```
In [2]: # This mounts your Google Drive to the Colab VM.
        from google.colab import drive
        drive.mount('/content/drive')
         # TODO: Enter the foldername in your Drive where you have saved the unzipped
         # assignment folder, e.g. 'cs231n/assignments/assignment2/'
        FOLDERNAME = 'cs231n/assignments/assignment2/'
        assert FOLDERNAME is not None, "[!] Enter the foldername."
        # Now that we've mounted your Drive, this ensures that
         # the Python interpreter of the Colab VM can load
         # python files from within it.
        import sys
        sys.path.append('/content/drive/My Drive/{}'.format(FOLDERNAME))
         # This downloads the CIFAR-10 dataset to your Drive
         # if it doesn't already exist.
        %cd /content/drive/My\ Drive/$FOLDERNAME/cs231n/datasets/
        !bash get_datasets.sh
        %cd /content/drive/My\ Drive/$FOLDERNAME
       Drive already mounted at /content/drive; to attempt to forcibly remount, call drive.mount("/content/drive", force_remount
       /content/drive/My Drive/cs231n/assignments/assignment2/cs231n/datasets
       /content/drive/My Drive/cs231n/assignments/assignment2
        Dropout
        Dropout [1] is a technique for regularizing neural networks by randomly setting some output activations to zero during the forward pass. In this
        exercise, you will implement a dropout layer and modify your fully connected network to optionally use dropout.
        [1] Geoffrey E. Hinton et al, "Improving neural networks by preventing co-adaptation of feature detectors", arXiv 2012
In [3]: # Setup cell.
        import time
        import numpy as np
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        from cs231n.classifiers.fc_net import *
        from cs231n.data_utils import get_CIFAR10_data
        from cs231n.gradient_check import eval_numerical_gradient, eval_numerical_gradient_array
        from cs231n.solver import Solver
        %matplotlib inline
        plt.rcParams["figure.figsize"] = (10.0, 8.0) # Set default size of plots.
        plt.rcParams["image.interpolation"] = "nearest"
        plt.rcParams["image.cmap"] = "gray"
        %load ext autoreload
        %autoreload 2
        def rel_error(x, y):
            """Returns relative error."""
            return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
       ===== You can safely ignore the message below if you are NOT working on ConvolutionalNetworks.ipynb ======
               You will need to compile a Cython extension for a portion of this assignment.
               The instructions to do this will be given in a section of the notebook below.
In [4]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR-10 data.
        data = get_CIFAR10_data()
        for k, v in list(data.items()):
            print(f"{k}: {v.shape}")
       X train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
       y train: (49000,)
       X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
       y_val: (1000,)
       X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
       y test: (1000,)
        Dropout: Forward Pass
        In the file cs231n/layers.py, implement the forward pass for dropout. Since dropout behaves differently during training and testing, make
```

## In [6]: np.random.seed(231) x = np.random.randn(500, 500) + 10

print()

your implementation.

In [7]: np.random.seed(231)

print('Running tests with p = ', p)
print('Mean of input: ', x.mean())

sure to implement the operation for both modes.

for p in [0.25, 0.4, 0.7]:
 out, \_ = dropout\_forward(x, {'mode': 'train', 'p': p})

print('Mean of train-time output: ', out.mean())
print('Mean of test-time output: ', out\_test.mean())

Once you have done so, run the cell below to test your implementation.

out\_test, \_ = dropout\_forward(x, {'mode': 'test', 'p': p})

print('Fraction of train-time output set to zero: ', (out == 0).mean())
print('Fraction of test-time output set to zero: ', (out\_test == 0).mean())

```
Running tests with p = 0.25
Mean of input: 10.000207878477502
Mean of train-time output: 10.014059116977283
Mean of test-time output: 10.000207878477502
Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.749784
Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
Running tests with p = 0.4
Mean of input: 10.000207878477502
Mean of train-time output: 9.977917658761159
Mean of test-time output: 10.000207878477502
Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.600796
Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
Running tests with p = 0.7
Mean of input: 10.000207878477502
Mean of train-time output: 9.987811912159426
Mean of test-time output: 10.000207878477502
Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.30074
Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
 Dropout: Backward Pass
 In the file cs231n/layers.py, implement the backward pass for dropout. After doing so, run the following cell to numerically gradient-check
```

## dx\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient\_array(lambda xx: dropout\_forward(xx, dropout\_param)[0], x, dout) # Error should be around e-10 or less.

dx relative error: 5.44560814873387e-11

for dropout\_keep\_ratio in [1, 0.75, 0.5]:

dtype=np.float64,

seed=123

Inline Question 1:

out, cache = dropout forward(x, dropout param)

print('dx relative error: ', rel\_error(dx, dx\_num))

(p) fixes this by keeping the scale of activations consistent between training and testing.

nonlinearity. After doing so, run the following to numerically gradient-check your implementation.

Fully Connected Networks with Dropout

print('Running check with dropout = ', dropout\_keep\_ratio)

dropout\_keep\_ratio=dropout\_keep\_ratio,

dropout\_param = {'mode': 'train', 'p': 0.2, 'seed': 123}

x = np.random.randn(10, 10) + 10
dout = np.random.randn(\*x.shape)

dx = dropout\_backward(dout, cache)

What happens if we do not divide the values being passed through inverse dropout by p in the dropout layer? Why does that happen?

Without dividing by (p) in inverse dropout, the activations during training will be smaller than during testing. This difference in scale confuses the later layers of the network, which were trained on smaller values, leading to poor performance when the model is used on new data. Dividing by

```
In the file cs231n/classifiers/fc_net.py, modify your implementation to use dropout. Specifically, if the constructor of the network receives a value that is not 1 for the dropout_keep_ratio parameter, then the net should add a dropout layer immediately after every ReLU
```

Answer:

In [8]: np.random.seed(231)
 N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10
 X = np.random.randn(N, D)
 y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,))

```
model = FullyConnectedNet(
   [H1, H2],
   input_dim=D,
   num_classes=C,
   weight_scale=5e-2,
```

```
loss, grads = model.loss(X, y)
            print('Initial loss: ', loss)
            # Relative errors should be around e-6 or less.
            # Note that it's fine if for dropout_keep_ratio=1 you have W2 error be on the order of e-5.
            for name in sorted(grads):
                f = lambda _: model.loss(X, y)[0]
                grad_num = eval_numerical_gradient(f, model.params[name], verbose=False, h=1e-5)
                print('%s relative error: %.2e' % (name, rel_error(grad_num, grads[name])))
            print()
       Running check with dropout = 1
       Initial loss: 2.3004790897684924
      W1 relative error: 1.48e-07
      W2 relative error: 2.21e-05
      W3 relative error: 3.53e-07
      b1 relative error: 5.38e-09
      b2 relative error: 2.09e-09
      b3 relative error: 5.80e-11
      Running check with dropout = 0.75
      Initial loss: 2.302371489704412
      W1 relative error: 1.90e-07
      W2 relative error: 4.76e-06
       W3 relative error: 2.60e-08
      b1 relative error: 4.73e-09
      b2 relative error: 1.82e-09
      b3 relative error: 1.70e-10
       Running check with dropout = 0.5
       Initial loss: 2.3042759220785896
       W1 relative error: 3.11e-07
       W2 relative error: 1.84e-08
      W3 relative error: 5.35e-08
      b1 relative error: 5.37e-09
      b2 relative error: 2.99e-09
       b3 relative error: 1.13e-10
        Regularization Experiment
        As an experiment, we will train a pair of two-layer networks on 500 training examples: one will use no dropout, and one will use a keep
        probability of 0.25. We will then visualize the training and validation accuracies of the two networks over time.
In [9]: # Train two identical nets, one with dropout and one without.
        np.random.seed(231)
        num_train = 500
        small_data = {
            'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
            'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
            'X_val': data['X_val'],
```

## solver = Solver( model, small\_data, num\_epochs=25, batch\_size=100,

for dropout\_keep\_ratio in dropout\_choices:

dropout\_keep\_ratio=dropout\_keep\_ratio

'y\_val': data['y\_val'],

model = FullyConnectedNet(

print (dropout\_keep\_ratio)

dropout\_choices = [1, 0.25]

[500],

plt.ylabel('Accuracy')

solvers = {}

update\_rule='adam',
 optim\_config={'learning\_rate': 5e-4,},
 verbose=True,
 print\_every=100
)

```
solver.train()
     solvers[dropout_keep_ratio] = solver
     print()
(Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 7.856644
(Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.260000; val_acc: 0.184000
(Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.416000; val_acc: 0.258000
(Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.482000; val_acc: 0.276000
(Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.532000; val_acc: 0.277000
(Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.600000; val_acc: 0.271000
(Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.708000; val_acc: 0.299000
(Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.722000; val_acc: 0.282000
(Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.832000; val_acc: 0.255000
(Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.880000; val_acc: 0.268000
(Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.902000; val_acc: 0.277000
(Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.898000; val_acc: 0.261000
(Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.924000; val_acc: 0.263000
(Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.960000; val_acc: 0.299000
(Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.972000; val_acc: 0.314000
(Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.972000; val_acc: 0.309000
(Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.974000; val_acc: 0.314000
(Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.994000; val_acc: 0.303000
(Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.970000; val_acc: 0.305000
(Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.992000; val_acc: 0.310000
(Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.994000; val_acc: 0.308000
(Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.992000; val_acc: 0.288000
(Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 0.001088
(Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.996000; val_acc: 0.293000
(Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.998000; val_acc: 0.303000
(Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.996000; val_acc: 0.306000
(Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.998000; val_acc: 0.306000
(Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.996000; val_acc: 0.291000
0.25
(Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 17.318478
(Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.230000; val_acc: 0.177000
(Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.378000; val_acc: 0.243000
```

```
(Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.402000; val_acc: 0.254000
        (Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.502000; val_acc: 0.276000
        (Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.528000; val_acc: 0.298000
        (Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.562000; val_acc: 0.296000
        (Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.626000; val_acc: 0.291000
        (Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.622000; val_acc: 0.297000
        (Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.688000; val_acc: 0.313000
        (Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.712000; val_acc: 0.297000
        (Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.724000; val_acc: 0.306000
        (Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.768000; val_acc: 0.307000
        (Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.774000; val_acc: 0.284000
        (Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.828000; val_acc: 0.308000
        (Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.812000; val_acc: 0.346000
        (Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.850000; val_acc: 0.339000
        (Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.844000; val_acc: 0.307000
        (Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.858000; val_acc: 0.300000
        (Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.862000; val_acc: 0.319000
        (Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.884000; val_acc: 0.318000
        (Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.856000; val_acc: 0.310000
        (Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 4.246638
        (Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.896000; val_acc: 0.326000
        (Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.894000; val_acc: 0.321000
        (Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.932000; val_acc: 0.326000
        (Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.926000; val_acc: 0.324000
        (Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.928000; val_acc: 0.327000
In [10]: # Plot train and validation accuracies of the two models.
         train_accs = []
         val_accs = []
         for dropout_keep_ratio in dropout_choices:
             solver = solvers[dropout_keep_ratio]
             train_accs.append(solver.train_acc_history[-1])
             val_accs.append(solver.val_acc_history[-1])
         plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
         for dropout_keep_ratio in dropout_choices:
                 solvers[dropout_keep_ratio].train_acc_history, 'o', label='%.2f dropout_keep_ratio' % dropout_keep_ratio)
         plt.title('Train accuracy')
         plt.xlabel('Epoch')
         plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
         plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
         plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
         for dropout_keep_ratio in dropout_choices:
             plt.plot(
                 solvers[dropout_keep_ratio].val_acc_history, 'o', label='%.2f dropout_keep_ratio' % dropout_keep_ratio)
         plt.title('Val accuracy')
         plt.xlabel('Epoch')
```

```
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
 plt.gcf().set_size_inches(15, 15)
 plt.show()
                                                                           Train accuracy
     1.0
     0.9
     0.8
    0.7
  Accuracy
     0.5
     0.4
     0.3

    1.00 dropout_keep_ratio

                                                                                                                                 0.25 dropout_keep_ratio
     0.2
                                                                                Epoch
                                                                            Val accuracy
   0.350
   0.325
   0.300
O.275
0.250
   0.225
   0.200
                                                                                                      1.00 dropout_keep_ratio
                                                                                                                                     0.25 dropout_keep_ratio
  0.175
                                                                    10
                                                                                              15
                                                                                                                                                    25
                                                                                Epoch
```

Inline Question 2:

Compare the validation and training accuracies with and without dropout -- what do your results suggest about dropout as a regularizer?

Answer:

Without dropout, the training accuracy gets very high (around 99%), but the validation accuracy stays much lower (around 30%). With dropout (rate 0.25), the training accuracy is lower (around 93%), but the validation accuracy is a bit higher (around 33%).