LET'S GO BACK TO THE OUTPUT OF THE COPE WE JUST RAN

```
Vitthals-MacBook-Pro:~ vitthalsrinivasan$ ./a.out
No arg-constructor called
Hello there
real = 7.29249e+19 complex = 4.59163e-41
```

real = 3.14 complex = 5.30key-dokey! All done!

DO YOU SEE ANYTHING OPP?

```
Vitthals-MacBook-Pro:~ vitthalsrinivasan$ ./a.out
No arg-constructor called
Hello there
real = 7.29249e+19 complex = 4.59163e-41
```

PO YOU SEE ANYTHING OPP?

```
real = 3.14 complex = 5.30key-dokey! All done!
```

WHY PIP THE FIRST PRINT PISPLAY GARBAGE VALUES?

```
ComplexNumber c;
cout<< "Hello there" <<endl;
c.print();
cout<<endl;
c.setMemberVariables(3.14,5.3);
cout<<endl;
c.print();
cout<<"Okey-dokey! All done!"<<endl;</pre>
```

```
Vitthals-MacBook-Pro:~ vitthalsrinivasan$ ./a.out
No arg-constructor called
Hello there
real = 7.29249e+19 complex = 4.59163e-41
```

WHY DID THE FIRST PRINT DISPLAY GARBAGE VALUES?

```
ComplexNumber()
{
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}
    ITS BECAUSE OUR CONSTRUCTOR PIP NOT
    INITIALISE THE MEMBER VARIABLES AT ALL!</pre>
```

```
ComplexNumber()
{
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}
    ITS BECAUSE OUR CONSTRUCTOR DID NOT
    INITIALISE THE MEMBER VARIABLES AT ALL!</pre>
```

OUR CONSTRUCTOR WAS ENTIRELY USELESS

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber() : realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber() : realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
   cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

THIS IS A SPECIAL BIT OF CODE, AFTER THE NAME OF THE CONSTRUCTOR, BUT BEFORE THE BODY

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber() : realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

DELIMITED BY A COLON

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber() : realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
   cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

FOLLOWED BY CALLS TO THE CONSTRUCTORS OF THE MEMBER VARIABLES OF THE OBJECT

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber() : realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

BTW, IN C++, YOU CAN AND SHOULD USE CONSTRUCTORS EVEN FOR BASIC TYPES SUCH AS FLOATS..

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber() : realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
   cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

CTRANSLATION:

realPart = 0.0, complexPart = 0.0

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber() : realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

WHY DO WE NEED AN INITIALISATION LIST? COULD WE NOT JUST ASSIGN VALUES INSIDE THE CONSTRUCTOR BODY?

LET'S DO THIS THE RIGHT WAY -USING AN INITIALISATION LIST

```
ComplexNumber(): realPart(0.0), complexPart(0.0)
{
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
    WHY PO WE NEED AN INITIALISATION LIST? COULD WE NOT
    JUST ASSIGN VALUES INSIDE THE CONSTRUCTOR BODY?</pre>
```

```
ComplexNumber()

{
    realPart = 0.0;
    complexPart = 0.0;
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;</pre>
```

LET'S GO BACK TO THE OUTPUT OF THE CODE WE JUST RAN

WHY DO WE NEED AN INITIALISATION LIST? COULD WE NOT JUST ASSIGN VALUES INSIDE THE CONSTRUCTOR BODY?

```
ComplexNumber()

{
    realPart = 0.0;
    complexPart = 0.0;
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

EXCELLENT, EXCELLENT QUESTION!!

LET'S GO BACK TO THE OUTPUT OF THE COPE WE JUST RAN

WHY DO WE NEED AN INITIALISATION LIST? COULD WE NOT JUST ASSIGN VALUES INSIDE THE CONSTRUCTOR BODY?

```
ComplexNumber()
  // member variables already exist by this point
  {
    realPart = 0.0;
    complexPart = 0.0;
    cout << "No arg-constructor called" << endl;
}</pre>
```

WHY DO WE NEED AN INITIALISATION LIST? COULD WE NOT JUST ASSIGN VALUES INSIDE THE CONSTRUCTOR BODY?

WHY DO WE NEED AN INITIALISATION LIST? COULD WE NOT JUST ASSIGN VALUES INSIDE THE CONSTRUCTOR BODY?

AN INITIALISATION LIST IS REQUIRED TO MAKE SURE THAT MEMBER VARIABLES ARE CONSTRUCTED CORRECTLY THE FIRST TIME AROUND (NOT MERELY REASSIGNED)

LET'S GO BACK TO THE OUTPUT OF THE COPE WE JUST RAN

WHY DO WE NEED AN INITIALISATION LIST? COULD WE NOT JUST ASSIGN VALUES INSIDE THE CONSTRUCTOR BODY?

AN INITIALISATION LIST IS REQUIRED TO MAKE SURE THAT MEMBER VARIABLES ARE CONSTRUCTED CORRECTLY THE FIRST TIME AROUND (NOT MERELY REASSIGNED)

WHEN WE GET TO INHERITANCE WE WILL SEE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS.