

# Homework 4: Objects

CSE 130: Programming Languages

Early deadline: August 29 23:59, Hard deadline: August 31 23:59

**Names & IDs:**

## 1 [12pts] Smalltalk Implementation Decisions

In class, we assumed that Smalltalk objects have (1) a pointer to the class and (2) a map of (instance variable name, instance variable value) pairs. Variable instances were then looked up by name in the map using the variable name as key. Though this is a reasonable representation of objects, it's not how Smalltalk actually represents objects. Instead, objects only have (1) a pointer to the class and (2) a set of instance variable values. Each class, in turn, contains a pointer to a *class template*, in addition to the method-dictionary pointer. This template stores the names of all the instance variables that belong to objects created by the class and information used to lookup the variables.

1. [4pts] Why are the names of instance variables stored in the template, instead of in the objects (next to the values for the instance variables)? (Hint: recall why the names of the methods are stored next to the method pointers in the method dictionary.)

**Answer:**

2. [4pts] Each class's method dictionary only stores the names of the methods explicitly written for that class; inherited methods are found by searching up the superclass pointers at run-time. One optimization approach is for each subclass to have all of the methods of its superclasses in its method dictionary as well as its own methods. What are some of the advantages and disadvantages of this approach?

**Answer:**

3. [4pts] The class template stores the names of all the instance variables, even those inherited from parent classes. These are used to compile the methods of the class. Specifically, when a subclass is added to a Smalltalk system, the methods of the new class are compiled so that when an instance variable is accessed, the method can access this directly without searching through the method dictionary and without searching through superclasses. Can you see some advantages and disadvantages of this implementation decision, in comparison with looking up the relative position of an instance variable in the appropriate class template each time the variable is accessed? Keep in mind that in Smalltalk, a set of classes could remain running for days, while new classes are added incrementally and, conceivably, existing classes could be rewritten and recompiled.

**Answer:**

## 2 [30pts] Contravariant Method Specialization

Having done well in CSE 130, you have been asked to join the C++ language standardization committee. Despite your reservations about the committee process, they order Chicago Pizza at every meeting, so you accept. A UPenn student, who also did well in programming languages, has an idea for the next version of C++. She wants to add contravariant method specialization. In this question, we will examine the consequences of making this change to the semantics of C++. In class we discussed function subtyping, but not how it pertains to method specialization. The lecture slides and textbook, however, contain more information about this. (Since you already understand function subtyping, you may be able to figure this out without additional aid! But since this question is not trivial, feel free to discuss this with your peers.)

Suppose we have the following class hierarchy in C++:

```
class Shape {
private:
    double area;

public:
    Shape(double a) { area = a; }
    double getArea() { return area; }
    void setArea(double a) { area = a; }
};

class Circle : public Shape {
private:
    double radius;

public:
    Circle(double r) : Shape(PI*r*r) { radius = r; }
    double getRadius() { return radius; }
    void setRadius(double r) { setArea(PI*r*r); radius = r; }
};

class A {
public:
    virtual void update(Circle& c) { ... }
};

class B : public A {
public:
    virtual void update(Shape& s) { ... }
};
```

1. [7pts] Without contravariant method specialization, the version of `update` in `class B` is an overload of the version of `update` inherited from `class A`.

```
int main() {
    Circle c(7); // Circle radius is 7
    B b;
    A* a = &b;
    a->update(c);
    return 0;
}
```

In the code above, without contravariant method specialization, which version of `update` is called by `a->update(c)`? Which version is called with contravariant method specialization?

**Answer:**

2. [8pts] If we added contravariant method specialization, should the compiler accept `class B` as a valid subclass of `class A`, or should the compiler report that `class B` is incompatible with its base class? Give a clear reason why or why not in terms of principles we have studied in the course.

**Answer:**

3. [8pts] The rest of the committee likes the proposal to add contravariant method specialization, leaving the UPenn student feeling pretty smug. When the UPenn student starts discussing how she found the inspiration for his proposal, you sneak out to think about how this change will affect existing code. You try this test program:

```
// The classes Shape and Circle are the same as before.
class A {
public:
    virtual void update(Circle& c, double area) {
        double r = std::sqrt(area / PI);
        c.setRadius(r);
    }
};

class B : public A {
public:
    virtual void update(Shape& s, double area) { s.setArea(area); }
};

int main() {
    Circle c(7); // Circle radius is 7
    B b;
```

```

A* a = &b;
a->update(c, PI);

std::cout << "Circle radius: " << c.getRadius() << std::endl;
return 0;
}

```

What does this program print under the current version of C++? What does this program print when you have contravariant method specialization?

**Answer:**

4. [7pts] Given that there is a large amount of existing C++ code, what should you tell the committee about the consequences of adding contravariant method specialization to the language?

**Answer:**

### 3 [10pts] Function Subtyping

Suppose that function  $f$  has type  $A \rightarrow B$  and function  $g$  has type  $C \rightarrow D$ . What must be the relationship between types  $A$  and  $C$  and between types  $B$  and  $D$  to guarantee that type  $C \rightarrow D$  is a subtype of type  $A \rightarrow B$ ?

**Answer:**

## Acknowledgements

Any acknowledgements, crediting external resources or people should be listed below.

