REVIEW of PAPER # \_\_\_\_\_5\_\_\_ BY REVIEWER # \_\_\_\_1\_\_\_\_

Fill in each \_\_\_\_\_\_ with a number on a scale of 1-5.

(1=Poor) (2=Fair) (3=Acceptable) (4=Good) (5=Excellent)

1. Presentation: \_\_\_3\_\_\_

1.1. Organization: \_\_\_3\_\_\_

1.2 Grammar and spelling: \_\_\_4\_\_\_

2. Completeness (Strength of Content- Missing key items? ): \_\_\_3\_\_\_

3. Technical Correctness: \_\_\_4\_\_\_

4. Proper Referencing: \_\_\_3\_\_\_

5. "Coolness" / Originality: \_\_\_2\_\_\_

6. Comments to Author (Suggestions for Improvement):

I felt the paper spent too much time describing the systems in general (4.5 pages). While if the audience was unfamiliar with each system this would have been helpful, but as I understood it the audience was our class and professor and the requested task was to discuss the differences and similarities between each approach. While this is challenging as each approach is solving a different problem this is the requested task.

In section 5 you begin to talk in terms of how and what decisions were made in regards to security. This is good, but you didn’t spend much time in talking about the differences and similarities. I did appreciate the fact you went and did additional research and included this information into the paper. Overall the paper was mostly easy to follow and read. My main advice would be to ensure you focus in on what was requested.