## Macroeconomics II Homework 5

Graduate School of Economics, The University of Tokyo

## 29–246029 Rin NITTA 29-246033 Rei HANARI 29–246004 Kosuke IGARASHI

January 19, 2025

1

2

3

(a)

Suppose the market structure is sequential markets. Then the competitive equilibrium is given as a sequential market equilibrium. Let  $\bar{k}_1$  be the capital stock of the initial old. Suppose  $g_0 = 0$ .

Given  $\bar{k}_1$ , sequential markets equilibrium is allocations for households  $\hat{c}_1^0$ ,  $\{\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t, \hat{s}_t^t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , allocations for the firm  $\{\hat{K}_t, \hat{L}_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , and prices  $\{\hat{r}_t, \hat{w}_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that

1. For all  $t \geq 0$ , given  $(\hat{w}_t, \hat{r}_{t+1})$ ,  $(\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t, \hat{s}_t^t)$  solve the household's optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(c_t^t, c_{t+1}^t) \geq 0, \ s_t^t} \log c_t^t + \beta \log c_{t+1}^t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad c_t^t + s_t^t + \tau_t \leq \hat{w}_t \\ c_{t+1}^t \leq (1 + \hat{r}_{t+1} - \delta) s_t^t - b_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

2. Given  $\bar{k}_1$  and  $\hat{r}_1$ ,  $\hat{c}_1^0$  solves the household's optimization problem:

$$\max_{c_1^0 \geq 0} \log c_1^0$$
 s.t. 
$$c_1^0 \leq (1 + \hat{r}_1 - \delta)\bar{k}_1$$

3. For all  $t \geq 1$ , given  $(\hat{r}_t, \hat{w}_t)$ ,  $(\hat{K}_t, \hat{L}_t)$  solves the firm's optimization problem:

$$\max_{(K_t, L_t) \ge 0} K_t^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha} - \hat{r}_t K_t - \hat{w}_t L_t$$

- 4. For all  $t \geq 1$ ,
  - Goods market:  $N_t \hat{c}_t^t + N_{t-1} \hat{c}_t^{t-1} + \hat{K}_{t+1} (1-\delta)\hat{K}_t = \hat{K}_t^{\alpha} \hat{L}_t^{1-\alpha}$
  - Asset market:  $N_t \hat{s}_t^t + N_t g_t = \hat{K}_{t+1}$
  - Labor market:  $N_t = \hat{L}_t$

where  $\tau_t = g_t$  and  $b_t = -(1 + r_t - \delta)g_{t-1}$  for all  $t \ge 1$ .

(b)

Using  $\tau_t = g_t$  and  $b_t = -(1 + r_t - \delta)g_{t-1}$ , the budget constraint of the household is rewritten as

$$c_{t}^{t} + s_{t}^{t} + g_{t} \le \hat{w}_{t}$$
$$c_{t+1}^{t} \le (1 + \hat{r}_{t+1} - \delta)(s_{t}^{t} + g_{t})$$

and the market clearing condition of the asset market is rewritten as

$$N_t(s_t^t + g_t) = \hat{K}_{t+1}$$

These are the same as the budget constraint and the market clearing condition in the model without the government, except that  $s_t^t$  is replaced by  $s_t^t + g_t$ . Then, if the household doesnt' care about the borrowing constraint, the competitive equilibrium is the same as the competitive equilibrium in the model without the government.

(c)

Given  $\bar{k}_1$ , sequential markets equilibrium is allocations for households  $\hat{c}_1^0$ ,  $\{\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t, \hat{s}_t^t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , allocations for the firm  $\{\hat{K}_t, \hat{L}_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , and prices  $\{\hat{r}_t, \hat{w}_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that

1. For all  $t \geq 0$ , given  $(\hat{w}_t, \hat{r}_{t+1}), (\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t, \hat{s}_t^t)$  solve the household's optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(c_t^t, c_{t+1}^t) \geq 0, \ s_t^t} \log c_t^t + \beta \log c_{t+1}^t \\ \text{s.t.} \quad c_t^t + s_t^t + \tau_t \leq \hat{w}_t \\ c_{t+1}^t \leq (1 + \hat{r}_{t+1} - \delta) s_t^t + b_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

2. Given  $\bar{k}_1$  and  $\hat{r}_1$ ,  $\hat{c}_1^0$  solves the household's optimization problem:

$$\max_{c_1^0 \ge 0} \log c_1^0$$
 s.t.  $c_1^0 \le (1 + \hat{r}_1 - \delta)\bar{k}_1$ 

3. For all  $t \geq 1$ , given  $(\hat{r}_t, \hat{w}_t)$ ,  $(\hat{K}_t, \hat{L}_t)$  solves the firm's optimization problem:

$$\max_{(K_t, L_t) \ge 0} K_t^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha} - \hat{r}_t K_t - \hat{w}_t L_t$$

4. For all  $t \geq 1$ ,

• Goods market:  $N_t \hat{c}_t^t + N_{t-1} \hat{c}_t^{t-1} + \hat{K}_{t+1} - (1-\delta)\hat{K}_t = \hat{K}_t^{\alpha} \hat{L}_t^{1-\alpha}$ 

• Asset market:  $N_t \hat{s}_t^t = \hat{K}_{t+1}$ 

• Labor market:  $N_t = \hat{L}_t$ 

where  $(1+n)\tau_t = (1+n)\tau_y = b_t$  for all  $t \ge 1$ .

(d)

If  $\tau_y$  rises, then  $(1+n)\tau_y = b_t$  rises. Then, the households receive more transfer from the government when they are old. For consumption smoothing, the households save less when they are young, that is,  $s_t^t$  decreases. Then, by the asset market clearing,  $N_t s_t^t = K_{t+1}$  decreases. Therefore, he steady-state capital-labor ratio  $k_t = \frac{K_t}{N_*}$  decreases.

4

(a)

Let  $V(a_{i,0}, n_{i,0}) = E_0 \left[ \max_{\{c_{i,t}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{i,t}) \right]$  be the value function of the household. The Bellman equation is given by

$$V(a_{i,0}, n_{i,0}) = E_0 \left[ \max_{\{c_{i,t}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{i,t}) \right]$$

$$= \max_{c_{i,0}, a_{i,1}} u(c_{i,0}) + E_0 \left[ \max_{\{c_{i,t}\}_{t=1}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{i,t}) \right]$$

$$= \max_{c_{i,0}, a_{i,1}} u(c_{i,0}) + \beta E_0 \left[ E_1 \left[ \max_{\{c_{i,t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{i,t+1}) \right] \right]$$

$$= \max_{c_{i,0}, a_{i,1}} u(c_{i,0}) + \beta E_0 [V(a_{i,1}, n_{i,1})]$$

Then, the recursive problem is given by

$$V(a,n) = \max_{c,a'} u(c) + \beta E[V(a',n')]$$

where a and n are state variables, and a' and c are control variables.

(b)

Let m(a, n) be a measure of households in state (a, n).

A stationary competitive equilibrium is a policy function a' = g(a, n), a distribution m(a, n), and real numbers K, r and w such that

- 1. prices are determined competitively, that is,  $r = F_K(K, N) \delta = \alpha K_t^{\alpha 1} N_t^{1 \alpha} \delta$  and  $w = F_L(K, N) = (1 \alpha) K_t^{\alpha} N_t^{-\alpha}$ .
- 2. The policy function a' = g(a, n) solves the household's optimization problem.
- 3. The probability distribution m(a, n) is stationary and associated with the policy function g(a, n) and the distribution of n.
- 4. The capital K equals the sum of households' savings:

$$K = \sum_{a} \sum_{n} g(a, n) \cdot m(a, n)$$

The labor N equals the sum of labor supplied by each household (exogenous):

$$L = \sum_{a} \sum_{n} n \cdot m(a, n)$$

(c)

The grid Z, the transition matrix P, and the stationary distribution  $\pi$  gained by Tauchen's method are as follows:

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} -0.8000 \\ -0.5333 \\ -0.26670 \\ 0.5333 \\ 0.8000 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5530 & 0.2351 & 0.1406 & 0.0548 & 0.0139 & 0.0023 & 0.0003 \\ 0.3204 & 0.2589 & 0.2277 & 0.1305 & 0.0487 & 0.0118 & 0.0021 \\ 0.1431 & 0.2015 & 0.2606 & 0.2195 & 0.1205 & 0.0431 & 0.0117 \\ 0.0478 & 0.1109 & 0.2108 & 0.2611 & 0.2108 & 0.1109 & 0.0478 \\ 0.0117 & 0.0431 & 0.1205 & 0.2195 & 0.2606 & 0.2015 & 0.1431 \\ 0.0021 & 0.0118 & 0.0487 & 0.1305 & 0.2277 & 0.2589 & 0.3204 \\ 0.0003 & 0.0023 & 0.0139 & 0.0548 & 0.1406 & 0.2351 & 0.5530 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1553 \\ 0.1226 \\ 0.1456 \\ 0.1529 \\ 0.1456 \\ 0.1226 \\ 0.1553 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Matlab code is below.

## Code: tauchen.m

```
function [Z,Zprob] = tauchen(N,mu,rho,sigma)
2
     Zprob = zeros(N,N); % Transition Matrix
3
     c = (1-rho)*mu; % Constant
4
5
    % Define Grids
     zmax = 2*sigma;
          = -zmax;
9
     w = (zmax-zmin)/(N-1);
    Z = linspace(zmin,zmax,N);
11
    % Stationary value, mu
12
    Z = Z + mu;
14
     % Create Transition Matrix
15
     for j = 1:N
16
        for k = 1:N
17
            if k == 1
18
                Zprob(j,k) = normcdf((Z(1)-c-rho*Z(j)+w/2)/sigma);
19
            elseif k == N
20
                Zprob(j,k) = 1 - normcdf((Z(N)-c-rho*Z(j)-w/2)/sigma);
21
            else
22
                23
                   /2)/sigma);
            end
24
        end
25
     end
27
   end
```

## Code: tauchen\_result.m

```
N = 7; % Number of Grid Points, the number of potential realizations of z.
   mu = 0; % Mean
2
   rho = 0.9; % AR(1) Coefficient
3
   sigma = 0.4; % Standard Deviation
   [Z,Zprob] = tauchen(N,mu,rho,sigma);
   disp('Grid (Z):');
   disp(Z);
9
10
   disp('Transition matrix (P):');
11
   disp(Zprob);
12
13
14
   [V, D] = eig(Zprob');
   [~, idx] = max(abs(diag(D)));
   pi = V(:, idx);
   pi = pi / sum(pi);
```

```
disp('stationary distribution:');
disp(pi);
```