

# Macroeconomics II Homework 3

Graduate School of Economics, The University of Tokyo

29-246029 Rin NITTA

29-246033 Rei HANARI

29-246004 Kosuke IGARASHI

December 7, 2024

Q1

(a)

The recursive formulation of a standard neoclassical growth model studied in class in Lecture 3 is

$$v(k) = \max_{0 \leq k' \leq f(k)} \{U(f(k) - k') + \beta v(k')\}.$$

Define metric space  $(B(X), d)$ , the space of bounded functions on  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the sup-norm  $d$ , and define operator  $T$  as

$$Tv(k) = \max_{0 \leq k' \leq f(k)} \{U(f(k) - k') + \beta v(k')\}.$$

Then, by showing  $T$  satisfies Blackwell's condition, we can show  $T$  is a contraction mapping. Then, by CMT, we can show convergent  $k$  is a unique fixed point.

(b)

Let  $X$  be a set. Consider the space  $B(X)$  of all bounded functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , equipped with the *supremum norm*:

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

The metric on  $B(X)$  is defined as:

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{\infty}.$$

We aim to show that the metric space  $(B(X), d)$  is complete, i.e., every Cauchy sequence of functions in  $B(X)$  converges to a function in  $B(X)$ .

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(B(X), d)$ . By definition, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$ :

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon,$$

which implies:

$$\sup_{x \in X} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon.$$

For each  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  because:

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } m, n \geq N.$$

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete,  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges to a limit, say  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, we can define a pointwise limit function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by:

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x), \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Since  $\{f_n\} \subseteq B(X)$ , each  $f_n$  is bounded, i.e., there exists  $M_n$  such that  $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq M_n$ . Let  $M = \sup_n M_n$ . Then for all  $n$  and  $x \in X$ :

$$|f_n(x)| \leq M.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain:

$$|f(x)| \leq M, \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Thus,  $f$  is bounded, and hence  $f \in B(X)$ .

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$ :

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty < \epsilon.$$

Fix  $n \geq N$ . Then for all  $x \in X$ :

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } m \geq N.$$

Taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we get:

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus:

$$\|f_n - f\|_\infty \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

This shows that  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$ .

Since  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(B(X), d)$  and converges uniformly to  $f \in B(X)$ , the metric space  $(B(X), d)$  is complete.

(c)

Suppose not, there exists feasible allocation  $\{\hat{c}_t^1, \tilde{c}_t^2\}_{t=0}^\infty, s^t \in S^t$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(\hat{c}^i) &\leq u(\tilde{c}^i) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2\} \\ u(\hat{c}^i) &< u(\tilde{c}^i) \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2\} \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, assume strict inequality holds for  $i = 1$ .

Suppose

$$\sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \hat{c}_t^1(s^t) \geq \sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \tilde{c}_t^1(s^t).$$

Then as  $\hat{c}^1$  is CE,  $u(\hat{c}^1) \geq u(\tilde{c}^1)$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \hat{c}_t^1(s^t) < \sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \tilde{c}_t^1(s^t).$$

Suppose

$$\sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \hat{c}_t^2(s^t) > \sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \tilde{c}_t^2(s^t).$$

Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \hat{c}_t^2(s^t) \geq \sum_{t=0}^\infty \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \tilde{c}_t^2(s^t) + \delta.$$

Define  $\bar{c}^2$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}_t^2(s^t) &= \tilde{c}_t^2 && \text{for } t \neq 0 \\ \bar{c}_0^2(s^0) &= \tilde{c}_0^2 + \pi(s_0)\delta && \text{for } t = 0\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$u(\bar{c}^2) \geq u(\tilde{c}^2) \geq u(\hat{c}^2).$$

This contradicts that  $\hat{c}^2$  is CE. Hence,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \hat{c}_t^2(s^t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \tilde{c}_t^2(s^t).$$

Then

$$\sum_{i \in \{1,2\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \hat{c}_t^i(s^t) < \sum_{i \in \{1,2\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) \tilde{c}_t^i(s^t).$$

As  $(\hat{c}^1, \hat{c}^2)$  and  $(\tilde{c}^1, \tilde{c}^2)$  are feasible,

$$\forall t \forall s^t \in S^t \quad \hat{c}_t^1(s^t) + \hat{c}_t^2(s^t) = \tilde{c}_t^1(s^t) + \tilde{c}_t^2(s^t) \quad (1)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t) < \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t \in S^t} P_t(s^t)$$

This is a contradiction. This shows  $(\hat{c}^1, \hat{c}^2)$  is a Pareto efficient allocation.

Q2

(a)

(b)

(c)

Q3

(a)

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.83 & 0.15 & 0.01 & 0.01 \\ 0.31 & 0.41 & 0.15 & 0.13 \\ 0.05 & 0.27 & 0.53 & 0.15 \\ 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.27 & 0.39 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, \}$ ,  $P_{ij}^2 > 0$ . Therefore, by the LS theorem 2.2.2, P has a unique stationary distribution and the process is asymptotically stationary.

(b)

Stationary distribution  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4)$  of  $P$  satisfies

$$\pi^T = \pi^T P$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \\ \pi_3 \\ \pi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9\pi_1 + 0.2\pi_2 + 0.0\pi_3 + 0.1\pi_4 \\ 0.1\pi_1 + 0.6\pi_2 + 0.2\pi_3 + 0.1\pi_4 \\ 0.0\pi_1 + 0.1\pi_2 + 0.7\pi_3 + 0.2\pi_4 \\ 0.0\pi_1 + 0.1\pi_2 + 0.1\pi_3 + 0.6\pi_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving this, we have

$$\pi = \left( \frac{6}{11}, \frac{5}{22}, \frac{3}{22}, \frac{1}{11} \right)$$

(c)

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^1) &= 0.9 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^2) &= 0.2 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^3) &= 0.0 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^4) &= 0.1 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^2 | e_t^1) &= 0.1 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^3 | e_t^2) &= 0.1 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^4 | e_t^3) &= 0.1 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the likelihood is

$$\left( \frac{6}{11} * 0.9 + \frac{5}{22} * 0.2 + \frac{3}{22} * 0 + \frac{1}{11} * 0.1 \right) * 0.1^3 = \frac{6}{11000}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^1) &= 0.9 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^2) &= 0.2 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^3) &= 0.0 \\ Pr(e_{t+1}^1 | e_t^4) &= 0.1 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the likelihood is

$$\left( \frac{6}{11} * 0.9 + \frac{5}{22} * 0.2 + \frac{3}{22} * 0 + \frac{1}{11} * 0.1 \right) * 0.9^3 = \frac{4374}{11000}$$

(d)

$$E[y_1 | e_0 = e^1] = 0.9 * 0 + 0.1 * 1 = 0.1$$

$$P^5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70524 & 0.19908 & 0.05284 & 0.04284 \\ 0.44100 & 0.25652 & 0.17908 & 0.12340 \\ 0.23420 & 0.27964 & 0.31708 & 0.16908 \\ 0.31476 & 0.24476 & 0.25964 & 0.18084 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$E[y_5|e_0 = e^1] = 0.70524 * 0 + 0.19908 * 1 + 0.05284 * 2 + 0.04284 * 4 = 0.47612.$$