On the Topological and Categorical Structure of U-States and Traise Transformations

L.A.N

18/8/25

Abstract

In Section 2 we define the relevant topological and smooth manifolds, including orientation properties and examples. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of a *U-state* as a positive linear functional and state the Riesz theorem relating it to measures. In Section 4 we formalize the *Traise* functor in cohomology and prove its functorial properties with commutative diagrams. In Section 5 we discuss examples and implications of this formalism. In Section 6 we conclude with a summary and future directions.

Contents

0.1 Introduction

We replace the original poetic terminology by precise mathematical concepts. In particular, objects formerly called *manicoids* will be treated as differentiable or topological manifolds, *u-states* will be defined as positive linear functionals (states) on spaces of functions, and *Traise* will be interpreted as a formal transformation (functor or operator) relating these structures. We proceed by laying out the standard background on manifolds and linear functionals, then building precise definitions and proving key theorems about these constructs. Subsequent sections develop all necessary definitions, propositions, and proofs in detail to ensure a rigorous foundation.

0.2 Topological Manifolds and Orientation

Definition 0.2.1 (Topological Manifold)**.** *A* topological manifold *of dimension n is a second-countable Hausdorff space M such that every point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

A manifold is smooth *if it has an atlas of C^∞-compatible charts. A manifold is* closed *if it is compact and has no boundary.*

Definition 0.2.2 (Orientability)**.** *A topological manifold M is* orientable *if it admits a continuous nowhere-vanishing choice of orientation on each coordinate chart. Equivalently, M is orientable if its top-dimensional homology or cohomology has rank 1 over $\mathbb{R}$. If no such orientation exists, M is* non-orientable*.*

Every connected closed surface is classified (up to homeomorphism) by its orientability and genus. For example, a 2-sphere S^2 is an orientable surface of genus 0, while the real projective plane $\mathbb{RP}^2$ and the Klein bottle K are non-orientable. In particular, the Klein bottle is a closed 2-manifold that is not orientable01.

2Figure above: A common immersion of the Klein bottle in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is shown. This illustrates the one-sided nature of the surface (its non-orientability)3. The figure also indicates that the surface has no boundary (it is closed)4.

For reference, the Möbius strip is a non-orientable surface with boundary, whereas the Klein bottle has no boundary5. A connected closed orientable 2-manifold of genus g has Euler characteristic $2 - 2g$ and its top cohomology $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. In contrast, any connected closed non-orientable surface (such as the Klein bottle) has trivial top cohomology in dimension 2. These facts will be relevant when discussing how states behave on manifolds.

0.3 U-States and Traise Transform

We now replace the notion of a "u-state" by a precise functional-analytic construct. Let M be a compact Hausdorff space. Denote by $C(M)$ the algebra of real-valued continuous functions on M.

Definition 0.3.1 (U-State)**.** *A* U-state *on M is a positive linear functional $\phi : C(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(1) = 1$, where 1 is the constant function 1 on M. Positivity means $\phi(f) \geq 0$ whenever $f \geq 0$.*

The RieszMarkovKakutani representation theorem provides the fundamental link between such functionals and measures.

Theorem 0.3.1 (RieszMarkovKakutani)**.** *Let M be a compact Hausdorff space. For every positive linear functional $\phi : C(M) \to \mathbb{R}$, there is a unique regular Borel measure μ on M such that*

$$\phi(f) \;=\; \int_M f \, d\mu, \quad \text{for all } f \in C(M).$$

In particular, if ϕ is a U-state ($\phi(1) = 1$), then μ is a probability measure on M. Conversely, any probability measure μ defines a U-state via $\phi(f) = \int_M f \, d\mu$.

Proof. This is a classical result; see e.g.6. It asserts that a positive linear functional on $C(M)$ is given by integration against a unique regular Borel measure. The normalization $\phi(1) = 1$ implies $\mu(M) = 1$, i.e. μ is a probability measure. □

Corollary 0.3.2. *There is a bijection between U-states on M and Borel probability measures on M. Each U-state ϕ corresponds uniquely to its representing measure μ, and vice versa.*

Proof. Immediate from the theorem. Positivity and normalization characterize exactly probability measures. □

Thus we may identify U-states with probability measures on M. We denote by $\text{Traise}(\phi) = \mu$ the measure corresponding to the U-state ϕ.

0.4 The Traise Functor and Commutative Diagrams

We interpret "Traise" as a functor in a categorical sense. Let **Man** be the category whose objects are smooth n-manifolds and whose morphisms are smooth maps. Define a covariant functor

$$\text{Traise} : \mathbf{Man} \to \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{R}},$$

by assigning to each manifold M its top-degree de Rham cohomology $H^n(M; \mathbb{R})$ (with $n = \dim M$). To a smooth map $f : M \to N$, assign the pullback map

$$\text{Traise}(f) \;=\; f^* \;:\; H^n(N; \mathbb{R}) \to H^n(M; \mathbb{R}).$$

By basic algebraic topology, $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$ and $(\mathrm{id}_M)^* = \mathrm{id}_{H^n(M)}$, so this indeed defines a functor.

The functorial property can be depicted by the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Traise}"] & N \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Traise}"] \\ \mathrm{Traise}(M) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Traise}(f)"] & \mathrm{Traise}(N) \end{tikzcd}$$

which shows $\mathrm{Traise}(g \circ f) = \mathrm{Traise}(f) \circ \mathrm{Traise}(g)$.

Proposition 0.4.1. *The assignment $M \mapsto H^n(M;\mathbb{R})$, $f \mapsto f^*$ defines a well-defined functor on* **Man**.

Proof. Immediate from the functoriality of de Rham cohomology: $(\mathrm{id}_M)^* = \mathrm{id}$ and $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$, as required. □

0.5 Examples and Discussion

By Corollary 3.2, studying U-states is equivalent to studying probability measures on manifolds. For example, on a connected closed orientable n-manifold M, the volume form defines a natural U-state. In contrast, if M is non-orientable (e.g. the Klein bottle), the top cohomology $H^n(M)$ is trivial, and no volume class exists.

More generally, given a smooth map $f : M \to N$, one can push forward a measure on M to N. Under the functor Traise, this corresponds to the relation $f_*\mu$ on measures and f^* on cohomology, reflecting how states transform under maps.

0.6 Conclusion

We have provided a complete rigorous translation of the original conceptual framework. Terms like “manicoid” have been replaced by topological manifolds, “u-state” by positive linear functionals (and thus measures), and “Traise” by both a cohomological functor and the Riesz measure transform. The theorems above establish existence and uniqueness of measures for U-states, and the consistency of Traise with composition. Future work could apply this formalism to study specific dynamical or geometrical systems suggested by the original abstract formulation.