

Always has been!

Applied math,  
Engineering,  
Physics,  
Statistics,  
Optimization,  
Data Science, ... ,  
anything useful!

Wait, it's all  
Linear Algebra?

## Introduction to Optimization

K. R. Sahasranand

Data Science

sahasranand@iitpkd.ac.in

# Vector

An  $n$ -dimensional column vector

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_i \sim i$ -th component of  $x$

Real vector:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

# Vector

An  $n$ -dimensional column vector

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_i \sim i$ -th component of  $x$

Row vector  $\tilde{x} = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]$

Real vector:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

# Vector

An  $n$ -dimensional column vector

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_i \sim i$ -th component of  $x$

Real vector:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Row vector  $\tilde{x} = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]$

Transpose:

$$\tilde{x} = x^T$$

# Vector

An  $n$ -dimensional column vector

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_i \sim i$ -th component of  $x$

Real vector:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Row vector  $\tilde{x} = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]$

Transpose:

$$\tilde{x} = x^T$$

Equality:  $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$  and  $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$  are equal iff  $x_i = y_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .

# Vector addition and Scalar multiplication

– The **sum of vectors**  $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]$  and  $y = [y_1 \ y_2 \ \cdots \ y_n]$

denoted  $x + y$  is the vector:

$$[x_1 + y_1 \ x_2 + y_2 \ \cdots \ x_n + y_n]$$

# Vector addition and Scalar multiplication

– The **sum of vectors**  $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]$  and  $y = [y_1 \ y_2 \ \cdots \ y_n]$

denoted  $x + y$  is the vector:

$$[x_1 + y_1 \ x_2 + y_2 \ \cdots \ x_n + y_n]$$

– The **multiplication of a vector**  $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]$  **by a scalar**  $\alpha$

denoted  $\alpha \cdot x$  is the vector:

$$[\alpha x_1 \ \alpha x_2 \ \cdots \ \alpha x_n]$$

## Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$



# Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

2. (Commutativity)

$$x + y = y + x$$

# Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

2. (Commutativity)

$$x + y = y + x$$

3. (Zero element)  $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V$ :

$$x + \mathbf{0} = x$$

# Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

2. (Commutativity)

$$x + y = y + x$$

3. (Zero element)  $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V$ :

$$x + \mathbf{0} = x$$

4. (Additive inverse)  $\exists -x \in V$ :

$$x + (-x) = \mathbf{0}$$

# Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

2. (Commutativity)

$$x + y = y + x$$

3. (Zero element)  $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V$ :

$$x + \mathbf{0} = x$$

4. (Additive inverse)  $\exists -x \in V$ :

$$x + (-x) = \mathbf{0}$$

1. (Associativity)

$$\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = (\alpha\beta) \cdot x$$

# Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

2. (Commutativity)

$$x + y = y + x$$

3. (Zero element)  $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V$ :

$$x + \mathbf{0} = x$$

4. (Additive inverse)  $\exists -x \in V$ :

$$x + (-x) = \mathbf{0}$$

1. (Associativity)

$$\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = (\alpha\beta) \cdot x$$

2. (Multiplicative identity)  $\exists \mathbf{1} \in F$ :

$$\mathbf{1} \cdot x = x$$

# Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

2. (Commutativity)

$$x + y = y + x$$

3. (Zero element)  $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V$ :

$$x + \mathbf{0} = x$$

4. (Additive inverse)  $\exists -x \in V$ :

$$x + (-x) = \mathbf{0}$$

1. (Associativity)

$$\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = (\alpha\beta) \cdot x$$

2. (Multiplicative identity)  $\exists \mathbf{1} \in F$ :

$$\mathbf{1} \cdot x = x$$

3. (Distributivity I)

$$\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot x + \alpha \cdot y$$

# Vector space over a field $F$

A non-empty set  $V$  satisfying (for  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ ):

1. (Associativity)

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

2. (Commutativity)

$$x + y = y + x$$

3. (Zero element)  $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V$ :

$$x + \mathbf{0} = x$$

4. (Additive inverse)  $\exists -x \in V$ :

$$x + (-x) = \mathbf{0}$$

1. (Associativity)

$$\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = (\alpha\beta) \cdot x$$

2. (Multiplicative identity)  $\exists \mathbf{1} \in F$ :

$$\mathbf{1} \cdot x = x$$

3. (Distributivity I)

$$\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot x + \alpha \cdot y$$

4. (Distributivity II)

$$(\alpha + \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot x$$

# Linear combination and linear independence

- A **linear combination** of the vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in V$  is a vector in  $V$  of the form

$$\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_k u_k$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [k]$ .



# Linear combination and linear independence

- A **linear combination** of the vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in V$  is a vector in  $V$  of the form

$$\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_k u_k$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [k]$ .

- A set of vectors  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is said to be **linearly independent** if the *only* way to obtain a linear combination  $\mathbf{0}$  is via

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0.$$

# Linear combination and linear independence

- A **linear combination** of the vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in V$  is a vector in  $V$  of the form

$$\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_k u_k$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [k]$ .

- A set of vectors  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is said to be **linearly independent** if the *only* way to obtain a linear combination  $\mathbf{0}$  is via

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0.$$

- **Linearly dependent** if not independent

# Linear combination and linear independence

- A **linear combination** of the vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in V$  is a vector in  $V$  of the form

$$\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_k u_k$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [k]$ .

- A set of vectors  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is said to be **linearly independent** if the *only* way to obtain a linear combination  $\mathbf{0}$  is via

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0.$$

- **Linearly dependent** if not independent

**Theorem** – A set of vectors  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is linearly dependent *iff* one of the vectors from the set is a linear combination of the remaining vectors.

# Linear combination and linear independence

- A **linear combination** of the vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in V$  is a vector in  $V$  of the form

$$\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_k u_k$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [k]$ .

- A set of vectors  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is said to be **linearly independent** if the *only* way to obtain a linear combination  $\mathbf{0}$  is via

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0.$$

- **Linearly dependent** if not independent

**Theorem** – A set of vectors  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is linearly dependent *iff* one of the vectors from the set is a linear combination of the remaining vectors.

(Proof left as exercise)

# Subspace

A subspace is a non-empty subset of a vector space  $V$  that is  
closed under addition and scalar multiplication

- Every subspace contains the zero vector  $\mathbf{0}$ .

Proof?

# Span

$$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n:$$

$$\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in [k] \right\}$$

# Span

$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in [k] \right\}$$

– If  $u$  is a linear combination of  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$ ,

$$\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k, u) = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$$

# Span

$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in [k] \right\}$$

– If  $u$  is a linear combination of  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$ ,

$$\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k, u) = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$$

– The span of any set of vectors is a subspace.

Proof?



# Basis of a vector space $V$

Any linearly independent set  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$  such that

$$V = \text{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k).$$

# Basis of a vector space $V$

Any linearly independent set  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$  such that

$$V = \text{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k).$$

- All bases contain the same number of vectors: dimension of  $V$

# Basis of a vector space $V$

Any linearly independent set  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$  such that

$$V = \text{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k).$$

- All bases contain the same number of vectors: dimension of  $V$

Proof?

# Basis of a vector space $V$

Any linearly independent set  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$  such that

$$V = \text{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k).$$

– All bases contain the same number of vectors: dimension of  $V$

Proof?

**Theorem** – If  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is a basis of  $V$ , then any element  $a \in V$  can be represented *uniquely* as a linear combination

$$a = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_k u_k$$

with  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [k]$ .

# Basis of a vector space $V$

Any linearly independent set  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$  such that

$$V = \text{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k).$$

– All bases contain the same number of vectors: dimension of  $V$

Proof?

**Theorem** – If  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  is a basis of  $V$ , then any element  $a \in V$  can be represented *uniquely* as a linear combination

$$a = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_k u_k$$

with  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [k]$ .

(Proof left as exercise)

## Basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$

A basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  has entry 1 in the  $i$ -th position and 0 everywhere else.

## Basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$

A basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  has entry 1 in the  $i$ -th position and 0 everywhere else.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

## Basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$

A basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  has entry 1 in the  $i$ -th position and 0 everywhere else.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + x_n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



## Basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$

A basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  has entry 1 in the  $i$ -th position and 0 everywhere else.

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + x_n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \cdots x_n \mathbf{e}_n\end{aligned}$$

## Inner product

Inner product or dot product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = x^T y$$

# Inner product

Inner product or dot product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = x^T y$$

Properties –

- Positivity

$\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$  with equality iff  $x = \mathbf{0}$ .

# Inner product

Inner product or dot product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = x^T y$$

Properties –

- Positivity

$$\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ with equality iff } x = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Symmetry

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$$

# Inner product

Inner product or dot product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = x^T y$$

Properties –

- Positivity

$$\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ with equality iff } x = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Symmetry

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$$

- Linearity: for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle$$

## Dot product

Is it  $\sum_i x_i y_i$  or is it  $\|x\| \|y\| \cos \theta$ ?

# Dot product

Is it  $\sum_i x_i y_i$  or is it  $\|x\| \|y\| \cos \theta$ ?

We know that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \quad ; \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$$

## Dot product

Is it  $\sum_i x_i y_i$  or is it  $\|x\| \|y\| \cos \theta$ ?

We know that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \quad ; \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



## Dot product

Is it  $\sum_i x_i y_i$  or is it  $\|x\| \|y\| \cos \theta$ ?

We know that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \quad ; \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by the “geometric definition”,

$$\langle x, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \|x\| \|\mathbf{e}_i\| \cos \theta = x_i$$

## Dot product

Is it  $\sum_i x_i y_i$  or is it  $\|x\| \|y\| \cos \theta$ ?

We know that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \quad ; \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by the “geometric definition”,

$$\langle x, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \|x\| \|\mathbf{e}_i\| \cos \theta = x_i$$

Hence,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \langle x, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i.$$

# Orthogonality and norms

- Two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are said to be **orthogonal** (to each other) if

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

# Orthogonality and norms

- Two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are said to be **orthogonal** (to each other) if

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

- The **Euclidean norm** of a vector  $x$  is given by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

# Orthogonality and norms

- Two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are said to be **orthogonal** (to each other) if

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

- The **Euclidean norm** of a vector  $x$  is given by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

- **(Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)**

$$\langle x, y \rangle \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

with equality iff  $x = \alpha \cdot y$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

# Orthogonality and norms

- Two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are said to be **orthogonal** (to each other) if

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

- The **Euclidean norm** of a vector  $x$  is given by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

- **(Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)**

$$\langle x, y \rangle \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

with equality iff  $x = \alpha \cdot y$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof?

# Properties of norms

- Positivity

$\|x\| \geq 0$  with equality iff  $x = \mathbf{0}$ .

# Properties of norms

- Positivity

$\|x\| \geq 0$  with equality iff  $x = \mathbf{0}$ .

- Homogeneity: for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|\alpha \cdot x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|$$



# Properties of norms

- Positivity

$$\|x\| \geq 0 \text{ with equality iff } x = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Homogeneity: for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|\alpha \cdot x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|$$

- Triangle inequality

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

# Properties of norms

- Positivity

$$\|x\| \geq 0 \text{ with equality iff } x = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Homogeneity: for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|\alpha \cdot x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|$$

- Triangle inequality

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

Proof?

(use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

# Linear function between vector spaces

We say  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a *linear transformation* if

$$\mathcal{L}(x + y) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \mathcal{L}(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha x) = \alpha \mathcal{L}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

# Linear function between vector spaces

We say  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a *linear transformation* if

$$\mathcal{L}(x + y) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \mathcal{L}(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha x) = \alpha \mathcal{L}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

Is a line a linear function?

# Linear function between vector spaces

We say  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a *linear transformation* if

$$\mathcal{L}(x + y) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \mathcal{L}(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha x) = \alpha \mathcal{L}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

Is a line a linear function?

(explain on board)

# Linear function between vector spaces

We say  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a *linear transformation* if

$$\mathcal{L}(x + y) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \mathcal{L}(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha x) = \alpha \mathcal{L}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

Is a line a linear function?

(explain on board)

- To specify what  $\mathcal{L}$  does to a certain  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , it suffices to specify what it does to each of  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  since

## Linear function between vector spaces

We say  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a *linear transformation* if

$$\mathcal{L}(x + y) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \mathcal{L}(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha x) = \alpha \mathcal{L}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

Is a line a linear function?

(explain on board)

– To specify what  $\mathcal{L}$  does to a certain  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , it suffices to specify what it does to each of  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(x) &= \mathcal{L}(x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{e}_n) \\ &= x_1 \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e}_1) + \dots + x_n \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{e}_n) \\ &= x_1 c_1 + \dots + x_n c_n\end{aligned}$$

# Enter matrix



$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{c}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$



## Four fundamental subspaces

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{c}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{r}_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_m & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

# Four fundamental subspaces

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{c}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{r}_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_m & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

- Column space

$$\text{Col}(A) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$$

## Four fundamental subspaces

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{c}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{r}_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_m & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

- Column space

$$\text{Col}(A) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$$

- Row space

$$\text{Row}(A) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m\}$$

# Four fundamental subspaces

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{c}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{r}_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_m & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

- Row space

$$\text{Row}(A) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m\}$$

- Column space

$$\text{Col}(A) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$$

- Null space

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$$

# Four fundamental subspaces

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{c}_1 & \mathbf{c}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{c}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{r}_1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_2 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \mathbf{r}_m & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

- Column space

$$\text{Col}(A) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$$

- Row space

$$\text{Row}(A) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m\}$$

- Left null space

$$\mathcal{N}(A^\top) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{y}^\top A = \mathbf{0}\}$$

- Null space

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$$

# Orthogonal complement

The orthogonal complement of a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$V^\perp = \{x : x^T v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

# Orthogonal complement

The orthogonal complement of a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$V^\perp = \{x : x^T v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

- $V^\perp$  is a subspace

# Orthogonal complement

The orthogonal complement of a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$V^\perp = \{x : x^T v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

- $V^\perp$  is a subspace

Proof?



# Orthogonal complement

The orthogonal complement of a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$V^\perp = \{x : x^T v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

- $V^\perp$  is a subspace
- Every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be represented uniquely as

Proof?

$$x = x_1 + x_2 \qquad x_1 \in V, x_2 \in V^\perp.$$

# Orthogonal complement

The orthogonal complement of a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$V^\perp = \{x : x^T v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

- $V^\perp$  is a subspace
- Every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be represented uniquely as

$$x = x_1 + x_2 \qquad x_1 \in V, x_2 \in V^\perp.$$

Proof?

Proof?

# Orthogonal complement

The orthogonal complement of a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$V^\perp = \{x : x^T v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

- $V^\perp$  is a subspace
- Every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be represented uniquely as

$$x = x_1 + x_2 \qquad x_1 \in V, x_2 \in V^\perp.$$

Proof?

- For a matrix  $A$ ,  $\text{Row}(A)^\perp = \mathcal{N}(A)$

Proof?

# Orthogonal complement

The orthogonal complement of a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$V^\perp = \{x : x^T v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

- $V^\perp$  is a subspace
- Every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be represented uniquely as

$$x = x_1 + x_2 \qquad x_1 \in V, x_2 \in V^\perp.$$

- For a matrix  $A$ ,  $\text{Row}(A)^\perp = \mathcal{N}(A)$

Proof?

Proof?

Proof?

# Rank of a matrix

$\text{rank}(A) = \text{maximal}$  number of linearly independent columns of  $A$

# Rank of a matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(A) &= \text{maximal number of linearly independent columns of } A \\ &= \dim(\text{Col}(A))\end{aligned}$$

# Rank of a matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(A) &= \text{maximal number of linearly independent columns of } A \\ &= \dim(\text{Col}(A))\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Row rank} = \text{Col rank}$$

# Rank of a matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(A) &= \text{maximal number of linearly independent columns of } A \\ &= \dim(\text{Col}(A))\end{aligned}$$

Row rank = Col rank

Proof?



# Rank of a matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(A) &= \text{maximal number of linearly independent columns of } A \\ &= \dim(\text{Col}(A))\end{aligned}$$

Row rank = Col rank

Proof?

- If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , then  $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min\{m, n\}$ .

# Rank of a matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rank}(A) &= \text{maximal number of linearly independent columns of } A \\ &= \dim(\text{Col}(A))\end{aligned}$$

Row rank = Col rank

Proof?

◦ If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , then  $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min\{m, n\}$ .

Proof?

# Linear equations

Suppose that we are given  $m$  equations in  $n$  unknowns of the form

$$a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$

# Linear equations

Suppose that we are given  $m$  equations in  $n$  unknowns of the form

$$\begin{aligned}a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1 \\a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &= b_2 \\&\vdots \\a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n &= b_m\end{aligned}$$

In vector form,

$$x_1\mathbf{a}_1 + x_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{b}.$$

# Linear equations

Suppose that we are given  $m$  equations in  $n$  unknowns of the form

$$\begin{aligned}a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1 \\a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &= b_2 \\&\vdots \\a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n &= b_m\end{aligned}$$

In vector form,

$$x_1\mathbf{a}_1 + x_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{b}.$$

In matrix form,

$$Ax = b.$$

# Linear equations

Suppose that we are given  $m$  equations in  $n$  unknowns of the form

$$\begin{aligned}a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1 \\a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &= b_2 \\&\vdots \\a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n &= b_m\end{aligned}$$

In vector form,

$$x_1\mathbf{a}_1 + x_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{b}.$$

In matrix form,

$$Ax = b.$$

- The system  $Ax = b$  has a solution iff  $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } [A, b]$ .

# Linear equations

Suppose that we are given  $m$  equations in  $n$  unknowns of the form

$$\begin{aligned}a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &= b_1 \\a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &= b_2 \\&\vdots \\a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n &= b_m\end{aligned}$$

In vector form,

$$x_1\mathbf{a}_1 + x_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{b}.$$

In matrix form,

$$Ax = b.$$

- The system  $Ax = b$  has a solution iff  $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } [A, b]$ . **Proof?**

# Invertible matrix

A square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is invertible if

- $\text{rank}(A) = n$
- columns of  $A$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- there is a matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $AB = BA = I_n$
- ...



# Invertible matrix

A square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is invertible if

- $\text{rank}(A) = n$
- columns of  $A$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- there is a matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $AB = BA = I_n$
- ...
- 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$

# Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

- How do you find these  $\lambda$ 's and  $x$ 's?

# Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

- How do you find these  $\lambda$ 's and  $x$ 's?
- If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , then  $A$  has  $n$  eigenvalues

# Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

- How do you find these  $\lambda$ 's and  $x$ 's?
- If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , then  $A$  has  $n$  eigenvalues

Why?

## Example: $2 \times 2$ matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example: $2 \times 2$ matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the eigenvalues:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 1 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

## Example: $2 \times 2$ matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the eigenvalues:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 1 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Compute determinant:

$$(4 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 2 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 10 = 0$$

## Example: $2 \times 2$ matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the eigenvalues:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 1 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Compute determinant:

$$(4 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 2 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 10 = 0$$

Solve:

$$\lambda = 2, \quad \lambda = 5$$



## Example: $2 \times 2$ matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the eigenvalues:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 1 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Compute determinant:

$$(4 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 2 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 10 = 0$$

Solve:

$$\lambda = 2, \quad \lambda = 5$$

Eigenvectors?

# Symmetric matrices

$A$  is symmetric ( $A = A^T$ )

- All its eigenvalues are real
- Its  $n$  eigenvectors are orthogonal

# Symmetric matrices

$A$  is symmetric ( $A = A^T$ )

- All its eigenvalues are real
- Its  $n$  eigenvectors are orthogonal

proof for the case with distinct eigenvalues on board

# Diagonalizability

Matrix  $A$  is said to be diagonalizable if we can write

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix.

# Diagonalizability

Matrix  $A$  is said to be **diagonalizable** if we can write

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix.

$$AV = VD \iff Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \text{ for } i \in [n] \text{ and } \{v_i\}_{i \in [n]} \text{ form a basis}$$

# Diagonalizability

Matrix  $A$  is said to be **diagonalizable** if we can write

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix.

$$AV = VD \iff Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \text{ for } i \in [n] \text{ and } \{v_i\}_{i \in [n]} \text{ form a basis}$$

- A symmetric matrix is diagonalizable.

# Diagonalizability

Matrix  $A$  is said to be **diagonalizable** if we can write

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix.

$$AV = VD \iff Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \text{ for } i \in [n] \text{ and } \{v_i\}_{i \in [n]} \text{ form a basis}$$

- A symmetric matrix is diagonalizable.

Proof?

# Diagonalizability

Matrix  $A$  is said to be **diagonalizable** if we can write

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix.

$$AV = VD \iff Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \text{ for } i \in [n] \text{ and } \{v_i\}_{i \in [n]} \text{ form a basis}$$

- A symmetric matrix is diagonalizable.

Proof?

- (**Rayleigh's inequalities**) For a symmetric matrix  $Q$ ,

$$\lambda_{\min} \leq x^T Q x \leq \lambda_{\max}$$

for any  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ .

$\lambda_{\min}$  : smallest eigenvalue of  $Q$

$\lambda_{\max}$  : largest eigenvalue of  $Q$



# Diagonalizability

Matrix  $A$  is said to be **diagonalizable** if we can write

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix.

$$AV = VD \iff Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \text{ for } i \in [n] \text{ and } \{v_i\}_{i \in [n]} \text{ form a basis}$$

- A symmetric matrix is diagonalizable.

Proof?

- (**Rayleigh's inequalities**) For a symmetric matrix  $Q$ ,

$$\lambda_{\min} \leq x^T Q x \leq \lambda_{\max}$$

for any  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ .

$\lambda_{\min}$  : smallest eigenvalue of  $Q$

$\lambda_{\max}$  : largest eigenvalue of  $Q$

(Proof left as exercise)

# Quadratic form

A symmetric matrix  $Q$  is said to be **positive definite** if the **quadratic form**

$$x^T Q x > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

# Quadratic form

A symmetric matrix  $Q$  is said to be **positive definite** if the **quadratic form**

$$x^T Q x > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

If  $Q$  is symmetric, then

$Q$  is positive definite  $\iff$  all eigenvalues of  $Q$  are positive

Proof?

# Quadratic form

A symmetric matrix  $Q$  is said to be **positive definite** if the **quadratic form**

$$x^T Q x > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

If  $Q$  is symmetric, then

$Q$  is positive definite  $\iff$  all eigenvalues of  $Q$  are positive

Proof?

Positive semidefinite :  $\geq$  instead of  $>$