

Generalised Attractors in Five Dimensional Gauged Supergravity

Karthik Inbasekar

Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai

Indian Strings Meeting 2012

based on

[arXiv:1206.3887](#) with Prasanta K. Tripathy

Plan of the talk

Introduction

Background

Motivation

Our work

Gauged Sugra and Generalised Attractors

Gauged Supergravity

Generalised Attractors

Examples

Some Bianchi attractors

Summary

Summary

Comments and Caveats

Future Outlook

Introduction

Background

Motivation

Our work

Gauged Sugra & Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

- **Attractor mechanism** plays a crucial role in understanding the origin of **black hole entropy** in supergravity theories. [Ferrara-Kallosch-Strominger]
- **Moduli** fields in black hole background are attracted to specific **charge dependent** values on the horizon.
- Attractor values are determined by solving sets of **algebraic equations**.
- **Macroscopic entropy** is determined in terms of charges - independent of **asymptotic values of moduli**.
- **Agrees** with **microscopic** results in string theory.

- **Attractor mechanism** is a consequence of **near horizon geometry** rather than supersymmetry.

[Ferrara-Gibbons-Kalosh]

- Extends to **non-supersymmetric** cases.

[Goldstein-Iizuka-Jena-Trivedi]

- Recently, Attractor mechanism generalised for $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 4$ gauged supergravity.

[Cacciatori-Klemm, Kachru-Kalosh-Shmakova]

- **Generalised attractors**: solutions to equations of motion that reduce to algebraic equations when all the fields, curvature tensors are constants in tangent space.

Introduction:

- Lifshitz, Schrödinger geometries are some examples of generalised attractors.
- Such geometries are **near horizon geometries of extremal black branes**.

[Goldstein-Kachru-Prakash-Trivedi]

- **Bianchi attractors**: Classification of **homogeneous anisotropic extremal black brane horizons** in $d = 5$.

[Iizuka-Kachru-Kundu-Narayan-Sircar-Trivedi]

- Lifshitz, Schrödinger geometries belong to Bianchi Type I in the classification.

Introduction

Background

Motivation

Our work

Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

Motivation: Why Bianchi type metrics?

- In gauge/gravity correspondence, black branes are **holographic duals** to field theories at finite temperature.
- **Extremal** branes exhibit vanishing entropy density at zero temperature and describe ground states of the field theory.
- Bianchi type metrics are **homogeneous**, and have been studied recently in the context of AdS/CFT.
[Domokos-Harvey, Nakamura-Ooguri-Park, Donos-Hartnoll]
- Embedding these metrics in supergravity will be useful to study their supersymmetry properties and pave way for string embedding.

Introduction

Background

Motivation

Our work

Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

Motivation: Why gauged sugra?

- Bianchi attractors arise in 5d Einstein-Maxwell systems with **massive gauge fields**.

- Explicit mass terms break SUSY and are not allowed in SUGRA.

- Typical scalar kinetic term of Gauged supergravities,

$$g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}}\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi^{\tilde{x}}\mathcal{D}^\mu\phi^{\tilde{y}}; \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu\phi^{\tilde{x}} \equiv \partial_\mu\phi^{\tilde{x}} + gA_\mu^I K_I^{\tilde{x}}(\phi).$$

- At **attractor** points **scalars are constant**, terms like

$$g^2 g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} K_J^{\tilde{y}} A_\mu^I A^{J\mu}$$

act as **effective mass term** for the **gauge field**.

- Gauged sugras (not all) : from string theory via flux compactifications.

[Introduction](#)
[Background](#)
[Motivation](#)
[Our work](#)
[Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors](#)
[Examples](#)
[Summary](#)

- We **extend** the work of [Kachru-Kalosh-Shmakova] to $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ gauged supergravity.
- We show that **homogeneous anisotropic extremal black brane horizons** are **generalised attractor** solutions of **gauged supergravity**.
- Examples: Using a simple gauged sugra model we realise **Bianchi Type I** ($z = 3$ Lifshitz), **Bianchi Type II** and **Bianchi Type VI** solutions as **generalised attractors**.

Gauged SUGRA and Generalised Attractors

Attractors in
Gauged SUGRA

Introduction

Background

Motivation

Our work

Gauged SUGRA and Generalised Attractors

Gauged Supergravity

Generalised Attractors

Examples

Some Bianchi attractors

Summary

Summary

Comments and Caveats

Future Outlook

Introduction

Gauged SUGRA & Gen. Attractors

Gauged Supergravity

Gauging

Lagrangian

Potential

Generalised Attractors

Attractor Potential

Examples

Summary

- The most general $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ gauged sugra has gravity coupled to vector, tensor and hypermultiplets.

[Ceresole-Dall'Agata]

- The scalars in the theory parametrise a manifold that factorises into a direct product of a very special and quaternionic manifold,

$$\mathcal{M}_{scalar} = \mathcal{S}(n_V + n_T) \otimes \mathcal{Q}(n_H).$$

- The R symmetry group is $SU(2)_R$.

Gauged SUGRA: Gauging the Symmetries

- Gauging: Suitable subgroup K of the isometry group G of the full scalar manifold \mathcal{M}_{scalar} , and the $SU(2)_R$ symmetry group.
- Ordinary derivatives on scalar and fermions are replaced with K -covariant derivatives.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu \phi^{\tilde{X}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mu \phi^{\tilde{X}} \equiv \partial_\mu \phi^{\tilde{X}} + g A_\mu^I K_I^{\tilde{X}}(\phi) \\ \partial_\mu q^X &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mu q^X \equiv \partial_\mu q^X + g A_\mu^I K_I^X(q) \\ \nabla_\mu B_{\nu\rho}^M &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mu B_{\nu\rho}^M \equiv \nabla_\mu B_{\nu\rho}^M + g A_\mu^I \Lambda_{IN}^M B_{\nu\rho}^N,\end{aligned}$$

- Gauging the $SU(2)_R$ Symmetry:

$$\nabla_\mu \psi_{\nu i} \rightarrow \nabla_\mu \psi_{\nu i} + g R A_\mu^I P_{Ii}^{j}(q) \psi_{\nu j}.$$

Introduction

 Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors

Gauged Supergravity

Gauging

Lagrangian

Potential

Generalised Attractors

Attractor Potential

Examples

Summary

Gauged Sugra: Lagrangian

The bosonic part of the five dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{e}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{Bosonic}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = & -\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{4}a_{\tilde{I}\tilde{J}}\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\tilde{I}}\mathcal{H}^{\tilde{J}\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{XY}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}q^X\mathcal{D}^{\mu}q^Y \\ & - \frac{1}{2}g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\phi^{\tilde{x}}\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\phi^{\tilde{y}} + \frac{\hat{e}^{-1}}{6\sqrt{6}}C_{IJK}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}F_{\mu\nu}^IF_{\rho\sigma}^JA_{\tau}^K \\ & + \frac{\hat{e}^{-1}}{4g}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}\Omega_{MN}B_{\mu\nu}^MB_{\rho\sigma}^N\mathcal{D}_{\tau}B_{\sigma\tau}^N - \mathcal{V}(\phi, q).\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\tilde{I}} = (F_{\mu\nu}^I, B_{\mu\nu}^M), \quad \mu = 0, \dots, 4$$

$$M = 1, \dots, n_T, \quad I = 0, 1, \dots, n_V$$

$$\tilde{x} = 0, 1, \dots, n_V + n_T, \quad X = 1, 2, \dots, 4n_H.$$

Gauged Sugra: Potential and fermionic shifts

$$\mathcal{V}(\phi, q) = 2g^2 W^{\tilde{a}} W^{\tilde{a}} - g_R^2 [2P_{ij} P^{ij} - P_{ij}^{\tilde{a}} P^{\tilde{a}ij}] + 2g^2 \mathcal{N}_{iA} \mathcal{N}^{iA}$$

$$P_{ij} \equiv h^I P_{Iij},$$

$$P_{ij}^{\tilde{a}} \equiv h^{\tilde{a}I} P_{Iij}$$

$$W^{\tilde{a}} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} h^I K_I^{\tilde{x}} f_{\tilde{x}}^{\tilde{a}},$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{iA} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} h^I K_I^X f_X^{Ai}.$$

Bosonic part of supersymmetry transformations:

$$\delta_\epsilon \psi_{\mu i} = \sqrt{6} \nabla_\mu \epsilon_i + \frac{i}{4} h_{\tilde{I}} (\gamma_{\mu\nu\rho} \epsilon_i - 4 g_{\mu\nu} \gamma_\rho \epsilon_i) \mathcal{H}^{\nu\rho\tilde{I}} + i g_R P_{ij} \gamma_\mu \epsilon^j$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \lambda_i^{\tilde{a}} = -\frac{i}{2} f_{\tilde{x}}^{\tilde{a}} \gamma^\mu \epsilon_i \mathcal{D}_\mu \phi^{\tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{4} h_{\tilde{I}}^{\tilde{a}} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_i \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{\tilde{I}} + g_R P_{ij}^{\tilde{a}} \epsilon^j + g W^{\tilde{a}} \epsilon_i$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \zeta^A = -\frac{i}{2} f_{iX}^A \gamma^\mu \epsilon^i \mathcal{D}_\mu q^X + g \mathcal{N}_i^A \epsilon^i.$$

The **potential** can be written as **squares** of **fermionic shifts**.

Generalised Attractors: Definition

Ansatz:

- In tangent space, all the **bosonic fields** in the theory take **constant** values at the **attractor points**.

$$\phi^{\tilde{z}} = \text{const} ; q^Z = \text{const} ; A_a^I = \text{const} ;$$

$$B_{ab}^M = \text{const} ; c_{bc}^a = \text{const}.$$

- The **attractor geometries** are characterised by **constant anholonomy** coefficients.

$$[e_a, e_b] = c_{ab}^c e_c ; \quad e_a \equiv e_a^\mu \partial_\mu$$

$$c_{ab}^c = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu (\partial_\mu e_\nu^c - \partial_\nu e_\mu^c)$$

Introduction

 Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors

Gauged Supergravity

Gauging

Lagrangian

Potential

Generalised Attractors

Attractor Potential

Examples

Summary

- Gauge field, Tensor field and Einstein equations reduce to **algebraic equations** at the **attractor point**.
- Scalar field equations reduce to a minimisation condition on an **attractor potential**.
- The **attractor potential** is also independently constructed from **squares of fermionic shifts**.
- **Constant anholonomy** \Rightarrow **regular** geometries.

Using attractor ansatz,

- Equation of motion for $\phi^{\tilde{x}}$ reduces to,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{\tilde{z}}} \left[\mathcal{V}(\phi, q) + \frac{1}{2} g^2 g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} K_J^{\tilde{y}} A^{Ia} A_a^J + \frac{1}{4} a_{\tilde{I}\tilde{J}} \mathcal{H}_{ab}^{\tilde{I}} \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{J}ab} \right] = 0.$$

- Equation of motion for q^Z reduces to,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q^Z} \left[\mathcal{V}(\phi, q) + \frac{1}{2} g^2 g_{XY} K_I^X K_J^Y A^{aI} A_a^J \right] = 0.$$

- Scalar field equations reduce to an extremisation condition on an attractor potential.

$$\mathcal{V}_{attr}(\phi, q) = \left[\mathcal{V}(\phi, q) + \frac{1}{4} a_{\tilde{I}\tilde{J}} \mathcal{H}_{ab}^{\tilde{I}} \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{J}ab} + \frac{1}{2} g^2 [g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} K_J^{\tilde{y}} + g_{XY} K_I^X K_J^Y] A^{Ia} A_a^J \right]$$

- The attractor potential gives rise to the attractor values of the scalars upon extremisation.

- Susy transformations at attractor points:

$$\delta\psi_{ai} = \sqrt{6}D_a\epsilon_i + (\Sigma_{i|j})^{bc}(\gamma_{abc} - 4\eta_{ab}\gamma_c)\epsilon^j + \gamma_a S_{ij}\epsilon^j$$

$$\delta\lambda_i^{\tilde{a}} = \Sigma_{i|j}^{\tilde{a}}\epsilon^j + (\Sigma_{i|j}^{\tilde{a}})^a\gamma_a\epsilon^j + (\Sigma_{i|j}^{\tilde{a}})^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\epsilon^j$$

$$\delta\zeta^A = (\Sigma_{|j}^A)\epsilon^j + (\Sigma_{|j}^A)^a\gamma_a\epsilon^j$$

- Generalised Fermion shifts:

$$\Sigma_{i|j}^{\tilde{a}} = g_R P_{ij}^{\tilde{a}} - g W^{\tilde{a}} \epsilon_{ij} \quad ; \quad (\Sigma_{|j}^A) = g \mathcal{N}_j^A$$

$$(\Sigma_{i|j}^{\tilde{a}})^a = \frac{i}{2} g f_{\tilde{x}}^{\tilde{a}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} A^{Ia} \epsilon_{ij} \quad ; \quad (\Sigma_{|j}^A)^a = -\frac{i}{2} g f_{jX}^A K_I^X A^{Ia}$$

$$(\Sigma_{i|j}^{\tilde{a}})^{ab} = -\frac{1}{4} h_{\tilde{I}}^{\tilde{a}} \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{I}ab} \epsilon_{ij} \quad ; \quad (\Sigma_{i|j})^{bc} = -\frac{i}{4} h_{\tilde{I}} \mathcal{H}^{bc\tilde{I}} \epsilon_{ij}$$

$$S_{ij} = i g_R P_{ij}$$

Introduction

Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors

Gauged Supergravity

Gauging

Lagrangian

Potential

Generalised Attractors

Attractor Potential

Examples

Summary

Attractor Potential from fermion shifts

- The **attractor potential** can be constructed independently from **squares of fermionic shifts**

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\mathcal{V}_{attr} \frac{\epsilon^I{}_k}{4} = & \bar{S}^i{}_k S_i{}^I - \epsilon^{IJ} \left\{ [(\overline{\Sigma^A}{}_{|k})(\Sigma_{A|j}) + \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\Sigma^{\tilde{a}i}}{}_{|k})(\Sigma^{\tilde{a}}{}_{i|j})] \right. \\
 & + [(\overline{\Sigma^A}{}_{|k})_a(\Sigma_{A|j})^a + \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\Sigma^{\tilde{a}i}}{}_{|k})_a(\Sigma^{\tilde{a}}{}_{i|j})^a] \\
 & \left. + [(\overline{\Sigma^i}{}_{|k})_{ab}(\Sigma_{i|j})^{ab} + (\overline{\Sigma^{\tilde{a}i}}{}_{|k})_{ab}(\Sigma^{\tilde{a}}{}_{i|j})^{ab}] \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

which can be shown to reproduce,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{V}_{attr}(\phi, q) = & \left[\mathcal{V}(\phi, q) + \frac{1}{4} a_{\tilde{I}\tilde{J}} \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{I}}{}_{ab} \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{J}ab} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{2} g^2 [g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K^{\tilde{x}}{}_I K^{\tilde{y}}{}_J + g_{XY} K^X{}_I K^Y{}_J] A^{Ia} A^J{}_a \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

[Introduction](#)
[Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors](#)
[Gauged Supergravity](#)
[Gauging](#)
[Lagrangian](#)
[Potential](#)
[Generalised Attractors](#)
[Attractor Potential](#)
[Examples](#)
[Summary](#)

Examples

Attractors in
Gauged Sugra

Introduction

Background

Motivation

Our work

Introduction

Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Some Bianchi
attractors

Summary

Gauged Sugra and Generalised Attractors

Gauged Supergravity

Generalised Attractors

Examples

Some Bianchi attractors

Summary

Summary

Comments and Caveats

Future Outlook

Bianchi Attractors in a simple gauged sugra model

Introduction

Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Some Bianchi
attractors

Summary

- For illustration, take a gauged supergravity model with one vector and two tensor multiplets.

[Gunaydin-Zagernann]

- Within this model, we realise a $z = 3$ Lifshitz solution, a Bianchi Type II and a Bianchi Type VI solution as attractors.
- The other Bianchi type metrics can be realised as attractor solutions of more generic gauged supergravity models.

Model dependent data

[Introduction](#)
[Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors](#)
[Examples](#)
[Some Bianchi
attractors](#)
[Summary](#)

- Moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}_{scalar} = SO(1,1) \times \frac{SO(2,1)}{SO(2)}.$$

- Metric on moduli space $g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}}, a_{\tilde{I}\tilde{J}}$.
- Gauging: $SO(2)$ subgroup of G using a single vector A^0 (graviphoton).
- R-Symmetry: $A_\mu[U(1)_R] = A_\mu^0 V_0 + A_\mu^1 V_1$

Model dependent data

- Potential:

$$\mathcal{V}(\phi) = \frac{g^2}{8} \left[\frac{[(\phi^2)^2 + (\phi^3)^2]}{||\phi||^6} \right] - 2g_R^2 \left[2\sqrt{2} \frac{\phi^1}{||\phi||^2} V_0 V_1 + ||\phi||^2 V_1^2 \right].$$

- Conditions for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry and AdS vacuum:

$$\phi^2 = 0, \quad \phi^3 = 0, \quad \phi_c^1 = \left(\sqrt{2} \frac{V_0}{V_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad V_0 V_1 > 0, \quad 32 \frac{g_R^2}{g^2} V_0^2 \leq 1.$$

- potential evaluated at these values gives the AdS cosmological constant $\mathcal{V}_{AdS} = -6g_R^2 (\phi_c^1)^2 V_1^2$.
- Bianchi attractors exist for values of scalars for which the theory would also have an *AdS* Vacuum.

- Take metric ansatz: Bianchi types,
- gauge field ansatz: time like gauge field

$$A^t = e_a^t A^a = \frac{1}{Lr^u} A^0$$

- Set all tensor fields $B_{\mu\nu}^M$ to zero!
- Use the generalised attractor procedure and solve the algebraic field equations!

Bianchi Type I - Lifshitz

Bianchi Type I specified by gauging parameters g, V_0, V_1 .

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left[-r^{2u} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + r^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \right]$$

$$e_2 = \partial_x \quad e_3 = \partial_y \quad e_4 = \partial_z$$

$$[e_2, e_3] = 0 \quad [e_2, e_4] = 0 \quad [e_3, e_4] = 0$$

$$u = 3; \quad A^t = \frac{1}{Lr^u} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{(\phi_c^1)^2}; \quad L = \sqrt{3} \frac{(\phi_c^1)^4}{g};$$

$$\phi_c^1 = \left(\sqrt{2} \frac{V_0}{V_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}; \quad V_0 V_1 > 0; \quad \frac{32}{3(\phi_c^1)^4} \leq 1.$$

Bianchi Type II

Bianchi Type II specified by gauging parameters g, V_0, V_1 .

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left[-r^{2u} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + r^{2w} dx^2 + r^{2(v+w)} dy^2 \right. \\ \left. - 2xr^{2(v+w)} dydx + [r^{2(v+w)} x^2 + r^{2v}] dz^2 \right]$$

$$e_2 = \partial_x \quad e_3 = \partial_y \quad e_4 = x\partial_y + \partial_z$$

$$[e_2, e_4] = e_3 \quad [e_2, e_3] = 0 \quad [e_3, e_4] = 0$$

$$u = \sqrt{2}; \quad v = w = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}};$$

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{(\phi_c^1)^4}{g}; \quad A^t = \frac{1}{Lr^u} \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}} \frac{1}{(\phi_c^1)^2};$$

$$\phi_c^1 = \left(\sqrt{2} \frac{V_0}{V_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}; \quad V_0 V_1 > 0; \quad \frac{23}{2(\phi_c^1)^4} \leq 1.$$

Bianchi Type VI

Bianchi Type VI specified by gauging parameters g, V_0, V_1 and h

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left[-r^{2u} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + dx^2 + e^{-2x} r^{2v} dy^2 + e^{-2hx} r^{2w} dz^2 \right]$$

$$e_2 = \partial_x \quad e_3 = e^x \partial_y \quad e_4 = e^{hx} \partial_z$$

$$[e_2, e_3] = e_3 \quad [e_2, e_4] = h e_4 \quad [e_3, e_4] = 0$$

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-h); \quad v = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}h; \quad w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \quad L = \frac{(\phi_c^1)^4}{\sqrt{6}g}(1-h);$$

$$A^t = \frac{1}{Lr^u} \sqrt{\frac{-2h}{(-1+h)^2}} \frac{1}{(\phi_c^1)^2}; \quad h < 0; \quad h \neq 0, 1;$$

$$\phi_c^1 = \left(\sqrt{2} \frac{V_0}{V_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}; \quad V_0 V_1 > 0; \quad \frac{8(3-h+3h^2)}{(\phi_c^1)^4(-1+h)^2} \leq 1$$

Introduction

- Background
- Motivation
- Our work

Gauged Sugra and Generalised Attractors

- Gauged Supergravity
- Generalised Attractors

Examples

- Some Bianchi attractors

Summary

- Summary
- Comments and Caveats
- Future Outlook

Introduction

Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

- Summary
- Comments and
Caveats
- Future Outlook

Summary

- We studied the **generalised attractors** in $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ **gauged supergravity**.
- Generalised attractors are defined by constant anholonomy, constant gauge fields, constant tensor fields and constant scalars at the attractor points.
- Gauge field, Tensor field and Einstein equations reduce to **algebraic equations** at the **attractor point**.
- **Scalar field equations** reduce to a **minimisation condition** on an **attractor potential**.
- The **attractor potential** is also independently constructed from **squares of fermionic shifts**.

[Introduction](#)[Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors](#)[Examples](#)[Summary](#)[Summary](#)[Comments and
Caveats](#)[Future Outlook](#)

- The **attractor geometries** are characterised by **constant anholonomy** coefficients.
- We showed that **near horizon geometries** of **homogeneous extremal black branes** are **generalised attractor** solutions of **gauged supergravity**.
- Examples: Using a simple gauged sugra model, we realise a **$z = 3$ Lifshitz** solution, a **Bianchi Type II** and a **Bianchi Type VI** solution as **attractors**.
- Other Bianchi type metrics can be realised as attractor solutions of more generic gauged supergravity models.

Comments and Caveats

- **Topological terms:** Chern-Simons, Tensor fields **do not contribute**.
- Bianchi type V and type III metrics which are limiting cases of type VI do not seem to be valid attractors of the gauged supergravity model considered here.
- Caution: **Attractor equations, attractor geometries** in black hole case exist at local minima of potential. Here they exist at **critical points**.
- So far, we have considered only abelian gauging, Non abelian gauging will impose further restrictions on the parameters V_I .

[Introduction](#)[Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors](#)[Examples](#)[Summary](#)[Summary](#)[Comments and
Caveats](#)[Future Outlook](#)

Future Outlook

- **Completion:** Find suitable models to embed rest of the Bianchi metrics.
- **Stability:** Several Bianchi attractors exist for same range of parameters even in the gauged supergravity embedding. Is there a stability criteria ?
- **String embedding:** String theory/M-theory compactification to obtain a suitable gauged supergravity.
- **Applications:** Field theory duals of all Bianchi attractors.
- **Entropy function:** Can generalised attractors be understood from entropy function ?

Introduction

Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

Summary

Comments and
Caveats

Future Outlook

Useful References:

- Gauged sugra reviews: [hep-th/9605032](#), [hep-th/0102114](#)
- 5d gauged sugra models: [hep-th/9912027](#), [hep-th/0002228](#)
- Generalised attractors: [1104.2884](#), [1206.3887](#).
- Bianchi metrics: [Homogeneous Relativistic Cosmologies - Shepley, 1201.4861](#).

Thank You!

Introduction

Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

Summary
Comments and
Caveats

Future Outlook

Gauge field equation

- Since $c_{ab}{}^c = \text{const}$,

$$F_{ab} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu (\partial_\mu e_\nu^c - \partial_\nu e_\mu^c) A_c = c_{ab}{}^c A_c$$

- The Gauge field equation of motion,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu (\hat{e} a_{I\tilde{J}} \mathcal{H}^{\tilde{J}\mu\nu}) = & - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} C_{I\tilde{J}\tilde{K}} \epsilon^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma\tau} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\rho}^{\tilde{J}} \mathcal{H}_{\sigma\tau}^{\tilde{K}} \\ & + g \hat{e} [g_{XY} K_I^X \mathcal{D}^\nu q^Y + g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} \mathcal{D}^\nu \phi^{\tilde{y}}] \end{aligned}$$

in tangent space, is an algebraic equation at the attractor points

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e} a_{I\tilde{J}} [\omega_{a, c}^a \mathcal{H}^{cb\tilde{J}} + \omega_{a, c}^b \mathcal{H}^{ac\tilde{J}}] = & - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} C_{I\tilde{J}\tilde{K}} \epsilon^{bacde} \mathcal{H}_{ac}^{\tilde{J}} \mathcal{H}_{de}^{\tilde{K}} \\ & + g^2 \hat{e} [g_{XY} K_I^X K_J^Y \\ & + g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} K_J^{\tilde{y}}] A^{Jb}. \end{aligned}$$

Tensor field equation

- The tensor field equation is,

$$\frac{1}{g}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau}\Omega_{MP}\mathcal{D}_\rho B_{\mu\nu}^M + \hat{e}a_{\tilde{I}P}\mathcal{H}^{\tilde{I}\sigma\tau} = 0.$$

- In tangent space,

$$\frac{1}{g}\epsilon^{abcde}\left[c_{ac}{}^f B_{fb}^M + gA_c^I\Lambda_{IN}^M B_{ab}^N\right]\Omega_{MP} + \hat{e}a_{\tilde{I}P}\mathcal{H}^{\tilde{I}de} = 0.$$

is an algebraic equation at the attractor points,

Einstein equation

- The Einstein equation at the attractor point:

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}R\eta_{ab} = T_{ab}^{attr}$$

- In the absence of torsion, The left handside is algebraic:

$$R_{abc}{}^d = \partial_a \omega_{bc}{}^d - \partial_b \omega_{ac}{}^d - \omega_{ac}{}^e \omega_{be}{}^d + \omega_{bc}{}^e \omega_{ae}{}^d - c_{ab}{}^e \omega_{ec}{}^d$$

$$\omega_{a,bc} = \frac{1}{2}[c_{ab,c} - c_{ac,b} - c_{bc,a}]$$

- The stress energy tensor at the attractor point:

$$T_{ab}^{attr} = \mathcal{V}_{attr}(\phi, q)\eta_{ab} - \left[a_{\tilde{I}\tilde{J}} \mathcal{H}_{ac}^{\tilde{I}} \mathcal{H}_b^{\tilde{J}} + g^2 [g_{XY} K_I^X K_J^Y + g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} K_J^{\tilde{y}}] A_a^I A_b^J \right].$$

- The Einstein equations are algebraic at the attractor points.

Killing spinor integrability conditions

- KSI expressible in terms of **fermionic shifts**. Defining

$$M_{abc} = \gamma_{abc} - 4\eta_{ab}\gamma_c,$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4}R_{ae}{}^{cd}\gamma_{cd}\epsilon_i &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\Sigma_{i|j})^{fc}[\omega_{a, f}{}^b M_{e[bc]} - \omega_{e, f}{}^b M_{a[bc]}\epsilon^j \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}\left\{[(\Sigma_{i|j})^{bc}M_{abc} + \gamma_a S_{ij}][(\Sigma_{k|l})^{gh}M_{egh} + \gamma_e S_{kl}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [(\Sigma_{i|j})^{bc}M_{ebc} + \gamma_e S_{ij}][(\Sigma_{k|l})^{gh}M_{agh} + \gamma_a S_{kl}]\right\}\epsilon^{jk}\epsilon^l \end{aligned}$$

- **All shifts vanish \Rightarrow Maximal supersymmetry** (AdS_5 vacuum, unique). [\[hep-th/0304064\]](#)

$$-\frac{1}{4}R_{ae}{}^{cd}\gamma_{cd}\epsilon_i = \frac{1}{6}S_{ij}S_{kl}\gamma_{ae}\epsilon^{jk}\epsilon^l$$

Killing spinor integrability conditions

- Some shifts vanish \Rightarrow partially broken supersymmetry (Lifshitz, Bianchi types)
- cases with only vector multiplets: Either 1/2 BPS or 1/4 BPS solutions. [[hep-th/0304064](#)]
- Lifshitz solutions: known to be 1/4 BPS [[1102.5344](#)].
- We expect Bianchi attractors to be 1/4 BPS.

Introduction

Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

Summary

Comments and
Caveats

Future Outlook

$AdS_2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ from $U(1)_R$ gauged supergravity

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left[-r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right]$$

$$e_2 = \partial_x \quad e_3 = \partial_y \quad e_4 = \partial_z$$

$$[e_2, e_3] = 0 \quad [e_2, e_4] = 0 \quad [e_3, e_4] = 0$$

$$A_0^t = \frac{1}{Lr} Q_0; \quad A_1^t = \frac{1}{Lr} Q_1; \quad \frac{Q_0}{Q_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\phi_c^1)^3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_1}{V_0};$$

$$L^2 = -\frac{1}{2\Lambda}; \quad \Lambda = -6g_R^2 V_1^2 (\phi_c^1)^2; \quad \phi_c^1 = \left(\sqrt{2} \frac{V_0}{V_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$V_0 V_1 > 0$$

Stability analysis: Preliminary results

- **Stability:** Several Bianchi attractors exist for same range of parameters even in the gauged supergravity embedding. Is there a stability criteria ?
- Fluctuation analysis: radial scalar field perturbation about critical value.

$$\phi_c^{\tilde{z}} + \epsilon \delta \phi^{\tilde{z}}(r)$$

- Assume that the gauge fields have components only along time t or only along spatial (x, y, z) directions.
- Set all tensor fields to zero.

Introduction

Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors

Examples

Summary

Summary

Comments and
Caveats

Future Outlook

Stability analysis: Preliminary results

- Scalar field equation upto $O(\epsilon)$:

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \delta\phi^{\tilde{x}} - M_{\tilde{y}}^{\tilde{x}} \delta\phi^{\tilde{y}} = 0$$

$$M_{\tilde{y}}^{\tilde{x}} \equiv g^{\tilde{z}\tilde{x}} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}_{att}}{\partial\phi^{\tilde{z}} \partial\phi^{\tilde{y}}} \right|_{\phi^{\tilde{y}}=\phi_c^{\tilde{y}}}$$

∇_μ is with respect to the full extremal black brane metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

- Expanding the metric about the horizon

$$g_{\mu\nu} \sim g_{\mu\nu}^0(r - r_h) + \epsilon g_{\mu\nu}^1(r - r_h) + O(\epsilon^2) + \dots$$

$g_{\mu\nu}^0$ is the near horizon metric.

- In scalar equation, one can ignore the higher order terms in the metric fluctuation as long as there is no backreaction at $O(\epsilon)$.

[Introduction](#)
[Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors](#)
[Examples](#)
[Summary](#)
[Summary](#)
[Comments and](#)
[Caveats](#)
[Future Outlook](#)

Stability analysis: Preliminary results

- The trace of the stress energy tensor at $O(\epsilon)$ after using attractor equations,

$$T_{\mu}^{\mu}(\phi_c + \delta\phi) = T(\phi_c)_{att} + g^2 A_{\mu}^I A^{J\mu} \frac{\partial K_{IJ}}{\partial \phi^{\tilde{z}}} \bigg|_{\phi=\phi_c} \epsilon \delta\phi^{\tilde{z}} + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$K_{IJ}(\phi) = g_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} K_I^{\tilde{x}} K_J^{\tilde{y}}$$

suggests that in general there will be finite backreaction even at first order from the “effective mass term” for the gauge fields.

- For starters, let us assume that the highlighted term is very small compared to the cosmological constant and analyse the behavior of $\delta\phi$ in scalar equation.
- Note that for pure $U(1)_R$ gauged sugra there is no backreaction at $O(\epsilon)$.

[Introduction](#)
[Gauged Sugra &
Gen. Attractors](#)
[Examples](#)
[Summary](#)
[Summary](#)
[Comments and
Caveats](#)
[Future Outlook](#)

Stability analysis: Preliminary results

- For Bianchi type metrics, the scalar equation will take the general form

$$r^2 \partial_r^2 (\delta \phi^{\tilde{x}}) + m r \partial_r (\delta \phi^{\tilde{x}}) - \lambda_{\tilde{x}} \delta \phi^{\tilde{x}} = 0 \quad ; m > 0$$

$$M_{\tilde{y}}^{\tilde{x}} \delta \phi^{\tilde{y}} = \lambda_{\tilde{x}} \delta \phi^{\tilde{x}}$$

- There exists a converging solution for $\delta \phi$ as one approaches the horizon ($r \rightarrow 0$), if $\lambda_{\tilde{x}} > 0$.

- This is same as the condition

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}_{att}}{\partial \phi^{\tilde{z}} \partial \phi^{\tilde{y}}} > 0$$

which was obtained in [\[hep-th/0507096\]](#) in the context of non-supersymmetric attractors.

- Given a model, this condition can be applied to check for stability of solutions. However this does not tell if one solution is preferred over the other.

[Introduction](#)
[Gauged SUGRA &
Gen. Attractors](#)
[Examples](#)
[Summary](#)
[Summary](#)
[Comments and
Caveats](#)
[Future Outlook](#)