

Exercise 27.

Proof.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Suppose $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$. Then $e_1 \in \text{End}_R(M)$ given by $e_1(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, 0)$ is a non trivial idempotent endomorphism.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ be a non-trivial idempotent endomorphism of M . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \hookrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} f[M] \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the inclusion $i : f[M] \rightarrow M$. Since f is idempotent, we have that $f \circ i(f(m)) = f(f(m)) = f(m)$, that is $f \circ i = \text{id}_{f[M]}$. By splitting lemma, we obtain $M \simeq f[M] \oplus \ker f$.

Now we want to show that $f \neq 0, 1 \Rightarrow f[M] \neq \{0\} \wedge \ker f \neq \{0\}$. If $f[M] = \{0\}$, of course $f = 0$. If $\ker f = \{0\}$, then f is injective. But since $f(f(m)) = f(m)$ for all $m \in M$, this means that $f(m) = m$ for all $m \in M$, thus $f = 1$. \square

Exercise 29. Consider the series of the exercise. If we prove that the composition factors are $(\mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}/p_r\mathbb{Z})$, then the series is a composition series, since every $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$ is simple because every submodule is also a subgroup, and the only subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p prime are trivial (since the order of any subgroup must divide p).

Claim. For all i , $p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \simeq (p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z}) / n \mathbb{Z}$, and there exists an isomorphism φ such that $\varphi[p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}] = (p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z}) / n \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of the claim. Consider the function $\varphi : p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z}) / n \mathbb{Z}$ given by $p_1 \dots p_i [x] \mapsto [p_1 \dots p_i x]$. This is trivially a well-defined isomorphism, since it's precisely the definition of scalar multiplication in the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$. \square

So now we have that, for all $i = \{1, \dots, r\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} / p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} &\simeq (p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z}) / n \mathbb{Z} / (p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z}) / n \mathbb{Z} \\ &\simeq p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z} / p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first “equality” of the second line holds thanks to the third isomorphism theorem, and the last “equality” holds thanks to the following isomorphism:

$$\varphi : p_1 \dots p_i \mathbb{Z} / p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z}, [p_1 \dots p_i k] \mapsto [p_1 \dots p_i k].$$

φ is well-defined, since $[p_1 \dots p_i k] = [p_1 \dots p_i l]$ implies $p_1 \dots p_i k - p_1 \dots p_i l = p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means $k - l = p_{i+1} m$, i.e. $[k]_{\mathbb{Z}/p_{i+1}\mathbb{Z}} = [l]_{\mathbb{Z}/p_{i+1}\mathbb{Z}}$. The surjectivity is totally trivial by the way φ is defined, and for the injectivity just observe that $p_1 \dots p_i k + p_{i+1} \mathbb{Z} = 0 \Rightarrow p_{i+1} | k \Rightarrow p_1 \dots p_i p_{i+1} | p_1 \dots p_i k$.