

AI Assisted Coding

Assignment 10.3

Name: ch.koushik

Hallticket no: 2303A51938

Batch no: 19

Task 1: Variable Naming Issues

Prompt:

Refactor the following Python code to improve unclear variable names and function naming while maintaining the same functionality and following PEP 8 standards.

```
def f(a, b):
```

```
    return a + b
```

```
print(f(10, 20))
```

Code & Output:

The screenshot shows a code editor with two panes. The left pane displays the Python file `Assignment_10.3.py` containing the following code:

```
Assignment_10.3.py
1  "Task 1: Variable Naming Issues"
2
3  # Refactor the following Python code to improve unclear variable names and function
   naming while maintaining the same functionality and following PEP 8 standards.
4
5  def f(a, b):
6      return a + b
7
8  print(f(10, 20))
...
10 def add_numbers(num1, num2):
11     """Returns the sum of two numbers."""
12     return num1 + num2
13
14 print(add_numbers(10, 20))
```

The right pane shows the terminal output of running the code:

```
PS E:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3> python -u "e:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3\Assignment_10.3.py"
30
```

Explanation:

The original code uses unclear names such as `f`, `a`, and `b`, which do not describe their purpose. The AI-refactored version replaces them with meaningful identifiers like `add_numbers`, `first_number`, and `second_number`. This improves readability and makes the function's purpose immediately clear. The new version also follows PEP 8 naming conventions, enhancing maintainability without changing functionality.

Task 2: Missing Error Handling

Prompt:

Enhance the following Python code by adding proper exception handling and meaningful error messages while following PEP 8 standards.

```
def divide(a, b):
```

```
    return a / b
```

```
print(divide(10, 0))
```

Code & Output:

```
File Edit Selection View Go Run Terminal Help ← → Assignment_10.3
```

```
Assignment_10.3.py
```

```
Assignment_10.3.py > ...
```

```
16 "Task 2: Missing Error Handling"
17 # Enhance the following Python code by adding proper exception handling and
18 # meaningful error messages while following PEP 8 standards.
19 ...
20 def divide(a, b):
21     return a / b
22 print(divide(10, 0))
23 ...
24 def divide_numbers(numerator, denominator):
25     '''Returns the result of dividing the numerator by the denominator.'''
26     try:
27         result = numerator / denominator
28         return result
29     except ZeroDivisionError:
30         return "Error: Cannot divide by zero. Please provide a non-zero
denominator."
31 print(divide_numbers(10, 0))
```

```
Code
```

```
Assignment_10.3
```

```
PS E:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3> python -u "e:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3\Assignment_10.3.py"
PS E:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3> python -u "e:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3\Assignment_10.3.py"
Error: Cannot divide by zero. Please provide a non-zero denominator.
PS E:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3>
```

Explanation:

The original code does not handle division by zero, which causes a runtime error. The AI-enhanced version introduces a try-except block to handle this scenario gracefully. Meaningful variable names improve clarity. This improves robustness, prevents crashes, and ensures better user experience. Error handling is essential for writing production-quality software.

Task 3: Student Marks Processing System

Prompt:

Refactor the given student marks program to improve readability, follow PEP 8 standards, include meaningful variable names, modular functions, documentation, and basic validation.

```

marks=[78,85,90,66,88]
t=0
for i in marks:
    t=t+i
a=t/len(marks)
if a>=90:
    print("A")
elif a>=75:
    print("B")
elif a>=60:
    print("C")
else:
    print("F")

```

Code & Output:

```

File Edit Selection View Go Run Terminal Help ← → Assignment_10.3.py
Assignment_10.3.py > ...
32 # Task 3: Student Marks Processing System
33 # Refactor the given student marks program to improve readability, follow PEP 8
34 # standards, include meaningful variable names, modular functions, documentation, and
35 # basic validation.
36 """marks=[78,85,90,66,88]
37 t=0
38 for i in marks:
39     t=t+i
40 a=t/len(marks)
41 if a>=90:
42     print("A")
43 elif a>=75:
44     print("B")
45 elif a>=60:
46     print("C")
47 else:
48     print("F")
49 def calculate_average(marks):
50     """Calculates the average of a list of marks."""
51     total_marks = sum(marks)
52     average_mark = total_marks / len(marks)
53     return average_mark
54 def determine_grade(average_mark):
55     """Determines the grade based on the average mark."""
56     if average_mark >= 90:
57         return "A"
58     elif average_mark >= 75:
59         return "B"
60     elif average_mark >= 60:
61         return "C"
62     else:
63         return "F"
64 def main():
65     """Main function to process student marks and determine the grade."""
66     marks = [78, 85, 90, 66, 88]
67     average_mark = calculate_average(marks)
68     grade = determine_grade(average_mark)
69     print(f"The average mark is: {average_mark:.2f}")
70     print(f"The grade is: {grade}")
71 if __name__ == "__main__":
72     main()

```

Explanation:

The original code lacks structure, meaningful variable names, and documentation. The AI-refactored version modularizes the logic into a function, improves variable naming, and follows PEP 8 formatting. The use of built-in `sum()` improves efficiency and readability. This version is more maintainable and reusable.

Task 4: Adding Docstrings and Inline Comments

Prompt:

Enhance the factorial function by adding a proper docstring and meaningful inline comments.

```

def factorial(n):
    result = 1
    for i in range(1,n+1):
        result *= i
    return result

```

Code & Output:

The screenshot shows a code editor with two tabs: 'Assignment_10.3.py' and 'Assignment_10.3.py > ...'. The code editor displays Python code for a factorial function, with AI-generated docstrings and inline comments. The terminal window to the right shows the command 'python -u "e:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3\Assignment_10.3.py"' and the output '120'.

```

File Edit Selection View Go Run Terminal Help ← →
Assignment_10.3.py
Assignment_10.3.py > ...
72
73     '''Task 4: Adding Docstrings and Inline Comments'''
74     # Enhance the factorial function by adding a proper
75     # docstring and meaningful inline comments.
76     '''def factorial(n):
77         result = 1
78         for i in range(1,n+1):
79             result *= i
80         return result'''
81     def factorial(n):
82         '''Returns the factorial of a given number n.'''
83         result = 1 # Initialize result to 1, as factorial of
84         # 0 is 1
85         for i in range(1, n + 1): # Loop from 1 to n
86             # (inclusive)
87             result *= i # Multiply result by the current
88             number i
89         return result # Return the final factorial value
90     # Example usage
91     print(factorial(5)) # Output: 120

```

Explanation:

The AI-enhanced function adds a docstring describing the function's purpose and parameter. Inline comments explain each logical step. Variable naming is improved for clarity. This enhances readability and documentation quality.

Task 5: Password Validation System (Enhanced)

Prompt:

Enhance and refactor the password validation system by adding multiple security checks and improving structure according to PEP 8 standards.

```

pwd = input("Enter password: ")
if len(pwd) >= 8:
    print("Strong")
else:
    print("Weak")

```

Code & Output:

The screenshot shows a code editor with a dark theme and a terminal window. The code editor has tabs for 'Assignment_10.3.py' and 'Assignment_10.3.py ...'. The terminal window is titled 'Assignment_10.3' and shows the command 'python -u "e:\3rd Year\2nd Sem\AI Assisted Coding\Assignment_10.3\Assignment_10.3.py"' being run. It then prompts for a password and prints 'Strong: Your password is strong.'

```
File Edit Selection View Go Run Terminal Help ← → Assignment_10.3.py X Assignment_10.3.py ...
89
90  # Task 5: Password Validation System (Enhanced)
91  # Enhance and refactor the password validation system by adding multiple
92  # security checks and improving structure according to PEP 8 standards.
93  ''''pwd = input("Enter password: ")'''
94  if len(pwd) >= 8:
95      print("Strong")
96  else:
97      print("Weak")'''
98  import re
99  def validate_password(password):
100     '''Validates the strength of a password based on multiple criteria.'''
101     if len(password) < 8:
102         return "Weak: Password must be at least 8 characters long."
103     if not re.search(r'[A-Z]', password):
104         return "Weak: Password must contain at least one uppercase letter."
105     if not re.search(r'[a-z]', password):
106         return "Weak: Password must contain at least one lowercase letter."
107     if not re.search(r'[0-9]', password):
108         return "Weak: Password must contain at least one digit."
109     if not re.search(r'[^#\$%&^()!@<>]', password):
110         return "Weak: Password must contain at least one special character."
111     return "Strong: Your password is strong."
112
113 password_input = input("Enter password: ")
114 validation_result = validate_password(password_input)
115 print(validation_result)
```

Explanation:

The enhanced program introduces multiple password security rules including uppercase, lowercase, digits, and special characters. The logic is modularized into a function with documentation. Compared to the original version, the new program is more secure, readable, and maintainable.

Final Conclusion:

This lab demonstrated how AI-assisted coding tools can be effectively used for automated code review and quality enhancement. AI suggestions helped improve variable naming, added proper error handling, enhanced documentation, strengthened password security, and ensured compliance with PEP 8 coding standards. The refactored programs became more readable, maintainable, and robust compared to their original versions. However, human evaluation remains essential to validate AI-generated improvements and ensure they align with software engineering best practices.