REVIEW of PAPER #8 BY REVIEWER #15
Fill in each with a number on a scale of 1-5.
(1=Poor) (2=Fair) (3=Acceptable) (4=Good) (5=Excellent)
1. Presentation:4
1.1. Organization:5
1.2 Grammar and spelling:4
2. Completeness (Strength of Content- Missing key items?):4
3. Technical Correctness:5
4. Proper Referencing:4
5. "Coolness" / Originality:4
6. Comments to Author (Suggestions for Improvement):

Abstract could have been more descriptive. Paper correctly addressed the comparison. However major discussion was based on how those techniques would address when used with applications like web servers. Architecture level difference could have at a more detailed level. Otherwise the paper was very easy to understand. All in all a very good work done by the author. Had I not known what virtualization, exokernel and virtual ghost before, I would have got some gist of it.