

REVIEW of PAPER # _18_ BY REVIEWER # _11_

Fill in each _____ with a number on a scale of 1-5.

(1=Poor) (2=Fair) (3=Acceptable) (4=Good) (5=Excellent)

1. Presentation: __4__

1.1. Organization: __4__

1.2 Grammar and spelling: __5__

2. Completeness (Strength of Content- Missing key items?): __5__

3. Technical Correctness: _5_

4. Proper Referencing: __5__

5. "Coolness" / Originality: __4__

6. Comments to Author (Suggestions for Improvement):

As the paper was mainly about the differences and similarities between the approaches he/she can reduce the background part in section 2. But overall it is a good paper.