

1 Stationarity and ergodicity

Definition 1 (Strict stationarity). Series $\{z_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is said to be strictly stationary if joint distribution of collection $(z_t, z_{t-1}, \dots, z_{t-k})$ does not depend on t for $\forall k$.

Definition 2 (Weak stationarity). Series $\{z_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is said to be weakly stationary if $\mathbb{E}[z_t]$, $\text{var}[z_t]$ and $\text{cov}[z_t, z_{t-k}]$ for $\forall k$ exist and do not depend on t .

Remark 1. Strict stationarity does not imply weak stationarity (e.g. Cauchy).

Definition 3 (Ergodicity). Series $\{z_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is said to be ergodic if $\text{cov}[g(z_t), h(z_{t+k})] \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $\forall g$ and h .

Theorem 2 (Invariance to transformations). If $\{z_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is stationary and ergodic, then so is $\{f(z_t, z_{t-1}, \dots)\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ for \forall measurable function f .

Example 3. We list some examples of the series:

- non-stationary: $y_t = x_t + \delta \cdot \mathbb{1}\{t \geq t_0\}$, $\mathbb{E}[y_t] = \mathbb{E}[x_t]$ for $t < t_0$ and $\mathbb{E}[y_t] = \mathbb{E}[x_t] + \delta$ for $t \geq t_0$
- non-ergodic: $x_t = Z$, where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $\text{cov}[x_t, x_{t+k}] = \text{var}[Z] = 1 \not\rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$
- strong white noise (SWN): $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is i.i.d. series, $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t] = 0$, $\sigma^2 = \text{var}[\varepsilon_t]$
- weak white noise (WWN): $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is serially uncorrelated, $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t] = 0$, $\text{var}[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma^2$, $\text{cov}[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-j}] = 0$ for $\forall j \neq 0$

Example 4. Consider the Bernoulli process $a_t \in \{-1, +1\}$ with $\mathbb{P}\{a_t = +1\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{a_t = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}$, and let $\{\theta_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be the standard normal white noise independent of $\{a_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Show that the process

$$z_t = (a_t - a_{t-1})^2 + \theta_{t+1}^2$$

is strictly stationary and ergodic. Determine its mean and order of serial correlation (you need not derive the whole ACF).

Solution: The process z_t is strictly stationary and ergodic because it is a measurable function of a jointly strictly stationary and ergodic vector process $(a_t, \theta_t)'$. The mean of both a_t and θ_t is zero, a_t is serially independent, and $a_t^2 = 1$ with probability 1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[z_t] &= \mathbb{E}[(a_t - a_{t-1})^2] + \mathbb{E}[\theta_{t+1}^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[a_t^2] + \mathbb{E}[a_{t-1}^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[a_t a_{t-1}] + \text{var}[(\theta_{t+1})] \\ &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Because z_t and z_{t+2} are independent, the order of serial correlation cannot exceed 1. The serial correlation in z_t may come only from the a -part. Let us check if it is not zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}[(z_t, z_{t+1})] &= \text{cov}\left(a_t^2 + a_{t-1}^2 - 2a_t a_{t-1}, a_t^2 + a_{t+1}^2 - 2a_t a_{t+1}\right) \\ &= \text{var}(a_t^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This, despite the one-period overlap, there is in fact no serial correlation in the process.

2 Lag operator

Definition 4 (Lag operator). Lag operator L is defined as follows:

$$Lx_t = x_{t-1}, \quad LLx_t = x_{t-2}, \quad \dots, \quad L^k x_t = x_{t-k}.$$

Definition 5 (Lag polynomial). Lag polynomial $\Phi(L)$ of order k is defined as

$$\Phi(L) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \dots - \phi_k L^k,$$

so when applied to x_t we have $\Phi(L)x_t = x_t - \phi_1 x_{t-1} - \dots - \phi_k x_{t-k}$.

Theorem 5 (Fundamental theorem of algebra). $\Phi(L)$ of order k can be factorized as $\Phi(L) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \phi_i L)$.

Example 6. Some examples follow:

- $\Phi(0) = 1$
- $\Phi(1) = 1 - \phi_1 - \dots - \phi_k$
- $\Phi(L)\mu = \mu \cdot \Phi(1)$

3 Autocorrelation function (ACF)

Definition 6 (ACF). We define the autocorrelation function as

$$\text{ACF}(j) = \frac{\text{cov}[x_t, x_{t+j}]}{\text{var}[x_t]}.$$

Remark 7. ACF makes sense only for stationary and ergodic series. Stationarity is used in the denominator, ergodicity in the numerator.

4 Standard linear processes

1. autoregression of order 1, AR(1):

$$x_t = \mu + \phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \text{WWN}.$$

- $\phi = 1$: random walk (with drift $\mu \neq 0$, without drift $\mu = 0$) $\Rightarrow x_t = x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ (non-stationarity, non-ergodic); can write as $x_t = x_0 + \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_t \Rightarrow \text{var}[x_t] = \text{var}[x_0] + t\sigma^2 = \text{cov}[x_t, x_{t+k}]$ (check this); x_t is not measurable so it does not exist as a random variable, $x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{t-j}$.
- $|\phi| < 1$ (necessary stationarity condition): moments are

$$m_x := \mathbb{E}[x_t] = \mathbb{E}[x_{t-1}]\phi + \mu \Rightarrow m_x = \frac{\mu}{1-\phi}$$

for the mean,

$$\sigma_x^2 := \text{var}[x_t] = \text{var}[x_{t-1}]\phi^2 + \sigma^2 \Rightarrow \sigma_x^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}$$

for the variance, and

$$\gamma_x(1) := \text{cov}[x_t, x_{t+1}] = \text{cov}[x_t, \mu + \phi x_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}] = \phi \sigma_x^2$$

covariances with $\gamma_x(j) = \text{cov}[x_t, x_{t+j}] = \phi^j \sigma_x^2$. ACF is then ϕ^j .

We can also write AR(1) using the lag operator as

$$\Phi(L)x_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t, \quad \Phi(L) = 1 - \phi L.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= \Phi(L)^{-1} \mu + \Phi(L)^{-1} \varepsilon_t \\ &= \Phi(L)^{-1} \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j} \end{aligned}$$

because $\Phi(L)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j L^j$ by Taylor.

2. **autoregression of order p , $\text{AR}(p)$:**

$$x_t = \mu + \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \text{WWN}.$$

Using lag operator we can write

$$\Phi(L)x_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t, \quad \Phi(L) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \dots - \phi_p L^p$$

from which follows

$$x_t = \Phi(1)^{-1} \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}.$$

Stationarity condition: roots of $\Phi(L)$ lie outside the unit circle. For example, for $\text{AR}(1)$ we have $1 - \phi L = 0 \Rightarrow L = \frac{1}{\phi} \Rightarrow |\phi| < 1$.

3. **moving average $\text{MA}(1)$:**

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t - \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \text{WWN}.$$

Always stationary and ergodic process, $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Moments are

$$\mathbb{E}[x_t] = 0, \quad \text{var}[x_t] = (1 + \theta)^2 \sigma^2, \quad \text{cov}[x_t, x_{t+1}] = -\theta \sigma^2,$$

and $\forall |k| > 1$ covariances are 0. If $|\theta| > 1 \Rightarrow$ non-invertible representation of $\text{MA}(1)$. That is,

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t - \theta \varepsilon_{t-1} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_t = (1 - \theta L)^{-1} x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta^j x_{t-j} \text{ does not converge.}$$

Solution: find an invertible representation (see Hamilton (1994)).

4. **moving average $\text{MA}(q)$:**

$$x_t = \Theta(L)\varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \text{WWN}, \quad \Theta(L) := 1 - \theta_1 L - \dots - \theta_q L^q.$$

Always stationary. Invertible if roots of $\Theta(L)$ lie outside the unit circle. ε_t is called *innovation* if $\text{MA}(q)$ is invertible.

5. **ARMA(p, q):**

$$\Phi(L)x_t = \mu + \Theta(L)\varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \text{WWN}.$$

Stationarity condition: roots of $\Phi(L)$ should be outside the unit circle. Invertibility condition: roots of $\Theta(L)$ should be outside the unit circle. Non-reducability condition: no common roots of $\Phi(L)$ and $\Theta(L)$.

Example 8. Sum of two independent $\text{MA}(1)$ processes is $\text{MA}(1)$, that is, $\text{MA}(1) + \text{MA}(1) = \text{MA}(1)$ (see Hamilton (1994) for the proof).

Example 9. Sum of two independent $\text{AR}(1)$ processes

- with equal coefficients is $\text{AR}(1)$. That is, after summing up

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \pi L)x_t &= u_t \\ (1 - \rho L)w_t &= \eta_t \end{aligned}$$

we have $(1 - \pi L)(x_t + w_t) = u_t + \eta_t$, which is equivalent to $(1 - \pi L)y_t = \varepsilon_t$, that is, $\text{AR}(1)$ process.

- with different coefficients is $\text{ARMA}(2, 1)$. That is, after summing up

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \pi L)(1 - \rho L)x_t &= u_t(1 - \rho L) \\ (1 - \pi L)(1 - \rho L)w_t &= \eta_t(1 - \pi L) \end{aligned}$$

we have $(1 - \pi L)(1 - \rho L)(x_t + w_t) = u_t(1 - \rho L) + \eta_t(1 - \pi L)$. We have two independent $\text{MA}(1)$ processes on the right-hand side which is equal to $\text{MA}(1)$ due to Example 8. Using the fact that $(1 - \pi L)(1 - \rho L) = (1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)$, we have

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)y_t = \varepsilon_t(1 - \gamma L)$$

which is $\text{ARMA}(2, 1)$ process.

Example 10. In general, $\text{AR}(p) + \text{AR}(q) = \text{ARMA}(p + q, \max\{p, q\})$.

5 Wold decomposition

Suppose $\{x_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is weakly stationary. Then it can be decomposed as

$$x_t = d_t + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i \varepsilon_{t-i},$$

where d_t is a deterministic part, $\psi_0 = 1$, $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i^2 < \infty$, ε_t is WWN. We call $\varepsilon_t = x_t - \text{Proj}\{x_t | x_{t-1}, \dots\}$ the Wold innovation; d_t is perfectly predictable from the past, $d_t = \text{Proj}\{d_t | d_{t-1}, \dots\}$.

Example 11. Some examples of the Wold decomposition:

- white noise: $\eta_t \Rightarrow d_t = 0, \psi_0 = 1, \psi_j = 0 \forall j \geq 1$,
- random variable: $x_t = Z, Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \Rightarrow d_t = Z, \varepsilon_t = 0$,
- AR(1) process: $(1 - \phi L)x_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t, |\phi| < 1 \Rightarrow x_t = (1 - \phi L)^{-1}(\mu + \varepsilon_t) = (1 - \phi)^{-1}\mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$; here, $d_t = (1 - \phi)^{-1}\mu$ and $\psi_j = \phi^j, j \geq 0$.

6 Brownian motion

Exercise 12. Let $B(r)$ be the standard Brownian motion on $[0, +\infty)$. Recall the standard Brownian bridge $BB(r) = B(r) - rB(1)$ on $[0, 1]$, and define it on $[0, +\infty)$ by the same formula. Compute the following quantities:

- covariance between $B(r)$ and $BB(s)$ for fixed $s > r \geq 1$;
- covariance between $B(s)$ and $BB(r)$ for fixed $s > r \geq 1$;
- covariance between $B(r)$ and $BB(s)$ for fixed $s \geq 1 > r$;
- covariance between $B(s)$ and $BB(r)$ for fixed $s \geq 1 > r$.

Solution. Using the covariance and the Brownian motion properties, we have

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}[B(r), BB(s)] &= \text{cov}[B(r), B(s) - sB(1)] \\ &= \text{cov}[B(r), B(s)] - s\text{cov}[B(r), B(1)] \\ &= r - s \cdot 1 = r - s, \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}[B(s), BB(r)] &= \text{cov}[B(s), B(r) - rB(1)] \\ &= \text{cov}[B(s), B(r)] - r\text{cov}[B(s), B(1)] \\ &= r - r = 0, \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}[B(r), BB(s)] &= \text{cov}[B(r), B(s) - sB(1)] \\ &= \text{cov}[B(r), B(s)] - s\text{cov}[B(r), B(1)] \\ &= r - sr = r(1 - s), \end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}[B(s), BB(r)] &= \text{cov}[B(s), B(r) - rB(1)] \\ &= \text{cov}[B(s), B(r)] - r\text{cov}[B(s), B(1)] \\ &= r - r \cdot 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 13. Compute the limit of

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t,$$

where x_t is a driftless random walk with weak white noise innovations ε_t .

Solution. We have that $x_t = x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$. So using mnemonic rules for the Brownian motion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t) \varepsilon_t = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_{t-1} \varepsilon_t + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t^2 \\ &\xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2 \int_0^1 B(r) dB(r) + \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{2} (\chi_1^2 - 1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (\chi_1^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality on the second line follows from the Ito's lemma. It states that

$$df(B(r)) = f'(B(r))dB(r) + \frac{1}{2}f''(B(r))dr$$

for some function f . Take $f(x) = x^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(B(r))^2 &= 2B(r)dB(r) + dr, \\ \int_0^1 dB(r)^2 &= 2 \int_0^1 B(r)dB(r) + \int_0^1 dr, \\ B(1)^2 - B(0)^2 &= 2 \int_0^1 B(r)dB(r) + 1, \\ \int_0^1 B(r)dB(r) &= \frac{1}{2}(\chi_1^2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 14. Suppose x_t is a driftless random walk with weak white noise innovations ε_t , but in the regression one uses a mixture of stochastic and deterministic trends instead of either one:

$$x_t = \rho \cdot t \cdot x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

Determine the rate of convergence and asymptotic distribution of the OLS estimator of ρ (without too many formalities; uses mnemonic rules).

Solution. We know that

$$\hat{\rho} = \rho + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T tx_{t-1}\varepsilon_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T t^2 x_{t-1}^2}.$$

Using the mnemonic rules, we have

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T tx_{t-1}\varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2 \int_0^1 rB(r)dB(r),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{T^3} \sum_{t=1}^T t^2 x_{t-1}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2 \int_0^1 r^2 B(r)^2 dr.$$

Hence, $r(T)(\hat{\rho} - \rho)$ converges in distribution to

$$\frac{\int_0^1 rB(r)dB(r)}{\int_0^1 r^2 B(r)^2 dr}$$

with $r(T) = T$.

Exercise 15. Consider the local level model

$$y_t = \mu_t + \varepsilon_t,$$

where the local level μ_t follows

$$\mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_t,$$

and where ε_t and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t$ are independent (temporally and mutually) “structural” shocks.

1. Which AR, MA, ARMA or ARIMA process does y_t follow? Is the series y_t stationary or not?
2. Find the “structural” impulse responses (i.e. impacts of the “structural” shocks) at all horizons. Compare how they differ for the different “structural” shocks. How would you name these two shocks, judging by what you discovered?

Solution.

1. Take differences $\Delta y_t = \Delta \mu_t + \Delta \epsilon_t$ and $\Delta \mu_t = \zeta_t$. Then, $\Delta y_t = \epsilon_t - \epsilon_{t-1} + \zeta_t$, that is y_t is an ARIMA(0, 1, 1) process. Because y_t contains a unit root, it is not stationary.
2. For ϵ_t , we have $\partial y_t / \partial \epsilon_t = 1$ and $\partial y_{t+k} / \partial \epsilon_t = 0$ for $\forall k \geq 1$, hence we might label it as a temporal shock. For ζ_t , we have $\partial y_t / \partial \zeta_t = 1$ and $\partial y_{t+k} / \partial \zeta_t = 1$ for $\forall k \geq 1$, hence we might label it as a permanent shock.

Exercise 16. True, False, Uncertain? Explain.

1. “The serial dependence structure of a process is fully characterized by its ACF”.
2. “The ACF and IRF cannot exceed 1 in absolute value”.
3. “The more linear AR(1) processes are added together, the higher the order of the resulting AR-process will be”.
4. “If the DGP is gaussian linear AR, a model cannot be nonlinear”.
5. “A strictly stationary series can exhibit explosive behavior in some periods”.
6. “If the process is strictly stationary, it is also mean reverting”.
7. “If the process has a unit root, the shocks may never die out”.
8. “We can use AIC or BIC to choose between models not only in-sample, but also out-of-sample”.
9. “The standard Brownian motion is the only Gaussian continuous-time process that equals 0 at $r = 0$ and has variance 1 at $r = 1$ ”.