Business Case: Health Care System

Objectives of the project

Current Health Care situation in Quebec and the whole Canada requires some changes to allow numerous patients use this Health System more effectively. Every city in Quebec, especially big ones like Montreal, requires reducing the waiting time for patients and fastening the access to medical services. Thus, increasing the efficiency of supporting medical staff will lead to solve this issue. Moreover, it will lead to increasing the efficiency of the work of general staff in hospitals or other health care institutions. In most cases the waiting time depends on the time spent on filling up necessary forms, the time spent on gathering the necessary information about a patient, time spent on checking the availability of doctors or nurses at the time of a patient visit, time spent on making the primary anamnesis and etc. Almost most of that time can be reduced by implementing the proposed project.

Finally, this project allows medical staff and patients to receive and send all necessary information in on-line mode. It will allow patients to fill in on-line forms, to schedule and reschedule an appointment, medical staff and laboratories exchange the information in on-line mode and so on. Those actions are usually performed by the phone or at the patients’ presence in hospitals manually. Proposed system can be very useful in cases of planned visits, and when people don’t have any urgent cases. But even in such case, process of filling up electronic forms is much more easily for medical staff then filling up the paperback forms.

All information mentioned above, it’s only a tip of an iceberg. But even so, many hospitals and clinics are required to adopt the use the computer system which allows sharing information with other health care institutions, such as laboratories and independent specialists. It allows patients to retrieve medical information about their current state, to replace the paperback information with its digital copy.

So far current health care electronic systems are divided on three categories:

* an electronic health record (EHR);
* an electronic medical record (EMR);
* a personal health record (PHR)

An electronic health record (EHR) is built to share information with other health care institutions, such as laboratories and independent specialists. An EHR contains information about all clinicians involved in patient care. Moreover, authorized clinicians can access the information they need, to provide better treatment to a patient.

An electronic medical record (EMR) is a digital version of paper charts in a doctor’s office. An EMR contains notes and information collected by and available to clinicians in that office. A fully implemented EMR system allows electronic storage, retrieval, and modification of patient information. It is the chance for departments within the health organization to collaborate providing patients’ care. In hospitals and clinics, these federally backed EMR systems will replace hundreds of different applications used by physicians, radiology personnel, and even hospital administration.

A personal health record (PHR) contains the same types of information as a electronic health record—diagnoses, medications, immunizations, family medical history, and contact information for health care workers, but it is designed to be set up and accessed by patients themselves.

With impact of information technology, the proposed project will join all three variants of the current systems into a unified one. In the common case health records will be made available via large clinical systems in hospitals and health care institutions. And the goal of health record projects is to make personal health information accessible and transportable, which is beneficial to both consumers and health care workers. Hereafter, there is just an example, what kind of information can be gathered through this system:

*Data in an electronic medical record*

* patient demographics
* medical history, results of examinations and progress of reports of health state and diseases
* medication and allergy lists, and immunization status
* laboratory test results
* radiology images, x-rays, computer topographies’ or CTs, MRIs, etc
* photographs, from endoscopy or laparoscopy or clinical photographs
* medication information, including side-effects and interactions
* evidence-based recommendations for specific medical conditions
* records of appointments and other reminders
* billing records
* eligibility
* advanced directives, living wills, and health power of attorney

So now we can see some benefits of the proposed project:

1. Health Care System (HCS) reduces the possibility of medical errors
2. Features, such as integrated drug databases, symptom checks, and drug interaction verification, help physicians prescribe correct medications and right dosages.
3. HCS improves patient care and treatment, lower administrative costs, and improve billings and collections.
4. Increasing the number of patient visits per day is taken into account in order not to reduce the quality of care.
5. Duplicating of tests and clinical assessments is reduced
6. According to “The Health Level Seven International. Introduction to HL7 Standards” gathering of information is used according to the standards.
7. HCS increases physician efficiency, reduces costs and promotes standardization of treatment and patient care. Physicians find themselves with more time to focus on patient care as they eliminate paperwork, speed up medical charting, receive lab test results electronically, and make prescriptions electronically.
8. HCS provides the good level of privacy, which is executed according to the right privacy for all Canadian citizens who interact with health professionals.
9. As physicians and support staff spend less time conducting and tracking paperwork, they are able to see more patients. HCS also allows physicians to complete and document patient encounters more quickly, further increasing their ability to provide more qualified care and take care of more patients.
10. One of the top benefits of electronic health records is serving more patients which naturally increase statistical information. Electronic patient records provide physicians with the necessary documentation to support claims sent to insurance companies, Medicare, and Medicaid.
11. HCS can also provide prompts to physicians based on inputs of patient major complaints and/or risky demographic factors.

Project alternatives

There are some current alternatives (EMR and EHR):

1. **Epic®.** Presents in the two variants of software: for hospitals and for laboratories. This system mostly belongs to the EHR – systems, because this system can communicate with different medical institutions within medical network.
2. **Cerner®.** Presents only one variant of software. This software mostly belongs to the EMR – systems, because this system was developed mostly for gathering information about patients within same hospital, clinic or other medical institution.
3. **MEDITECH®.** This is completely EHR-system. Main point of this system is the workflow documentation between medical institutions.

All mentioned above mainly concentrated on only one type of medical systems. As a matter of fact, our project will be able to take the niche, and became the basis of further project’s development. According to the most recent research[[1]](#footnote-2) only 6% from 21,202 respondents said they plan to remain without an EHR. And adding some very useful features as to working directly with patients, connecting all three systems in one and so on will be very good competitive advantage for whole system.

Implementation plan

We are planning to develop the system with involving all three systems simultaneously from the beginning. This project is going to be based on Web-technology (i.e. one relational database, access to the information through Internet, involving SaaS-technology and cloud-technology in the future). We have chosen this approach because this way is much more affordable for small clinics and hospitals, and besides even big clinics and hospitals not need the additional personal, who are dealing with hardware and server issues.

According to the recent research, mentioned above, "easy to learn" and "easy to implement" were among the most important factors that respondents considered, because for most, those factors marked their introduction to the EHR and EMR systems. Readers appreciated EHRs that were more "intuitive" because they allowed users to more easily figure out aspects of operation when instructions were not available.

A key factor was appearance/overall usefulness of the end product. An EHR and EMR systems ultimately need to present information in a way that is easy to understand, easy to work with, and is user-friendly. Interactivity with other office systems is also critical; if the EHR operates with other systems, other office functions can be made more efficient.

Taking all this into account we will try to develop “easy to understand” interface, include help system and divide the system on the small parts, which will be very simple to use.

Executive Summary

Goal:

* developing Web-based Health Care System which connects three categories of systems;

Advantage:

* reducing the possibility of medical errors;
* improving patient care and treatment, lowering administrative costs;
* increasing staff work’s efficiency;
* Increasing access to information from multiple venues in the health system;
* reducing communication time between medical institutions;

Expenses:

* supplying the computer systems if needs;
* startup cost the system

1. Medscape EHR Report 2012: Physicians Rank Top EHRs between 21,202 respondents across 25 specialties. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)