# EM for a mixture of drifting t-distributions

Kevin Shan

2014-03-12

# 1 EM for a mixture of stationary t-distributions

For this I will follow the notation in *Finite Mixture Models* by McLachlan and Peel (2000). This section is a review of chapter 2 ("ML fitting of mixture models") and chapter 7 ("Multivariate t mixtures").

We have a mixture-of-(stationary)-t-distributions where the PDF  $f_{\text{mot}}$  of a single observation  $y_i$  is:

$$f_{\text{mot}}(y_j; \Psi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k f_{\text{mvt}}(y_j; \mu_k, \Sigma_k, \nu)$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is the relative contribution of component k and  $f_{\text{mvt}}$  is the PDF of the multivariate t-distribution:

$$f_{\text{mvt}}(y_j; \mu_k, \Sigma_k, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+p}{2}\right) |\Sigma_k|^{-1/2}}{(\pi\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}p} \Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2}) \left(1 + (y_j - \mu_k)' \sum_k^{-\frac{1}{2}} (y_j - \mu_k)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+p)}}$$

where p is the number of dimensions, and the parameters  $\mu, \Sigma, \nu$  are called the location, scale, and degrees-of-freedom, respectively.

Our goal is to fit parameters  $\alpha, \mu, \Sigma$  given the set of observations y and assuming  $\nu$  is known.

#### 1.1 Formulation as an incomplete-data problem

Given the set of observations y, the overall log likelihood for a parameter set  $\Psi = \{\alpha, \mu, \Sigma\}$  is:

$$\log L(\Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k f_{\text{mvt}}(y_j; \mu_k, \Sigma_k, \nu) \right)$$

which is difficult to optimize directly. So instead we introduce indicator variables  $Z \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $Z_{kj} = 1$  if spike j came from component k and 0 otherwise. Now if we treat both  $y_j$  and  $Z_{kj} = z_{kj}$  as known, we get the "complete-data" log likelihood  $\log L_c(\Psi)$ 

$$\log L_c(\Psi) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} z_{kj} \left( \log \alpha_k + \log f_{\text{mvt}}(y_j; \mu_k, \Sigma_k, \nu) \right)$$
(1)

#### 1.2 Reformulation of the t-distribution

Unfortunately, the expression for  $f_{\text{mvt}}$  is a mess to deal with. However, it does have a convenient factorization, i.e. given a gamma-distributed random variable U (shape-rate parametrization):

$$U \sim \operatorname{gamma}(\frac{1}{2}\nu, \frac{1}{2}\nu)$$

and a random variable Y whose distribution conditional on U=u is Gaussian:

$$Y \mid U \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma/u)$$

the marginal distribution of Y will be t-distributed with location  $\mu$ , scale  $\Sigma$ , and degrees-of-freedom  $\nu$ . This is a common method of generating samples from a multivariate t-distribution, see e.g. MATLAB's mvtrnd function.

This gives us a joint distribution of  $Y_j$  and  $U_j$  (assuming that they come from component k):

$$f_{\text{mvt}}(y_j, u_j; \mu_k, \Sigma_k, \nu) = f_{\text{mvn}}(y_j; \mu_k, \Sigma_k/u_j) f_{\text{gamma}}(u_j; \frac{1}{2}\nu, \frac{1}{2}\nu)$$

$$\log f_{\text{mvt}}(y_j, u_j; \mu_k, \Sigma_k, \nu) = -\frac{1}{2} p \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k/u_j| - \frac{1}{2} (y_j - \mu_k)' (\Sigma_k/u_j)^{-1} (y_j - \mu_k)$$

$$- \log \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \nu \log(\frac{1}{2}\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \nu (\log u_j - u_j) - \log u_j$$

So we add this U as an additional latent variable, then substitute into (1) to get:

$$\log L_c(\Psi) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{kj} \left[ \log \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} p \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| + \frac{1}{2} p \log u_j - \frac{1}{2} u_j (y_j - \mu_k)' \Sigma_k^{-1} (y_j - \mu_k) - \log \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \nu \log(\frac{1}{2}\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \nu (\log u_j - u_j) - \log u_j \right]$$
(2)

I will note that this differs from the expression in the book (eq 7.11-7.14) by the inclusion of this  $\frac{1}{2}p\log u_i$ term. I'm pretty sure it belongs there but ultimately it doesn't matter because it doesn't interact with the parameters we're optimizing over.

#### E-step 1.3

Now we take the expectation of (2) over the latent variables Z and U, conditional on the observations y, and treating our current parameter estimates  $\hat{\Psi} = \{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\Sigma}\}$  as fixed:

$$Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \mathcal{E}_{Z,U} \left( \log L_c(\Psi) \mid y, \hat{\Psi} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{E}_{Z_{kj},U_j} \left( z_{kj} [\cdots] \mid y_j, \hat{\Psi} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{P}(Z_{kj} = 1 \mid y_j, \hat{\Psi}) \mathcal{E}_{U_j} \left( [\cdots] \mid Z_{kj} = 1, y_j, \hat{\Psi} \right)$$
(3)

where  $[\cdots]$  represents the long bracketed expression in (2).

Relying on MacLachlan and Peel for the math here, we'll introduce the following variables:

$$\tau_{kj} = P(Z_{kj} = 1 \mid y_j, \hat{\Psi}) = \frac{\hat{\alpha}_k f_{\text{mvt}}(y_j; \hat{\mu}_k, \hat{\Sigma}_k, \nu)}{f_{\text{mot}}(y_j; \hat{\Psi})}$$

$$u_{kj} = \mathcal{E}_{U_j}(U_j \mid Z_{kj} = 1, y_j, \hat{\Psi}) = \frac{\nu + p}{\nu + (y_j - \hat{\mu}_k)' \hat{\Sigma}_k^{-1}(y_j - \hat{\mu}_k)}$$
(5)

$$u_{kj} = \mathcal{E}_{U_j}(U_j \mid Z_{kj} = 1, y_j, \hat{\Psi}) = \frac{\nu + p}{\nu + (y_j - \hat{\mu}_k)' \hat{\Sigma}_h^{-1}(y_j - \hat{\mu}_k)}$$
(5)

 $\tau_{kj}$  is the familiar expression for the posterior membership, and  $u_{kj}$  will turn out to be a sort of correction term for the non-Gaussian-ness of the observations. It accounts for the longer tails by weighting the faraway points less. In the Gaussian limit  $(\nu \to \infty)$ , we get  $u_{kj} \to 1$ .

I'll also note that there exists an expression for  $E_{U_j}(\log U_j | Z_{kj} = 1, y_j, \hat{\Psi})$ , but it's not important to us because we're not optimizing over  $\nu$  in the M-step.

Substituting  $\tau_{kj}$  and  $u_{kj}$  into (3), we get the objective function for the M-step optimization:

$$Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \tau_{kj} \left[ \log \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} u_{kj} (y_j - \mu_k)' \Sigma_k^{-1} (y_j - \mu_k) + \cdots \right]$$
 (6)

where we have eliminated terms not involving  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ , or  $\Sigma$ .

## 1.4 M-step

The objective function in (6) is fairly straightforward. Our update is simply:

$$\hat{\alpha}_k = \arg\max_{\alpha_k} Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \tau_{kj}$$
(7)

$$\hat{\mu}_k = \arg\max_{\mu_k} Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N \tau_{kj} u_{kj} y_j}{\sum_{j=1}^N \tau_{jk} u_{kj}}$$
(8)

$$\hat{\Sigma}_k = \arg\max_{\Sigma_k} Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N \tau_{kj} u_{kj} (y_j - \hat{\mu}_k) (y_j - \hat{\mu}_k)'}{\sum_{j=1}^N \tau_{kj}}$$
(9)

which is simply a weighted version of the Mixture-of-Gaussians M-step.

# 2 Adaptation to a mixture of drifting t-distributions

For convenience of notation let us assume there is exactly one observation per time step. We will relax this later. Our underlying model for this mixture-of-drifting-t-distributions is:

$$f_{\text{modt}}(y_t; \Psi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k f_{\text{mvt}}(y_t; \mu_{kt}, \Sigma_k, \nu)$$
$$\mu_{kt} \sim \mu_{k(t-1)} + \mathcal{N}(0, Q)$$

where we assume the drift covariance Q is known. Adding the drift turns our complete-data log-likelihood (c.f. eq 1) into

$$\log L_c(\Psi) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} z_{kt} (\log \alpha_k + \log f_{\text{mvt}}(y_t; \mu_k, \Sigma_k, \nu)) + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log f_{\text{mvn}}(\mu_{kt}; \mu_{k(t-1)}, Q)$$

where  $f_{\text{mvn}}$  is the multivariate normal PDF.

Adding the additional latent variable U, we get (c.f. eq 2):

$$\log L_{c}(\Psi) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} z_{kj} \left[ \log \alpha_{k} - \frac{1}{2} p \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_{k}| - \frac{1}{2} u_{t} (y_{t} - \mu_{kt})' \Sigma_{k}^{-1} (y_{t} - \mu_{kt}) - \log \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \nu \log(\frac{1}{2}\nu) + \frac{1}{2} \nu (\log u_{t} - u_{t}) - \log u_{t} \right] + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} p \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |Q| - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{kt} - \mu_{k(t-1)})' Q^{-1} (\mu_{kt} - \mu_{k(t-1)}) \right]$$
(10)

The additional term has no Z or U in it, so it doesn't affect the E-step. Our objective function is then:

$$Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \tau_{kt} \left[ \log \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} u_{kt} (y_t - \mu_{kt})' \Sigma_k^{-1} (y_t - \mu_{kt}) + \cdots \right] + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\mu_{kt} - \mu_{k(t-1)})' Q^{-1} (\mu_{kt} - \mu_{k(t-1)}) + \cdots \right]$$

We can make this a little more compact by defining  $\mu_{k0}$  and letting the second sum start at t = 1. We will discuss how to define  $\mu_{k0}$  later.

$$Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \tau_{kt} \left[ \log \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} u_{kt} (y_t - \mu_{kt})' \Sigma_k^{-1} (y_t - \mu_{kt}) + \cdots \right] - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{kt} - \mu_{k(t-1)})' Q^{-1} (\mu_{kt} - \mu_{k(t-1)}) + \cdots \right)$$
(11)

### 2.1 M-step

Unlike the stationary case, our M-step update for  $\mu$  will depend on  $\Sigma$ . So we will first estimate  $\mu$  holding  $\Sigma$  constant, and then (as in the stationary case) use the updated  $\mu$  to estimate  $\Sigma$ .

The additional drift term in (11) only affects  $\mu$ , so our update equations for  $\alpha$  and  $\Sigma$  remain unchanged:

$$\hat{\alpha}_k = \arg\max_{\alpha_k} Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \tau_{kt}$$
(12)

$$\hat{\Sigma}_k = \arg\max_{\Sigma_k} Q(\Psi|\hat{\Psi}) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tau_{kt} u_{kt} (y_t - \hat{\mu}_{kt}) (y_t - \hat{\mu}_{kt})'}{\sum_{t=1}^T \tau_{kt}}$$
(13)

Each component k is independent of the rest, so our  $\hat{\mu}_k$  update will solve the optimization problem:

$$\underset{\{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_T\}}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[ \tau_t u_t (y_t - \mu_t)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - \mu_t) + (\mu_t - \mu_{t-1})' Q^{-1} (\mu_t - \mu_{t-1}) \right]$$
(14)

This is an unconstrained quadratic optimization problem, so we could solve this by inverting a single  $(Tp) \times (Tp)$  matrix. Instead we will exploit the block-tridiagonal structure to give us a recursive algorithm that solves the problem with T inversions of  $p \times p$  matrices.

# 2.2 Kalman filter (forward pass) – derivation

The Kalman filter is often derived as the minimum mean-squared-error estimator for a linear system (as Kálmán did in 1960), or as a Bayesian update on a linear system with Gaussian noise (which is a 2-line proof, plus a lemma about conditional distributions). In this section we will derive it as a dynamic program for solving an optimization problem related to (14).

Let us introduce "cost-to-go" functions  $J_{1|1}, \ldots, J_{T|T}$ :

$$J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = \min_{\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{t-1}\}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \left[ \tau_s u_s (y_s - \mu_s)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_s - \mu_s) + (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1})' Q^{-1} (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1}) \right]$$
(15)

So  $J_{t|t}$  tells us how our cumulative cost (from the start of time to time t) is affected by our choice of  $\mu_t$ , assuming that we make the optimal choice for the other  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{t-1}$ .

We will assume that these cost functions are quadratic (we will justify this assumption later), and so can be defined in terms of a  $\mu_{t|t}$  and a positive definite  $P_{t|t}$ :

$$J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})' P_{t|t}^{-1}(\mu_t - \mu_{t|t}) + \text{constants}$$
(16)

For the sake of brevity, we will ignore the additive constants in  $J_{t|t}$  from here on out, and just say that  $J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})' P_{t|t}^{-1}(\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})$ . These constants are irrelevant because we don't care about the actual value of the objective function, just that we are minimizing it.

Now we will derive the recursion equations. If  $J_{t|t}$  is known (i.e.  $\mu_{t|t}$  and  $P_{t|t}$  are known), we can define a new cost function  $J_{t+1|t}$  that includes the drift penalty for the next time step:

$$J_{t+1|t}(\mu_{t+1}) = \min_{\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\}} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^t [\dots] + (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t)' Q^{-1} (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t) \right]$$

$$= \min_{\mu_t} \left[ J_{t|t}(\mu_t) + (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t)' Q^{-1} (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t) \right]$$

$$= \min_{\mu_t} \left[ (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})' P_{t|t}^{-1} (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t}) + (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t)' Q^{-1} (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_t) \right]$$
(17)

Taking the derivative with respect to  $\mu_t$  and setting it to zero, we get the optimal choice of  $\mu_t$ , which we will denote  $\mu_t^*$ :

$$0 = 2(P_{t|t}^{-1} + Q^{-1})\mu_t^* - 2P_{t|t}^{-1}\mu_{t|t} - 2Q^{-1}\mu_{t+1}$$

$$\mu_t^* = \arg\min_{\mu_t} [\cdots] = (P_{t|t}^{-1} + Q^{-1})^{-1}(P_{t|t}^{-1}\mu_{t|t} + Q^{-1}\mu_{t+1})$$
(18)

Substituting  $\mu_t^{\star}$  into (17) and applying some matrix inversion identities, we get:

$$J_{t+1|t}(\mu_{t+1}) = (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_{t|t})'(P_{t|t} + Q)^{-1}(\mu_{t+1} - \mu_{t|t})$$

To harmonize with the standard Kalman notation, let us introduce  $\mu_{t+1|t}$  and  $P_{t+1|t}$  such that:

$$J_{t+1|t}(\mu_{t+1}) = (\mu_{t+1} - \mu_{t+1|t})' P_{t+1|t}^{-1}(\mu_{t+1} - \mu_{t+1|t})$$

$$\mu_{t+1|t} = \mu_{t|t}$$
(19)

$$P_{t+1|t} = P_{t|t} + Q (20)$$

This corresponds to the Kalman filter "prediction" step.

Now let us shift our indexing up by one (so that t+1 becomes t and t becomes t-1) and then redefine (15) in terms of  $J_{t|t-1}$ :

$$J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = \min_{\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{t-1}\}} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{t} [\dots] \right]$$

$$= \tau_t u_t (y_t - \mu_t)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - \mu_t) + J_{t|t-1}(\mu_t)$$

$$= \tau_t u_t (y_t - \mu_t)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - \mu_t) + (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t-1})' P_{t|t-1}^{-1} (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t-1})$$

We can collect terms and ignore terms not involving  $\mu_t$ :

$$J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = \mu_t'(\tau_t u_t \Sigma^{-1} + P_{t|t-1}^{-1})\mu_t - 2\mu_t'(\tau_t u_t \Sigma^{-1} y_t + P_{t|t-1}^{-1}\mu_{t|t-1}) + \text{constants}$$

Completing the square and ignoring the additive constants, we get:

$$J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})' P_{t|t}^{-1}(\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})$$

$$P_{t|t} = \left(\tau_t u_t \Sigma^{-1} + P_{t|t-1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$
(21)

$$\mu_{t|t} = \left(\tau_t u_t \Sigma^{-1} + P_{t|t-1}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \left(\tau_t u_t \Sigma^{-1} y_t + P_{t|t-1}^{-1} \mu_{t|t-1}\right)$$
(22)

This corresponds to the Kalman filter "update" step. We can see that this update for  $J_{t|t}$  maintains the quadratic form we assumed in (16).

If a single time step has multiple observations  $y_t^{(i)}$  with corresponding  $\tau_t^{(i)}$ ,  $u_t^{(i)}$ , then equations (21) and (22) generalize to:

$$P_{t|t} = \left(\sum_{i} \left[\tau_{t}^{(i)} u_{t}^{(i)} \Sigma^{-1}\right] + P_{t|t-1}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\mu_{t|t} = P_{t|t} \left(\sum_{i} \left[\tau_{t}^{(i)} u_{t}^{(i)} \Sigma^{-1} y_{t}^{(i)}\right] + P_{t|t-1}^{-1} \mu_{t|t-1}\right)$$

We could also rewrite (21) and (22) in terms of the Kalman gain  $K_t$ :

$$K_t = P_{t|t-1} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_t u_t} \Sigma + P_{t|t-1} \right)^{-1}$$

$$P_{t|t} = (I - K_t) P_{t|t-1}$$

$$\mu_{t|t} = \mu_{t|t-1} + K_t (y_t - \mu_{t|t-1})$$

This is the more commonly-encountered form of the Kalman filter, but it is not as efficient in handling multiple observations per time step.

## 2.3 Kalman filter (forward pass) – summary

Our original problem was to find  $\{\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_T\}$  that minimizes the objective function (14):

minimize 
$$\sum_{\{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_T\}}^T \left[ \tau_t u_t (y_t - \mu_t)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - \mu_t) + (\mu_t - \mu_{t-1})' Q^{-1} (\mu_t - \mu_{t-1}) \right]$$

As we showed in the previous section, the Kalman filter computes a related function:

$$J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = \min_{\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{t-1}\}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \left[ \tau_s u_s (y_s - \mu_s)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_s - \mu_s) + (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1})' Q^{-1} (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1}) \right]$$

where  $J_{t|t}$  has a quadratic form:

$$J_{t|t}(\mu_t) = (\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})' P_{t|t}^{-1}(\mu_t - \mu_{t|t})$$

and the values for  $\mu_{t|t}$  and  $P_{t|t}$  are given by the recursive update:

$$P_{t|t} = \left(\tau_t u_t \Sigma^{-1} + (P_{t-1|t-1} + Q)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$
(23)

$$\mu_{t|t} = P_{t|t} \left( \tau_t u_t \Sigma^{-1} y_t + (P_{t-1|t-1} + Q)^{-1} \mu_{t-1|t-1} \right)$$
(24)

So far we have not yet talked about the initialization of this forward pass, i.e. defining  $P_{0|0}$  and  $\mu_{0|0}$ . We don't want  $\mu_{0|0}$  to affect our estimate because it's not part of our original log-likelihood function (10). So we can either set  $P_{0|0}$  to be very large (and then the value of  $\mu_{0|0}$  doesn't matter), or set  $\mu_{0|0}$  to be equal to  $\mu_{1|1}$ . The MoKsm code strives for the latter by setting  $\mu_{0|0}$  equal to  $\hat{\mu}_{1}$  from the previous EM iteration.

In the next section, we will show how the backwards pass uses  $\mu_{t|t}$  and  $P_{t|t}$  to determine  $\{\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_T\}$  that solve our original optimization problem.

## 2.4 Rauch-Tung-Striebel smoother (backwards pass)

The algorithm for the backwards pass is originally due to Rauch, Tung, and Striebel (1965).

Suppose we knew the values for  $\mu_{t+1}, \ldots, \mu_T$  that minimize our objective function, i.e.

$$\{\hat{\mu}_{t+1}, \dots, \hat{\mu}_T\} = \underset{\{\mu_{t+1}, \dots, \hat{\mu}_T\}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{s=1}^T \left[ \tau_s u_s (y_s - \mu_s)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_s - \mu_s) + (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1})' Q^{-1} (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1}) \right]$$

Our task now is to choose the optimal value for  $\mu_t$ . Since the optimal values for  $\mu_{t+1}, \ldots, \mu_T$  are known, we can treat them as constants:

$$\hat{\mu}_t = \arg\min_{\mu_t} \sum_{s=1}^T \left[ \tau_s u_s (y_s - \mu_s)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_s - \mu_s) + (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1})' Q^{-1} (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1}) \right]$$

$$= \arg\min_{\mu_t} \sum_{s=1}^t \left[ \tau_s u_s (y_s - \mu_s)' \Sigma^{-1} (y_s - \mu_s) + (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1})' Q^{-1} (\mu_s - \mu_{s-1}) \right]$$

$$+ (\hat{\mu}_{t+1} - \mu_t)' Q^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_{t+1} - \mu_t) + \text{constants}$$

This is an expression we've already encountered in equation (17). We've even determined the optimal value for  $\mu_t$  in (18):

$$\hat{\mu}_{t} = \arg\min_{\mu_{t}} \left[ J_{t|t}(\mu_{t}) + (\hat{\mu}_{t+1} - \mu_{t})' Q^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_{t+1} - \mu_{t}) \right]$$

$$= (P_{t|t}^{-1} + Q^{-1})^{-1} (P_{t|t}^{-1} \mu_{t|t} + Q^{-1} \hat{\mu}_{t+1})$$

$$= (I - P_{t|t} (P_{t|t} + Q)^{-1}) \mu_{t|t} + (I - Q(P_{t|t} + Q)^{-1}) \hat{\mu}_{t+1}$$

$$= \mu_{t|t} + P_{t|t} (P_{t|t} + Q)^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_{t+1} - \mu_{t|t})$$
(25)

To initialize the recursion, we note that  $J_{T|T}$  is in fact the overall objective function we are trying to minimize. So we start by setting:

$$\hat{\mu}_T = \arg\min_{\mu_T} J_{T|T}(\mu_T) = \mu_{T|T}$$
 (26)

And then use (25) to get the rest of  $\{\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_T\}$ . Repeating for each component k gives us our M-step update for  $\hat{\mu}_{kt}$ .