システム制御情報学会論文誌 (Transactions of the Institute of Systems, Control and Information Engineers)

[FA]DESIGN OF A TWO-PIECE BRASSIERE CUP TO FIT BREAST DATA POINTS TOWARD ITS AUTOMATION

--Manuscript Draft--

受付番号:	ISCIE-D-20-00025R1
論文種別:	論文 / Paper
標題:	[FA]DESIGN OF A TWO-PIECE BRASSIERE CUP TO FIT BREAST DATA POINTS TOWARD ITS AUTOMATION
全著者:	吉田 皓太郎
	若松 栄史
	森永 英二
	堤 誠一郎
	久保 貴裕
責任著者:	吉田 皓太郎 Osaka Daigaku Kogakubu Daigakuin Kogaku Kenkyuka 吹田市, 大阪府 JAPAN
セクション/カテゴリ:	システム・ オペレーションズリサーチ / System and Operations Research
抄録:	A method to design the two-dimensional shapes of patterns of two piece brassiere cup is proposed when breast data points are given. A brassiere cup consists of several patterns and their shapes are designed by repeatedly making a paper cup model and checking it. For improvement of design efficiency of brassieres, such trial and error must be reduced. As a cup model is made of paper, it is assumed the surface of the model is developable surface. When two lines lying on a developable surface are given, the shape of the surface can be determined. Then, the two-piece brassiere cup can be designed by minimizing the error between the surface and given data points. It was mathematically verified that the original surface can be reproduced from data points on it by use of our proposed method.
分野:	数理計画・ 最適化 / mathematical programming, optimization; 数値計算・ シミュレーション / numerical computation, simulation

Abstract

A brassiere cup consists of several patterns. Traditionally, it is designed by repeatedly creating a paper cup model and verifying its three-dimensional (3D) shape. Reducing such trial and error will enhance the design efficiency. A method to partly automate the design of two-dimensional shapes in the patterns for a two-piece brassiere cup is proposed when the target 3D shape is given as a cloud of data points. It is assumed that the surface of the model is composed of several developable surfaces because the model is made of paper and not cloth. If two lines lying on a developable surface are given, the shape of the surface can be determined. Consequently, a two-piece brassiere cup can be designed by minimizing the error between the surface and the given data points. Here, we mathematically verify that our proposed method can reproduce the original developable surface.

Paper

DESIGN OF A TWO-PIECE BRASSIERE CUP TO FIT BREAST DATA POINTS TOWARD ITS AUTOMATION*

Kotaro Yoshida†, Hidefumi Wakamatsu†, Eiji Morinaga‡, Seichiro Tsutsumi§, Takahiro Kubo¶

A brassiere cup consists of several patterns. Traditionally, it is designed by repeatedly creating a paper cup model and verifying its three-dimensional (3D) shape. Reducing such trial and error will enhance the design efficiency. A method to partly automate the design of two-dimensional shapes in the patterns for a two-piece brassiere cup is proposed when the target 3D shape is given as a cloud of data points. It is assumed that the surface of the model is composed of several developable surfaces because the model is made of paper and not cloth. If two lines lying on a developable surface are given, the shape of the surface can be determined. Consequently, a two-piece brassiere cup can be designed by minimizing the error between the surface and the given data points. Here, we mathematically verify that our proposed method can reproduce the original developable surface.

INTRODUCTION

Developable surfaces can be unfolded into a plane without expanding or contracting. They are widely used in many industries from shipbuilding to manufacturing of clothing because they can represent surfaces made of leather, paper, or sheet metal. It is important to design two-dimensional (2D) shapes that form the required three-dimensional (3D) shape by bending and joining. In this paper, we focus on production of brassieres, which is related to design of two-dimensional shapes of plates. Brassieres are manufactured to meet various demands, such as to Herein we focus on the production of brassieres, which is related to the design of 2D shapes of plates. Brassieres are manufactured to meet various demands such as enhancing a woman ’ s breast size, creating cleavage, or minimizing breast movement. Due to these diverse demands, the cup shape is a critical element when designing a brassiere. A brassiere cup is formed by several pieces of cloth called patterns and a wire. Figure 1 shows an example of a two-piece brassiere cup composed of an upper pattern, lower pattern, and lower line. The three resulting curves are important to the design. The wire line corresponds to the boundary between the breast and body (Fig. 2). The ridge line of the cup corresponds to the outline of a bust on a transverse plane, while the upper line connects the cup to the shoulder strap.



(a) upper pattern (b) lower pattern

(c) lower line

Fig. 1 Parts of a two-piece brassiere cup

In apparel industries, the form of a product is fixed by fashion designers and pattern makers. Fashion designers draw the product image, while pattern makers determine the pattern shapes to meet various demands of the ideal shape. Fig. 3 overviews the design process of a brassiere cup. Pattern makers first consider the functional requirement of a brassiere cup based on the breast shape, and then they determine the pattern shape. This design process is based on experience and intuition. Hence, pattern makers must verify the shapes with a paper model of the cup and repeatedly modify the shapes to realize the intended

* Manuscript Received Date: August 1, 1995

* The material of this paper was partially presented at the International Symposium on Flexible Automation 2020 which was held in July, 2020.

† Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University; Yamadaoka, Suita city, Osaka 565-0871, JAPAN

‡ Graduate School of Humanities and Sustainable System Sciences,Osaka Prefecture University, 1-1, Gakuencho, Naka-ku, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

§ Wacoal Holdings Corporation; Nakajima-cho, Kisshoin, Minami-ku,Kyoto 601-8530, JAPAN

Key Words: design, simulation, theory of surfaces, automation



Fig. 2 Expression of a wire line, a ridge line and an upper line

function. This process may cause unnecessary repetition. To improve the design efficiency of brassieres, trial and error should be minimized. Herein we strive to automate the steps indicated by the dotted line in Fig. 3. A previous study on the influence of design on the function of a brassiere cup found that fitting the breast has the largest impact[1]. Hence, this study proposes a method to design the pattern shapes of a brassiere cup to fit the given breast shape. Here, the given 3D breast shape is assumed to be a cloud of data points, which can be obtained easily by measurements.



Fig. 3 Design process of a brassiere cup

Because the cup shape is made by bending and sewing each pattern and is assumed to be inextensible, a cup model consists of several developable surfaces. With respect to modeling of developable surfaces, previously proposed modeling methods have focused on the geodesic line[2], B-spline or NURBS surface[3,4], offsets of Bertrand curves, which coincide with each normal direction[5,6], and arbitrary curves[7]. Martin proposed a method to reconstruct a developable surface from its point clouds based on the Laguerre geometry[8]. Chen et al. proposed an algorithm to approximate a developable surface from its point cloud[9]. However, none of these methods reference the developed shape as they focus on a single developable surface. Manufacturing of a brassiere cup requires the pattern shapes (i.e., developed shapes of a cup) but not the 3D cup shape.

In a study to approximate developable surface, Yang et al. proposed a method to approximate surface with meshes composed of planar quadrilaterals[10] and Odeo et al. proposed a method to define the developability of triangle meshes and appoximate them by a set of developable surfaces[11], and Martin et al. proposed a method to reconstruct surfaces by curved forming[12]. But all of them only focus on approximating surface by developable surface, which means that they do not refer to the condition of a developable surface itself. In designing a brassire cup, it is required not only to fit a breast shape but also to satisfy some conditions. For example, the upper line has a point where it is connected with the shoulder strap. Hereafter, this point is called the connection point as shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4 Expression about the connection point of a brassiere cup

In a study on brassieres, Wakamatsu et al. proposed a method to predict the 3D shape of a paper cup model when the 2D shape of a pattern is given[13,14]. Hence, patterns can be evaluated without actually creating a paper model. However, repetitive modification of the pattern is still required to obtain the target 3D shape of the cup. Ito et al. developed a paper model CAD system based on the theory of developable surfaces[15]. If a 3D curve, which means a sewn curve, and a 2D curve, which means a piece to be sewn, are given, this system can identify the feasible region where the sewn surface does not intersect itself. Unfortunately, it is difficult to design the pattern shapes. That is, determining the shape of 2D curves is challenging with this system. We previously proposed a method to design the pattern shape and its developable surface from two lines: a wire line and a ridge line. These lines are obtained by solving the optimization problem when the design parameters are the geodesic curvatures of a pattern shape and the objective function is the error between generatrices determined by the geodesic curvature of a lower edge and the wire line and generatrices determined by the geodesic curvature of an upper edge and the ridge line. However, the previously proposed method requires an iterative process to solve the optimization problem for the intended shape[16,17], which is time-consuming. Brassiere patterns are typically comprised of two or three pieces. Herein we focus on a two-piece brassiere cup, and we propose a design method to improve the design efficiency when a cloud of its data points is given.

MODELING OF DEVELOPABLE SURFACE AND PATTERN SHAPE

This section explains the numerical expressions of a developable surface. The curvature of a space curve on a surface can be divided into two: the normal curvature and geodesic curvature. The former is realized by deforming the surface, while the latter is realized by deforming a space curve. Deforming the surface does not change the geodesic curvature as long as the surface is not stretched. In the case of a brassiere cup, only the normal curvature can be manipulated by deforming the surface. Because the geodesic curvature cannot be manipulated by deforming the surface, it must be designed such that the space curve coincides with a boundary of the developable surface. Here, we propose a method to determine a developable surface using two curves that lie on the surface and design a pattern shape. Consider the case where the *lower edge* is the curve connecting the lower wire (Fig. 1(b)) and the *upper edge* is that combining the upper cup (Fig. 1(a)). Our method expresses the developable surface using the parameters of a space curve, the condition of two lines that lie on the developable surface, and their obtained pattern shape. Fig. 5 depicts the object coordinate system on the lower edge of the cup surface, where the ζ-axis always coincides with the tangential direction of the edge line and the η-axis always coincides with the surface normal direction. Deformation of the cup changes the posture of the object coordinate system. Thus, the infinitesimal displacement vector of each axial direction can be described by the infinitesimal rotational ratio vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}=[\omega_\xi\ \omega_\eta\ \omega_\zeta]^{\mathrm{T}}$ as

$$\left[\,\boldsymbol{\xi}'\ \boldsymbol{\eta}'\ \boldsymbol{\zeta}'\,\right]=\left[\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\ \boldsymbol{\eta}\ \boldsymbol{\zeta}\,\right]\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \tag{1}$$

where a prime means a derivative of s, and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is represented as

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega_\zeta & \omega_\eta \\ \omega_\zeta & 0 & -\omega_\xi \\ -\omega_\eta & \omega_\xi & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Then, the tangent vector is expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}(s)=\boldsymbol{\zeta}_0+\int_0^s(\omega_\eta\boldsymbol{\xi}-\omega_\xi\boldsymbol{\eta})\,ds, \tag{3}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_0$ represents the tangent vector at $s=0$. By integrating eq. (3), the position of the curve can be calculated as

$$\boldsymbol{x}(s)=\boldsymbol{x}_0+\int_0^s\boldsymbol{\zeta}\,ds, \tag{4}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ indicates the position at $s=0$.

First, consider the numerical expression of a developable surface constrained by a Gaussian curvature. In general, the normal curvature of a direction vector $\boldsymbol{d}_\theta=\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cos\theta+\boldsymbol{\xi}\sin\theta$ can be described using coefficients of the first and the second fundamental forms



Fig. 5 Object coordinate system on the surface

E,F,G,L,M and N as

$$\kappa_\theta=\frac{L\cos^2\theta+2M\sin\theta\cos\theta+N\sin^2\theta}{E\cos^2\theta+2F\sin\theta\cos\theta+G\sin^2\theta}. \tag{5}$$

Then the Gaussian curvature K and the mean curvature H, which characterize a surface, can be defined as extreme values of eq. (5): $\kappa_{\max}$ and $\kappa_{\min}$ as follows[18]

$$K=\kappa_{\max}\kappa_{\min}=\frac{LN-M^2}{EG-F^2},$$
$$H=\frac{\kappa_{\max}+\kappa_{\min}}{2}=\frac{EN-2FM+GL}{2(EG-F^2)}. \tag{6}$$

Based on the theory of surface, the coefficients of the first fundamental form are represented as

$$E=\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}=1, F=\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}=0, G=\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}=1. \tag{7}$$

In addition, the coefficients of the second fundamental form are represented as

$$L=\boldsymbol{\zeta}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\eta}=-\omega_\xi, M=\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\eta}=-\omega_\zeta. \tag{8}$$

Then Gaussian curvature K and the mean curvature H are described by the following equations

$$K=-\omega_\xi N-\omega_\zeta^2, \tag{9}$$
$$H=\frac{-\omega_\xi+N}{2}. \tag{10}$$

A developable surface is defined as a surface with a Gaussian curvature $K=0$. That is $\kappa_{\min}=0$. TThus, the mean curvature can be calculated as $2H=\kappa_{\max}$. The line direction coinciding with the direction $\boldsymbol{d}_{\min}$ remains straight after a deformation. This straight line is referred to as a generatrix. In this paper, the principal directions are described using the angle α as

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{\max}=\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cos\alpha+\boldsymbol{\xi}\sin\alpha,$$
$$\boldsymbol{d}_{\min}=-\boldsymbol{\zeta}\sin\alpha+\boldsymbol{\xi}\cos\alpha. \tag{11}$$

The angle α is referred to as the *rib angle* in this paper. By solving $\kappa_\theta=0$, the rib angle α can be calculated as

$$\tan\alpha=-\frac{\omega_\zeta}{\omega_\xi}. \tag{12}$$

From eq. (9), κ_1 is calculated as

$$\kappa_1 = -\frac{\omega_\xi^2 + \omega_\zeta^2}{\omega_\xi}. \tag{13}$$

From above, a developable surface can be determined by $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

Next, consider the constraint of a developable surface when two curves lie on the surface. Let s_a and s_b be the arc length and $\boldsymbol{x}_a(s_a)$, $\boldsymbol{x}_b(s_b)$ be positions of each curve. We assume that these curves have C^2 community at least. In general, developable surfaces are a special kind of ruled surface. When a surface is a ruled surface, the generatrix $\boldsymbol{g}$ is described as

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{x}_b(s_b) - \boldsymbol{x}_a(s_a). \tag{14}$$

Note that s_a can take an arbitrary value against s_b. From[17], $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_b$, which are tangent vectors of $\boldsymbol{x}_a$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_b$, and $\boldsymbol{g}$ satisfy the following equation:

$$\det(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_b, \boldsymbol{g}) = 0. \tag{15}$$

eq. (15) indicates that the arc length of each curve is subordination, When two curves are corresponded to edge lines and s_b can be expressed as $s_b(s_a)$, $\boldsymbol{d}_{\min} = \frac{\boldsymbol{g}}{|\boldsymbol{g}|}$ established. Then, a rib angle is calculated by eq. (11) as follows:

$$\alpha_a = \sin^{-1} \boldsymbol{d}_{\min} \cdot \zeta_a \tag{16}$$

Then, using $\boldsymbol{d}_{\min}$ and α, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ can be represented as

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} -\det(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a', \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a, \boldsymbol{d}_{\min}) \\ \zeta_a' \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{\min} \\ \det(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_a', \boldsymbol{\zeta}_a, \boldsymbol{d}_{\min}) \tan\alpha_a \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

Therefore, when two curves that lie on the surface are given, a developable surface can be determined.

Now let ' s consider how to determine the pattern shape from a developable surface. The planar curve generated by developing the space curve $\boldsymbol{x}_a$ is defined as the lower curve, whereas that generated by developing the space $\boldsymbol{x}_b$ is defined as the upper curve. Fig. 6 shows the developed planar coordinate system vw so that v-axis always coincides the line AB. Let μ_a be the angle between the tangential direction of the lower edge and the v-axis. If the curvature of the lower curve ω_{η_a} is given, μ_a is obtained as

$$\mu_a = \mu_0 + \int_0^{s_a} \omega_{\eta_a} ds_a, \tag{18}$$

where μ_0 represents the angle of the lower edge at $s = 0$, which is discussed later. From eq. (18), the planar position $\boldsymbol{x}_{ae}$ is described as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{ae} = \int_0^{s_a} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\mu_a \\ \sin\mu_a \end{bmatrix} ds_a \tag{19}$$

With respect to eq. (18), let a, b be defined as follows:

$$a = \int_0^{L_a} \cos\left(\int_0^{s_a} \omega_{\eta_a} ds \right) ds_a, \tag{20}$$

$$b = \int_0^{L_a} \sin\left(\int_0^{s_a} \omega_{\eta_a} ds \right) ds_a. \tag{21}$$

Then, μ_0 can be calculated as follows:

$$\mu_0 = \tan^{-1} \frac{b}{a} \tag{22}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{x}_{be}$ be the planar position of an upper edge. Since the rib angle of a pattern α_a and the length of a generatrix of a pattern $|\boldsymbol{g}|$ do not change by being developed, $\boldsymbol{x}_{be}$ can be calculated as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{be} = \boldsymbol{x}_{ae} + |\boldsymbol{g}| \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\mu_a + \pi/2 + \alpha_a) \\ \sin(\mu_a + \pi/2 + \alpha_a) \end{bmatrix} \tag{23}$$

From above, a developed shape can be obtained when two curves, which lie on the developable surface, are given.



Fig. 6 Description of pattern shape using geodesic curvature of the lower curve

EXPRESSION OF THE DESIGN PROCESS AS AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

From section 2, the cup shape can be determined by three curves: a wire line, a ridge line, and an upper line. If the wire line is given, designing the parameters for the ridge and upper lines such that the degree of fitting to the 3D breast shape is minimized will enhance the design efficiency. This section formulates the optimization problem that our proposed method aims to solve.

Formulation of the error between a point and a surface

To formulate the degree of fitting to a 3D ideal shape, we formulate the error between a point and a surface. In general, the position $\boldsymbol{X}(s,t)$ of a developable surface S is expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{X}(s,t) = \boldsymbol{x}(s) + t\boldsymbol{d}_{\min}(s) \tag{24}$$

The distance $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p})$ between a point $\boldsymbol{p}$ and a developable surface is formulated by the difference vector $\boldsymbol{\delta} = \boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{X}(s,t)$ as

$$\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p}) = \min_{s,t} |\boldsymbol{\delta}| \tag{25}$$

When a set of surface parameters (s^*, t^*) satisfies to minimize eq. (25), $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is parallel to $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. Therefore, the following equations are satisfied

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{\min} \cdot (\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{X}(s^*, t^*)) = 0 \tag{26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{\max} \cdot (\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{X}(s^*, t^*)) = 0 \tag{27}$$

By solving eq. (26), t^* is described as

$$t^* = \boldsymbol{d}_{\min} \cdot (\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{X}(s^*)) \tag{28}$$

By using eq. (28) and solving eq. (27), s^* can be determined.

Let s_w, s_r, s_u be the arc lengths of the lower wire, ridge line, and upper line and let $\boldsymbol{\omega}_W(s_w), \boldsymbol{\omega}_R(s_r), \boldsymbol{\omega}_U(s_u)$ be vectors to characterize each of them, respectively. From eq. (15), s_r, s_u can be expressed as functions of s_w: $s_r(s_w), s_u(s_w)$. As mentioned, a two-piece brassiere cup is composed of two developable surfaces S_L and S_U. Let D be a set of coordinates of points on a breast, $D_L = \{\boldsymbol{p}_{L,i} \in D\}$ be a set of points to evaluate the surface S_L, and $D_U = \{\boldsymbol{p}_{U,i} \in D\}$ be a set of points to evaluated the surface S_U. Note that $D_U = D \backslash D_L$. Whether a point $\boldsymbol{p}_k$ is classified to the set of D_U or the set of D_L can determined with the length of the nearest generatrix.

First, using eqs. (26)–(28), the nearest surface parameters (s_w^*, t_w^*) of the lower cup to the point $\boldsymbol{p}_k$ can be derived. Then, the nearest point $\boldsymbol{X}(s^*, t^*)$ on the lower cup surface to the point $\boldsymbol{p}_k$ can be derived. Let $t_{\max} = |\boldsymbol{x}_R(s_r(s_w^*)) - \boldsymbol{x}_W(s_w^*)|$ be the length of a generatrix on the wire line. If $t_{\max} > t_w^*(s_w^*)$, that is, if the perpendicular projection point of the point $\boldsymbol{p}_k$ to the surface S_L is included in the lower cup, the point $\boldsymbol{p}_k$ is classified to the set D_L. Otherwise, it is classified to the set D_U.



(a) $t^* \leq t_{\max}$



(b) $t^* > t_{\max}$

Fig. 7 Relationship between t^* and $t_{\max}$.

Thus, we can classify point data on a breast into two sets once two developable surfaces for the lower and the upper cup are determined.

From above, the error between the surface of a two-piece brassiere cup and its data points can be formulated:

$$\Lambda(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_L} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p}_{L,i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_U} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p}_{U,i}). \tag{29}$$

By finding two developable surfaces that minimize the error described by eq. (29), the appropriate pattern shapes can be determined.

Formulation of Objective Function and Conditions

To consider the optimization conditions, we first explain the conditions for the parameters of the ridge line and the upper line. ω_ζ does not affect the shape of the space curve. Therefore, the following conditions are added

$$\omega_{\zeta_R}(s_r(s_w)) = 0 \ \forall s_w \in [0, L_L], \tag{30}$$
$$\omega_{\zeta_U}(s_u(s_w)) = 0 \ \forall s_w \in [0, L_L]. \tag{31}$$

Then the following conditions must be satisfied to design the arc length

$$s_r(s_w) \geq 0 \ \forall s_w \in [0, L_L], \tag{32}$$

$$s_u(s_w) \geq 0 \ \forall s_w \in [0, L_L], \tag{33}$$

$$s_r'(s_w) \geq 0 \ \forall s_w \in [0, L_L], \tag{34}$$

$$s_u'(s_w) \geq 0 \ \forall s_w \in [0, L_L]. \tag{35}$$

In general, the end positions of the ridge and upper lines are aligned to the end point of the wire line. Therefore, the following equations must be satisfied

$$\boldsymbol{x}_R(s_r(s_w)) = \boldsymbol{x}_L(s_w), \tag{36}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}_U(s_u(s_w)) = \boldsymbol{x}_L(s_w). \tag{37}$$

To guarantee that S_L and S_U are developable surfaces, the following equations must be satisfied

$$\int_0^{L_L} \det(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_R(s_r(s_w)), \boldsymbol{\zeta}_W(s_w), \boldsymbol{g}) ds_w = 0, \tag{38}$$

$$\int_0^{L_L} \det(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_U(s_u(s_w)), \boldsymbol{\zeta}_W(s_w), \boldsymbol{g}) ds_w = 0. \tag{39}$$

Next, consider a “ fuzzy ” condition for a brassiere cup. As mentioned, the upper line has a connection point as shown in Fig. 4. But it is typically given as a fuzzy condition in the design process. This can lead to an unexpected error in the optimization problem. Therefore, we propose the following equation to deal with this fuzzy condition $y \simeq Y$:

$$C(y, Y) = k_1 \exp(k_2 (y - Y)^2) \tag{40}$$

where k_1 and k_2 are the variables to adjust the range that satisfies this condition. By adding this equation to the objective function, the fuzzy condition can be handled. Here, we assume that the position $\boldsymbol{X}_C$ to connect the upper line and the shoulder strap is given. Then the objective function V is described as

$$V = \Lambda(\boldsymbol{D}) + \sum_{i=0}^{2} C(\boldsymbol{x}_U \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{X}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_i) \tag{41}$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_0$,$\boldsymbol{e}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_2$ are represented as unit vectors of x,y and z-axis. Solving this optimization under these constraints can provide the shape of the brassiere cup to fit the data points of a breast.

Procedure to Solve the Optimization Problem

Prior to explaining the procedure to solve the optimization problem, we explain how to eliminate the condition. To satisfy eqs. (32)–(35), $s_r(s_w),s_u(s_w)$ are described using arbitrary functions $\upsilon_r(s_w),\upsilon_u(s_w)$ as

$$s_r(s_w) = s_{r_0} + \int_0^{s_w} \upsilon_r^2 ds_w, \tag{42}$$

$$s_u(s_w) = s_{u_0} + \int_0^{s_w} \upsilon_u^2 ds_w. \tag{43}$$

The initial position is assumed to be the wire line. Then the ridge and upper lines are aligned such that $s_{r_0} = s_{u_0} = 0$. Let a composite function of $s_r(s_w)$ and arbitrary function $g(s_r)$ be defined as $\tilde{g}(s_w)$, and a composite function of $s_u(s_w)$ and arbitrary function $g(s_w)$ be defined as $\hat{g}(s_w)$. From eq. (1), the object coordinate system of the ridge and upper lines can be expressed as

$$\left[\, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}'_R \;\; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}'_R \;\; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}'_R \,\right] = s'_r \left[\, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_R \;\; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_R \;\; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_R \,\right] \Omega(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_R) \tag{44}$$

$$\left[\, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}'_U \;\; \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}'_U \;\; \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}'_U \,\right] = s'_u \left[\, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_U \;\; \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_U \;\; \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_U \,\right] \Omega(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_U) \tag{45}$$

and the position $\boldsymbol{x}_R(s_r) \equiv \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_R(s_w)$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_U(s_u) \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_U(s_w)$ are expressed by the following equations

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_R(s_w) = \int_0^{s_w} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_R s'_r ds_w \tag{46}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_U(s_w) = \int_0^{s_w} \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_U s'_u ds_w \tag{47}$$

From above, a curve can be determined by ω_ξ,ω_η and υ. Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ be a set of functions of $[\omega_\xi \; \omega_\eta \; \upsilon]$. Then a set of functions of the ridge line $\boldsymbol{\psi}_R$ and a set of functions of the upper line $\boldsymbol{\psi}_U$ are parameterized by using Ritz method[19] as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_R = \left[\, \boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\xi_R}} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}(s_w) \;\; \boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\eta_R}} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}(s_w) \;\; \boldsymbol{a}_{\upsilon_R} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}(s_w) \,\right] \tag{48}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_U = \left[\, \boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\xi_U}} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}(s_w) \;\; \boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\eta_U}} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}(s_w) \;\; \boldsymbol{a}_{\upsilon_U} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}(s_w) \,\right] \tag{49}$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_i(s)$ is composed of trigonometric functions with different periods. Let $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_0 = [\boldsymbol{\xi}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \; \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \; \boldsymbol{\zeta}_0^{\mathrm{T}}]$ be the initial basis of the objective coordinate system, $\boldsymbol{a}_R$ be a set of the vectors $[\boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\xi_R}} \; \boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\eta_R}} \; \boldsymbol{a}_{\upsilon_R}]$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_U$ be a set of the vectors $[\boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\xi_U}} \; \boldsymbol{a}_{\omega_{\eta_U}} \; \boldsymbol{a}_{\upsilon_U}]$. From above, the objective function, which is expressed as eq. (41), and the geometric constraints, which are expressed as eqs. (36)–(39) are described by the following total parameter vector

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{all}} = \left[\, \boldsymbol{a}_R \;\; \boldsymbol{a}_U \;\; \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{R0} \;\; \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{U0} \,\right]. \tag{50}$$

Consequently, this problem can be converted into a nonlinear programming problem of $\boldsymbol{a}$, which is solved using the multiplier method and Nelder-Mead method in this study. By solving the nonlinear programming problem, we can design the entire shape of a two-piece brassiere cup.

SIMULATION AND VERIFICATION

To demonstrate the utility of our method, we conducted simulations and a verification.

Simulation Example

For simulation, the infinitesimal rotational ratios vector of the wire line is given as

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_W = \left[\, 0 \;\; 2.91 \;\; 0 \,\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{51}$$

while the connection point $\boldsymbol{X}_C$ is given in case (1) and (2) as

$$\boldsymbol{X}_C = \left[\, 0 \;\; 0.34 \;\; 0.34 \,\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{52}$$

We prepared tho examples of the simulation. Case (1) is a point cloud on a set of a developable surface by a uniform random number using eq. (24). Case (2) is a point cloud on a sphere whose radius is set on R by a uniform random number. The simulations aim to confirm that the propose method recreates a given shape and to verify whether a two-piece brassiere cup can be designed to approximate an undevelopable surface.

Fig. 8 shows the obtained shape and input data for Case (1), where the solid line represents the given wire line. Each dotted line denotes the calculated generatrices of the cup shape, and each dot represents a data point. Fig. 9 shows the obtained pattern where the solid and dotted lines denote the lower and upper edges, respectively. Next, a uniform random number was generated by numpy.random() of Python. Fig. 10 shows the obtained shape and input data for Case (2). Table 1 shows the error and calculation time of case(1) and (2).

Table 1 The error and calculation time of our proposed method in case(1) and (2).

-	Error	Time[sec]
case(1)	0.10	1802
case(2)	0.12	740

For this result, we confirm that our proposed method can recreate a given shape as a two-piece brassire cup and approximate an undevelopable surface.

Experimental Verification

For confirming the verification, we measured a breast shape of the torso, which is a typical breast shape, and apply our proposed method to it. A wire line is the same as eq. (51), and the connection point is given as

$$\boldsymbol{X}_C = \left[\, 0.2 \;\; 0.41 \;\; 0.27 \,\right]^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{53}$$

In measured the entire shape of a torso, we assumed the measured point cloud covered by a brassiere cup that satisfies $y \leq 0.2$ as shown in Fig. 12

where we set $L_M = 72.8$ in order to convert milimeter into centimenter and set the wire line as *eq.*(51). The simulations aim to confirm that the propose method



(a) zx-view



(b) zy-view



(c) zy-view

Fig. 8 Obtained shape and input data points in Case(2)



(a) Lower pattern



(b) Upper pattern

Fig. 9 Obtained patterns in Case(1)

whether a two-piece brassiere cup can be designed to approximate an undevelopable surface that manifests the function of “fitting to a breast” .Fig. 13 shows the



(a) zx-view



(b) zy-view



(c) xy-view

Fig. 10 Obtained shape and input data points in Case(2)



(a) Lower pattern



(b) Upper pattern

Fig. 11 Obtained patterns in Case(2)

obtained shape and input data of experiments, Fig. 14 shows the obtained pattern. Table 2 shows the error and calculation time of the experiment. From the result, we confirm that our proposed method also can



Fig. 12 The border line to use a point cloud in the experiment,

design a two-piece brassiere cup to approximate data points under the conditions. Hence, we conclude our proposed method in this paper is useful for the efficient design of paper patterns of a two-piece brassiere cup.



(a) zx-view

(b) zy-view

(c) xy-view

Fig. 13 Obtained shape and input data points in the experiment



(a) Lower pattern

(b) Upper pattern

Fig. 14 Obtained patterns in the experiment

Table 2 The error and calculation time of the proposed method in experiment.

Error	Time[sec]
1.04	1682

CONCLUSION

Summary

Herein we propose a method to design the shape of a two-piece brassiere cup and its patterns to satisfy the function of "fitting to a breast shape" when the shape is given as a cloud of data points. In the typical design process, a cup model is made of paper to verify the pattern shape. Our model assumes that the model surface is comprised of several developable surfaces. First, we formulate the cup model based on differential geometry and hypothesize that a developable surface can be determined by two curves included in it. Hence, the design process of a two-piece brassiere cup can be converted into an optimization problem, whose objective function is the error between the cup shape and its data points. To calculate the error, first the error between a point and the surface from the geometry condition is formulated. Second, our proposed method divides the data cloud into two: the lower and upper cups. Then the cups are evaluated. To verify our proposed method, we assessed two cases. One is a non-developable surface, and the other is a developable surface. The results confirm that the proposed method can design a cup shape, which manifests the intended function. Consequently, our proposed method should be useful to efficiently design a two-piece brassiere cup.

Limitation and Future Work

Though we proposed a method to design the shape of a two-piece brassiere cup and its patterns to satisfy the function of "fitting to a breast shape" when the shape is given as a cloud of data points, there is still a question from the point of view of real-world applications. This is whether the brassire cup should cover the breast shape. A brassire cup has several demands, which include the function to form the ideal breast shape. So the function of "fitting to a breast shape" does not only mean fitting to a breast shape itself, but also fitting to an ideal breast shape one wants. For future work, to quantify how much fitting a breast shape and controll it are required.

References

[1] Yukiko Higuchi, *Sensory test of brassiere*, in The Japanese Journal of Ergonomics, Volume 20, Issue 2, 1984, pp.73-80, (Japanese).

[2] P.Bo and W.Wang, *"Geodesic-Controlled Developable Surfaces for Modeling Paper Bending"*, in Computer Graphics Forum, Volume 26, 2007, pp.365-374.

[3] H.Pottmann and J.Wallner, *"Approximation algorithms for developable surfaces"*, in Computer Aided Geometric Design, Volume 16, 1999, pp.539-556.

[4] K.Rose, A.Sheffer, J.Wither, M.Cani, and B.Thibert, *"Developable surfaces from arbitrary sketched boundaries"*, SGP '07 Proceedings of the fifth Eurographics symposium on Geometry processing, 2007, pp.163-172.

[5] G.Aumann, *"A simple algorithm for designing developable Bezier surfaces"*, in Computer Aided Geometrix Design Volume 20, 2003, pp.601-619.

[6] B.Ravani and T.S. Ku, *"Bertrand offsets of ruled and developable surfaces"* in Computer-Aided Design Volume 23, 1991, pp.145-152.

[7] R.M.C Bodduluri and B.Ravani, *"Design of developable surfaces using duality between plane and point geometries"*, in Computer Aided Design Volume 25, 1993, pp.621-632.

[8] M. Peternell, *"Developable surface fitting to point clouds"*, in Computer Aided Design Vol.21, 2004, pp.785-803.

[9] H.-Y. Chen, I.-K. Lee, S. Leopoldseder, H. Pottmann, T. Randrup , J. Wallner, *"On Surface Approximation using Developable Surfaces"*, Graphical Models and Image Processing, Volume 61, Issue2, 1999, pp.110-124

[10] Y. Liu, H. Pottmann, J. Wallner, Y.-L. Yang, and W. Wang, *"Geometric modeling with conical meshes and developable surfaces"*, Proc.Int. Symp. SIGGRAPH, 2006, pp.681-689

[11] O. Stein, E. Grinspun, and K. Crane, *Developability of triangle meshes*, ACM Transaction on Graphics, Vol.37, Issue4, 2018, pp77:1-14

[12] M. Kilian, S. Flöry, Z. Chen, N. J. Mitra, A. Sheffer, and H. Pottmann, *Curved folding*, ACM Transaction on Graphics, Vol.27, Issue3, 2008, pp1-9

[13] H.Wakamatsu, Y.Ryu, E.Morinaga, E.Arai, and T. Kubo, M.Oyama, and T.Kishimoto, *"3D Shape Prediction of A Paper Model of Two Piece Brassiere Cup for Its Efficient Design"*, Proc.Int. Symp. Flexible Automation, 2016, pp.326-332

[14] H.Wakamatsu, E.Morinaga, E.Arai, and T. Kubo, *"A Virtual Paper Model of a Three Piece Brassiere Cup to Improve the Efficiency of Cup Design Process"*, Proc. 2017 IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation, 2017.

[15] M.Itoh, and H.Imaoka, *"A Method of Prediction Sewn Shapes and a Possibility of Sewing by the Theory of Developable Surfaces"*, J. the Japan Research Association for Textile End-Uses, Volume 48, 2007, pp.42-51, (Japanese).

[16] Kotaro Yoshida, Hidefumi Wakamatsu, Eiji Morinaga, Eiji Arai, Seiichiro Tsutsumi, Takahiro Kubo,*"Pattern Shape Optimization of a Two-piece brassiere cup to improve its design efficiency"*, Trans. of the Institute of Systems, Control and Information Engineers, Volume 32, No. 5, 2019, pp. 192-202.

[17] Kotaro Yoshida, Hidefumi Wakamatsu, Eiji Morinaga, Seiichiro Tsutsumi, and Takahiro Kubo, *Design support of a developable surface considering its bending energy*, Transaction of the JSME, Vol.86, No.890, 2020, pp20-36(in Japanese)

[18] S. Kobayashi, *"Differential Geometry of Curves and Surface"*, Shokado, Tokyo, 1995, Chap2 (in Japanse)

[19] Elsgolc L.E *Calculus of Variations*, Pergamon Press, 1961, pp. 144-157.

Kotaro YOSHIDA (Non-Member)

Kotaro Yoshida received his B.Eng. and M.Eng. degree from Osaka University in 2017 and 2019, respectively. He is currently pursuing a doctoral degree at Osaka University.

Hidefumi WAKAMATSU (Member)

HidefumiWakamatsu received his B.Eng., M.Eng. and Ph.D. degrees from Osaka University, Japan, in 1993, 1994 and 2001, respectively. From 1995 to 2006, he worked as a Research Associate, Osaka University. In 2007, he was appointed as Professor at Division of Materials and Manufacturing Science, Osaka University. His research interests include handling of flexible object. He is a member of RSJ, JSME, JSPE, JWS and TMSJ.

Eiji MORINAGA (Member)

Eiji Morinaga received his B.Eng., M.Eng. and Ph.D. degrees in Mechanical Engineering from Osaka University, Japan, in 2000, 2002 and 2005, respectively. From 2005 to 2007, he worked as a Designated Researcher at Center for Advanced Science and Innovation, Osaka University. In 2007, he appointed as an Assistant Professor at Division of Materials and Manufacturing Science, Osaka University. His research interests include system design and integration in product design and manufacturing. He is a member of JSME, JSPE, JWS, JIEP and TMSJ.

Seiichiro TSUTSUMI (Non-Member)

Seiichiro Tsutsumi received his B.Eng., M.Eng., Ph.D.(Agr.) and Ph.D.(Eng.) degrees from Kyushu University, Japan, in 1997, 1999, 2002 and 2007, respectively. He worked as a Assistant Professor at Tohoku University and Kyushu University from 2002 and 2004, respectively. He then joined at Joining and Welding Research Institute, Osaka University from 2011 as an Associate Professor. His research interests include plasticity and fracture of solids. He is a member of JWS, JSCE, JSME, JASNAOE, etc.

Takahiro KUBO (Non-Member)

Takahiro Kubo received his B.A. degree in Sport Sciences from Waseda University, Japan, in 2007, and M.A. degree in Arts and Sciences from Tokyo University, Japan, in 2010. In 2010, he joined Wacoal Corp and works at the Human Science Research Center.

Answer Document

This paper proposes a method to design the two-dimensional shapes of patterns of two-piece brassiere cup. Mathematical modeling for shape fitting is well considered. I could not confirm the quality of modeling and its implementation with the current manuscript, therefore I recommend major revision. The detailed formulation is potentially valuable for some readers, but it is too abstract and limited to specific situations. I could not understand how well the mathematical formulas cover the actual shape of the breast. To solve the problem, I recommend the major revision for this manuscript.

Thank you for your reviewing. I revised my paper and added discussion of this method by experiments.

1. Detailed error analysis for more practical shapes.

   - The current manuscript presents the results for only two primitive shapes and does not provide a quantitative evaluation of the results. I would like the authors to present quantitative results for the shapes that more closely resembles actual chest. The authors can use an appropriate dataset of medical images, or human body shape models for 3D modeling software such as Unity or Shade. The quantitative fitting results for at least 10 or so shapes will be necessary. Of course, it is a condition for acceptance of a paper that the method is accurate enough to confirm its validity.

   Thank you for pointing it out. I performed an additional experiment using the breast data of a torso, which is an average shape of a woman's breast, and confirmed the validity of this method. I apologize that I could not done 10 experiments because there is not enough time to do, but I can show the validity of our proposed method by measured data points of a torso.

2. Discussion on the limitation

   - Even if the human body shape is obtained, it is not obvious where the brassiere should cover from and to. Identifying anatomical features from a surface mesh model is a difficult problem in itself. How much coverage one wants should also depend on personal feeling. I would like the authors to add some additional discussions on how to think about these issues from the point of view of real-world applications.

   Thank you for pointing it out. I do not know the meaning "cover". If the meaning of "cover" mean how much a brassire cup covers a breast shape, it is determined when a brassire cup is designed. So from the point of view of real-world applications, it does not have to be discussed. If you mean the meaning of "cover" is the same as "fit", I add the discussion about these issue.

3. Other

   I receive the calibration service and fix a writing and grammer of this paper.

回答書

ブラジャーの設計のために，点群にフィットするように可展面を最適化するとのことですが，Conclusion の章に書いてある”From the proposed method can design the cup shape with manifest the function. As a result, Our proposed method will be useful for efficient design of a two-piece brassiere cup.” というのは主張が過多であるように見受けられます．本論文では手法の評価として (1) 球状の点群にフィットさせたもの，(2) 可展面上の点群にフィットさせたものを使って評価しています．しかしながら（1）球面の点群は実際の胸の形状と大きく異なる，また（2）可展面の点群にフィットできたからといって胸の形状にフィットできるかどうかは分からない，などの問題があります．論文の方向性は間違ってはいないと思うのですが，手法の評価に明らかな欠陥があり，論文の主張が正しくないと思われるので不採録を推薦させて頂きます．

この度は，当方の論文を査読頂きましてありがとうございます．おっしゃる通り，投稿時点での結論は主張が過多でありました．手法の妥当性を評価するため，バストの代表形状としてトルソーのバスト形状を計測し，この得られた計測形状に対し本手法を適用し，妥当性の評価を本文に追記いたしました．大変お手数で申し訳ありませんが，再び査読して頂けますと幸いです．よろしくお願いします．

(A) ページ 2-4 の可展面の章ですが，多くの議論は既に微分幾何の教科書で取り扱っているレベルの話だと思うので，何か教科書や既存研究などの文献を引用して，どこまでが既存の手法で，どこまでが著者らのオリジナルの手法であるのかを線引きして下さい．

ご指摘ありがとうございます．ご指摘の通りですので，文献を引用し，本研究における定式化との差別化を行いました．

(B) ページ 4-6 の Optimization の章ですが，どのように 2 つのカーブをパラメータ化しているのかが分かりませんでした．カーブのパラメータ化ができないと最適化ができないように思います．読んでも分からなかったので，明記して下さい．

ご指摘ありがとうございます．本手法における最適化までの手続きは次のようになります．2 つのカーブ曲線を決定する $\omega_\xi, \omega_\eta, \upsilon$ を，Ritz 法という，基底関数の重み付き線形和で表現し，この重みを最適化パラメータとして，最適化を行っております．上記のことを踏まえ，本文に追記いたしました．

(C) 結果の章ですが，この最適化がどのような収束をしたのか示して下さい．また収束にどのような計算量が必要だったのか計算時間を記述して下さい．

ご指摘ありがとうございます．ご指摘の通りですので，本文に追記いたしました．

(D) 以下の研究は本稿と深く関連しています．引用の上，手法がどう違うのか詳しく議論して下さい．

+ Yang Liu, Helmut Pottmann, Johannes Wallner, Yong-Liang Yang, and Wenping Wang. 2006. Geometric modeling with conical meshes and developable surfaces. ACM Trans. Graph. 25, 3 (July 2006), 681-689. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/1141911.1141941

+ Oded Stein, Eitan Grinspun, and Keenan Crane. 2018. Developability of triangle meshes. ACM Trans. Graph. 37, 4, Article 77 (August 2018), 14 pages. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/

+ Martin Kilian, Simon Flöry, Zhonggui Chen, Niloy J. Mitra, Alla Sheffer, and Helmut Pottmann. 2008. Curved folding. ACM Trans. Graph. 27, 3 (August 2008), 1-9. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1145/1360612.1360674

ご指摘ありがとうございます．ご指摘の通りですので，本文に議論を追記いたしました．

(E) 細かい点ですが，論文の書き方は改善すべき点が多く見つかりましたので参考にして下さい．英語については分かりづらい表現が多かったので，一度プルーフ・リーディングサービスを利用するか，ネイティブの方にチェックしてもらって下さい．

+page2, According to [1], the function: fitting breast is most important. -¿ 文法

+page2, Fig3. ”Repeat If not satisfied” -¿ ”Repeat if not satisfied”

+page2, it is divided to the normal curvature by deforming the surface and the geodesic curvature by deforming a space curve -¿ 意味がわかりませんでした

+page3, s はどこで定義されていますか？

+page3, θ はどこで定義されていますか？

+page3 eq.(5) -¿ (5)

+page3, (8)，η は太文字では？

+page4, From section2 -¿ From Section 2

+page6, ダブルクオーテーションマークを使うときは，右と左が対になるようにして下さい．

ご指摘ありがとうございます．ご指摘の通り，本論文を一度リーディングサービスにチェックして頂き，表現を修正いたしました．