Homework 3: Polymorphism

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{CSE}}$ 130: Programming Languages

Early deadline: July 18 23:59, Hard deadline: July 20 23:59

Names & IDs:
1 [42pts] Type embodiment and parametricity
Parametricity is a property of parametrically polymorphic functions which roughly says that "the more generic a function type is, the more restricted we are in implementing the function." This is because parametric polymorphism removes information about the concrete values, leaving only structural properties later allowing the type to be instantiated with different concrete types of values. Intuitively, if we consider the set of inhabitants of some given type, then the more parametric the type, the smaller will be the set of values with such a type. In practice, parametricity allows us to reason about our functions based on the types ¹ , and is the theory behind some fancy extensions to the typeclass derivation mechanism of Haskell. In this problem, we will explore some consequences of this property and learn to use types as a reasoning tool, through deriving programs by calculation.
1.1 $[2 \times 6 = 12 \text{ pts}]$ The Algebra of Datatypes
Definition: an <i>inhabitant</i> of a type τ is a value v such that $v::\tau$. $ \tau $ denotes the size of the set of inhabitants of τ .
To get started, we'll look at inhabiting ADTs, and then functions. For this question, do not consider $undefined$ (sometimes written \bot) as a valid answer.
data Animal = Cat Dog Mouse
1. How many inhabitants does Animal have? Give an example.
Answer:

 $^{1}\mathrm{P.}$ Wadler, Theorems for Free! (1989)

data AnimalPair = AnimalPair Animal Animal

2.	2. How many inhabitants does AnimalPair have? Give an example.					
	Answer:					
	data Maybe a = Just a Nothing					
3.	How many inhabitants does Maybe Animal have? Give an example.					
	Answer:					
4.	How many inhabitants does Maybe a have? Give your answer in terms of a.					
	Answer:					
	data Pair a b = Pair a b					
5.	How many inhabitants does Pair a b have? Give your answer in terms of a and b.					
	Answer:					

data Either a b = Left a | Right b

6.	How many inhabitants does Either (Maybe Animal) (Pair (Pair Animal Animal) Animal) have?
	Answer:
1.2	$[2 \times 6 = 12 \text{ pts}]$ Types and Lambda Calculus
types	we consider the effect polymorphism has on the inhabitation of function types. For each of the followings, (a) write down how many distinct functions there are for the given most general type and (b) give an apple (if one exists). Write all your functions in λ form.
1.	a ightarrow a
	Answer:
2.	a o b
	Answer:
3.	a o b o a
	Answer:

 $4. \ a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b$

5. $(a \to b \to c) \to (a \to b) \to a \to c$

Answer:			

6. $a \to \mathbb{Z}_6$. (Hint: \mathbb{Z}_n is the set of integers modulo n.)

Answer:			

1.3 [6pts] Type Tetris [BONUS]

Now we shall put parametricity to work as a reasoning tool to write Haskell programs completely mechanically — by simply plugging together functions of the correct types. For each part, give a definition in Haskell using only the following functions:

$$(\$) :: (a \to b) \to a \to b$$

$$(.) :: (b \to c) \to (a \to b) \to (a \to c)$$

$$flip :: (a \to b \to c) \to (b \to a \to c)$$

$$map :: (a \to b) \to [a] \to [b]$$

$$concat :: [[a]] \to [a]$$

You may, however, compose the given functions by creating λ abstractions. These are all standard Haskell functions, so you can verify your solutions out in GHCi. (Enter:t <function> to print the type of the function). For example, consider the function hog with the type:

$$hog :: (c \to d) \to (a \to b \to c) \to a \to b \to d$$

Given the above type, we can derive the following function definition:

$$hog = (.).(.)$$

To solve these problems, you may find it useful to first write them using λ abstractions.

1. [6pts] Give a definition for $bog :: (a \to [b]) \to [a] \to [b]$

```
Answer:
```

2. [6pts] Give a definition for $zog: a \to [a \to b] \to [b]$.

```
Answer:
```

3. [6pts] Write bog using hog.

```
Answer:
```

2 [16pts] Type Polymorphism

In this problem we are going to explore parametric and ad-hoc polymorphism. Recall that ad-hoc polymorphism is implemented via type-classes in Haskell.

2.1 Parametric Polymorphism

Haskell and C++ both have mechanisms for creating a generic stack implementation that can be used to represent stacks with any kind of underlying elements. In C++, we could write a template for stack objects of the following form

```
template <typename A>
class node {
public:
   node(A v, node<A>* n) : val (v), next(n) { }
   A val;
   node<A>* next;
};

template <typename A>
class stack {
```

```
node<A>* first;
public:
    stack() : first(nullptr) { }
    void push(A x) {
        node<A>* n = new node<A>(x, first);
        first = n;
    }
    void pop() {
        node<A>* n = first;
        first = first->next;
        delete n;
    }
    A top() {
        return first->val;
    }
};
```

In Haskell, polymorphic stacks are simpler to implement, partly due to Haskell's type inference. In this problem, you will write the implementation of a Haskell generic stack. Below is a skeleton of the implementation that you need to complete. Keep in mind that, in Haskell, your API functions (e.g., push) do not modify the stack they are called on, rather they create a new immutable stack (which e.g., may contain additional elements).

1. [8pts] Polymorphic abstract data type for stacks

(a) [6pts] Fill in the implementation for the push and pop stack functions below.

```
data Stack a = Stack [__]
push :: ____ -> ____ -> ____
push (Stack xs) x = _____
pop :: ____ -> ____
pop (Stack []) = Stack []
pop (Stack xs) = _____
```

(b) [2pts] Explain what the types functions of these functions mean? You do not have the provide a formal argument; just explain why the average Haskell programmer would expect the code to have these types.

Answer:			

2.2 Ad-hoc Polymorphism

Haskell and C++ both have mechanisms for creating overloaded functions that behave differently depending on the types of the arguments. In C++, you simply write multiple functions that share the same name but have different argument types. For example, the + operator is overloaded to also accept string types in which case it performs string concatenation. Another example is the push and pop functions which are defined for both the stack and the queue standard data structures.

```
#include <stack>
#include <queue>

int main() {
   std::stack<int> stack;
   std::queue<int> queue;

   stack.push(1); stack.push(2); stack.push(3);
   queue.push(1); queue.push(2); queue.push(3);

   stack.pop();
   queue.pop();
}
```

After the above code executes, the stack will end up with [1,2] whereas the queue will contain [2,3].

In Haskell, defining a new function that has the same name as a previously defined function will produce a multiple-declarations error. The way Haskell supports ad-hoc polymorphism is by using *type classes*, as we saw in class. For this problem, you will implement the type class *Collection* and modify the stack implementation from above to be an instance of *Collection*. Additionally, you will implement a queue data structure which should also be an instance of *Collection* and define both push and pop.

1. [8pts] Collection interface via type classes

(a) [8pts] Fill in the implementation for the Collection type class below. For simplicity we assume that both stacks and queues only handle Ints and not other, generic types.

```
class Collection c where

push :: ____ -> Int -> ____

pop :: ___ -> ___

data Stack = Stack [Int] deriving Show

-- | Make Stack an instance of the Collection class instance ____ where

push (Stack xs) x = ____

pop (Stack s) = _____
```

data Queue = Queue [In	t] deriving Show
Make Queue an inst	cance of the Collection class
instance	where
push (Queue xs) x =	
pop (Queue q) =	

3 [18pts] Implementing Haskell Typeclasses

Suppose we are interested in considering two Haskell Ints i and j equal if the absolute value of i is equal to the absolute value of j:

```
abs i == abs j
```

Suppose we are further interested in considering data structures containing Ints as equal if the corresponding Ints in those structures are equal up to absolute value. We can use Haskell's type class mechanism to define a new type class MyEq comprised of a single operator === that denotes this notion of equality:

```
class MyEq a where
  (===) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

Using an instance declaration, we can make Int an instance of this type class:

```
instance MyEq Int where
  i === j = abs i == abs j
```

1. [4pts] When processing the type class declaration for MyEq, the Haskell compiler will generate the following internal type and function declarations:

```
data MyEqD a = MkMyEqD (a -> a -> Bool)

(===) :: MyEqD a -> a -> a -> Bool

(===) (MkMyEqD eq) = eq
```

Explain what the generated datatype MyEqD represents and what values of this type "store." Then, explain what the generated function === does. (All of this can be answered in a few sentences.)



2. [4pts] Suppose that we are using the following Tree datatype and want to compare such trees using the === operator.

Fill in the following instance declaration to make Trees an instance of the type class MyEq:

```
instance _____ where

(===) ____ = ____

(===) ___ = ___

(===) ___ = ____
```

3.	[3pts] From such an instance declaration, the compiler will generate code to construct Tree dictionaries for MyEq. Fill in the following dictionary construction code:
	dMyEqTree ::
	dMyEqTree elDict = MkMyEqD myEqTree where myEqTree =
	myEqTree =
	myEqTree =
4.	[3pts] The cmp function below compares two values from any type that belongs to the MyEq type class and returns a String indicating whether the values were equal according to ===.
	cmp :: (MyEq a) => a -> a -> String
	<pre>cmp t1 t2 = if t1 === t2</pre>
	The value result = cmp test1 test2 uses the function cmp to compare two test trees where:
	test1 :: Tree Int test2 :: Tree Int
	The compiler will rewrite the cmp function and its uses. Explain how it does so.
	Answer:
5.	[4pts] Assume that the compiler generated a dictionary named dMyEqInt for the Int instance of MyEq
	dMyEqInt :: MyEqD Int
	Fill in the following rewritten versions of the cmp function and result definition:
	cmp ::>> String
	cmp = if
	then "Equal" else "Not Equal"
	result = cmp tree1 tree2

Here cmp should operate on both Trees and Ints. result, on the other hand, is defined in terms trees; the value is "Equal" if the two trees are equal according to === and "Not Equal" otherwise.

Acknowledgements
Any acknowledgements, crediting external resources or people should be listed below.