## Homework 0

Kai Qu kq4ff

## September 3, 2019

- 1. (1) The sample space of X is {000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111}.
  - (2) P(X = 0, 0, 0) = (1 0.6) \* (1 0.6) \* (1 0.6) = 0.064

Let E = the outcome has two heads and one tail

$$P(E) = {3 \choose 2} * 0.6 * 0.6 * (1 - 0.6) = 0.432$$

2. (1) Let B =there is a burglar in the house, A =the alarm is triggered

$$P(B) = 0.005$$

$$P(A \mid B) = 0.97$$

$$P(A, B) = P(B) * P(A \mid B) = 0.00485$$

$$P(A \mid B_C) = 0.002$$

$$P(A, B_C) = P(A \mid B_C) * (1 - P(B)) = 0.002 * (1 - 0.005) = 0.00199$$

$$P(A) = P(A, B_C) + P(A, B) = 0.00684$$

$$P(B \mid A) = P(A, B)/P(A) = 0.00485/0.00684 = 0.709$$

Therefore, the probability that there was a burglar in his house, given the alarm triggers, is 70.9%.

(2) Let E =there is an earthquake

$$P(A \mid E) = 0.01$$

$$P(E) = 0.001$$

$$P(A, E) = P(A \mid E)P(E) = 1 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$P(B_C) = 0.995$$

$$P(B_C, E) = P(B_C)P(E) = 9.95 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$P(A \mid B_C, E) = 0.002$$

$$P(A, B_C, E) = P(A \mid B_C, E)P(B_C, E) = 1.99 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$P(A, B, E) = P(A, E) - P(A, B_C, E) = 8.01 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$P(B \mid A, E) = P(A, B, E)/P(A, E)$$

$$= 0.801$$

Therefore, the probability that there is a burglar given that the earthquake occurs and the alarm triggers, is 80.1%.

3. In this problem, the function that we want to maximize and the constraints are

$$\ln f(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln P(x^{(n)})$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k = 1$$

By Lagrange Multiplier, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \ln P(X^{(n)}) - \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K p_k - 1 \right) \right) = 0 \tag{*}$$

Note that

$$P(X^{(n)}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p_k^{\delta(X^{(n)},k)}$$
(1)

$$\ln P(X^{(n)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \ln(p_k) \delta(X^{(n)}, k)$$
(2)

Substitute (2) into (\*), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} (\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \ln(p_k) \delta(X^{(n)}, k) - \lambda(\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k - 1)) = 0$$

Taking the partial derivative with respect to  $p_k$  and using the constraints, we get

$$p_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(X^{(n)}, k) / \lambda$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k = 1$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \delta(X^{(n)}, k) / \lambda = 1$$

$$N / \lambda = 1$$

$$\lambda = N$$

$$(.1)$$

Finally, substitute  $\lambda = N$  into (.1) above, we get

$$p_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(X^{(n)}, k) / N$$

4. (1) 
$$E_{P(X)} = 0.7 * 1 + 0.3 * 0 = 0.7$$

(2) 
$$P(X) = -p \log_2 p - (1-p) \log_2 (1-p) = 0.8812$$

(3) 
$$KL(P || Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(X_i) (ln(P(X_i) - ln(Q(X_i)))) = 0.1837$$

(4) To prove  $KL \ge 0$ , we try to prove  $-KL \le 0$ .

First, note that 
$$\ln x \le x - 1$$
. Let  $f(x) = \ln x - x + 1$  for  $x > 0$ 

$$f'(x) = 1/x - 1$$

$$f'(1) = 0$$

$$f''(x) = -1/x^2$$

$$f''(1) < 0$$

Therefore, f(1) = 0 is a local maximum.

Also,

$$\lim_{x\to 0^+}=-\infty=\lim_{x\to \infty}$$

Therefore, f(1) = 0 is the global maximum, and thus  $\ln x \le x - 1$ .

$$-KL(P || Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(X_i)log(Q(X_i)/P(X_i))$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(X_i)(Q(X_i)/P(X_i) - 1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q(X_i) - P(X_i)$$

$$= 1 - 1$$

$$= 0$$

Thus, since  $-KL(P \mid\mid Q) \leq 0$ ,  $KL(P \mid\mid Q) \geq 0$ . If  $P = Q \rightarrow$ :

$$KL(P \mid\mid P) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(X_i)log(P(X_i)/P(X_i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(X_i)log(1))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} 0$$

$$= 0$$

If  $KL(P \mid\mid Q) = 0(\leftarrow)$ :

First note that  $f(x) = \log(x)$  is a convex function. By Jensen's inequality, we have  $f(E(x)) \leq E(f(x))$ . Therefore,

$$KL(P||Q) = -\sum_{x} P(x) \log(Q(x)/P(x))$$

$$\geq -\log(\sum_{x} P(x)Q(x)/P(x))$$

$$= -\log(\sum_{x} Q(x))$$

$$= -\log(1)$$

$$= 0$$

The equality is equal to 0, which means that f is either linear or, for every x

(Q(x)/P(x)) is 1. Since f(x) = log(x) is convex, the Q(x)/P(x) = 1 for all x. Therefore, P(X) = Q(X). Thus, KL(P||Q) = 0 iff P = Q.