arXivvs. snarXiv

Tyler Blanton and Sam Kowash University of Washington, Department of Physics



	reisity of washington, Department of Figsics			
${f Background}$		$\mathbf{Results}^{0.0}$		0.0
million papers, of which $\sim 120,000$ are in theoretical high-energy ph known as the snarXiv, which procedurally generates abstracts in the Humans (even physicists) have surprising difficulty determining where the statement of the statement of the same and the statement of the same and the same are statement of the same and the same are statement of the	sics, astronomy, and other quantitative sciences. It hosts nearly 1.5 ysics (hep-th). Physicist David Simmons-Duffin developed a program he style of hep-th from a context-free grammar. ether a given abstract is from the arXiv or the snarXiv. Over 750,000 d at picking the genuine paper from a pair only 59% of the time. Try	$140 - \frac{1}{X} \in \text{snarXiv}$ $120 - \frac{1}{\eta_{PP}} = 1.4$ $100 - \frac{1}{\eta_{PP}} = 1.4$	Bag of words 1.0 - X - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A	0.1
Abstract A In the 20th century, a fair amount of work was done demystifying QED in the presence of a stack of canonical co-isotropic branes. In this paper, we	Abstract B We study the effective action of the heterotic string compactified on particular half-flat manifolds which arise in the context of mirror symmetry with	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.2
make contact with analyzing heterotic strings, consequently reconstructing perturbation theory on \mathbb{C}^n , and classify anomalous dimensions in loop models with sleptons. Our computation of the solution of magnetic dualities in models of hadrons provides a certain notion of perturbation theory (taking into account cosmic rays at $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$). Our results prove that decay constants turn out to be equivalent to an instanton at the Planck scale. Finally, we	NS-NS flux. We explicitly derive the superpotential and Kähler potential	Bigram 160 140 140 $X \in \operatorname{arXiv}$ $X \in \operatorname{snarXiv}$ 100 80 40	Bigram 1.0 - X - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A	-0.3
We explored computational approaches to this classification problem or humans' bewilderment in the face of unfamiliar jargon.	em to investigate whether its difficulty stems from snarXiv's genius,	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
n-gram model	Likelihood-ratio classification			0.4
One approach to text classification is to develop a language model. Given a class $Y \in \{-1,1\}$ (with the negative sign referring to arXiv and the positive to snarXiv) and a document X consisting of words $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^N$, we want to characterize the probability $\mathbb{P}(X Y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{P}(w_i w_1^{i-1},Y),$	in naive Bayes classification, we classify according to			0.5
where w_1^{i-1} is the sequence of the first $i-1$ words. The sample space dwarfs the available data and this can never be satisfactorily trained. Instead we assume that a word's probability depends only on the preceding $n-1$ words, reducing the training task to				-0.6
estimating the probabilities of so-called <i>n</i> -grams from their frequencies in the training corpora. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}(X Y) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mathbb{P}}(w_i w_{i-n+1}^{i-1},Y) \equiv \frac{C_Y(w_{i-n+1}^i) + 1}{C_Y(w_{i-n+1}^{i-1}) + V},$				-0.7
where $C_Y(w_{i-n+1}^i)$ is the number of times that n -gram occurs in the corpus for class Y and V is the size of our vocabulary. This definition inherently gives low weights to longer abstracts, so for classification we compare a geometric mean, the perplexity:				-0.8
PP(X Y) $\equiv -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \hat{\mathbb{P}}(w_i w_{i-n+1}^{i-1},Y).$				\cap
References				0.9