

Fundamentals and lambda calculus



Again: JavaScript functions

- JavaScript functions are first-class
 - Syntax is a bit ugly/terse when you want to use functions as values; recall block scoping:

```
(function () {  
    // ... do something  
})();
```

- New version has cleaner syntax called “arrow functions”
 - Semantics not always the same (`this` has different meaning), but for this class should always be safe to use

In this lecture



In this lecture



In this lecture



In this lecture



What is the lambda calculus?

- Simplest reasonable programming language
 - Only has one feature: functions

Why study it?

- Captures the idea of first-class functions
 - Good system for studying the concept of variable **binding** that appears in almost all languages
- Historically important
 - Competing model of computation introduced by Church as an alternative to Turing machines: substitution (you'll see this today) = symbolic comp
 - Influenced Lisp (thus JS), ML, Haskell, C++, etc.

Why else?

- Base for studying many programming languages
 - You can use lambda calculus and extended it in different ways to study languages and features
 - E.g., we can study the difference between strict languages like JavaScript and lazy ones like Haskell
 - λ + evaluation strategy
 - E.g., we can study different kinds of type systems
 - Simply-typed λ calculus, polymorphic, etc.

Why else?

- Most PL papers describe language models that build on lambda calculus
 - Understanding λ will help you interpret what you are reading in PL research papers
 - Understanding λ will help you get started with other formal/theoretical foundations:
 - Operational semantics
 - Denotational semantics

Before we get started, some terminology

- Syntax (grammar)
- Semantics
- PL implementation: Syntax -> Semantics

Before we get started, some terminology

- Syntax (grammar)
 - The symbols used to write a program
 - E.g., $(x + y)$ is a grammatical expression
- Semantics
- PL implementation: Syntax -> Semantics

Before we get started, some terminology

- Syntax (grammar)
 - The symbols used to write a program
 - E.g., $(x + y)$ is a grammatical expression
- Semantics
 - The actions that occur when a program is executed
- PL implementation: Syntax -> Semantics

Week 2

- Syntax of λ calculus
- Semantics of λ calculus
 - Informal substitution
 - Free and bound variables
 - Formal substitution
 - Evaluation order

Lambda calculus

- Language syntax (grammar):
 - Expressions: $e ::= x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e_1 e_2$
 - Variables: x
 - Functions or λ abstractions: $\lambda x.e$
 - This is the same as $x \Rightarrow e$ in JavaScript!
 - Function application: $e_1 e_2$
 - This is the same as $e_1 (e_2)$ in JavaScript!

Example terms

- ▶ $\lambda x.(2+x)$
 - ▶ Same as: $x \Rightarrow (2 + x)$
- ▶ $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5$
 - ▶ Same as: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) (5)$
- ▶ $(\lambda f.(f 3)) (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - ▶ Same as: $(f \Rightarrow (f (3))) (x \Rightarrow (x+1))$

Example terms

➤ $\lambda x.(2+x)$

LIES! What is this “2”
and “+”? (Sugar.)

➤ Same as: $x \Rightarrow (2 + x)$

➤ $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5$

➤ Same as: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) (5)$

➤ $(\lambda f.(f 3)) (\lambda x.(x + 1))$

➤ Same as: $(f \Rightarrow (f (3))) (x \Rightarrow (x+1))$

Example terms

- ▶ $\lambda x.(2+x)$
 - ▶ Same as: $x \Rightarrow (2 + x)$
- ▶ $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5$
 - ▶ Same as: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) (5)$
- ▶ $(\lambda f.(f 3)) (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - ▶ Same as: $(f \Rightarrow (f (3))) (x \Rightarrow (x+1))$

Example terms

- $\lambda x.(2+x)$
 - Same as: $x \Rightarrow (2 + x)$
- $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5$
 - Same as: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) (5)$
- $(\lambda f.(f 3)) (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - Same as: $(f \Rightarrow (f (3))) (x \Rightarrow (x+1))$

Example terms

- $\lambda x.(2+x)$
 - Same as: $x \Rightarrow (2 + x)$
- $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5$
 - Same as: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) (5)$
- $(\lambda f.(f 3)) (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - Same as: $(f \Rightarrow (f (3))) (x \Rightarrow (x+1))$

JavaScript to λ calculus

- Let's look at function composition: $(f \circ f)(x)$
- In JavaScript:
 - $f \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow f(f(x)))$
 - $((f \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow f(f(x))))(x \Rightarrow x+1)) (4)$
- In λ :

JavaScript to λ calculus

- Let's look at function composition: $(f \circ f)(x)$
- In JavaScript:
 - $f \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow f(f(x)))$
 - $((f \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow f(f(x))))(x \Rightarrow x+1)) (4)$
- In λ :
 - $\lambda f.(\lambda x. f(f x))$

JavaScript to λ calculus

- Let's look at function composition: $(f \circ f)(x)$
- In JavaScript:
 - $f \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow f(f(x)))$
 - $((f \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow f(f(x))))(x \Rightarrow x+1))\ 4$
- In λ :
 - $\lambda f.(\lambda x. f(f x))$
 - $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f(f x))(\lambda x.x+1))\ 4$

Understanding λ calculus syntax

- λ -calculus syntax: $e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2$
 - Is $\lambda(x+y). 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. (x x)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. x (x y)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)

Understanding λ calculus syntax

- λ -calculus syntax: $e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2$
 - Is $\lambda(x+y). 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. (x x)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. x (x y)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)

Understanding λ calculus syntax

- λ -calculus syntax: $e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2$
 - Is $\lambda(x+y). 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. (x x)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. x (x y)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)

Understanding λ calculus syntax

- λ -calculus syntax: $e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2$
 - Is $\lambda(x+y). 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. (x x)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. x (x y)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)

Understanding λ calculus syntax

- λ -calculus syntax: $e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2$
 - Is $\lambda(x+y). 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. 3$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. (x x)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)
 - Is $\lambda x. x (x y)$ a valid term? (A: yes, B: no)

More compact syntax

- Function application is left associative
 - $e_1 e_2 e_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (e_1 e_2) e_3$
- Lambdas binds all the way to right: only stop when you find unmatched closing paren ')'
 - $\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x. (\lambda y. (\lambda z. e))$

Understanding compact syntax

- Write the parens: $\lambda x.x \ x$

➤ A: $\lambda x.(x \ x)$

➤ B: $(\lambda x.x) \ x$

Understanding compact syntax

- Write the parens: $\lambda x.x \ x$

► A: $\lambda x.(x \ x)$

► B: $(\lambda x.x) \ x$

Understanding compact syntax

- Write the parens: $\lambda y. \lambda x. x \ x =$
 - A: $\lambda y. (\lambda x. x) \ x$
 - B: $\lambda y. (\lambda x. (x \ x))$
 - C: $(\lambda y. (\lambda x. x)) \ x$

Understanding compact syntax

- Write the parens: $\lambda y. \lambda x. x \ x =$

- A: $\lambda y. (\lambda x. x) \ x$

- B: $\lambda y. (\lambda x. (x \ x))$

- C: $(\lambda y. (\lambda x. x)) \ x$

Understanding compact syntax

- Is $(\lambda y. \lambda x. x) x = \lambda y. \lambda x. x x$?

- A: yes
- B: no

Understanding compact syntax

- Is $(\lambda y. \lambda x. x) x = \lambda y. \lambda x. x x$?

➤ A: yes

➤ B: no

Even more compact syntax

- Can always variables left of the period
 - $\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda xyz.e$
- This makes the term look like a 3 argument function
 - Can implement multiple-argument function using single-argument functions: called currying (bonus)
- We won't use this syntax, but you may see in the wild

Week 2

- Syntax of λ calculus ✓
- Semantics of λ calculus
 - Informal substitution
 - Free and bound variables
 - Formal substitution
 - Evaluation order

Semantics of λ calculus

- Reduce a term to another as much as we can
 - If we can't reduce it any further, the term is said to be in **normal form**
- How? Rewrite terms!
 - What does that mean?! Substitution!

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \textcolor{brown}{5}$
 - In JavaScript: `(x => (2 + x)) (5)`
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f \ 3)) \ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript: `(f => (f (3))) (\textcolor{brown}{x} => (x+1))`

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \ 5$
 - In JavaScript: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) \ (5) \rightarrow (2 + 5)$
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f \ 3)) \ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript: $(f \Rightarrow (f \ (3))) \ (x \Rightarrow (x+1))$

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \ 5$
 - In JavaScript: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) \ (5) \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f \ 3)) \ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript: $(f \Rightarrow (f \ (3))) \ (\lambda x.(x+1))$

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \ 5 \rightarrow (2 + 5)$
 - In JavaScript: `(x => (2 + x))(5) → (2 + 5) → 7`
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f\ 3))\ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript: `(f => (f(3)))(x => (x+1))`

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \ 5 \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
 - In JavaScript: `(x => (2 + x))(5) → (2 + 5) → 7`
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f\ 3))\ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript: `(f => (f(3)))(x => (x+1))`

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \ 5 \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
 - In JavaScript: `(x => (2 + x)) (5) → (2 + 5) → 7`
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f\ 3))\ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript: `(f => (f (3))) (\x => (x+1))`
 $\rightarrow ((\textcolor{brown}{x} \Rightarrow (x+1)) \ (\textcolor{blue}{3}))$

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \ 5 \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
 - In JavaScript: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) \ (5) \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f \ 3)) \ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript:
$$\begin{aligned} & (f \Rightarrow (f \ (3))) \ (x \Rightarrow (x+1)) \\ & \quad \rightarrow ((x \Rightarrow (x+1)) \ (3)) \\ & \quad \rightarrow (3+1) \rightarrow 4 \end{aligned}$$

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \ 5 \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
 - In JavaScript: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) \ (5) \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f \ 3)) \ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - $\rightarrow ((\lambda x.(x + 1)) \ 3)$
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f \ 3)) \ (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - In JavaScript:
 - $f \Rightarrow (f \ (3))$
 - $x \Rightarrow (x+1)$
 - $\rightarrow ((x \Rightarrow (x+1)) \ (3))$
 - $\rightarrow (3+1) \rightarrow 4$

Example terms

- Example: $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) \textcolor{brown}{5} \rightarrow (2 + \textcolor{brown}{5}) \rightarrow 7$
 - In JavaScript: $(x \Rightarrow (2 + x)) (\textcolor{brown}{5}) \rightarrow (2 + \textcolor{brown}{5}) \rightarrow 7$
- Example: $(\lambda f.(f \ 3)) (\lambda x.(x + 1))$
 - $\rightarrow ((\lambda x.(x + 1)) \ 3)$
 - $\rightarrow (\textcolor{blue}{3} + 1) \rightarrow 4$
- In JavaScript:
 - $f \Rightarrow (f \ (3)) \ (x \Rightarrow (x+1))$
 - $\rightarrow ((\textcolor{red}{x} \Rightarrow (x+1)) \ (\textcolor{blue}{3}))$
 - $\rightarrow (\textcolor{blue}{3+1}) \rightarrow 4$

Easy! Pattern for function application:
substitute the term you are applying the
function to for the argument variable

Substitution (not right)

- Def: Substitution: $e_1 [x := e_2]$
 - Replace every occurrence of x in e_1 with e_2
- General reduction rule for λ calculus:
 - $(\lambda x.e_1) e_2 \rightarrow$
 - Function application rewritten to e_1 (the function body) with every x in e_1 substituted with e_2 (argument)

Substitution (not right)

- Def: Substitution: $e_1 [x := e_2]$
 - Replace every occurrence of x in e_1 with e_2
- General reduction rule for λ calculus:
 - $(\lambda x.e_1) e_2 \rightarrow e_1 [x := e_2]$
 - Function application rewritten to e_1 (the function body) with every x in e_1 substituted with e_2 (argument)

Simple examples

- Reduce $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5$
- Reduce: $(\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.y+3) 4 5 6 =$

Simple examples

- Reduce $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5 \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
- Reduce: $(\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.y+3) 4 5 6 =$

Simple examples

- Reduce $(\lambda x.(2 + x)) 5 \rightarrow (2 + 5) \rightarrow 7$
- Reduce: $(\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.y+3) 4 5 6 =$
 $((\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.y+3) 4) 5) 6 \rightarrow$
 $((\lambda y.\lambda z.y+3) 5) 6 \rightarrow$
 $(\lambda z.5+3) 6 \rightarrow (5+3) \rightarrow 8$

Simple examples (cont)

- Reduce $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5$
- Reduce: $((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5$
- Is $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5 = ((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5$?
 - A: yes, B: no

Simple examples (cont)

- Reduce $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5 \rightarrow (\lambda x. 2) 5 \rightarrow 2$
- Reduce: $((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5$
- Is $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5 = ((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5$?
 - A: yes, B: no

Simple examples (cont)

- Reduce $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5 \rightarrow (\lambda x. 2) 5 \rightarrow 2$
- Reduce: $((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5 \rightarrow ((\lambda y.2) 5) \rightarrow 2$
- Is $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5 = ((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5$?
 - A: yes, B: no

Simple examples (cont)

- Reduce $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5 \rightarrow (\lambda x. 2) 5 \rightarrow 2$
- Reduce: $((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5 \rightarrow ((\lambda y.2) 5) \rightarrow 2$
- Is $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.2) 3) 5 = ((\lambda x.(\lambda y.2)) 3) 5$?
 - A: yes, B: no

A more complicated example

A more complicated example

- Reduce the following:

➤ $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{4}$

A more complicated example

- Reduce the following:

➤ $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{4}$

➤ $(\lambda a. \textcolor{blue}{4} + a) 7$

A more complicated example

- Reduce the following:

➤ $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{4}$

➤ $(\lambda a. \textcolor{blue}{4} + a) 7$

➤ $\textcolor{blue}{4} + 7$

A more complicated example

- Reduce the following:

- $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{4}$
- $(\lambda a. \textcolor{blue}{4} + a) 7$
- $\textcolor{blue}{4} + 7$
- 11

Let's make this even more fun!

Let's make this even more fun!

- Instead of 4, let's apply function to $(a + 5)$
 - $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \text{ (a + 5)}$

Let's make this even more fun!

- Instead of 4, let's apply function to $(a + 5)$
 - $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \text{ (a + 5)}$
 - $(\lambda a. \text{ (a + 5)} + a) 7$

Let's make this even more fun!

- Instead of 4, let's apply function to $(a + 5)$
 - $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$
 - $(\lambda a. \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)} + a) 7$
 - $\textcolor{blue}{(7 + 5)} + 7$

Let's make this even more fun!

- Instead of 4, let's apply function to $(a + 5)$
 - $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \text{ (a + 5)}$
 - $(\lambda a. \text{ (a + 5)} + a) 7$
 - $\text{ (7 + 5)} + 7$
 - 19

Let's make this even more fun!

- Instead of 4, let's apply function to $(a + 5)$

➤ $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \text{ (a + 5)}$

➤ $(\lambda a. \text{ (a + 5)} + a) 7$

➤ $\text{ (7 + 5)} + 7$

Is this right?

➤ 19

A: yes, B: no

Let's make this even more fun!

- Instead of 4, let's apply function to $(a + 5)$
 - $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \text{ (a + 5)}$
 - $(\lambda a. \text{ (a + 5)} + a) 7$
 - $\text{ (7 + 5)} + 7$
 - 19

Is this right?

A: yes, B: no

Substitution is surprisingly complex

- Recall our reduction rule for application:
 - $(\lambda x. e_1) e_2 \rightarrow e_1 [x := e_2]$
 - This function application reduces to e_1 (the function body) where every x in e_1 is substituted with e_2 (value we're applying func to)
 - Where did we go wrong? When we substituted:
 - $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) (a + 5)$
 - $(\lambda a. (a + 5) + a) 7$ the a is **captured!**

Example to do at home

Example to do at home

- Reduce the following
 - $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+1)) 4$

Example to do at home

- Reduce the following
 - $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+1)) 4$
 -

Example to do at home

- Reduce the following

➤ $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+1)) 4$



Example to do at home

- Reduce the following

➤ $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+1)) 4$



Example to do at home

- Reduce the following

➤ $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+1)) 4$



Example to do at home

Example to do at home

- Reduce the following
 - $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+x)) 4$

Example to do at home

- Reduce the following
 - $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+x)) 4$
 -

Example to do at home

- Reduce the following

➤ $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+x)) 4$



Example to do at home

- Reduce the following

➤ $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+x)) 4$



Example to do at home

- Reduce the following

➤ $((\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+x)) 4$



Another way to see the problem

- Syntactic sugar: $\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda x. e_2) e_1$
- Let syntax makes this easy to see:

➤ $\begin{array}{l} \text{let } x = a + 5 \text{ in} \\ \quad \text{let } \textcolor{red}{a} = 7 \text{ in} \\ \quad \quad x + a \end{array}$ \rightarrow $\begin{array}{l} \text{let } x = a + 5 \text{ in} \\ \quad \text{let } \textcolor{red}{a} = 7 \text{ in} \\ \quad \quad (a + 5) + \textcolor{red}{a} \end{array}$

Another way to see the problem

- Syntactic sugar: $\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda x. e_2) e_1$
- Let syntax makes this easy to see:
 - $\begin{array}{l} \text{let } x = a + 5 \text{ in} \\ \quad \text{let } \textcolor{red}{a} = 7 \text{ in} \\ \quad \quad x + a \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{let } x = a + 5 \text{ in} \\ \quad \text{let } \textcolor{red}{a} = 7 \text{ in} \\ \quad \quad (a + 5) + \textcolor{red}{a} \end{array}$
 - Very obviously wrong!
 - But, guess what: your C macro preprocessor does this!

Fixing the problem

- How can we fix this?

1. Rename variables!

➤ let $x = a+5$ in
let $a = 7$ in
 $x + a$

2. Do the “dumb” substitution!

Fixing the problem

- How can we fix this?

1. Rename variables!

➤ let $x = a+5$ in let $x = a+5$ in
let $a = 7$ in \rightarrow let $a123 = 7$ in
 $x + a$ $x + a123$

2. Do the “dumb” substitution!

Fixing the problem

- How can we fix this?

1. Rename variables!

► let $x = a+5$ in let $x = a+5$ in let $x = a+5$ in
let $a = 7$ in \rightarrow let $a123 = 7$ in \rightarrow let $a123 = 7$ in
 $x + a$ $x + a123$ $(a+5) + a123$

2. Do the “dumb” substitution!

Why is this the way to go?

- We can always rename bound variables!
 - Def: variable x is bound in $\lambda x.(x+y)$
 - Bound variables are just “placeholders”
 - Above: x is not special, we could have used z
 - We say they are equivalent: $\lambda x.(x+y) =_{\alpha} \lambda z.(z+y)$
- Renaming amounts to converting bound variable names to avoid capture: e.g., $\lambda x.(x+y)$ to $\lambda z.(z+y)$

Can we rename everything?

- Can we rename y in $\lambda x.(x+y)$? (A: yes, B: no)



- Intuition:



Can we rename everything?

- Can we rename y in $\lambda x.(x+y)$? (A: yes, B: no)
 - No! We don't know what y may be, so we must keep it as is!
- Intuition:
 -
 -

Can we rename everything?

- Can we rename y in $\lambda x.(x+y)$? (A: yes, B: no)
 - No! We don't know what y may be, so we must keep it as is!
- Intuition:
 - Can change the name of your function argument variables but not of variables from the outer scope
 - E.g., $\forall \textcolor{blue}{x}. P(\textcolor{blue}{x}, \textcolor{red}{y})$ or $\sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, 10\}} \textcolor{red}{x}_i + \textcolor{blue}{y}$

Week 2

- Syntax of λ calculus ✓
- Semantics of λ calculus
 - Informal substitution ✓
 - Free and bound variables
 - Formal substitution
 - Evaluation order

Let's think about this more formally

Def: free variables

- If a variable is not bound by a λ , we say that it is **free**
 - e.g., y is free in $\lambda x.(x+y)$
 - is x free? A: yes, B: no
- We can compute the free variables of any term:
 - $FV(x) = \{x\}$
 - $FV(\lambda x.e) = FV(e) \setminus \{x\}$ think: build out!
 - $FV(e_1 e_2) = FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2)$

Def: free variables

- If a variable is not bound by a λ , we say that it is **free**
 - e.g., y is free in $\lambda x.(x+y)$
 - is x free? A: yes, B: no
- We can compute the free variables of any term:
 - $FV(x) = \{x\}$
 - $FV(\lambda x.e) = FV(e) \setminus \{x\}$ think: build out!
 - $FV(e_1 e_2) = FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2)$

Def: free variables

- If a variable is not bound by a λ , we say that it is **free**
 - e.g., y is free in $\lambda x.(x+y)$
 - is x free? A: yes, B: no
- We can compute the free variables of any term:
 - $FV(x) = \{x\}$
 - $FV(\lambda x.e) = FV(e) \setminus \{x\}$ think: build out!
 - $FV(e_1 e_2) = FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2)$

Def: free variables

- If a variable is not bound by a λ , we say that it is **free**
 - e.g., y is free in $\lambda x.(x+y)$
 - is x free? No! We say x is bound in $\lambda x.(x+y)$
- We can compute the free variables of any term:
 - $FV(x) = \{x\}$
 - $FV(\lambda x.e) = FV(e) \setminus \{x\}$ think: build out!
 - $FV(e_1 e_2) = FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2)$

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:

- $x[x := e] =$
- $y[x := e] =$
- $(e_1 \ e_2)[x := e] =$
- $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] =$
- $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] =$

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:

- $x[x := e] = e$
- $y[x := e] =$
- $(e_1 \ e_2)[x := e] =$
- $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] =$
- $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] =$

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:

- $x[x := e] = e$
- $y[x := e] = y$ if $y \neq x$
- $(e_1 e_2)[x := e] =$
- $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] =$
- $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] =$

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:
 - $x[x := e] = e$
 - $y[x := e] = y$ if $y \neq x$
 - $(e_1 e_2)[x := e] = (e_1[x := e]) (e_2[x := e])$
 - $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] =$
 - $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] =$

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:
 - $x[x := e] = e$
 - $y[x := e] = y$ if $y \neq x$
 - $(e_1 e_2)[x := e] = (e_1[x := e]) (e_2[x := e])$
 - $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] = \lambda x. e_1$
 - $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] =$

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:
 - $x[x := e] = e$
 - $y[x := e] = y$ if $y \neq x$
 - $(e_1 e_2)[x := e] = (e_1[x := e]) (e_2[x := e])$
 - $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] = \lambda x. e_1$
 - $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] = \lambda y. e_1[x := e_2]$ if $y \neq x$ and $y \notin FV(e_2)$

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:
 - $x[x := e] = e$
 - $y[x := e] = y$ if $y \neq x$
 - $(e_1 e_2)[x := e] = (e_1[x := e]) (e_2[x := e])$
 - $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] = \lambda x. e_1$
 - $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] = \lambda y. e_1[x := e_2]$ if $y \neq x$ and $y \notin FV(e_2)$
 - Why the if?

Def: Capture-avoiding substitution

- Capture-avoiding substitution:
 - $x[x := e] = e$
 - $y[x := e] = y$ if $y \neq x$
 - $(e_1 e_2)[x := e] = (e_1[x := e]) (e_2[x := e])$
 - $(\lambda x. e_1)[x := e] = \lambda x. e_1$
 - $(\lambda y. e_1)[x := e_2] = \lambda y. e_1[x := e_2]$ if $y \neq x$ and $y \notin FV(e_2)$
 - Why the if? If y is free in e_2 this would capture it!

Lambda calculus: equational theory

- α -renaming or α -conversion
 - $\lambda x.e = \lambda y.e[x:=y]$ where $y \notin FV(e)$
- β -reduction
 - $(\lambda x.e_1) e_2 = e_1[x:=e_2]$
- η -conversion
 - $\lambda x.(e x) = e$ where $x \notin FV(e)$
- We define our \rightarrow relation using these equations!

Back to our example

Back to our example

- What we should have done:
 - $(\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \text{ (a + 5)}$

Back to our example

- What we should have done:

$$\triangleright (\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

$$=_{\alpha} (\lambda x. (\lambda b. x + b) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

Back to our example

- What we should have done:

$$\triangleright (\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

$$=_{\alpha} (\lambda x. (\lambda b. x + b) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

$$=_{\beta} (\lambda b. \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)} + b) 7$$

Back to our example

- What we should have done:

$$\triangleright (\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

$$=_{\alpha} (\lambda x. (\lambda b. x + b) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

$$=_{\beta} (\lambda b. \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)} + b) 7$$

$$=_{\beta} \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)} + 7$$

Back to our example

- What we should have done:

$$\triangleright (\lambda x. (\lambda a. x + a) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

$$=_{\alpha} (\lambda x. (\lambda b. x + b) 7) \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)}$$

$$=_{\beta} (\lambda b. \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)} + b) 7$$

$$=_{\beta} \textcolor{blue}{(a + 5)} + 7$$

$$=_{\beta} a + 12$$

The to do at home example

The to do at home example

- What you should have done:
 - $(\lambda f.(\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y.y+x)$

The to do at home example

- What you should have done:

➤ $(\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y. y + x)$

$=\alpha (\lambda f. (\lambda z. f (f z))) (\lambda y. y + x)$

The to do at home example

- What you should have done:

$$\triangleright (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (f x)) \text{ (}\lambda y. y + x\text{)}}$$

$$=\alpha (\lambda f. (\lambda z. f (f z)) \text{ (}\lambda y. y + x\text{)}}$$

$$=\beta \lambda z. \text{ (}\lambda y. y + x\text{) } ((\lambda y. y + x) z)$$

The to do at home example

- What you should have done:

$$\triangleright (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (f x)) \text{ (}\lambda y. y + x\text{) }$$

$$=_{\alpha} (\lambda f. (\lambda z. f (f z)) \text{ (}\lambda y. y + x\text{) }$$

$$=_{\beta} \lambda z. \text{ (}\lambda y. y + x\text{) } ((\lambda y. y + x) z)$$

$$=_{\beta} \lambda z. \text{ (}\lambda y. y + x\text{) } (z + x)$$

The to do at home example

- What you should have done:

$$\triangleright (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (f x))) (\lambda y. y + x)$$

$$=\alpha (\lambda f. (\lambda z. f (f z))) (\lambda y. y + x)$$

$$=\beta \lambda z. (\lambda y. y + x) ((\lambda y. y + x) z)$$

$$=\beta \lambda z. (\lambda y. y + x) (z + x)$$

$$=\beta \lambda z. z + x + x$$

Week 2

- Syntax of λ calculus ✓
- Semantics of λ calculus
 - Informal substitution ✓
 - Free and bound variables ✓
 - Formal substitution ✓
 - Evaluation order