REVIEW of PAPER #2 BY REVIEWER #3
Fill in each with a number on a scale of 1-5.
(1=Poor) (2=Fair) (3=Acceptable) (4=Good) (5=Excellent)
1. Presentation:5
1.1. Organization: _5
1.2 Grammar and spelling: _5
2. Completeness (Strength of Content- Missing key items?):5
3. Technical Correctness: _4
4. Proper Referencing:5
5. "Coolness" / Originality:5
6. Comments to Author (Suggestions for Improvement):
Although I might have another point of view on some of the thoughts there, I think it is an excellent paper.