

Kovi Diamant

Parallel Prefix Sum Write-Up

Testing Method

An array of five hundred million integers was created, with each entry assigned to the next int produce by a single `java.util.Random` instance. Three copies of this same array were then made. The three different implementation of `prefixSum(int[] array)` provided by `ParallelPrefixSum`, `JDKParallelPrefixSum`, and `SerialPrefixSum` were each passed a copy of the array. Each implementation's runtime was recorded using `System.nanoTime()`. This process was repeated thirty times, with a new `Random` creating a new array of five hundred million random integers each time. In order to verify correctness, and therefore ensure that all recorded times are the product of producing a correct result, the JDK implementation's result was compared to that of the other two implementations, in a separate test.

Results

The averaged times across all thirty iterations, in nanoseconds, are as follows:

`ParallelPrefixSum` time: 311569165

`JDKParallelPrefixSum` time: 300707240

`SerialPrefixSum` time: 332702290

Expressed relative to the time of serial implementation, `ParallelPrefixSum` took 0.936 times as long, while `JDKParallelPrefixSum` took 0.904 times as long. Both, therefore, were faster than the serial version for a very large array.