

REVIEW of PAPER # 11 BY REVIEWER # 22

Fill in each _____ with a number on a scale of 1-5.

(1=Poor) (2=Fair) (3=Acceptable) (4=Good) (5=Excellent)

1. Presentation: 2

1.1. Organization: 2

1.2 Grammar and spelling: 2

2. Completeness (Strength of Content- Missing key items?): 4

3. Technical Correctness: 4

4. Proper Referencing: 1

5. "Coolness" / Originality: 3

6. Comments to Author (Suggestions for Improvement):

The paper covers all of the basic information that is required for the topic, but the presentation style is quite unprofessional. A bullet point system is not a good choice for an academic paper. While the spelling is fine, the sentence structure is choppy and awkward at times making reading difficult. The reference section is not at all what is required. A list of URLs is insufficient for any technical paper.