh binomial coefficient.

```
unsigned long long binomialCoefficient(int n, int r) {
   if (r == 0 || r == n) {
      return 1;
   }

return binomialCoefficient(n - 1, r - 1) + binomialCoefficient(n - 1, r);
}
```

2) Embed function into small program

```
#include <iostream>
unsigned long long binomialCoefficient(int n, int r) {
   if (r == 0 || r == n) {
      return 1;
   }

return binomialCoefficient(n - 1, r - 1) + binomialCoefficient(n - 1, r);
```

```
}
int main() {
    int n, r;
    std::cout << "Enter two integers (n and r) for calculating binomial coefficient:" << std</pre>
    std::cin >> n >> r;
    unsigned long long result = binomialCoefficient(n, r);
    std::cout << "C(" << n << ", " << r << ") = " << result << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
Sample output:
konradwojda@konradwojda-comp:~/studia/cpp-hyu/02-hw$ ./a.out
Enter two integers (n and r) for calculating binomial coefficient:
5
0
C(5, 0) = 1
konradwojda@konradwojda-comp:~/studia/cpp-hyu/02-hw$ ./a.out
Enter two integers (n and r) for calculating binomial coefficient:
C(4, 4) = 1
konradwojda@konradwojda-comp:~/studia/cpp-hyu/02-hw$ ./a.out
Enter two integers (n and r) for calculating binomial coefficient:
C(5, 2) = 10
Exercise 2
Let's use std::vector and std::next_permutation to create permutNumbers
function. \ Reference: \ https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/algorithm/next\_permutation
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include <algorithm>
void permutNumbers(int n) {
    std::vector<int> numbers;
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; ++i) {
        numbers.push_back(i);
```

}

```
do {
        for (int num : numbers) {
            std::cout << num;</pre>
        std::cout << ", ";
    } while (std::next_permutation(numbers.begin(), numbers.end()));
    std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
}
int main() {
    permutNumbers(3);
Sample output
konradwojda@konradwojda-comp:~/studia/cpp-hyu/02-hw$ ./a.out
123, 132, 213, 231, 312, 321,
Exercise 3
Given function
int sum_down(int x)
{
    if (x >= 0)
        x = x - 1;
        int y = x + sum_down(x);
        return y + sum_down(x);
    }
    else
    {
        return 1;
    }
}
Let's simplify this function:
int sum_down(int x)
{
    if (x < 0) {
        return 1;
    return (x-1) + 2 * sum_down(x-1);
}
```

3a Let's write simple test to check what is the smallest integer, so that function returns value greates than 1.000.000:

```
int main() {
    int x = 0;
    while (sum_down(x) \le 1000000) {
    std::cout << x;
}
konradwojda@konradwojda-comp:~/studia/cpp-hyu/02-hw$ ./a.out
So the answer is 19.
3b Let's use simplified function with only one recursive call. Result is:
int sum_down_iterative(int x) {
    int result = 1;
    for (int i = 0; i <= x; i++) {</pre>
        result = (i - 1) + 2 * result;
    }
    return result;
}
Let's test it with some simple assertion:
int main() {
    int x = 0;
    for(int i = 0; i < 100; i++) {</pre>
        assert(sum_down(i) == sum_down_iterative(i));
    }
}
```

There was no error, so iterative verion is giving same results as recursive.

## 3c No, because:

It would not be appropriate to switch the type of x to double because the recursion (or iteration) operates on integer values (it decrements x and checks if  $x \ge 0$ ). If x were a double, there would be issues related to precision, and the logic for checking when x is negative would become unreliable due to floating-point precision errors.

Additionally, the operation x = x - 1 would make no sense for non-integer values, and rounding issues might occur.

## 3d No, because:

Using unsigned int would prevent the function from handling negative values, which are crucial for the base case (x<0). If x were unsigned int, the function would never terminate when x is initially 0 or greater, leading to infinite recursion or looping because an unsigned integer can never be negative.

#### **3e** No, because:

Using const int x would prevent the function from modifying x inside the function. In the original recursive version, x is being decremented as part of the computation (x = x - 1). If the parameter were const, the function would no longer be able to modify x, which is critical to the recursive or iterative logic.