Code ▼

Ex2 App Marketing Structure

- · 0.1 Prepare Data
- 1 Using a linear regressions framework with unit sales (and/or log unit sales) as the dependent variable, investigate the effect of regular price, feature and rating on sales for each of the three apps. How good are these models?
 - o 1.1 Simple Regression Model for App1 (only consider own price, feature and rating)
 - 1.2 Simple Regression Model for App2 (only consider own price, feature and rating)
 - 1.3 Simple Regression Model for App3 (only consider own price, feature and rating)
- 2 An important source of variation in sales often comes from competitive marketing activity. Investigate the impact on sales of each app from the changes in the marketing activity of competing apps. What, if any, competitive terms would you want to include in your final models?
 - 2.1 Full Regression Model for App1 (consider both own and competitors' price, feature and rating)
 - 2.2 Full Regression Model for App2 (consider both own and competitors' price, feature and rating)
 - 2.3 Full Regression Model for App3 (consider both own and competitors' price, feature and rating)
- 3 Propose the "best" regression model for each app, taking into account own-effects and competitive-effects. Comment on the quality of these final models.
 - 3.1 App1
 - o 3.2 App2
 - · 3.3 App3
- 4 What do these best models tell you about market structure and inter-app competition in this game category, e.g., create a clout/vulnerability map (as we did in class)?
 - 4.1 Construct a price coefficient table
 - 4.2 Calculate the cross-price elasticity
 - 4.3 Build the clout and vulnerability table
 - 4.4 Visualize clout and vulnerability

```
Hide

library(data.table)
library(ggplot2)

# Clear All Variables & Clear the Screen

rm(list=ls())
cat("\014")

Hide

# Read in the Data
df = read.csv("Ex2_Data_R.csv")

# Explore the data
#str(df)
#summary(df)
```

0.1 Prepare Data

```
# Convert unit sales to log unit sales

df$logUNITS1 <- log(df$UNITS1)

df$logUNITS2 <- log(df$UNITS2)

df$logUNITS3 <- log(df$UNITS3)
```

- 1 Using a linear regressions framework with unit sales (and/or log unit sales) as the dependent variable, investigate the effect of regular price, feature and rating on sales for each of the three apps. How good are these models?
- 1.1 Simple Regression Model for App1 (only consider own price, feature and rating)

```
# Linear model
lm.linear.smp.1 = lm(UNITS1~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1, df)
# Log model
lm.log.smp.1 = lm(logUNITS1~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1, df)

# Calculate price elasticity for the linear model
price.coeff.linear.smp.1 = unname(lm.linear.smp.1$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
elas.linear.smp.1 = price.coeff.linear.smp.1*mean(df$REGPR1)/mean(df$UNITS1)

# Calculate price elasticity for the log model
price.coeff.log.smp.1 = unname(lm.log.smp.1$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
elas.log.smp.1 = price.coeff.log.smp.1*mean(df$REGPR1)
summary(lm.linear.smp.1)
```

```
summary(lm.log.smp.1)
```

```
Call:

lm(formula = logUNITS1 ~ REGPR1 + FEAT1 + RATING1, data = df)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max

-0.129306 -0.075000 -0.003703 0.060155 0.243313

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 6.08183 0.38637 15.741 < 2e-16 ***
REGPR1 -0.70710 0.09855 -7.175 9.23e-11 ***
FFEAT1 0.47511 0.02470 19.234 < 2e-16 ***
RATING1 0.32061 0.08140 3.939 0.000145 ***

---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.08345 on 109 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.8395, Adjusted R-squared: 0.835
F-statistic: 190 on 3 and 109 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Comment: Both simple models fit quite well despite not including competitive effects. ALI three variables are significant.

1.2 Simple Regression Model for App2 (only consider own price, feature and rating)

Hide

```
# Linear model
lm.linear.smp.2 = lm(UNITS2~REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2, df)
# Log model
lm.log.smp.2 = lm(logUNITS2~REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2, df)

# Calculate price elasticity for the linear model
price.coeff.linear.smp.2 = unname(lm.linear.smp.2$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
elas.linear.smp.2 = price.coeff.linear.smp.2*mean(df$REGPR2)/mean(df$UNITS2)

# Calculate price elasticity for the log model
price.coeff.log.smp.2 = unname(lm.log.smp.2$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
elas.log.smp.2 = price.coeff.log.smp.2*mean(df$REGPR2)
summary(lm.linear.smp.2)
```

```
Call:

lm(formula = UNITS2 ~ REGPR2 + FEAT2 + RATING2, data = df)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max

-225.56 -62.36 -0.63 60.88 215.14

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) 2429.13 498.76 4.870 3.80e-06 ***

REGPR2 -723.31 116.68 -6.199 1.04e-08 ***

FEAT2 406.36 35.49 11.451 < 2e-16 ***

RATING2 -395.37 130.34 -3.033 0.00302 **

---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 93.97 on 109 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.6696, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6605

F-statistic: 73.64 on 3 and 109 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
summary(lm.log.smp.2)
```

```
lm(formula = logUNITS2 ~ REGPR2 + FEAT2 + RATING2, data = df)
                                3Q
             1Q Median
   Min
                                          Max
-2.05656 -0.16370 0.06079 0.27914 0.83912
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 12.3389 2.4657 5.004 2.17e-06 ***
REGPR2 -2.8905 0.5768 -5.011 2.11e-06 ***
            0.9329 0.1754 5.318 5.64e-07 ***
-1.0956 0.6444 -1.700 0.0919 .
FEAT2
RATING2
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.4646 on 109 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.3963, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3796
F-statistic: 23.85 on 3 and 109 DF, p-value: 6.095e-12
```

Comment: The simple linear model fits better with a R-square of 0.67. All three variables are significant

1.3 Simple Regression Model for App3 (only consider own price, feature and rating)

Hide

```
# Linear model
lm.linear.smp.3 = lm(UNITS3~REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3, df)
# Log model
lm.log.smp.3 = lm(logUNITS3~REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3, df)

# Calculate price elasticity for the linear model
price.coeff.linear.smp.3 = unname(lm.linear.smp.3$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
elas.linear.smp.3 = price.coeff.linear.smp.3*mean(df$REGPR3)/mean(df$UNITS3)

# Calculate price elasticity for the log model
price.coeff.log.smp.3 = unname(lm.log.smp.3$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
elas.log.smp.3 = price.coeff.log.smp.3*mean(df$REGPR3)
summary(lm.linear.smp.3)
```

```
Call:

lm(formula = UNITS3 ~ REGPR3 + FEAT3 + RATING3, data = df)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-1457.8 -143.5 -35.2 59.3 5578.2

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) -735.5 1565.2 -0.470 0.639

REGPR3 -970.3 702.0 -1.382 0.170

FEAT3 1914.1 219.2 8.732 3.29e-14 ***

RATING3 483.8 356.5 1.357 0.178
---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 650.7 on 109 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.4764, Adjusted R-squared: 0.462

F-statistic: 33.05 on 3 and 109 DF, p-value: 2.843e-15
```

```
summary(lm.log.smp.3)
```

Hide

Comment: Neither model fits particularly well as the R-squares are relatively small. The simple linear model only includes the feature varibale and leaves out the price. The semi-log one accounts for more variables but has a lower R-square.

- 2 An important source of variation in sales often comes from competitive marketing activity. Investigate the impact on sales of each app from the changes in the marketing activity of competing apps. What, if any, competitive terms would you want to include in your final models?
- 2.1 Full Regression Model for App1 (consider both own and competitors' price, feature and rating)

```
Hide
```

```
# Linear model for appl
lm.linear.1 = lm(UNITS1~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1+REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2+REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3. df)
# Log model for appl
lm.log.1 = lm(logUNITS1~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1+REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2+REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3, df)
# Calculate price elasticity for linear model
price.coeff.linear.1 = unname(lm.linear.1$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
                 = price.coeff.linear.1*mean(df$REGPR1)/mean(df$UNITS1)
# Calculate price elasticity for log model
price.coeff.log.1 = unname(lm.log.1$coefficients[2], force = FALSE)
elas.log.1
                = price.coeff.log.1*mean(df$REGPR1)
summarv(lm.linear.1)
Call.
lm(formula = UNITS1 ~ REGPR1 + FEAT1 + RATING1 + REGPR2 + FEAT2 +
   RATING2 + REGPR3 + FEAT3 + RATING3, data = df)
Residuals:
            1Q Median
                              30
   Min
                                      Max
-104.530 -52.349 -4.502 45.181 195.209
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1059.5182 948.6804 1.117
REGPR1 -614.1419 111.5209 -5.507 2.7e-07 ***
           479.4691 20.9037 22.937 < 2e-16 ***
187.8424 131.3334 1.430 0.156
FEAT1
RATING1
          171.9486 111.2072 1.546
REGPR2
                                        0.125
           0.1793 26.1158 0.007
-82.7993 143.5679 -0.577
FEAT2
                                         0.995
RATING2
REGPR3
           -96.9208 94.6579 -1.024 0.308
FEAT3 -35.2794 23.3590 -1.510 0.134 RATING3 -42.8413 71.1072 -0.602 0.548
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 66.13 on 103 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.891, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8815
F-statistic: 93.54 on 9 and 103 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
                                                                                                          Hide
summary(lm.log.1)
lm(formula = logUNITS1 ~ REGPR1 + FEAT1 + RATING1 + REGPR2 +
   FEAT2 + RATING2 + REGPR3 + FEAT3 + RATING3, data = df)
Residuals:
     Min
              10 Median
                                30
-0.128163 -0.063482 -0.005767 0.060318 0.233271
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 6.6362753 1.1998782 5.531 2.43e-07 ***
REGPR1 -0.8118486 0.1410502 -5.756 8.95e-08 ***
           0.4689827 0.0264387 17.738 < 2e-16 ***
RATING1
          0.2894567 0.1661087 1.743 0.0844 .
REGPR2
          RATING2 -0.0965949 0.1815828 -0.532 0.5959
REGPR3 -0.1385222 0.1197221 -1.157 0.2499
FEAT3
           -0.0402567 0.0295442 -1.363
                                         0.1760
RATING3 -0.0259559 0.0899354 -0.289 0.7735
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.08364 on 103 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.8476, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8343
```

2.2 Full Regression Model for App2 (consider both own and competitors' price, feature and rating)

F-statistic: 63.65 on 9 and 103 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

```
Hide
```

```
# Linear model for appl
lm.linear.2 = lm(UNITS2~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1+REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2+REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3. df)
# Log model for app1
lm.log.2 = lm(logUNITS2~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1+REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2+REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3, df)
# Calculate price elasticity for linear model
price.coeff.linear.2 = unname(lm.linear.2$coefficients[5], force = FALSE)
                  = price.coeff.linear.2*mean(df$REGPR2)/mean(df$UNITS2)
# Calculate price elasticity for log model
price.coeff.log.2 = unname(lm.log.2$coefficients[5], force = FALSE)
elas.log.2
                 = price.coeff.log.2*mean(df$REGPR2)
summary(lm.linear.2)
Call.
lm(formula = UNITS2 ~ REGPR1 + FEAT1 + RATING1 + REGPR2 + FEAT2 +
   RATING2 + REGPR3 + FEAT3 + RATING3, data = df)
Residuals:
            1Q Median
                              30
                                       Max
   Min
-167.302 -52.337 1.311 52.771 177.715
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1394.562 1136.592 1.227 0.2226
REGPR1 558.690 133.611 4.181 6.10e-05 ***
           -64.168 25.044 -2.562 0.0118 *
-162.651 157.347 -1.034 0.3037
FEAT1
RATING1
REGPR2 -1016.092 133.235 -7.626 1.25e-11 ***
              363.327 31.289 11.612 < 2e-16 ***
7.055 172.005 ^ ^ ^ ^
FEAT2
           363.327
RATING2
           107.956 113.407 0.952 0.3434
REGPR3
           3.725 27.986 0.133 0.8944
-46.913 85.192 -0.551 0.5830
FEAT3
RATING3
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 79.23 on 103 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7781, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7587
F-statistic: 40.12 on 9 and 103 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
                                                                                                            Hide
summary(lm.log.2)
lm(formula = logUNITS2 ~ REGPR1 + FEAT1 + RATING1 + REGPR2 +
   FEAT2 + RATING2 + REGPR3 + FEAT3 + RATING3, data = df)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median
                              30
                                       Max
-1.23353 -0.19071 0.03763 0.18847 0.88096
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 4.0289 5.5944 0.720 0.47305
REGPR1
           2.1871 0.6576 3.326 0.00122 **
FEAT1
           -0.5338
                       0.1233 -4.331 3.46e-05 ***
            0.8106 0.7745 1.047 0.29770
RATING1
REGPR2
          -4.0459 0.6558 -6.170 1.36e-08 ***
0.7508 0.1540 4.875 3.96e-06 ***
RATING2 -0.3965 0.8466 -0.468 0.64056
REGPR3
           0.2189 0.5582 0.392 0.69576
-0.1001 0.1377 -0.727 0.46903
FEAT3
RATING3
           0.2423 0.4193 0.578 0.56460
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.39 on 103 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.598, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5629
```

2.3 Full Regression Model for App3 (consider both own and competitors' price, feature and rating)

F-statistic: 17.02 on 9 and 103 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

```
Hide
# Linear model for appl
lm.linear.3 = lm(UNITS3~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1+REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2+REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3. df)
# Log model for app1
lm.log.3 = lm(logUNITS3~REGPR1+FEAT1+RATING1+REGPR2+FEAT2+RATING2+REGPR3+FEAT3+RATING3, df)
# Calculate price elasticity for linear model
price.coeff.linear.3 = unname(lm.linear.3$coefficients[8], force = FALSE)
                    = price.coeff.linear.3*mean(df$REGPR3)/mean(df$UNITS3)
# Calculate price elasticity for log model
price.coeff.log.3 = unname(lm.log.3$coefficients[8], force = FALSE)
elas.log.3
                   = price.coeff.log.3*mean(df$REGPR3)
summarv(lm.linear.3)
lm(formula = UNITS3 ~ REGPR1 + FEAT1 + RATING1 + REGPR2 + FEAT2 +
    RATING2 + REGPR3 + FEAT3 + RATING3, data = df)
Residuals:
  Min 10 Median
                              30
                                       Max
-1482.8 -142.9 -26.6 67.1 5553.2
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -5377.77 9481.06 -0.567
REGPR1 1453.09 1114.53 1.304

    44.29
    208.91
    0.212
    0.833

    160.64
    1312.54
    0.122
    0.903

    43.61
    1111.40
    0.039
    0.969

    83.65
    261.00
    0.321
    0.749

    559.75
    1434.81
    0.390
    0.697

FEAT1
RATING1
FEAT2
RATING2
REGPR3 -1430.33 946.01 -1.512
                                              0.134
           1883.66 233.45 8.069 1.37e-12 ***
660.28 710.64 0.929 0.355
FEAT3
RATING3
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 660.9 on 103 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.4896, Adjusted R-squared: 0.445
F-statistic: 10.98 on 9 and 103 DF, p-value: 7.811e-12
                                                                                                                          Hide
summary(lm.log.3)
lm(formula = logUNITS3 ~ REGPR1 + FEAT1 + RATING1 + REGPR2 +
   FEAT2 + RATING2 + REGPR3 + FEAT3 + RATING3, data = df)
Residuals:
    Min
              1Q Median
                                  30
-2.24273 -0.62420 -0.00151 0.68602 2.34948
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -7.9024 14.4117 -0.548 0.584651
REGPR1 6.1987 1.6942 3.659 0.000401 ***
FEAT1 -0.1235 0.3176 -0.389 0.698189
                          0.3176 -0.389 0.698189
             1.2626 1.9951 0.633 0.528226
RATING1
REGPR2
            -0.6064 1.6894 -0.359 0.720378
0.3277 0.3967 0.826 0.410736
RATING2
             -1.1690 2.1810 -0.536 0.593104
REGPR3 -4.7558 1.4380 -3.307 0.001298 **
FEAT3 2.4898 0.3549 7.016 2.48e-10 **
                          0.3549 7.016 2.48e-10 ***
```

3 Propose the "best" regression model for each app, taking into account own-effects and competitive-effects.

RATING3

2.5250 1.0802 2.338 0.021345 * Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.005 on 103 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.5699, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5323 F-statistic: 15.16 on 9 and 103 DF, p-value: 1.944e-15

Comment on the quality of these final models.

3.1 App1

```
# Compare models for App1
#summary(lm.linear.smp.1)
#summary(lm.log.smp.1)
#summary(lm.linear.1)
#summary(lm.log.1) # best model: High R-square, taken into account of competitor's price
```

own models, own&comp models

We propose the 'semi-log own&comp' (lm.log.1) as the best model. Though the 'linear own&comp' has the highest R-square, it doesn't take competitor's prices into account. The semi-log has not only app1's price and feature significant, but also app2's price. The price elasticity for this model is -0.9, which implies app1 is not very suscepitble to price change.

3.2 App2

```
# Compare models for App2
#summary(lm.linear.smp.2)
#summary(lm.log.smp.2)
#summary(lm.linear.2) # best model: Highest R-square, incorporate the cross-price effect by app1
#summary(lm.log.2)
```

own models, own&comp models

We propose the 'linear own&comp' (lm.linear.1) as the best model. Not only it has the highest R-Square, it has taken App1's price and feature into account. The price elasticity for this model is -3.7, implies app2's sale is relatively susceptible to price change.

3.3 App3

```
# Compare models for App3
#summary(lm.linear.smp.3)
#summary(lm.log.smp.3)
#summary(lm.linear.3)
#summary(lm.linear.3)
#summary(lm.log.3) # best model: Highest R-square, incorporate the cross-price effect by app1
```

own models, own&comp models

We propose the 'log own&comp'(lm.log.3) as the best model. Not only it has the highest R-Square, it has taken App1's price into account. The price elasticity for this model is -4.6, implies app3's sale is very susceptible to price change.

4 What do these best models tell you about market structure and inter-app competition in this game category, e.g., create a clout/vulnerability map (as we did in class)?

4.1 Construct a price coefficient table

```
# Build a table of price coefficients with cross-effects
# The selected model is named by: model_type.app.app_number
# Also, only significant price coefficients are selected
semi_log.app.1 <- c(lm.log.1$coefficients[2], lm.log.1$coefficients[5], NA)
linear.app.2 <- c(lm.linear.2$coefficients[2], lm.linear.2$coefficients[5], NA)
semi_log.app.3 <- c(lm.log.3$coefficients[2], NA, lm.log.3$coefficients[8])

price_coeff_table <- data.frame(semi_log.app.1,linear.app.2,semi_log.app.3)
row.names(price_coeff_table) <- c("price.coeff.app.1", "price.coeff.app.2", "price.coeff.app.3")
price_coeff_table <- data.frame(t(price_coeff_table))
price_coeff_table
```

	price.coeff.app.1 <dbl></dbl>	price.coeff.app.2 <dbl></dbl>	price.coeff.app.3 <dbl></dbl>
semi_log.app.1	-0.8118486	0.2844113	NA
linear.app.2	558.6898788	-1016.0917892	NA

	price.coeff.app.1 <dbl></dbl>	price.coeff.app.2 <dbl></dbl>	price.coeff.app.3 <dbl></dbl>
semi_log.app.3	6.1986618	NA	-4.755815
3 rows			

4.2 Calculate the cross-price elasticity

Hide

```
# Convert price coefficients to elasticity
price_elas_table <- price_coeff_table
colnames(price_elas_table) <-c("price.elas.app.1","price.elas.app.2","price.elas.app.3")

price_elas_table$price.elas.app.1[1] <- price_elas_table$price.elas.app.1[1]*(mean(df$REGPR1))
price_elas_table$price.elas.app.1[2] <- price_elas_table$price.elas.app.1[2]*(mean(df$REGPR1)/mean(df$UNITS2))
price_elas_table$price.elas.app.1[3] <- price_elas_table$price.elas.app.1[3]*mean(df$REGPR1)
price_elas_table$price.elas.app.2[1] <- price_elas_table$price.elas.app.2[1]*(mean(df$REGPR2))
price_elas_table$price.elas.app.2[2] <- price_elas_table$price.elas.app.2[2]*(mean(df$REGPR2))
price_elas_table$price.elas.app.3[3] <- price_elas_table$price.elas.app.3[3]*mean(df$REGPR3)
price_elas_table
```

	price.elas.app.1 <dbl></dbl>	price.elas.app.2 <dbl></dbl>	price.elas.app.3 <dbl></dbl>
semi_log.app.1	-0.9068277	0.2861228	NA
linear.app.2	2.2317890	-3.6557051	NA
semi_log.app.3	6.9238504	NA	-4.578629
3 rows			

4.3 Build the clout and vulnerability table

Hide

app <chr></chr>	clout <dbl></dbl>	vulnerability <dbl></dbl>
app1	9.1556393	0.2861228
app2	0.2861228	2.2317890
арр3	0.0000000	6.9238504
3 rows		

comment on clout and vulnerability table interpretation of clouts: if app1 drops its price by 1%, the sales of all competing apps will drop by 9.16% if app2 drops its price by 1%, the sales of all competing apps will drop by 0.29%

interpretation of vulnerability: if the price of all competing apps drop 1%, the sales of app1 will drop by 0.29% if the price of all competing apps drop 1%, the sales of app2 will drop by 2.23% if the price of all competing apps drop 1%, the sales of app3 will drop by 6.92%

4.4 Visualize clout and vulnerability

```
# add market share column
# market share is calculated by the percentage of average unit size
avg.unit.1 <- mean(df$UNITS1)
avg.unit.2 <- mean(df$UNITS2)
avg.unit.3 <- mean(df$UNITS3)
total.unit <- avg.unit.1+avg.unit.2+avg.unit.3
clout_and_vulnerability$share <- c(100*avg.unit.1/total.unit, 100*avg.unit.2/total.unit, 100*avg.unit.3/total.unit)
# plot the clout & vulnerability chart
ggplot(data=clout_and_vulnerability, mapping=aes(x=vulnerability, y=clout, label=app)) + geom_point(aes(size=share)), color="corall") + geom_text(nudge_x=0.4) + ggtitle("Clout and Vulnerability")</pre>
```

Clout and Vulnerability

