

BLACK-SCHOLES EQUATION

K. OSKAR NEGRON

ABSTRACT. I IS MATH DO. This is a draft and not even close to being a final product. We are exploring the Black-Scholes Equations to see if we can somehow use spectral methods to solve the equation, or at least a subset.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Black-Scholes equation is a partial differential equation, that describes the price of the option over time,

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial V(s, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2 V(s, t)}{\partial s^2} + rs \frac{\partial V(s, t)}{\partial s} - rV(s, t) = 0.$$

s is the price of the stock, t is time, $V(s, t)$ is the price of the security, r is the annualized risk-free interest rate, and σ is the standard deviation of the stock's returns (i.e. volatility).

2. SOLUTIONS

Suppose that a solution of the form $V(s, t) = S(s)T(t)$ solves (1), then

$$S \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial s^2} T + rs \frac{\partial S}{\partial s} T - rST = 0.$$

Rearranging the previous equation and dividing by $S(s)T(t)$ yields,

$$(2) \quad \frac{T'}{T} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{S''}{S} + rs \frac{S'}{S} - r = 0.$$

Let

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{S''}{S} + rs \frac{S'}{S} = -m^2 \text{ and } \frac{T'}{T} - r = m^2$$

so that (1) is satisfied. We have two differential equations to solve. Startitng with

$$\frac{T'}{T} - r = m^2 \iff \frac{T'}{T} = (r + m^2)$$

solutions to this form are given by,

$$\ln T = (r + m^2)t$$

or

$$(3) \quad T(t) = e^{(r+m^2)t}.$$

TABLE 1. Conditions of Call and Put securities

Type	$V(s, t)$	Payoff ($t = T$)	Boundary Condition	
Call	$C(s, t)$	$\max(s - K, 0)$	$C(0, t) = 0$	$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} C(s, t) = s - Ke^{-r(T-t)}$
Put	$P(s, t)$	$\max(K - s, 0)$	$P(0, t) = Ke^{-r(T-t)}$	$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} P(s, t) = 0$

Now we solve,

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{S''}{S} + rs \frac{S'}{S} = -m^2 \iff \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 S'' + rsS' + m^2 S = 0.$$

We guess that the solutions are of the following form $S(s) = s^\lambda$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \lambda(\lambda - 1)s^{\lambda-2} + rs\lambda s^{\lambda-1} + m^2 s^\lambda &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow s^\lambda \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \lambda(\lambda - 1) + r\lambda + m^2 \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, either $S^\lambda = 0$ or

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \lambda(\lambda - 1) + r\lambda + m^2 = 0.$$

Solving for λ in (4),

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r \pm \sqrt{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)^2 - 4(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(m^2)}}{\sigma^2}$$

and so our characteristic solution for $S(s)$ is given by,

$$(5) \quad S(s) = s^{\lambda_+} + s^{\lambda_-}.$$

Lastly, putting (3) and (5) together gives us our solution to (1), namely,

$$(6) \quad V(s, t) = c_1 \left[s^{\frac{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r + \sqrt{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)^2 - 4(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(m^2)}}{\sigma^2}} + s^{\frac{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r - \sqrt{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)^2 - 4(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(m^2)}}{\sigma^2}} \right] e^{(r+m^2)t}$$

where c_1 and m^2 will come from initial conditions. Let K be the strike price (predetermined rate) of the underlying stock and let $t = T$ be the time to maturity. Consider call and put securities. The following table gives us a summary of the conditions imposed on calls and puts.

3. SPECTRUM OF THE BLACK-SCHOLES OPERATOR

The PDE in equation (1) can be written as

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial V(s, t)}{\partial t} = -\mathcal{L}V(s, t) = -\mathcal{L}[S(s)T(t)]$$

where \mathcal{L} is the following operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + rs \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - r.$$

Key words and phrases. Finance.

Consider the $h(s)$ weighted $L^2([0, \infty])$ space with norm

$$\langle f|g \rangle_h = \int_{s \in [0, \infty]} \overline{f(s)} g(s) h(s) ds$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{L} : \{\mathcal{C}^2([0, \infty])\} \rightarrow L^2([0, \infty])$. We want to show that \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint (i.e $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\mathcal{L}}$). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f|\mathcal{L}g \rangle_h &= \int_0^\infty \overline{f} \mathcal{L}gh \, ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \overline{f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + rs \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - r \right) hg \, ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty h \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial s^2} + rs \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} - rg \right) \overline{f} \, ds \\ &= \int \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma h s^2 \overline{f} \right] \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [rsh\overline{f}] g - rhg\overline{f} \right) ds + \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma s^2 h \overline{f} \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} + rsh\overline{f}g \right]_0^\infty \\ &= \int \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma s^2 h \overline{f} \right] g - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [rsh\overline{f}] g - rhg\overline{f} \right) ds + \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma s^2 h \overline{f} \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} + rsh\overline{f}g - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma s^2 h \overline{f} \right] g \right]_0^\infty \\ &= \int \frac{1}{2} \sigma h s^2 \frac{\partial^2 \overline{f}}{\partial s^2} g + \left(\sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} + 2\sigma^2 h s - rsh \right) \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial s} g \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial s^2} + (\sigma^2 + 2s - rs) \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} + (\sigma^2 - 2r) h \right) \overline{f} g \, ds \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{\sigma^2}{2} s^2 \overline{f} h \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial s} s^2 h + \overline{f} s^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial s} + 2\overline{f} h s \right) g + r\overline{f}shg \right]_0^\infty \\ &= \langle \overline{\mathcal{L}}f|g \rangle_h + \text{Boundary.} \end{aligned}$$

If we pick $h(s) = e^{-\phi(s)}$, then we have the following

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} s^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + (2\sigma^2 s - rs) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + (\sigma^2 - 2r).$$

If we assume that the boundary vanishes, then in the special case $\sigma^2 = r$ we have that \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint.

REFERENCES

- [Hull] J. R. Munkres. *Options*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2000.
[Munkres] J. R. Munkres. *Positive Harmonic Functions and Diffusion*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2000.

E-mail address: koskar@csu.fullerton.edu