REVIEW of PAPER # 14 BY REVIEWER # 23

Fill in each \_\_\_\_\_\_ with a number on a scale of 1-5.

(1=Poor) (2=Fair) (3=Acceptable) (4=Good) (5=Excellent)

1. Presentation: 3

1.1. Organization: 4

1.2 Grammar and spelling: 4

2. Completeness (Strength of Content- Missing key items? ): 3

3. Technical Correctness: 3

4. Proper Referencing: 4

5. "Coolness" / Originality: 3

6. Comments to Author (Suggestions for Improvement):

The paper was very well written. I liked the inclusion of page sharing for the virtualization section. The references were neat and properly organized. A little more data on Exokernel would have been better before going into the details of cheetah; it looked as if you were talking more about cheetah.