## Chapter 1

# Spacetime

In physics the space of all events—which includes your birth, your death, and the moment you're reading these words—is called spacetime. It is, or at least seems to be, four-dimensional: it takes four coordinates—for example the Greenwhich Mean Time, the elevation, the latitude and the longitude—to label the events. The first of these four is obviously different to the rest: it is timelike, while the other three are spacelike.

#### 1.1 Mathematical foundations

For simplicity, let's ignore the latitude and longitude and use only one timelike and one spacelike coordinate, denoted here by t and r. We may draw a diagram of events by simply plotting them on paper. Conventionally t is taken to increase vertically and r horizontally.

The life of a particle appears as a continuous line of subsequent events in the diagram. The line is called the world line of the particle. The length of the world line, denoted by  $\tau$ , is defined to be measured by a real or imagined clock carried by the particle. As I'm writing this, my world line is 26.3 years long.

There is nothing subjective or relative about the length of a world line. It measures how much progress local physical processes and natural phenomena have made along it. Ticking of a clock is one process, as are decay of alcohol levels in blood, learning to walk and growth of a cancer tumor.

Everyday experience and the choice of the Greenwich Mean Time as t suggest that if we take two near events separated by  $\mathrm{d}t$  and  $\mathrm{d}r$  and draw a world line between them, the length of the world line is  $\mathrm{d}\tau = \mathrm{d}t$ , and that any two world lines that begin and end at the same events have the same length, which is the difference  $\Delta t$  of the time coordinate values of the endpoint events. If I meet today a person who was born in the same hospital at the same day as i was, he or she will also turn out to be 26.3 years old.

This Galilean or Newtonian view of time is not exactly true. For example in 1971 researchers observed that identical atomic clocks carried by different airplanes taking different routes made slightly different numbers of ticks. Therefore the equality  $d\tau = dt$  can only be approximately true.

Could we find a more accurate formula for  $d\tau$ ? A simple attempt would be to consider  $d\tau$  as the hypothenuse of a right-angled triangle with dt and dr as the other two sides. Assuming that units of measurement are chosen suitably, the Pythagorean theorem would give

$$d\tau^2 = dt^2 + dr^2.$$

Now, if dr is much smaller than dt, which corresponds to a worldline of a slowly moving particle, we get  $d\tau \approx dt$ . If the particle moves fast, then  $d\tau > dt$ ; in other words, fast moving particles experience more time than those at rest.

This is not correct. According to experimental evidence, fast moving particles experience less, not more, time than those at rest. If we change the plus sign in the Pythagorean theorem into a minus one, we get

$$d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dr^2.$$

Now fast moving particles experience less time.

If t and r are measured in suitable units, then  $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dr^2$  is actually correct. If we would also want to include longitude and latitude, denoted by  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , we would have to take something like

$$d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$$
.

or if we used Cartesian x, y, z space coordinates instead of polar ones,

$$d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2.$$

The signature +-- manifests the fact that spacetime has one timelike and three spacelike dimensions.

Let's continue with only t and r for clarity. There are a number of ways to "derive"  $\mathrm{d}\tau^2 = \mathrm{d}t^2 - \mathrm{d}r^2$ , yet I find them not satisfactory. Science advances by taking random ideas, testing them, and keeping only the ones that work. It's usually not possible to logically advance from observations to universal laws. Therefore I find it best to just present the core of the theory, proceed from that, and let you see if that works.

So let's see. If dr = 0, we have  $d\tau = dt$ , which means that particles at rest can measure their worldine length, in other words their own time, correctly with t. If we increase dr, then  $d\tau$  gets smaller. Time of a moving particle seems to tick slower than t.

If  $\mathrm{d}t=\mathrm{d}r$ , then  $\mathrm{d}\tau=0$ . In other words, time of a particle moving with the speed  $\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t}=1$  freezes. If  $\mathrm{d}t<\mathrm{d}r$ , then  $\mathrm{d}\tau$  becomes imaginary, which does not make sense. Let's assume that for all realistic particles  $\mathrm{d}t\geq\mathrm{d}r$ : no particle moves with a speed greater than 1.

Since we need atomic clocks and airplanes to observe differences in worldline length, the speed limit, which in our units is simply equal to one, must be a very high speed. It must be greater or equal to the speed of anything we know of, including light.

Observations suggest that light actually travels with exactly the maximum speed, which is why the maximum speed is usually called the speed of light. That means that if t is measured in years, then r must be measured in lightyears.

For an aircraft travelling with the speed  $\frac{dr}{dt} = v$ , we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{d}t^2 - \mathrm{d}r^2}}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2} = \sqrt{1 - v^2}.$$

Light travels about a million times faster than sound travels in air, so if the aircraft travels with the speed of sound, we have

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \sqrt{1 - (10^{-6})^2} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} (10^{-6})^2 = 0.999, 999, 999, 999, 5,$$

in which the approximation is just the first-order Taylor expansion of square root at 1. The error made with using dt as  $d\tau$  is ridiculously small. But if the aircraft could move with half the speed of light, which would take it to the Moon and back in less than five seconds, we would get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \approx 0.86.$$

Now the error would be significant.

#### 1.2 Lorentz transformations

Our t and r are one choice of coordinates. They define what it means for a particle to be at rest, but we know that resting is a very relative concept. In everyday situations we change the velocity of a coordinate system by simply taking  $r \to \tilde{r} = r - v \, t$  with v the change of velocity and using the original clock t as the new one  $\tilde{t}$  without any modifications. Particles that were rest in the original coordinate system appear to move with the velocity -v in the new one. To change back, we take  $\tilde{r} \to r = \tilde{r} + v \tilde{t}$  and  $\tilde{t} \to t = \tilde{t}$ .

This is not satisfactory, since  $d\tau$  cannot be calculated by the same formula as in the original coordinate system: by using the chain rule of calculus, we get

$$d\tau^{2} = dt^{2} - dr^{2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{t}} d\tilde{t} + \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{r}} d\tilde{r}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \tilde{t}} d\tilde{t} + \frac{\partial r}{\partial \tilde{r}} d\tilde{r}\right)^{2}$$

$$= \left(1 d\tilde{t} + 0 d\tilde{r}\right)^{2} - \left(v d\tilde{t} + 1 d\tilde{r}\right)^{2}$$

$$= d\tilde{t}^{2} - v^{2} d\tilde{t}^{2} - 2v d\tilde{t} d\tilde{r} - d\tilde{r}^{2}.$$

For the new coordinates  $\tilde{t}$  and  $\tilde{r}$  to be of the same type as the original ones, we should have just  $d\tau^2 = d\tilde{t}^2 - d\tilde{r}^2$ .

We can find such coordinates most easily by first transforming into so-called lightcone coordinates  $\sigma_+, \sigma_-$ , which means visually rotating the t,r coordinates by 45 degrees counter-clockwise. Mathematically we take

$$t \to \sigma_- = \frac{t-r}{\sqrt{2}}$$
 and  $r \to \sigma_+ = \frac{t+r}{\sqrt{2}}$ .

The  $\sigma_{\pm}$  coordinate axes are the left- and right-moving light rays that pass through the event at t=0, r=0. Neither of the  $\sigma_{\pm}$  is spacelike nor timelike; it can be said that they are both lightlike coordinates.

Recalling the binomial identity  $(a + b)(a - b) = a^2 - b^2$ , we get

$$d\sigma_{-} d\sigma_{+} = \frac{dt - dr}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{dt + dr}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{dt^{2} - dr^{2}}{2}.$$

This is why lightcone coordinates are useful to us: the worldline element  $d\tau$  obeys the simple expression

$$d\tau^2 = 2 d\sigma_- d\sigma_+.$$

Now it is easy to obtain new lightcone coordinates  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\pm}$  in which  $d\tau^2$  has the same form: we take  $\sigma_{\pm} \to \tilde{\sigma}_{\pm} = \xi^{\pm 1} \sigma_{\pm}$  with  $\xi$  a positive-valued parameter. Then  $d\sigma_{\pm} = \xi^{\mp 1} d\tilde{\sigma}_{\pm}$  and

$$d\tau^2 = 2 d\sigma_- d\sigma_+ = 2 \xi d\tilde{\sigma}_- \frac{1}{\xi} d\tilde{\sigma}_+ = 2 d\tilde{\sigma}_- d\tilde{\sigma}_+.$$

Now we just rotate back by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_- \to \tilde{t} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_- + \tilde{\sigma}_+}{\sqrt{2}}$$
 and  $\tilde{\sigma}_+ \to \tilde{r} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_- - \tilde{\sigma}_+}{\sqrt{2}}$ .

If we put all this together, we get

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \xi^{-1} \sigma_{-} + \xi \sigma_{+} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\xi} (t - r) + \frac{\xi}{2} (t + r)$$

$$= t \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi^{-1} + \xi \right) - r \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi^{-1} - \xi \right)$$

$$= t \cosh \theta - r \sinh \theta$$

with  $\theta = -\log \xi$ . Similarly

$$\tilde{r} = r \cosh \theta - t \sinh \theta$$
.

This is almost the same as an ordinary rotation of plane, which is understandable: we changed the plus sign in  $a^2 + b^b = c^2$ , which

would have lead to ordinary rotations, into a minus one to get the spacetime version of the Pythagorean theorem. This is a useful notion, since it means that we can expect many similarities between rotations and Lorentz transformations and between ordinary space and spacetime.

The inverse transformation is the same transformation into the opposite direction:

$$\tilde{t} \to t = \tilde{t} \cosh(-\theta) - \tilde{r} \sinh(-\theta) = \tilde{t} \cosh \theta + \tilde{r} \sinh \theta$$

and

$$\tilde{r} \to r = \tilde{r} \cosh(-\theta) - \tilde{t} \sinh(-\theta) = \tilde{r} \cosh\theta + \tilde{t} \sinh\theta$$

We may now calculate  $d\tau^2$ . We get

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\tau^2 &= \mathrm{d}t^2 - \mathrm{d}r^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{t}} \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{t} + \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{r}} \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{r}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \tilde{t}} \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{t} + \frac{\partial r}{\partial \tilde{r}} \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{r}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\cosh\theta \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{t} + \sinh\theta \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{r}\right)^2 - \left(\sinh\theta \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{t} + \cosh\theta \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{r}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\cosh^2\theta - \sinh^2\theta\right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{t}^2 + \left(\sinh^2\theta - \cosh^2\theta\right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{r}^2. \end{split}$$

Since  $\cosh^2 \theta - \sinh^2 \theta = 1$ , we get  $d\tau^2 = d\tilde{t}^2 - d\tilde{r}^2$ .

The transformation we found is called Lorentz transformation. It relates two coordinate systems that correspond to two observers that move uniformly with respect to each other.

Lorentz transformation stretches the t, r spacetime diagram in the direction of the other light ray and shrinks by the same factor in the direction of the other one. It keeps the spacetime volume element  $\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}t$  intact and tilts the t axis in the opposite direction it tilts the r axis.

#### 1.3 Absolute and subjective

While others may disagree with me about a certain fact, none can disagree with me about what my view is on that fact. The length of particles world line,  $\tau$ , is equal to the time experinced by the particle, and is by its very definition an absolute quantity. This is

just repeating the same I stated in the beginning just using different words.

Another absolute thing is the +--- (or -+++; which one is used is just a notational convention) signature of spacetime. No matter what perspective we choose, there will always be one time-like dimension and three spacelike dimensions.

World lines of particles can be divided into two types: those with  $d\tau^2 > 0$ , called timelike, and  $d\tau^2 = 0$ , called lightlike. We can also imagine spacelike world lines with  $d\tau^2 < 0$ , although such worldlines would have imaginary length, which does not make much sense. Type of a world line is an absolute quantity.

For any two events with a timelike or lighlike worldline between them, their temporal order defined by the time coordinate t remains invariant under a Lorents transformation. This makes sense: the arrow of time points from cause to effect. The arrow of time is at least for any practical purpose irreversible, a fact visible in the Second Law of thermodynamics. Causal order of events with a timelike or lightlike worldline connecting them is an absolute quantity.

These are the most important absolute quantities in spacetime. Most quantities that common sense regards as absolute are in reality subjective. The most important of them is of course the time elapsed between two events: different world lines may have different lengths, even if they happen to begin and end at the same two events.

This subjectivity suggests that simultaneity is also subjective: if one observer feels that one year has passed and anotherone feels that two years has passed, can we decide which events on their world lines are simultaneous? We could, yet the decision would be arbitrary.

A Lorentz transformation maps two events with the same values of t but different values of r into events with different values of  $\tilde{t}$ . Therefore events that appear simultaneous in the original coordinates do not map into simultaneous events in the new coordinates. Simultaneity of two separate events is a subjective concept.

If two events appear simultaneous in one coordinate system, their separation is spacelike. For any two events A and B with spacelike separation we can find a coordinate system in which they are simultaneous. We can also find a coordinate system in which A

occurs before B according to the timelike coordinate, and a coordinate system in which B occurs before A. The temporal order of events with spacelike separation is a subjective concept, and therefore it's not possible for such events to be causally related.

In everyday setting distance can be measured with rigid rods, but absolutely rigid rods cannot exist: if there was such a rod, then moving its other end would immediately cause also the other end to move. The causally related events would have a spacelike separation, which is not possible.

The generally most useful definition of distance is the result of radar ranging measurement. Radar ranging works by flashing a light and measuring how long it takes for the reflection to come back. The time is then divided by 2, because the pulse makes a round trip, and by the speed of light (which in our units is just 1).

The spatial distance of two world lines is not an absolute concept. Above I meant the distance that an observer at  $\alpha$  measures to another observer at  $\alpha + d\alpha$  using radar. Radar works by flashing a light and measuring how long it takes for the reflection to come back. The time is then divided by 2, because the pulse makes a round trip, and by the speed of light (which in our units is just 1). Radar ranging is a very concrete measure of how far something is: if it takes more than two seconds for light to bounce back from the surface of the Moon, then Moon really is far away.

Because the reflection cannot come back at the same event it was sent, it doesn't make any absolute sense to talk about distances of events. Instead we have to talk about distances of world lines. Distance may depend on when the light pulse is sent, but because it comes back at another event, it does not make sense to talk about the distance between two world lines at some specific time or event.

Distance is subjective, which makes spatial sizes and shapes of objects subjective too.

### 1.4 Causal structure of spacetime

Given any event E, we can draw two light rays coming to it and two light rays emerging from it. What results is so-called light cone. All events inside the light cone can be connected to E by a timelike

worldline and can therefore be causally connected to it. Events in the upper part of the cone are in the future of E, and events in the lower part in its past.

Events outside the light cone cannot be connected to E by timelike or lightlike worldlines. They have a spacelike separation to E, and cannot be causally connected with it. Observers at E cannot see nor affect anything outside the cone. It doesn't matter how insane or violent things are happening outside the cone. They are invisible and untouchable to E, period. The spacetime outside the cone is completely oblivious to E.

Of course an observer at E may live long enough for a specific event outside E's cone to enter into his or her current cone and become visible. Anyway, human lifetime less than a millionth of typical relevant worldline lengths in cosmology. We could try to circumvent this by hibernation or by choosing an accelerating worldline, yet biology severely limits our possibilities. On cosmological scale we cannot wait.

According to standard cosmology, unverse was once opaque. If we look far enough down our light cone, our sight hits this wall called recombination. What we concretely observe is cosmic microwave radiation. Because of this, we only see a finite portion of spacetime (at least if we only detect electromagnetic radiation). Furthermore, since light always travels along the lightcone's border, we do not see the interior of the past cone, but only the border.

The causal structure manifested in cones drawn by light rays is the most fundamental structure of spacetime. It defines which events can be in causal connection to each other, and which are nonexistent to each other. It may be impossible to change in anyway, no matter what we tried to do.

## Chapter 2

# Gravity

The coordinates we have used correspond to observers that move uniformly. They are not very convenient for accelerating observers. An accelerating observer would prefer accelerating coordinate system in which unchanging value of spacelike coordinate corresponds to a curved worldline of an accelerating particle.

#### 2.1 Uniformly accelerating coordinates

Acceleration experienced by a particle is an absolute quantity. It can be calculated by Lorentz transforming into a coordinate system in which the particle is temporarily at rest and then calculating  $\frac{d^2r}{dt^2}$  just as we would in Newtonian mechanics. If the acceleration experienced by the particle does not change along its worldline, the particle is said to be accelerating uniformly.

In Newtonian-Galilean world a uniformly accelerating observer draws a parabola on t,r coordinate system. This cannot be exactly true, since it means that speed increases without any bound. In reality speed cannot exceed the speed of light and a uniformly accelerating observer draws some kind of hyperbola that asymptotically approaches the 45 degree slope of a light ray.

This makes heuristic sense, since as the observer's speed approaches the speed of light, its time slows down and the acceleration it experiences will have less and less real time per a time coordinate step to raise the speed.

The shape of the world line of a uniformly accelerating observer is an absolute concept. It looks the same in every (t, r) coordinate system in which  $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dr^2$ . The shape is therefore invariant in Lorentz transformation.

For any straight, finite line that begins at the event at (0,0) and ends at the event at (t,r), Lorentz transformations keep  $t^2-r^2$  invariant. This is an immediate consequence of the invariance of  $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dr^2$ . Therefore any line defined by constancy of  $t^2 - r^2$  has a Lorentz-invariant shape. If  $r^2 - t^2 = \alpha^2$  with real  $\alpha$ , the line is timelike and could be a world line of a uniformly accelerating observer. Let's take such lines as the lines of constant spacelike coordinate of our accelerating coordinate system and use  $\alpha$  as the spacelike coordinate.

All such lines correspond to a particle temporarily at rest when t=0. If we solve the line's equation for r as a function of t and  $\alpha$ , we get

$$r(t, \alpha) = \sqrt{t^2 + \alpha^2}.$$

Differentiating this twice with respect to t gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 r}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{(t^2 + \alpha^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Setting t = 0 gives  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ . In other words, observers corresponding to smaller  $\alpha$  experience greater accelerations, and as  $\alpha$  approaches zero, the acceleration approaches inifity.

It may seem strange to use a coordinate system in which acceleration depends on the spacelike coordinate, but if we want that the radar distance between two nearby lines of constant spacelike coordinate does not depend on the time coordinate, we have no other choice. This can be easily seen from a picture.

Next we have to choose a timelike coordinate. Lorentz transformation changes the velocity of a reference frame, which for a uniformly accelerating frame should mean just translating the time coordinate. In other words a Lorentz transformation should map a line of constant timelike coordinate into another line of constant timelike coordinate.

We therefore define the lines of constant timelike coordinate as the lines we get when we inverse-Lorentz transform the r axis, and use the transformation parameter  $\theta$  as the new timeline coordinate. We use inverse transformation in order to get a time coordinate that increases as t increases and not the opposite.

To get the transformation formula, we note that inverse-Lorentz transforming the point (0,1) by  $\theta$  gives  $t=\sinh\theta$  and  $r=\cosh\theta$ , so

$$\frac{t}{r} = \frac{\sinh \theta}{\cosh \theta} = \tanh \theta.$$

We can now write the complete transformation rule, which is

$$t \to \theta = \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)$$
 and  $r \to \alpha = \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}$ .

The  $\theta, \alpha$  coordinates are called Rindler coordinates. They cover only one quarter of the whole spacetime which will turn out to make sense.

The inverse transformation is simpler: we Lorentz transform  $(0, \alpha)$  by  $\theta$ , which gives

$$\theta \to t = -\alpha \sinh \theta$$
 and  $\alpha \to r = \alpha \cosh \theta$ .

For the world line element  $d\tau$  we get

$$d\tau^{2} = \left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial \theta} d\theta + \frac{\partial t}{\partial \alpha} d\alpha\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \theta} d\theta + \frac{\partial r}{\partial \alpha} d\alpha\right)^{2}$$
$$= (-\alpha \cosh \theta d\theta - \sinh \theta d\alpha)^{2} - (\alpha \sinh \theta d\theta + \cosh \theta d\alpha)^{2}$$
$$= \alpha^{2} (\cosh^{2} \theta - \sinh^{2} \theta) d\theta^{2} + (\sinh^{2} \theta - \cosh^{2} \theta) d\alpha^{2}.$$

Since  $\cosh^2 \theta - \sinh^2 \theta = 1$ , we get the simple formula

$$d\tau^2 = \alpha^2 d\theta^2 - d\alpha^2.$$

#### 2.2 Ramifications of acceleration

The formula  $d\tau^2 = \alpha^2 d\theta^2 - d\alpha^2$ , or the metric as it is often called, has many good properties. First, it is very simple. Second, it

does not depend on the timelike coordinate  $\theta$ , so phenomenology remains the same as we move along  $\theta$ ; in particular, the constant  $\alpha$  lines really are world lines of uniform acceleration, and the radar distance of neighboring constant  $\alpha$  lines does not change we move along  $\theta$ . Third, it has no term with  $d\theta d\alpha$ .

Every accelerating coordinate system gives rise to fictious forces that seem to affect universally to everything. In rally car the force seem to randomly shake the driver and the kartanlukija in varying directions, and in a carousel there is a centrifugal force that tries to rip everything away from the centre. If angular momentum is fixed, then the centrifugal force becomes stronger near the center, and if the angular velocity is fixed, then the force weakens when moving towards the center.

In the Rindler coordinates the fictious force points towards lower values of  $\alpha$ . It does not depend on  $\theta$ , but it gets greater as  $\alpha$  decreases. It pulls everything towards  $\alpha = 0$ , including light.

For constant  $\alpha$  we have simply  $d\tau = \alpha d\theta$ . If two light rays are sent from  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  and received at  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_0$ , both rays take the same amount  $\Delta\theta$  of coordinate time to travel. Anyway, the greater the  $\alpha$ , the faster the observer's own time ticks compared to the coordinate time  $\theta$ , so the time experienced by the sender between the events of sending is less than the time experiend by the receiver between the events of receiving.

If many subsequent light rays are being sent, the frequency by which the receiver observes them is less than the frequency by which they are being sent. This holds for any frequency of signals sent from lower  $\alpha$  to higher, including the frequency of light, which consists of electromagnetic waves.

Therefore the color of visible light shifts towars the lower frequency end of the spectrum, that is, towars red. It can be viewed simply as a Doppler shift: in terms of the original t, r coordinate system the sender moves slower at the time of sending than the receiver moves at the time of receiving.

As  $\alpha \to 0$ , interesting things seem to happen. First, as we noted earlier, acceleration becomes infinite, and it becomes infinitely difficult to hang on the accelerating frame. On the other hand, since  $d\tau \to 0$ , particle's time freezes. Redshift diverges and all signals coming from the vicinity of  $\alpha = 0$  get redshifted to infrared and

ultimately to black. All timelike and lightlike worldlines approach asymptotically the  $\alpha=0$  line. Motion stops. No worldline crosses the line, which is, according to the radar definition of distance, infinitely far away from any line of constant  $\alpha>0$ , since no light ray will ever return from  $\alpha=0$ .

The meaning of the line  $\alpha=0$  can be most easily understood in terms of t,r coordinates. It is a lightlike limiting case of the uniformly accelerating timelike worldlines. It consists of a light ray that comes from right, bounces at 0,0 and goes back to right; in other words it consists of the right side of the light cone of the event 0,0.

All events on the Rindler coordinate system are located on the right outside the 0,0 light cone. No event in the future cone is a cause to an event in the Rindler frame, and no event in the past cone is a consequence of an event in the Rindler frame.

Therefore letting go off the Rindler frame and falling past the  $\alpha=0$  line means leaving the events in the Rindler frame for good. If you do that, no observer that stays in the Rindler frame will ever hear from you again. This is reflected to the Rindler coordinate system: it appears that nothing can pass the  $\alpha=0$  line, because signals coming from a falling particle will arrive later and later at any fixed  $\alpha>0$ . The signals that the falling particle sends at the event of crossing will be received at  $\theta=\infty$ , that is, never.

This is why the lightlike  $\alpha=0$  line is called an event horizon. It marks a moment in time after which you have left a certain region of spacetime for good. Those who stay in the region cannot see past the event horizon, much like sailors cannot see past the fsfds horizon.

#### 2.3 The equivalence principle

Gravity seems to affect everything in the same way, just like a fictious forces affect particles in accelerating coordinate systems. According to so-called equivalence principle, gravity is a fictious force, and we fall towards the ground because the ground is accelerating towards us.

If this is true, then the Rindler coordinate system must be at least approximately right for us here on Earth, and the phenomena we found in the Rindler frame must also be observable in terrestrial laboratories. This is how it has turned out to be; for example in 1959 researchers observed redshift of light that climbed 22.5 meters up from the basement of their laboratory.

Therefore we don't need a separate theory of gravity. Apparent gravitational forces are a by-product of the nature of spacetime, whatever it is.

A puzzling thing about gravity on Earth is that Earth is round. Every point of the surface of Earth seems to accelerate outwards from Earth's center. Gravity of the Rindler coordinate system can be made disappear by just transforming back to the original coordinates where  $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dr^2$ , but in the Rindler coordinates gravity points always to the same direction.

The answer is that the true geometry of spacetime is such that there is no spacetime coordinate system in which

$$d\tau^2 = dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2$$

would hold everywhere. More precisely, we can make gravity disappear in some small volume somewhere around Earth by choosing a freely falling coordinate system, but if we try to extend the coordinate frame to include larger portions of spacetime around Earth, effects of gravity will be unavoidable.

The situation is analogous to the two-dimensional surface of Earth. We want to draw maps of the surface, and if possible, we want the Pythagorean theorem to hold, of course. It would be nice if we could calculate distances by  $dd^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$ , in which x and y are our surface coordinates measured in kilometers, for example. We would want to be able to draw the map on flat paper so that x and y form a square grid and distances can be measured by a ruler.

For any small region, like one tiny country, this can be done with reasonable accuracy. For a continent like Asia it's impossible, and maps that try to cover the whole Earth look ridiculous with no exception. Such maps typically use polar coordinates  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  for which

$$dd^2 = r^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2 \right)$$

in which r is the radius of Earth. The polar coordinates look like square-gridded x, y coordinates only if  $\theta \approx 0$ , that is, on the equator.

The reason why mapping Earth is difficult is that the surface of Earth is curved. Because of this analogy, also spacetime is said to be curved.

#### 2.4 Motion in curved spacetime

Uniform motion, which in a curved coordinate system can be called freely falling motion, follows a straight worldline with a maximal length compared to other worldlines between the same endpoint events. In ordinary space a straight line has minimal length. The difference arises from the difference in the metric signature.

In the Rindler metric worldlines of particles bend because clocks tick quicker at higher  $\alpha$  altitudes. A straight worldline can make itself longer by visiting higher values of  $\alpha$  and collect ticks with a higher frequency. On the other hand, navigating to higher  $\alpha$  requires high speeds, which slows the clock down. The actual worldline of a particle is a smooth arc that makes an optimal compromise between these two time dilation effects.

At low values of  $\alpha$  even a small angle between a constant  $\alpha$  line and the worldline means high speed and low clock frequency. This makes the worldlines to reverse their curvature when they are close enough to  $\alpha=0$ . The result is the more or less (exactly?) Gaussian-shaped worldlines that visit a high  $\alpha$  altitude and asyptotically approach  $\alpha=0$  in the past and the future.

Freely falling observers do not experience anything in the Rindler frame, since the spacetime it describes is flat. Let's modify the Rindler metric a bit and write

$$d\tau^2 = (1 + \alpha^2) d\theta^2 - d\alpha^2,$$

and this time let  $\alpha$  range all the way down to  $-\infty$ . Like the Rindler metric, this metric does not depend on  $\theta$ , and therefore lines of constant  $\alpha$  are lines of constant acceleration, and neighboring lines of constant  $\alpha$  have constant radar distance.

For large values of  $\alpha$  this metric approaches the Rindler meric. Worldlines arc towards  $\alpha = 0$  and acceleration goes to zero as  $\alpha \rightarrow$   $\infty$ . For large negative  $\alpha$  the metric approaches the mirror image of the Rindler metric, and again world lines are towards  $\alpha = 0$ . For  $\alpha \approx 0$  the metric differs from the Rindler metric. The coefficient of  $\mathrm{d}t^2$  is not close to zero but close to one. Time does not freeze, and the constant line  $\alpha = 0$  is timelike world line.

Therefore worldlines oscillate around  $\alpha=0$ , just like a particle would oscillate in a tunnel carved straight through the center of the Earth. The metric gives rise to a gravitational force that points everywhere towards the same point. This metric resembles the spacetime geometry around Earth, although is not exactly the same.

Now imagine a finite-sized object sitting at  $\alpha=0$ . It falls freely while it does not move, but its different parts experience forces that point towards its center. These forces compress the object, and if they are strong enough, the object gets crushed. The appearance of these tidal forces is a manifestation of curvature. Curvature is an absolute property of spacetime that cannot be made to disappear by changing perspective.

We could calculate freely falling worldlines exactly by solving the variational equation

$$\delta \tau = \delta \int d\tau = \int \delta d\tau = 0$$

in which the variation is small and vanishes at the endpoint events. We will not do it here, but may do it later for a black hole.

## Chapter 3

# **Dynamics**

So far we have only discussed flow of time, relations of events and motion of particles in invented spacetimes. To figure out how real spacetime curves, we need to do some guesswork and advanced mathematics.

### 3.1 The action principle

Very often motion of a physical system can be described by writing down an action S, which is a function that takes a whole motion as its argument and produces a number, and by stating that for a realistic motion the action has an extremal value with respect to all other motions restricted by some boundary conditions.

The motion of a freely falling particle from the previous chapter is an example of this action principle: the action of a motion was chosen to be the worldline length, and the boundary conditions were that the compared worldlines should start and end at the same endpoint events.

We can try to use the action principle for spacetime geometry. In the case of a particle the total action  $\tau$  is a sum of small pieces of action  $d\tau$  along the worldline. In the same fashion the action of spacetime should probably be a sum of small pieces of action located every event in the considered spacetime volume.

The first attempt is to just use the spacetime volume as the action, just like we used spacetime length for the action of a particle.

This does not work, because the only metric that extremizes spacetime volume is  $d\tau^2 \equiv 0$ . The difference is that the particle moves in a background metric—the spacetime metric—but the spacetime metric itself doesn't.

What we need is some kind of absolute number that measures the curvature. By integrating that number over spacetime, with the integration measure calculated from the metric, we get a potentially useful spacetime action function.

#### 3.2 Some Riemannian geometry

In order to measure curvature, we need to study differential geometry of Riemannian manifolds. Let's start from  $x^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) coordinates for which

$$d\tau^{2} = (dx^{0})^{2} - (dx^{1})^{2} - (dx^{2})^{2} - (dx^{3})^{2}.$$

We may write this as

$$d\tau^2 = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} \sum_{\beta=0}^{3} g_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta} \doteq g_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta},$$

in which we use the summation convention and the numbers  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  are the elements of a diagonal matrix with the numbers 1, -1, -1, -1 on the diagonal. The summation convention is that whenever there is a repeated index in an expression, a summation over it is implied,

If we now change to an arbitrary coordinate system  $\tilde{x}^{\alpha}$ , a simple application of the chain rule gives

$$d\tau^{2} = g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\gamma}} d\tilde{x}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\delta}} d\tilde{x}^{\delta} = \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} d\tilde{x}^{\alpha} d\tilde{x}^{\beta},$$

in which

$$\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\gamma}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\delta}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\beta}} g_{\gamma\delta}.$$

This is obvious: if we change coordinates, we must also transform the numbers  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  in an appropriate way. When we transformed into the Rindler coordinates, we first had had  $x^0 = t$  and  $x^1 = r$  and  $g_{00} = 1$ ,  $g_{11} = -1$ ,  $g_{01} = g_{10} = 0$ , and after transforming we had  $\tilde{x}^0 = \theta$ ,  $\tilde{x}^1 = \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{00} = \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{11} = -1$  and  $\tilde{g}_{01} = \tilde{g}_{10} = 0$ .

The separation  $dx^{\alpha}$  of two nearby events is essentially a small arrow located somewhere in spacetime. All small separations located at the same event form a vector space, called the tanget space of the event. The set of numbers  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  can be thought of as a bilinear map that takes two vectors from the tangent space and produces a number.

Such linear maps are called tensors, and the tensor  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  is called a metric tensor. All linear maps are specific to the vector spaced they act in, and if we say we have a tensor, we also have to specify the event at which it is located.

The metric tensor is said to define an inner product of two vectors. It is symmetric in the indices  $_{\alpha\beta}$ ; in other words  $g_{\alpha\beta}=g_{\beta\alpha}$ . The worldline element  $d\tau^2$  is calculated by taking the inner product of  $dx^{\alpha}$  with itself.

Vectors located at different events in spacetime cannot be directly added or compared, because they do not live in the same vector spaces. For this reason it's not obvious what a "derivative of a vector" would mean, for example. Anyway, we can paraller transport vectors from tangent space to tangent space and then add or compare them.

Parallel transport means moving the vector without changing its direction or length. In a flat space it is trivial. It does not depend on the path along which it is performed, and if we parallel transport a vector back into its own tangent space, it comes back as unchanged.

In curved spaces the situation is different. Take the surface of Earth as an example. If we have somewhere at the equator vector that points up North, parallel transport it straight to the North pole, and then parallel transport it back to the equator along a straigh line that makes a right angle with the first line of parallel transport, we get a vector that is parallel to the equator. If we now parallel transport it back to the original point along the equator, we find that it has got rotated by 90 degrees.

Parallel transport of a vector can be rigorously defined and equations for how its components change along the transport can be derived, yet we are not going to do that. For our purposes it is enough to understand that the concept is definite and unambiguous.

Curvature of spacetime can be measured by studing how a vector changes by parallel transporting it along a loop that comes back to itself. Let's take three separation vectors,  $dx^{\alpha}$ ,  $dy^{\alpha}$  and  $dz^{\alpha}$ , and parallel transport  $dz^{\alpha}$  first by  $dx^{\alpha}$ , then by  $dy^{\alpha}$ , and then back by  $-dx^{\alpha}$  and  $-dy^{\alpha}$ . The linear approximation to how  $dz^{\alpha}$  changes can be written as

$$\mathrm{d}z^{\alpha} \to \mathrm{d}z^{\alpha} + R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta} \,\mathrm{d}z^{\beta} \mathrm{d}x^{\gamma} \mathrm{d}y^{\delta}$$

with  $R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$  a tensor that takes three vectors and produces another vector.

The tensor  $R^{\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma\delta}$  is called Riemann tensor. It encapsulates all the important local curvature properties of spacetime, and is together with the metric the most important tensor of Riemannian geometry.

In order to have an action for gravity, we need to turn the Riemann tensor into a number. We can remove two indices from it by setting  $\alpha = \beta$  in  $R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$  and summing over it. We get

$$R^{\beta}_{\ \beta\gamma\delta} \doteq R_{\gamma\delta}.$$

It can be shown that this "contraction" or tracing of a lower-upper index pair produces a new tensor that takes two vectors as arguments and produces a number. More precisely, it does not matter in which coordinates we perform the contraction; if we contract in one coordinate system and then change the coordinates, we get the same numbers  $R_{\gamma\delta}$  as we would if we first changed the coordinates and then performed the contraction.

The tensor  $R_{\gamma\delta}$  is called Ricci tensor. We would like to turn Ricci tensor into a number, but contracting two lower indices do not produce tensor in any reasonable way. We must first raise one of the indices somehow.

This can be done by defining symmetric upper-index version of the metric by the condition  $g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma}=\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}$ , in which  $\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}$  is the Kronecker delta, that is, the unit tensor that does nothing. In other words, if  $g^{\alpha\beta}$  is used for rising an index, then the same index can be lowerd back by  $g_{\alpha\beta}$ .

Now we contract the indices of the Ricci tensor and get

$$g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta} \doteq R.$$

This is a number called Ricci scalar.

#### 3.3 Einstein field equation

We can use the Ricci scalar in spacetime action. If spacetime is not empty, also the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  of matter must be taken into consideration. The total action of spacetime filled with matter is a spacetime integral of  $R + \mathcal{L}$ .

Volume arises from distance, and therefore the integration measure  $\mathrm{d}^2\tau$  must be calculated from the metric. It can be shown that in coordinates  $x^{\alpha}$  the correct integration measure is

$$\sqrt{|g|} \, \mathrm{d} x^0 \mathrm{d} x^1 \mathrm{d} x^2 \mathrm{d} x^3 \doteq \sqrt{|g|} \, \mathrm{d}^4 x$$

in which g is the determinant of the metric.

The complete action is now

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} (R + \mathcal{L}) \sqrt{|g|} \, \mathrm{d}^4 x \doteq S$$

in which  $\mathcal V$  is the region of spacetime we integrate over.

To extremize this, we calculate the difference of the integral's value for two slightly different metrics, but if we do not restrict the metrics in any way, an extremum value does not exist. If we take both metrics to smoothly become the same at the border of the integration region  $\mathcal{V}$ , an extremum value can be found.

In practice we compare  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  to  $g_{\alpha\beta} + \delta g_{\alpha\beta}$ , in which  $\delta g_{\alpha\beta}$  is the small difference of our metrics, and calculate  $\delta S$  to first order in  $\delta g_{\alpha\beta}$ . All surface terms vanish because  $\delta g_{\alpha\beta}$  vanishes smoothly at the border of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

The result of the calculation will be that metric  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  corresponds to an extremum value of spacetime action if and only if the Einstein field equation holds at every point in  $\mathcal{V}$ . The Einstein field equation reads

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}R g_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta},$$

in which

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{|g|} \mathcal{L})}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}}$$

is so-called energy-momentum tensor.

In vacuum (and with zero cosmological constant)  $T_{\alpha\beta}=0$ . Einstein field equation becomes

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} R \, g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Multiplying by  $g^{\alpha\beta}$  and contracting both indices gives R=2R, which implies R=0. Therefore the Einstein field equation for vacuum can be written as just  $R_{\alpha\beta}=0$ .

There are not many such numbers. One is the so-called Ricci curvature scalar R. If we use it, solving the variational equation will yeld  $R_{\alpha\beta}=0$ , in which  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  is so-called Ricci tensor. I will explain in the next section what R and  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  are. The important, and rather miraculous thing is that  $R_{\alpha\beta}=0$  is the correct field equation for the geometry of empty spacetime.

We may now sketch how we could figure out the geometry of spacetime outside Earth. First, spacetime seems to be spherically symmetric around Earth. Therefore, let's use spherical  $r, \theta, \phi$  coordinates as the spacelike coordinates and assume that the metric does not depend on  $\theta$  or  $\phi$  (except for the standard  $\sin^2\theta$  in the spherical line element part). Second, gravitational forces around Earth seem to not change along time, so let's assume that the metric does not depend on the timelike coordinate t. Third, let's anticipate that the coordinate system can be chosen so that there are no cross terms (for exampe a term of the form  $\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}r$ ) in the metric.

Based on these assumptions we write down an ansatz for the metric:

$$d\tau^2 = A(r) dt^2 - B(r) dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2).$$

Next we read from Wikipedia how to calculate  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  of the ansatz. The formula is awful. We set  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  to zero and get some coupled differential equations for A(r) and B(r). We solve them and get

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{r_s}{r}$$
 and  $B(r) = \frac{1}{A(r)}$ 

with  $r_s$  a positive-valued parameter. Putting everything together we have

$$d\tau^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)dt^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r_s}{r}}dr^2 - r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\,d\phi^2\right).$$

This metric is called Schwartzchild metric. It describes the geometry of spacetime around planets, nonorotating black holes and many other astronomical bodies.

To find out the spacetime geometry inside Earth, we need an equation for nonempty spacetime geometry. We can do this by

extremizing the combined action of matter and spacetime. In other words, we solve the variational equation

$$\delta \int (R + \mathcal{L}) \, \mathrm{d}^4 \tau = 0$$

in which  $\mathcal{L}$  is somehow calculated from local motion of matter in spacetime. Solving the equation will yeld

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta},$$

an equation known as the Einstein field equation. Tensors  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  are so-called metric tensor and energy-momentum tensor. Unfortunately the Einstein field equation is too difficult for us to solve inside Earth.

The variational equation and the Einstein field equation are the global and local version of one single condition that the spacetime geometry obeys. It is wrong to say that they dictate how spacetime geometry evolves, since evolution happens as a function of one-dimensional time, and here we do not have any time at all before the field equation has done its work.

This is reflected on how we found the Schwartschild solution: we did *not* start from some initial conditions and then let the equations evolve them into the future. Instead we started from an ansatz for the whole spacetime geometry and let the field equation fix the two unknown functions we had left in the ansatz.

It is difficult to say what the variational equation or the Einstein field equation means. They are a condition that spacetime geometry and matter obey. No more can be definitely said about them.  $q_{\theta\theta}$ 

The above

Differential geometry is challenging—either extremely messy or extremely abstract—

Let's assume that freely falling motion has an extremal worldline length also when spacetime is curved.

We could calculate freely falling worldlines exactly by solving the variational equation

$$\delta \tau = \delta \int d\tau = \int \delta \frac{d\tau}{d\sigma} d\sigma = 0$$

in which  $\sigma$  is a worldline parameter and the variation is small and vanishes at the endpoint events.

Let's take for example the metric

$$d\tau^2 = A(t, r) dt^2 - B(t, r) dr^2.$$

We have

$$\delta \tau = \int \delta d\tau$$

We can therefore speculate with any metrics without worrying about wether

When large portions of Earth must be covered, polar coordinates  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are usually used. For them

$$dd^2 = r^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2 \right),\,$$

where r is the radius of Earth. Because the spacetime around Earth seems to be spherically symmetric, we should use polar coordinates for spacetime. Because gravitational effects on Earth seem to be independent of time, we should expect the spacetime metric to be independent of time.

We may now write down an ansatz for the spacetime metric around Earth:

$$d\tau^2 = A(r) dt^2 - B(r) dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2).$$

# Chapter 4

# Our universe

- 4.1 Expanding universe
- 4.2 Field equations

## Chapter 5

## Black holes

#### 5.1 The Schwartzchild solution

motion around black hole how black hole looks like

#### 5.2 Black holes merge

#### 5.3 dsfs

$$d\tau^2 = dt^2 - A(t)^2 dr^2$$

$$\sigma_+ \to \tilde{\sigma_+} = \eta \sigma_+$$
 and  $\sigma_- \to \tilde{\sigma_+} = \eta^{-1} \sigma_-$ 

### 5.4 Accelerating observers

Rindler metric:

### 5.5 Equivalence of gravity and acceleration