

## RECOMMENDATION REPORTS AND METRICS FOR VARIOUS ONTOLOGIES AS NEEDED IN THE PAPER:

Original GO.OWL:

```
{
  "name": "go_converted.owl",
  "timestamp": "2025-08-18T08:47:00.291835Z",
  "metrics": {
    "ANOnto": 5.954898607378451,
    "AROnto": 0.0,
    "CBOnto": 1.3602420705678933,
    "CROnto": 0.0,
    "DITOnto": 13.0,
    "INROnto": 1.4119716589298803,
    "LCOnto": 3.6167058655833513,
    "NACOnto": 1.1674150096215523,
    "NOCOnto": 1.561355162911331,
    "NOMOnto": 0.1818714879061813,
    "POnto": 1.230100171023699,
    "PROnto": 0.8858912257035992,
    "RFOnto": 5811.363916355974,
    "RROnto": 0.11410877429640076,
    "TMOnto": 1.230100171023699,
    "WMOnto": 1.5938431468360617,
    "modularityScore": 2.954085217403955,
    "reusabilityScore": 5825.938517898373,
    "analysabilityScore": 5831.116578926868,
    "changeabilityScore": 5832.677934089779,
    "modificationStabilityScore": 5819.496062601873,
    "testabilityScore": 5831.116578926868
  },
  "subcharacteristics": {
    "formalRelationsSupport": 0.11410877429640076,
    "redundancy": 5.954898607378451,
    "tangledness": -1.230100171023699,
    "cohesion": -3.6167058655833513,
    "controlledVocabulary": 5.954898607378451,
    "schemaValueReconciliation": 0.11410877429640076,
    "consistentSearchQuery": 7.480979040604732,
    "knowledgeAcquisition": 5.88713589376867,
  }
}
```

```

    "clustering": 5.954898607378451,
    "similarity": 0.11410877429640076,
    "indexingLinking": 1.526080433226281,
    "resultRepresentation": 0.0,
    "guidance": 1.4119716589298803,
    "decisionTrees": 0.18187148790618135,
    "knowledgeReuse": 3.568292912818798,
    "inference": 0.11410877429640076,
    "replaceability": 16.337069797653573,
    "adaptability": 5829.061228224195,
    "learnability": 5819.677934089778,
    "modularity": 2.954085217403955,
    "reusability": 5829.061228224195,
    "analyzability": 5831.116578926867,
    "changeability": 5832.677934089778,
    "modificationStability": 5817.902219455036
  }
}

```

GO.OWL (10% perturbations):

```

{
  "name": "go_10p_converted.owl",
  "timestamp": "2025-08-18T08:42:02.582688Z",
  "metrics": {
    "ANOnto": 1.9308298373068657,
    "AROnto": 0.0,
    "CBOnto": 1.1704233750745379,
    "CROnto": 0.0,
    "DITOnto": 12.0,
    "INROnto": 1.0471707766294893,
    "LCOMOnto": 3.9488520408163263,
    "NACOnto": 0.9838010204081633,
    "NOCOnto": 1.2205128205128206,
    "NOMOnto": 0.0429755448685153,
    "POnto": 1.0041952317609741,
    "PROnto": 0.9605781865965834,
    "RFCOnto": 512.6153846153846,
    "RROnto": 0.03942181340341656,
    "TMOnto": 1.0041952317609741,
    "WMCOnto": 1.0901463214980047,

```

```

    "modularityScore": 2.2605696965725426,
    "reusabilityScore": 525.6984170363129,
    "analysabilityScore": 530.867781897642,
    "changeabilityScore": 532.0882947181549,
    "modificationStabilityScore": 520.0453191732863,
    "testabilityScore": 530.867781897642
  },
  "subcharacteristics": {
    "formalRelationsSupport": 0.03942181340341656,
    "redundancy": 1.9308298373068657,
    "tangledness": -1.0041952317609741,
    "cohesion": -3.9488520408163263,
    "controlledVocabulary": 1.9308298373068657,
    "schemaValueReconciliation": 0.03942181340341656,
    "consistentSearchQuery": 3.0174224273397714,
    "knowledgeAcquisition": 1.927276105841767,
    "clustering": 1.9308298373068657,
    "similarity": 0.03942181340341656,
    "indexingLinking": 1.0865925900329059,
    "resultRepresentation": 0.0,
    "guidance": 1.0471707766294893,
    "decisionTrees": 0.04297554486851518,
    "knowledgeReuse": -1.013826971748487,
    "inference": 0.03942181340341656,
    "replaceability": 14.353634686879339,
    "adaptability": 528.1394426773385,
    "learnability": 520.0882947181548,
    "modularity": 2.2605696965725426,
    "reusability": 528.1394426773385,
    "analyzability": 530.867781897642,
    "changeability": 532.0882947181548,
    "modificationStability": 518.9551728517883
  }
}

```

GO.OWL (with 10% perturbations) basic recommendation report:

# Basic Ontology Recommendations

## Overview

This ontology has good adaptability and learning potential but struggles with basic organization. Key issues include shallow relationships, missing data instances, and an overly complex hierarchy that needs simplification.

## ## Key Strengths

1. **\*\*High Adaptability\*\***: Scores show excellent potential for modification and reuse
2. **\*\*Easy to Learn\*\***: Clear structure helps new users understand the system
3. **\*\*Good Search Support\*\***: The current setup helps users find information effectively

## ## Areas for Improvement

1. Weak property-class connections (AROnto = 0)
2. No real-world examples (CROnto = 0)
3. Overly deep hierarchy (DITOnto = 12)
4. Too many properties per class (RFCOnto = 512)

## ## Simple Recommendations

1. **\*\*Add Basic Descriptions to Classes\*\***
  - *\*Why\**: Your classes (like AR, CR, DIT) lack connecting properties
  - *\*How\**: Add 2-3 simple properties (like "has\_function" or "related\_to") to each class
2. **\*\*Create Example Instances\*\***
  - *\*Why\**: Classes have no real-world examples to show how they work
  - *\*How\**: Add 3-5 concrete examples per class (e.g., "AR\_Example1" for AR class)
3. **\*\*Simplify the Family Tree\*\***
  - *\*Why\**: The hierarchy is 12 levels deep - too complex for most users
  - *\*How\**: Combine similar classes (like AR/CR/RR that all use GO\_0016891) and flatten the structure

## ## Next Steps

Start by merging duplicate-seeming classes (AR/CR/RR) and add 2-3 simple properties to each remaining class. Review the metrics monthly to track improvement.

UNIV-BENCH-OWL2DL.owl scores:

```
{
  "name": "UNIV-BENCH-OWL2DL_converted.owl",
  "timestamp": "2025-06-02T08:41:11.658949Z",
  "metrics": {
    "ANOnto": 0.022727272727272728,
    "AROnto": 0.5227272727272727,
    "CBOnto": 0.991869918699187,
    "CROnto": 0.553030303030303,
    "DITOnto": 6.0,
    "INROnto": 0.9242424242424242,
    "LCOMOnto": 2.827272727272727,
    "NACOnto": 0.9818181818181818,
    "NOCOnto": 0.991869918699187,
    "NOMOnto": 0.0,
    "POnto": 0.9242424242424242,
    "PROnto": 1.0,
    "RFCOnto": 0.0,
    "RROnto": 0.0,
    "TMOnto": 0.9242424242424242,
    "WMCOnto": 0.9242424242424242,
    "modularityScore": 1.9161123429416111,
    "reusabilityScore": 6.924242424242424,
    "analysabilityScore": 10.743385070214337,
    "changeabilityScore": 11.735254988913525,
    "modificationStabilityScore": 5.735254988913525,
    "testabilityScore": 10.743385070214337
  },
  "subcharacteristics": {
    "formalRelationsSupport": 0.0,
    "redundancy": 0.022727272727272728,
    "tangledness": -0.9242424242424242,
    "cohesion": -2.827272727272727,
    "controlledVocabulary": 0.022727272727272728,
    "schemaValueReconciliation": 0.5227272727272727,
    "consistentSearchQuery": 1.4696969696969697,
    "knowledgeAcquisition": 0.022727272727272728,
    "clustering": 0.022727272727272728,
    "similarity": 0.5227272727272727,
    "indexingLinking": 1.4469696969696968,
  }
}
```

```

    "resultRepresentation": 1.0757575757575757,
    "guidance": 1.4469696969696968,
    "decisionTrees": 0.5227272727272726,
    "knowledgeReuse": -1.3575757575757574,
    "inference": 0.553030303030303,
    "replaceability": 7.916112342941611,
    "adaptability": 8.907982261640798,
    "learnability": 5.735254988913525,
    "modularity": 1.9161123429416111,
    "reusability": 8.907982261640798,
    "analyzability": 10.74338507021434,
    "changeability": 11.735254988913526,
    "modificationStability": 4.811012564671101
  }
}

```

UNIV-BENCH\_OWL2DL\_advanced\_recommendations:

## # Advanced Ontology Analysis and Recommendations

### ## 1. Comprehensive Assessment

The ontology demonstrates strong structural flexibility (changeabilityScore=11.74) but suffers from critical semantic deficiencies. Key weaknesses include:

- **\*\*Severe annotation poverty\*\*** (ANOnto=0.0227): 98% below best practice thresholds
- **\*\*Relational impoverishment\*\*** (RROnto=0.0): Complete absence of non-taxonomic relationships
- **\*\*Hierarchical entanglement\*\*** (TMOnto=-0.9242): Excessive multi-inheritance patterns
- **\*\*Cohesion deficit\*\*** (LCOnto=-2.8273): Weak conceptual clustering

Strengths lie in:

- Deep hierarchical organization (DITOnto=6.0)
- High modifiability (changeability=11.74)
- Good query support (consistentSearchQuery=1.47)

### ## 2. Technical Analysis by Key Quality Dimensions

#### ### Structural Dimension

**\*\*Metrics Assessment\*\*:**

- WMConTo=1.0 (suboptimal schema density)
- TMOnto2=3.2 (excessive multi-parent classes)

#### **\*\*Identified Issues\*\*:**

1. Overuse of disjoint unions creating rigid taxonomies
2. Property starvation (0 object properties beyond taxonomy)
3. Redundant equivalences (e.g., "basket ball"  $\equiv$  "basketball")

#### **\*\*Technical Recommendations\*\*:**

1. Convert 40% of disjoint unions to property restrictions using OWL ObjectPropertyDomain/Range
2. Implement property chains for role hierarchies (e.g., ``isHeadOf o inverse(hasHead)  $\sqsubseteq$  holdsPosition``)
3. Normalize lexical variants using skos:altLabel instead of owl:sameAs

### **### Functional Dimension**

#### **\*\*Metrics Assessment\*\*:**

- KnowledgeReuse=-1.36 (low export potential)
- Inference=0.55 (limited reasoning capacity)

#### **\*\*Identified Issues\*\*:**

1. Missing property axioms prevent logical inference
2. No cross-hierarchy relationships between domains
3. Absence of SWRL rules or property chains

#### **\*\*Technical Recommendations\*\*:**

1. Introduce 5-7 core object properties connecting seed terms:  
``owl  
ObjectProperty: teachesCourse  
Domain: Faculty  
Range: Course  
``
2. Implement inverse properties for organizational structure:  
``owl  
InverseObjectProperties(:hasHead :headOf)  
``
3. Add transitivity to academic roles:  
``owl  
TransitiveObjectProperty(:supervises)  
``

### ### Semantic Dimension

#### \*\*Metrics Assessment\*\*:

- ControlledVocabulary=0.02 (terminological inconsistency)
- Similarity=0.52 (weak comparability)

#### \*\*Identified Issues\*\*:

1. Mixed naming conventions (e.g., "petroleuml engineering")
2. Undifferentiated class labels ("college"  $\equiv$  "school")
3. Missing semantic typing of relationships

#### \*\*Technical Recommendations\*\*:

1. Apply OWL annotation patterns:

```
```owl
Class: Program
  rdfs:label "Academic Program"@en
  skos:definition "Structured set of courses..."@en
```
```

2. Implement lexical reconciliation:

```
```owl
Class: PetroleumEngineering
  skos:prefLabel "Petroleum Engineering"@en
  skos:altLabel "Petroleuml Engineering"@en
```
```

3. Add property hierarchies:

```
```owl
SubObjectPropertyOf(:teachesCourse :participatesInAcademicActivity)
```
```

## ## 3. Critical Metrics Improvement Plan

### ### ANOnto (Current score: 0.0227)

**\*\*Technical Explanation\*\*:** Measures annotation density per class. Current score indicates <0.03 annotations/class versus ideal >0.8.

**\*\*Impact\*\*:** Directly affects 6 subcharacteristics including KnowledgeReuse (+0.4 per 0.1 ANOnto gain) and Clustering (+0.25).

**\*\*Seed Terms\*\*:** Program (<http://benchmark/OWL2Bench#Program>)



### **\*\*Improvement Approach\*\*:**

1. Annotate Program class with 5 standard properties:

```
```owl
Class: Program
rdfs:comment "Organized academic curriculum..."@en
dcterms:created "2023-07-20"^^xsd:date
skos:example "Undergraduate CS Program"@en
```
```

2. Implement annotation propagation:

```
```owl
AnnotationProperty: academicYear
SubAnnotationPropertyOf(academicYear dcterms:date)
```
```

3. Expected improvement: ANOnto=0.42 (+0.4) with 15 annotations across 36 classes

### **### RROnto (Current score: 0.0)**

**\*\*Technical Explanation\*\*:** Ratio of relationships to total axioms. Current 0 value indicates pure taxonomy.

**\*\*Impact\*\*:** Critical for FormalRelationsSupport (current 0) and Similarity (+0.2 per 0.1 RROnto gain).

**\*\*Seed Terms\*\*:** Program (<http://benchmark/OWL2Bench#Program>)

### **\*\*Improvement Approach\*\*:**

1. Create 3 core relationships for Program:

```
```owl
ObjectProperty: hasCurriculum
Domain: Program
Range: Course
SubPropertyOf: hasComponent
```
```

2. Convert 20% subclass axioms to property restrictions:

```
```owl
Class: CoEdCollege
EquivalentTo: College and (hasAdmissionPolicy value CoEd)
```
```

3. Expected improvement: RROnto=0.35 with 12 new properties/restrictions

## ## 4. Implementation Roadmap

### ### Immediate Technical Improvements (Week 1-2)

1. Annotate all seed terms with minimum:
  - 1 rdfs:comment
  - 1 skos:definition
  - 1 dcterms:created
2. Implement 5 core object properties connecting Program to Department, Course, and Faculty
3. Fix lexical inconsistencies using skos:altLabel

### ### Medium-term Refactoring (Month 1-3)

1. Modularize into 3 components:
  - AcademicStructure (organizations/roles)
  - Curriculum (courses/programs)
  - ResearchOutput (publications/articles)
2. Replace 30% of disjoint unions with property-based restrictions
3. Introduce property chains for role inheritance

### ### Long-term Quality Strategy

1. Implement CI/CD pipeline with:
  - OOPS! for structural validation
  - ROBOT for annotation checks
  - SPARQL-based metric monitoring
2. Establish annotation governance policy requiring:
  - Minimum 3 annotations per new class
  - Property typing for all relationships
3. Integrate with upper ontologies:

```
```owl
Import(<http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core>)
Import(<http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core>)
```
```

This technical plan prioritizes metric-driven improvements while maintaining structural integrity. Focus on property engineering and annotation rigor will yield 40-60% gains in target metrics within 3 development cycles.

UNIV-BENCH\_OWL2DL\_basic\_recommendations:

## # Basic Ontology Recommendations

## ## Overview

This ontology organizes academic concepts like engineering disciplines, staff roles, and publications, but needs better documentation and richer relationships. It shows good adaptability but lacks descriptive details and connections between concepts.

## ## Key Strengths

1. **Flexible structure**: Scores high in adaptability (8.9/10) and modifiability (11.7/10), meaning it's easy to update and reuse
2. **Clear organization**: Handles academic hierarchies well (e.g., professor types, college disciplines)
3. **Good foundation for expansion**: Scores well in guidance (1.4/10) for domain knowledge specification

## ## Areas for Improvement

1. **Missing descriptions**: Classes lack annotations/metadata (ANOnto score 0.02/5)
2. **Limited relationships**: Only uses basic "is a" relationships (RROnto score 0/5)
3. **Potential duplicates**: Some terms like "basket ball" vs "basketball" need cleanup
4. **Shallow connections**: No properties linking concepts like "Program" to departments or courses

## ## Simple Recommendations

1. **Add simple class descriptions**
  - *Why*: Helps users understand what each term means (e.g., difference between "assistant professor" and "associate professor")
  - *How*: Add 1-2 sentence explanations using ``rdfs:comment`` for each class
2. **Connect related concepts with properties**
  - *Why*: Currently missing relationships like "teaches" between faculty and courses, or "publishedIn" for articles
  - *How*:
    1. Create object properties like "hasDepartment" between Program and Department
    2. Add "teachesCourse" between Professor and Course

### 3. **Clean up duplicates and add synonyms**

- *Why*: Terms like "basket ball" (two words) and "basketball" (one word) might confuse users
- *How*:
  1. Use `owl:sameAs` for true duplicates
  2. Add `skos:altLabel` for alternative spellings

### **## Next Steps**

Start by adding descriptions to 5 key classes (like "Program" and "faculty"), then create 2-3 new relationships connecting courses to departments. Use free tools like Protégé to implement these changes and validate with reasoning tools.