REVIEW of PAPER # ___25_____ BY REVIEWER # ___9_____

Fill in each _____ with a number on a scale of 1-5.

(1=Poor) (2=Fair) (3=Acceptable) (4=Good) (5=Excellent)

1. Presentation: __5____

     1.1. Organization: ___5___

     1.2 Grammar and spelling: __4____

2. Completeness (Strength of Content- Missing key items?): __5____

3. Technical Correctness: __5____

4. Proper Referencing: __4____

5. "Coolness" / Originality: __5____

6. Comments to Author (Suggestions for Improvement):

     The paper has been structured very well with regards to the parameter chosen. The contents of each sub-headings are quite relevant to the topic and has emphasized most of the key points while comparing three approaches.

     While the author has made good effort on organizing the paper well, there are quite lot of spelling mistakes that was easily seen. With respect to the references citied, it could have been better if the author had referenced it in the text numbering them wherever appropriate instead of listing them directly on the last page which was little inconvenient. Overall, the paper has clearly given good insight about the topic being discussed.