

CSE 546 HW #2

Sam Kowash

November 1, 2018

(1) A Taste of Learning Theory

1. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a random feature vector, and $Y \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ a random label for $K \in \mathbb{N}$ with joint distribution P_{XY} . We consider a randomized classifier $\delta(x)$ which maps a value $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to some $y \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ with probability $\alpha(x, y) \equiv P(\delta(x) = y)$ subject to $\sum_{y=1}^K \alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all x . The risk of the classifier δ is

$$R(\delta) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{XY, \delta} [\mathbf{1}\{\delta(X) \neq Y\}],$$

which we should interpret as the expected rate of misclassification. A classifier δ is called deterministic if $\alpha(x, y) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all x, y . Further, we call a classifier δ_* a Bayes classifier if $\delta_* \in \arg \inf_{\delta} R(\delta)$.

If we first take the expectation over outcomes of δ (by conditioning on X and Y), we find

$$R(\delta) = \mathbb{E}_{XY} [1 - \alpha(X, Y)],$$

since the indicator function is 1 except for the single outcome where $\delta(x) = y$, which occurs with probability $\alpha(x, y)$. It is then clear that minimizing $R(\delta)$ is equivalent to *maximizing* $\mathbb{E}_{XY}[\alpha(X, Y)]$; the assignments of $\alpha(x, y)$ which do this are our Bayes optimal classifiers.

2. We grab n data samples (x_i, y_i) i.i.d. from P_{XY} where $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ where \mathcal{X} is some set about which we make no further assumptions.

(2) Programming

- 1.
- 2.
3. We now consider binary classification between 2s and 7s in the MNIST set via regularized logistic regression. We choose a balanced target set $Y \in \{-1, 1\}$, where $Y = -1$ for 2s and $Y = 1$ for 7s, so that our data are $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The L_2 -regularized negative log likelihood objective to be minimized is

$$J(w, b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log [1 + \exp(-y_i(b + x_i^T w))] + \lambda \|w\|_2^2.$$

For convenience, we define the functions

$$\mu_i(w, b) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-y_i(b + x_i^T w)]}.$$

(a) To do gradient descent, we need to know some gradients. First,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_w J(w, b) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-y_i x_i \exp[-y_i(b + x_i^T w)]}{1 + \exp[-y_i(b + x_i^T w)]} + 2\lambda w \\ \nabla_w J(w, b) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \left(\frac{1}{\mu_i} - 1 \right) y_i x_i + 2\lambda w \\ \nabla_w J(w, b) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i - 1) y_i x_i + 2\lambda w.\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_b J(w, b) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i \exp[-y_i(b + x_i^T w)]}{1 + \exp[-y_i(b + x_i^T w)]} \\ \nabla_b J(w, b) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i - 1) y_i.\end{aligned}$$