

# Math 202A Notes

Jacob Krantz

October 22, 2019

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Topology</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Metric Spaces	2
1.2	Compactness in Metric Spaces	17
1.3	Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces	20
<b>2</b>	<b>Measure Theory</b>	<b>22</b>
2.1	Introduction to Measure Theory	22
2.2	Continuity Properties of Measures	30
2.3	Introduction to Integration	31

# Chapter 1

## Topology

### 1.1 Metric Spaces

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set, a metric on  $X$  is a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that

1.  $d(x, x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in X$
2. if  $d(x, y) = 0$ , then  $x = y$
3.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
4.  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$

Note that if we do not have that if  $d(x, y) = 0$ , then  $x = y$ , then we have a semimetric.

**Definition 1.1.2.** If  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we call the define the following norms:

1.  $\|v\|_2 = (\sum |v_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
2.  $\|v\|_1 = \sum |v_j|$
3.  $\|v\|_\infty = \max\{|v_1|, |v_2|, \dots, |v_n|\}$
4.  $\|v\|_p = (\sum |v_j|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

**Definition 1.1.3.** We can now define the following:

1.  $d_2 := ||v - w||_2$
2.  $d_1 := ||v - w||_1$
3.  $d_\infty := ||v - w||_\infty$
4.  $d_p := ||v - w||_p$

**Example 1.1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, then let  $Y \subset X$ , the restriction of  $d$  to  $Y \times Y \subset X \times X$  makes  $Y$  a metric space.

**Example 1.1.2.**  $C([0, 1]) = \mathbb{R}$ -valued continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Note 1.1.1.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . By a norm on  $V$ , we mean a function  $||\cdot|| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that:

1.  $||v|| = 0 \iff v = 0$
2.  $||\alpha v|| = |\alpha| ||v||$
3.  $||v + w|| \leq ||v|| + ||w||$

**Example 1.1.3.** From a norm on  $V$ , we get a metric on  $V$  by  $d(v, w) = ||v - w||$ . For  $f \in C([0, 1])$  :

1.  $||f||_2 = \left( \int_0^1 |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
2.  $||f||_1 = \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt$
3.  $||f||_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$
4.  $||f||_p = \left( \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

**Definition 1.1.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of points of  $X$ . We say that this sequence converges to a point  $x_* \in X$  if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N > 0$  such that for  $n > N$ ,  $d(x_n, x_*) < \epsilon$ . [Note that this is the same as saying that  $x_n \in \text{oBall}(x_*, \epsilon)$ , where  $\text{oBall}(x_*, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(y, x_*) < \epsilon\}$ .]

**Definition 1.1.5.**  $X$  is complete if every Cauchy sequence converges to some point of  $X$ .

**Example 1.1.4.** Some examples of complete metric spaces include  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}$ .

**Note 1.1.2.** If  $S$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $S$  with the restricted metric is complete. Consider  $C([0, 1]) : \|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . The uniform norm convergence for it is uniform convergence. If  $\{f_n\}$  is Cauchy for  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , then for each  $t_* \in [0, 1]$ , then  $\{f_n(t_*)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, so it converges. Note that  $f(t) = \lim(f_n(t))$ , the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous.

**Definition 1.1.6.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and let  $S$  be a subset of  $X$ . We say that  $S$  is dense in  $X$  if every open ball in  $X$  contains a point of  $S$ .

**Definition 1.1.7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, by a completion of  $X$ , we mean a metric space,  $(\bar{X}, \bar{d})$ , together with  $j : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$  such that  $j$  is an isometry and  $j$  is dense in  $\bar{X}$ .

**Definition 1.1.8.** An isometry is a function  $j$  such that  $d(x, y) = d(j(x), j(y))$ .

**Example 1.1.5.** Every metric space has a completion, and the completion is essentially unique. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $\text{CS}(X, d)$  be the set of all Cauchy sequences in  $(X, d)$ . Try to define a distance on  $\text{CS}(X, d)$ : let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  be two Cauchy sequences. Consider  $\{d(x_n, y_n)\}$ , we claim it is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Set  $\tilde{d}(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = \lim\{d(x_n, y_n)\}$ .

**Note 1.1.3.** Note that  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  and  $d(x, y) - d(x, z) \leq d(z, y)$ , so  $|d(x, y) - d(x, z)| \leq d(z, y)$  and  $|d(x, z) - d(y, z)| \leq d(x, y)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| &= |d(x_n, y_n - d(x_n, y_m) + d(x_n, y_m) - d(x_m, y_m))| \\ &\leq |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_n, y_m)| + |d(x_n, y_m) - d(x_m, y_m)| \\ &\leq d(y_n, y_m) + d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $(X, d)$  be a semimetric space. We now define an equivalence relation on  $X$ , by if  $d(x, y) = 0$ , then  $[x] = \{y : d(x, y) = 0\}$ . Define  $X/\sim := \{\text{equivalence classes}\}$ . Define  $\hat{d}$  on  $X/\sim$  by  $\hat{d}([x], [y]) = d(x, y)$ , well-defined. If  $x' \in [x], y' \in [y]$ , then  $d(x', y') \leq d(x, x) + d(y, y) + d(x, y)$ ,  $d(x', y') = d(x, y)$ , so  $\hat{d}$  is a metric on  $X/\sim$ . Let  $\tilde{d}$  on  $\text{CS}(X, d)$  be the corresponding metric in the equivalence classes. The equivalence relation is  $\{x_n\} \sim \{y_n\}$  if  $\tilde{d}(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) = 0$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ . Embed  $(X, d)$  in  $\text{CS}(X, d)/\sim$  by  $x \mapsto \text{Cauchy sequence}, x_n = x$ , for all  $n$ ,  $\phi(x) = \{x_n = x\}$ ,  $\tilde{d}(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = \lim d(x_n, y_n) = \lim d(x, y) = d(x, y)$ , so  $\phi$  is an isometry of  $X$  into  $\text{CS}(X, d) \rightarrow \text{CS}(X, d)/\sim$ . The image of  $X$  is dense in  $\text{CS}(X, d)/\sim$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be any Cauchy sequence. Then, given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that for  $m, n \geq N$ ,  $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ . Consider  $\phi(x_N)$ . Then,  $\tilde{d}(\{x_n\}, \phi(x_N)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d(x_n, x_N)\} < \epsilon$ . To show that  $(\text{CS}(X, d)/\sim, \tilde{d})$  is complete. For small  $\epsilon$ , let  $\dots \in \text{CS}(X, d)$ , assume  $\{S^m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\text{CS}(X, d)$ , for each  $k$ , find  $x_k \in X$ , such that  $\tilde{d}(\phi(x_k), S^m) < \frac{1}{k}$ , then  $S = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence, and  $\tilde{d}(S^m, S)_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 1.1.9.** Let  $(X, d_x), (Y, d_y)$  be metric spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , and  $x_0 \in X$ , we say that  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$  if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x, x_0) < \delta$ , then  $d(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$ , or equivalently, if  $x \in \text{Ball}(x_0, \delta)$ , then  $f(x) \in \text{Ball}(f(x_0), \epsilon)$ . For any open ball  $B$  about  $f(x_0)$ , there is an open ball  $C$  about  $x_0$  such that if  $x \in B$ , then  $f(x) \in C$ , or equivalently that  $x \in f^{-1}(C)$ , and  $B \subseteq f^{-1}(C)$ .

**Definition 1.1.10.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. If  $A \subseteq X$  is an open subset (for  $d$ ) if for each  $x \in A$ , there is an open ball about  $x$  contained in  $A$ .

**Note 1.1.4.** If  $f$  is continuous, i.e continuous at all points, let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open set in  $Y$ , let  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ , then  $\mathcal{O}$  contains a ball about  $f(x_0)$  such that  $x_0 \in C \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ , so  $C \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ , so  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$  is open. Conversely, let  $f$  be any function from  $X$  to  $Y$ . If it is true that for any open set  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$  is open in  $X$ , then  $f$  is continuous. Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{O} = \text{Ball}(f(x_0), \epsilon)$ , then  $f^{-1}(\text{Ball}(f(x_0), \epsilon))$  is open. Hence, there is a ball  $\text{Ball}(x_0, \delta)$  such that  $\text{Ball}(x_0, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{Ball}(f(x_0), \epsilon))$ . The following are properties of the collection of open sets of a metric space:

1. An infinite union of open sets is open
2. A finite intersection of open sets is open. For  $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\text{Ball}(x_0, r_1) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1$ ,  $\text{Ball}(x_0, r_2) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ . Let  $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ , then  $\text{Ball}(x_0, r) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ .
3.  $X$  and  $\emptyset$  are open.

**Definition 1.1.11.** Let  $X$  be a set. By a topology for  $X$ , we mean a collection  $\mathcal{T}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that:

1. Arbitrary unions of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  are in  $\mathcal{T}$ .
2. Finite intersections of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  are in  $\mathcal{T}$ .
3.  $X$  and  $\emptyset$  are elements of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Definition 1.1.12.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a topology of  $X$ . Then  $A \subseteq X$  is closed if  $A'$  is open.

**Note 1.1.5.** Properties of closed sets:

1. Arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed.
2. Finite unions of closed sets are closed.

3.  $X$  and  $\emptyset$  are closed.

**Definition 1.1.13.** Let  $A \subseteq X$ . By the closure of  $A$ , we mean the smallest closed set that contains  $A$ , i.e. the intersection of all closed sets that contain  $A$ .

**Definition 1.1.14.** By the interior of  $A$ , we mean the biggest open set contained in  $A$ , i.e. the union of all open sets contained in  $A$ .

**Definition 1.1.15.** Let  $C$  be a closed set, and let  $A \subseteq C$ , we say that  $A$  is dense in  $C$  if  $\bar{A} = C$ .

**Definition 1.1.16.** Let  $X$  be a set, and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ , the smallest topology containing the intersection of topologies that contain  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be the topology generated by  $\mathcal{S}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}$  is called a subbase for that topology. Note that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection of topologies for  $X$ , then  $\bigcap \{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is a topology for  $X$ .

**Definition 1.1.17.** Let  $X$  be a set, and let  $D$  be the collection of subsets of  $X$ .  $D$  is a topology for  $X$ , called the discrete topology for  $X$ . It is given by a metric:

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y. \end{cases}$$

$D$  is the biggest topology in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.1.18.** The smallest topology in  $X$  is  $\{\emptyset, X\}$ , called the indiscrete topology.



**Note 1.1.6.** If  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  are topologies on  $X$ , such that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}_1 & \subseteq & \mathcal{T}_2 \\ \text{smaller} & & \text{larger} \\ \text{weaker} & & \text{stronger.} \end{array}$$

Usually, we require that  $\bigcup \mathcal{S} = X$ . For  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(a, b)$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \{(\infty, a), (b, +\infty)\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.19.** A collection of subsets of  $X$  is a base for a topology is the set of all arbitrary unions of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a topology.

**Example 1.1.6.**  $\mathcal{S} = \{(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{\text{open balls}\}$

**Note 1.1.7.** For  $\mathcal{S}$  to be a base, it must have the property that if  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $A \cap B$  must be a union of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Example 1.1.7.** If  $\mathcal{S}$  is any collection of subset of  $X$ , then the collection of all finite intersections of elements must be a topology.

**Definition 1.1.20.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function.  $f$  is continuous if for all open sets  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}_Y \implies f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) \in \mathcal{T}_X$ .

**Note 1.1.8.** Let  $Y$  be a set and  $\mathcal{S} = \{A_\alpha\}$ , let  $X$  be a set, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then,

$$1. f^{-1}(\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha) = \bigcup_\alpha f^{-1}(A_\alpha)$$

2.  $f^{-1}(\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) = \bigcap_{\alpha} f^{-1}(A_{\alpha})$
3. If  $A, B \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(A \setminus B) = f^{-1}(A) \setminus f^{-1}(B)$ .

**Example 1.1.8.** Given  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a subbase for  $\mathcal{T}_Y$ . Then  $f$  is continuous if  $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{T}_X$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Example 1.1.9.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $(X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha})$  be a collection of topological spaces. Let there be a quasifunction  $f_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \rightarrow X$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the strongest topology such that all of the  $f_{\alpha}$ 's are continuous. Given  $\alpha_0, f_{\alpha_0}$ . If  $A \subseteq X$ , then if  $A$  is to be open, we must have that  $f_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_0}$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_0} = \{A \subseteq X : f_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_0}\}$  is a topology for  $X$ ; in fact, it is the strongest topology making  $f_{\alpha_0}$  continuous. The strongest topology making all of the  $f_{\alpha}$  continuous is the intersection of the  $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$ .

**Example 1.1.10.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, let  $Y$  be a set. Then,  $f : X \rightarrow Y, \{A \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{T}\}$  is the strongest topology making  $f$  continuous. Usually, we want  $f$  to be onto  $Y$ .

**Definition 1.1.21.** We begin by defining an equivalence relation,  $\sim$ , on  $X$  by  $x_1 \sim x_2$ , if  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . This gives a partition of  $X$ : the quotient of  $X / \sim$ , the quotient of  $X$  by  $\sim$ . This topology is called the quotient topology determined by  $f$ .

**Definition 1.1.22.** For  $\sim$  on a set  $X$ ,  $B \subseteq X$  is saturated if when  $x \in B$  and  $x_1 \sim x$ , for  $x_1 \in B$ .

**Note 1.1.9.** The open sets in the quotient topology in  $f$  on  $Y$  are in bijection with the saturated open sets of  $X$ .

**Note 1.1.10.** We want the weakest topology to make all of the functions to be continuous. For any  $B_\alpha$ , any open set  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$  (where the topological space is  $(Y_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)$ ), we need  $f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq X$ . This weakest topology has a sub-base  $\{f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) : \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha\}$ , which is called the conditional topology.

**Example 1.1.11.** 1. Given  $(Y, \mathcal{T})$ , let  $X$  be a subset of  $Y$ .  $X \hookrightarrow^i Y$ . The weakest topology making  $i$  continuous is  $\{i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) : \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}\}$ .  $i^{-1}(0)$  can form the relative topology,  $\{X \cap \mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}_Y\}$ .

2. Let  $(X_1, \mathcal{T}_1), (X_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be given. We can form the product topology,  $X_1 \times X_2$ , whose sub-base is  $\mathcal{O} \times X_2, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}_1, X_1 \times \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}_2$ , intersected:  $\{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}_2\}$  is a sub-base. Furthermore,  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ . Then, form  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ , functions  $f$  from  $A$  into  $\cup X_\alpha$  such that  $f(\alpha) \in X_\alpha$  used for all  $\alpha$ .  $X_\alpha$  is called the product topology, sub-base,  $\pi_\alpha$ , for  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha, X_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{O} \times \dots$ . We can only take finite intersections, so there can only be finitely many open sets.

3.  $C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|$ . For each  $h \in C([0, 1])$ , define linear functional,  $\phi_n$  on  $C([0, 1])$  by

$$\phi_n(f) = \int_0^1 f(t)h(t)dt, C([0, 1]) \rightarrow_{\phi_n} \mathbb{R}.$$

We can then ask for the correspondingly weakest topology.

$$|\phi_n| \leq \|h\|_\infty \|f\|_1,$$

where we chose  $h$  bounded.

**Example 1.1.12.** Special properties of topologies from metric spaces. If  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ , let  $r = d(x, y) \neq 0$ . Then,  $\text{oBall}(x, \frac{r}{3})$  and  $\text{oBall}(y, \frac{r}{3})$  are disjoint.

**Definition 1.1.23.** A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $X$  is Hausdorff if for any points  $x, y, x \neq y$ , there are open sets,  $\mathcal{O}_x$  and  $\mathcal{O}_y, x \in \mathcal{O}_x, y \in \mathcal{O}_y$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_x \cap \mathcal{O}_y = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.1.24.** The Separation Axioms:

1.  $T_2$ : Hausdorff
2.  $T_1$ : Given  $x, y, x \neq y$ , there exists  $\mathcal{O}_x$  with  $x \in \mathcal{O}_x, y \notin \mathcal{O}_x$  and there exists a similar  $\mathcal{O}_y$ .
3.  $T_0$ : Given  $x, y, x \neq y$ , there exists  $\mathcal{O}$  such that only one of  $x$  or  $y$  is in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Definition 1.1.25.** A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  is normal if for any two disjoint closed sets,  $A, B$ , there are disjoint open sets  $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_B$ , such that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A, B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_B$ .

**Theorem 1.1.1.** Any topology that comes from a metric is normal.

*Proof.* Let  $A, B$  be disjoint closed sets in  $(X, d)$ . For each  $x \in A$ ,  $B$  is closed so  $x \notin B$ . Can choose  $\epsilon_x$  such that

$$\text{oBall}(x, \epsilon_x) \cap B = \emptyset.$$

Then, for each  $y \in B$ , we can choose  $\epsilon_y$  such that  $\text{oBall}(y, \epsilon_y) \cap A = \emptyset$ .

$$\mathcal{O}_A = \bigcup_{x \in A} \text{oBall}\left(x, \frac{\epsilon_x}{3}\right), \mathcal{O}_B = \bigcup_{y \in B} \text{oBall}\left(y, \frac{\epsilon_y}{3}\right).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{O}_A \cap \mathcal{O}_B = \emptyset$ , as if  $z \in \mathcal{O}_A \cap \mathcal{O}_B$ , then there exists an  $x \in A$ , such that  $z \in \text{oBall}\left(x, \frac{\epsilon_x}{3}\right)$  and there exists  $y \in B$ , such that  $z \in \text{oBall}\left(y, \frac{\epsilon_y}{3}\right)$ . Hence,  $d(x, y) \leq \frac{\epsilon_x + \epsilon_y}{3}$ . So, if  $\epsilon = \max\{\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y\}$ , this is bounded by  $\frac{2\epsilon}{3}$ . ■

**Theorem 1.1.2.** (Urysohn's Lemma) Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a normal topological space and if  $A, B$  are disjoint, closed sets in  $X$ , there exists a continuous map,

$$f : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R},$$

such that  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) = 1$  if  $x \in B$ .

*Proof.* If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is such that for every closed  $A, B$  which are disjoint, we have  $f$ , for  $\mathcal{T}$  normal: If  $A, B$  are disjoint,  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $f|_A = 0, f|_B = 1$ , set  $\mathcal{O}_A = \left\{x : f(x) < \frac{1}{3}\right\}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_B = \left\{x : f(x) > \frac{2}{3}\right\}$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{O}_A = \left\{x : f(x) > \frac{1}{3}\right\} \cap \mathcal{O}_B$ .

**Lemma 1.1.3.** *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is normal, and if  $A$  is closed,  $\mathcal{O}$  is open,  $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ , then there is an open set  $\mathcal{U}$ , such that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{O}^C$  is closed, by definition, so, by normality, there are open sets  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ , such that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{O}^C \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^C \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^C \subseteq \mathcal{O}, \text{ so } A \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{U}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^C \subseteq \mathcal{O}.$$

■

(Part) Given  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  normal,  $A, B$  closed, disjoint, choose  $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}$  such that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq B^C$ . Then, choose  $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{4}}, \mathcal{O}_{\frac{3}{4}}$ , such that

$$A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{4}} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\frac{1}{4}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\frac{3}{4}} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\frac{3}{4}} \subseteq B^C.$$

Then, choose  $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{8}}, \mathcal{O}_{\frac{3}{8}}, \mathcal{O}_{\frac{5}{8}}, \mathcal{O}_{\frac{7}{8}}$ , such that  $\dots$  Now, set  $\mathcal{O}_1 = X$ . Get a countable base subset,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  of  $[0, 1]$ , such that  $0 \notin \mathcal{O}_2, 1 \in \mathcal{O}_2$ , and for each number  $r \in \mathcal{O}_2$ , we have an open set  $\mathcal{O}_r$  such that if  $r < s$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_r \subseteq \mathcal{O}_s$ . Now, define the function  $f(t)_{t \in [0, 1]} := \inf\{r : r \in \mathcal{O}_r\}$ . ■

**Lemma 1.1.4.** *Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be a countable dense subset of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $0 \notin \mathbb{Q}, 1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ .  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a normal topological space. Assume that for each  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have an open set  $\mathcal{O}_r$ , which satisfies if  $r < s$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_r \subseteq \mathcal{O}_s$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1 = X$ .*

Think of  $\mathcal{O}_r$  as the set of  $x$  where  $f(x) < r$ , for  $r \in B\mathbb{Q}$ . Set  $f(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in \mathcal{O}_r\}$ . We claim that  $f$  is continuous. Use the sub-base  $(-\infty, a), (a, \infty)$ . If  $x \in f^{-1}((-\infty, a))$  iff  $f(x) < a$ , so there is  $s \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $s < a$ , such that  $x \in \mathcal{O}_s$ . Then, for all  $y \in \mathcal{O}_s$ ,  $f(y) \leq s < a$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_s \subseteq f^{-1}((-\infty, a))$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}((-\infty, a)) = \cup_{r < a} \mathcal{O}_r$  open. Then,  $x \in f^{-1}((a, \infty))$  iff  $f(x) > a$ , so there is  $s \in \mathbb{Q}, a < s < f(x)$  with  $x \notin \mathcal{O}_s$ , so there is a  $t$  such that  $a < t < s < f(x)$  with  $x \notin \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t \subset \mathcal{O}_s$ , so  $x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t^C$  is open, so  $f^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \cup_{t > a} \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t^C$  is open.

$(X, \mathcal{T})$  is normal,  $A, B$  be closed, disjoint sets. Choose a dense  $\mathcal{O} \subset [0, 1], 0 \notin \mathcal{O}, 1 \in \mathcal{O}$ , such that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_r$ , for all  $r$ . Then,  $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap B = \emptyset$  because that  $B \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1$ . Then, note that:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in A \\ 1 & x \in B. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.1.26.** Let  $X$  be a set, and let  $(M, d)$  be a complete metric space, and consider  $f : X \rightarrow M$ . We say that  $f$  is bounded if there is a  $m_0 \in M, r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , such that  $f(x) \in \text{Ball}(m_0, r)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . For  $f, g$  bounded functions  $X \rightarrow M$ ,  $\{d(f(x), g(x))\}_{x \in X}$  is a bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Set  $d_\infty(f, g) = \sup\{d(f(x), g(x)), x \in X\} \approx \|f - g\|_\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $d_\infty$  is a metric.

Let  $B(X, (M, d))$  be the set of all bounded functions from  $X$  to  $M$ , with metric  $d_\infty$ .

**Proposition 1.1.1.**  $B(X, (M, d))$  is complete for  $d_\infty$  (because  $(M, d)$  is complete).

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence for  $d_\infty$ . Then, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence because  $d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \leq d_\infty(f_n, f_m)$ . Call this limit  $f(x)$ . It is easy to show that  $f$  is bounded. To show that  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  for  $d_\infty$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and choose  $N_0$ , such that for  $n, m \geq N_0$ , we have  $d_\infty(f_m, f_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Thus, given any  $x \in X$ , there is  $N_x > N_0$  such that for  $n, m \geq N_x$ ,  $d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then, for  $n > N_0$ ,  $d(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq d(f_n(x), f_m(x)) + d(f_m(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$ , so  $d(f_n, f) < \epsilon$ . ■

**Proposition 1.1.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space,  $(M, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $BC((X, \mathcal{T}), (M, d))$  be the set of bounded, continuous functions from  $X$  to  $M$ . Then,  $BC((X, \mathcal{T}))$  is a closed subset of  $(B(X, (M, d)), d_\infty)$  and is therefore complete.

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence in  $CB(X, M)$  that converges for  $d_\infty$  to  $f \in B(X, M)$ , to show  $f \in CB(X, M)$ , to show continuous at any given  $x \in X$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $N$  such that for  $n \geq N$ ,  $d_{\text{inf}}(f, f_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ , such that  $f_n$  is continuous on  $X$ , there exists  $\mathcal{O} \subset J$ , such that  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $d(f_n(y), f_n(x)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Then, for  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $d(f(y), f(x)) \leq d(f(y), f_n(y)) + d(f_n(y), f_n(x)) + d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$ . ■

**Theorem 1.1.5. Tietze Extension Theorem.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a normal topological space, and let  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then there is  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , continuous that extends  $f$ , if  $\tilde{f}|_A = f$ . If  $f : A \rightarrow [a, b], a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  then can arrange that  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* [Note that if  $A \subseteq X$  is closed and if  $B \subseteq A$  is closed in the relative topology, then  $B$  is closed in  $X$ ,  $A \setminus B = A \cap O$ ,  $O \in \mathcal{T}$ , then  $B = A \cap O'$ , where  $A$  and  $O'$  are closed, as  $B$  is closed in  $X$ ] Now, consider the first case of  $f : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Let  $C_0 = \{x \in A : f(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}\}$ ,  $C_1 = \{x \in A : f(x) \geq \frac{2}{3}\}$ , closed in  $A$ . Then, by Urysohn's Lemma,  $\exists k : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $k|_{C_0} = 0$ ,  $k|_{C_1} = 1$ . Let  $g_1 = \frac{1}{3}k$ , so  $g_1 : X \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{3}]$ ,  $f - g_1|_A : A \rightarrow [0, \frac{2}{3}]$ . Scale (?): If  $h : A \rightarrow [0, r]$ , then there exists  $g$  on  $X$  with  $g : X \rightarrow [\frac{1}{3}r]$ ,  $h - g|_A : A \rightarrow [0, \frac{2}{3}r]$ . Apply this to  $f - g_1|_A$ ,  $r = \frac{2}{3}$ . Thus there is  $g_2 : X \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{3}\frac{2}{3}]$ ,  $(f - g_1|_A) - g_2|_A : X \rightarrow [0, (\frac{2}{3})^2]$ . Apply to  $f - g_1|_A - g_2|_A$ ,  $r = (\frac{2}{3})^2$ . So there is  $g_3 : X \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2}{3})^2]$ ,  $f - g_1|_A - g_2|_A - g_3|_A : X \rightarrow [0, (\frac{2}{3})^3]$ . Continue this for the  $n$ th case. Clearly we have that  $g_n : X \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2}{3})^{n-1}]$ ,  $f - \sum_{j=1}^n g_j|_A : X \rightarrow [0, (\frac{2}{3})^n]$   $\implies \|g_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2}{3})^{n-1}$ , define  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty g_j$  cont,  $\|f - \sum_{j=1}^n g_j|_A\| \leq (\frac{2}{3})^n$ . Hence,  $\tilde{f}|_A = f$ ,  $0 \leq g_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2}{3})^{n-1}$ , so  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty g_j(x) \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\frac{2}{3})^{j-1} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^\infty (\frac{2}{3})^j = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{1-\frac{2}{3}} = 1$ . If  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , unbounded, then  $\arctan \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $h$  be the arctan of  $f : A \rightarrow (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \subseteq [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ , as there is an equation  $\tilde{h} : X \rightarrow [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  with  $\tilde{h}|_A = h$ . Let  $B = \{-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ , a closed subset of  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Then take  $B = \{\tilde{h}^{-1}(-\frac{\pi}{2}), \tilde{h}^{-1}(\frac{\pi}{2})\} \subseteq X$ ,  $A \subseteq X$ ... ■

**Definition 1.1.27.** Let  $X$  be a set,  $\mathcal{C}$  a collection of subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a covering of  $X$  if

$$\bigcup \{A \in \mathcal{C}\} = X$$

. If  $B \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , we say that  $\mathcal{C}$  covers  $B$  if  $B \subseteq \bigcup \{A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . If  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a subcover of  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $\mathcal{D}$  also is a c.

**Definition 1.1.28.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space. We say that it is compact if every open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 1.1.6.** If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is compact and  $A \subseteq X$ , then the following are equivalent.

1.  $A$  is compact for the relative topology
2. If  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  is a cover of  $A$ , then  $A$  has a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* The open sets for the relative topology are of the form  $A \cap \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}$ . ■

**Theorem 1.1.7.** *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is compact and  $A \subseteq X$  is closed then  $A$  is compact for the relative topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{T}$  be a collection of open sets that cover  $A$ . Since  $A$  is closed,  $A'$  is open, so  $\mathcal{D} \cup \dots$  is an open cover of  $X$ . ■

A compact subset of a topological space, even a compact one, need not be closed. For example, any set with at least 2 points within the discrete topology, every subset is compact.

**Theorem 1.1.8.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be Hausdorff. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be compact for the relative topology, then  $A$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in X, y \notin A$ . For each  $x \in A$  find  $\mathcal{U}_x, \mathcal{V}_x \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then the set of these  $\mathcal{U}_x$  will cover  $A$ . So we have a finite subcover,  $\mathcal{U}_{x_1}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{x_n}$ . Let  $V = \mathcal{V}_{x_1} \cap \mathcal{V}_{x_2} \dots \mathcal{V}_{x_n}$  be open,  $y \in \mathcal{V}_1, V \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $A'$  is a union of open sets, so it is open. Thus, its complement,  $A$ , is closed. ■

**Theorem 1.1.9.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be compact and Hausdorff. For any closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and any  $y \in X, y \notin A$ , there are open sets  $u, v$ , disjoint, with  $A \subseteq u, y \in v$ .*

**Definition 1.1.29.**  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is regular for all  $A \subseteq X$  closed and all  $y \in X, y \notin A$ .

**Theorem 1.1.10.** *Every compact Hausdorff space is normal.*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B$  be disjoint closed, (covered also (?)) subsets. By regularity, for each  $y \in B$ , there are disjoint open  $\mathcal{U}_y, \mathcal{V}_y, A \subseteq \mathcal{U}_y, y \in \mathcal{V}_y$ . The  $\{\mathcal{V}_y\}$  form an open cover of  $B$ , as by completion there is a finite subcover,  $\{\mathcal{V}_{y_k}\}_{k \in I}, I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . ■

**Proposition 1.1.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x), (Y, \mathcal{T}_y)$  be topological spaces, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be compact. Then,  $f(A) = \{f(x) : x \in A\}$  is compact.*



*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of open sets in  $Y$  that cover  $f(A)$ . Then,  $\{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) : \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}\}$  are a collection of open sets that cover  $A$ , so there must exist a finite subcover of  $A$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1), \dots, f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n$  cover  $f(A)$ . ■

**Proposition 1.1.4.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_x)$  be a compact space, and let  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_y)$  be a Hausdorff topological space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and bijective. Then  $f$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \subseteq X$  be closed in  $X$ . Then,  $A$  must be compact. By Proposition 1.1.3,  $f(A)$  must be compact, so because  $Y$  is Hausdorff,  $f(A)$  must also be closed. ■

We can rewrite compactness in a new way shortly.

**Definition 1.1.30.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of subsets of a set  $X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  has the finite intersection property if given any  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have that:

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proposition 1.1.5.**  *$(X, \mathcal{T})$  is compact iff whenever  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection of closed subsets of  $X$  with the finite intersection property, then*

$$\bigcap (A \in \mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Lemma 1.1.11.** *(Zorn's Lemma) If a poset has the property that every chain in  $P$  has an upper bound in  $P$ , then  $P$  has at least one maximal element.*

**Theorem 1.1.12.** *(Tychonoff's Theorem) Let  $\Lambda$  be an index set, and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let  $(X_\lambda, \mathcal{T}_\lambda)$  be a compact topological space. Let*

$$X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda,$$

*with the product topology. Then  $X$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Some stuff I missed. Let  $(X_\lambda, \mathcal{T}_\lambda)$  compact top spaces. Let  $X = \prod X_\lambda$  with the product topology. Want to show that  $X$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of closed sets with FIP. Need to show that  $\bigcap \{C \in \mathcal{C}\} \neq \emptyset$ . By Zorn's Lemma, there is a collection  $\mathcal{D}^*$  of elements of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$ , with  $\mathcal{D}^*$  maximal among collection satisfying the FIP.

**Lemma 1.1.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be any collection of subsets of  $X$  maximal for FIP. Then the finite intersection of sets in  $\mathcal{D}$  are in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and if  $B \subset X$  and if  $B \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  be the collection of all finite collection of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  has FIP, and  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'$ , so by maximality,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}'$ . For the second statement, consider  $\mathcal{D} \cup \{B\}$ , then this has FIP, because  $B \cap A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n = B \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j \right)_{j \in \mathcal{D}} \neq \emptyset$ . ■

So  $\mathcal{D} \cup \{B\}$  has FIP  $\subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . By maximality,  $\mathcal{D} \cup \{B\} = \mathcal{D}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$ . For each  $\lambda$ ,  $\{p_{i_\lambda}(A) : A \in \mathcal{D}^*\}$  has FIP. Thus,  $\{(\pi_\lambda(A))^- : A \in \mathcal{D}^*\} \subset X_\lambda$  has FIP, so since  $X_\lambda$  is compact,  $\bigcap \{(\pi_\lambda(A))^- : A \in \mathcal{D}^*\} \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $x_\lambda \in$  this set. Set  $x_0 = \{x_\lambda\} \in X = \prod X_\lambda$ . Want to show that  $x_0 \in \bigcap \{C : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ , i.e., want  $x_0 \in C$  for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , suffices to show that  $x_0 \notin C'$ , which is open, for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . So it suffices to show that for any  $\mathcal{O}$  in base for product topology, if  $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ , then  $\mathcal{O} \cap C$ ,  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{U}_{\lambda_1} \times \mathcal{U}_{\lambda_2} \times \dots \times \mathcal{U}_{\lambda_n} \times \prod_{\lambda \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n} J_\lambda$ , with  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda_j} \in J_{\lambda_j}$ . By the definition of  $x_0$ ,  $x_{\lambda_j} \in \bigcap \{(\pi_{\lambda_j}(A))^- : A \in \mathcal{D}^*\}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . That is, for all  $A \in \mathcal{D}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda_j} \cap \pi_{\lambda_j}(A) \neq \emptyset$ . In other words, for all  $A \in \mathcal{D}^*$ ,  $A \cap \pi_{\lambda_j}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\lambda_j}) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $\pi_{\lambda_j}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\lambda_j}) = \mathcal{D}^*$ . Then,  $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \pi_{\lambda_j}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\lambda_j}) \in \mathcal{D}^*$ , this intersection is just  $\mathcal{O}$ , so  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{D}^* \supseteq \mathcal{C}$ , so  $\mathcal{O} \cap C \neq \emptyset$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . ■

**Note 1.1.11.** Tychonoff's Theorem is equivalent to the axiom of choice. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of sets,  $\mathcal{C} = \{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . Choose one element that is not in any  $X_\lambda$ , e.g  $\omega =$  set of all subsets of  $\bigcup X_\lambda$ . Let  $Y_\lambda = X_\lambda \cup \{\omega\}$ , set  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda = \{X_\lambda, \{\omega\}, Y_\lambda, \emptyset\}$ . Then, let  $Y = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Y_\lambda$ , with the product topology. By Tychons,  $Y$  is compact. Consider  $\{\pi_\lambda^{-1}(X_\lambda)\}$ . Claim that this has FIP, where the inside of the set braces is closed. Given  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ ,  $\pi_{\lambda_1}^{-1}(X_{\lambda_1}) \cap \pi_{\lambda_2}^{-1}(X_{\lambda_2}) \cap \dots \cap \pi_{\lambda_n}^{-1}(X_{\lambda_n})$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , choose  $x_{\lambda_j} \in X_{\lambda_j}$ . Define  $x \in \prod Y_\lambda$  by  $x_\lambda = x_{\lambda_j}$  if  $\lambda = \lambda_j, \dots$  got too long.

## 1.2 Compactness in Metric Spaces

**Note 1.2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, let  $A \subseteq X$ , and assume that  $\bar{A}$  is compact for the relative topology. Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider  $\{\text{oBall}(x, \epsilon) : x \in A\} \supseteq \bar{A}$ , with  $\bar{A}$  is compact, so there is a finite subcover of  $\bar{A}$ , and so of  $A$ .

**Definition 1.2.1.** A subset  $A$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be totally bounded if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , it can be covered by a finite number of  $\epsilon$ -balls.

**Theorem 1.2.1.** Any subset of a compact subset of a metric space is totally bounded.

**Theorem 1.2.2.** If  $A$  is a totally bounded subset of a metric space, then  $\bar{A}$  is totally bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, cover  $A$  by open  $\text{Ball}(x_1, \frac{\epsilon}{2}), \dots, \text{Ball}(x_n, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ . Then,  $\text{Ball}(x_1, \epsilon), \dots, \text{Ball}(x_n, \epsilon)$  cover  $\bar{A}$ . ■

**Theorem 1.2.3.** A metric space that is not complete can be compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  (which is not complete) that does not have a limit. For each  $x \in X$ , it is not a limit of  $\{x_n\}$ , so there is an  $\epsilon_x$  and an  $N_x$  such that for all  $n > N_x$ , there is  $m > n$  so  $x_m \notin \text{Ball}(x, \epsilon_x)$ . By Cauchy, there is  $N$  so that if  $m, n > N$ , then  $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ , then for  $m > N$ ,  $m \geq N_\epsilon$ ,  $x_m \in \text{Ball}(x, \epsilon)$ . The  $\text{Ball}(x, \epsilon_x)$  for an open cover of  $X$ , so if  $X$  were compact, there would be a finite subcover of  $X$ ,  $\text{Ball}(x_1, \epsilon_{x_1}), \dots, \text{Ball}(x_n, \epsilon_{x_n})$ , so  $\{x_n\}$  asdksjaskd aksdja finite number of values, so by Cauchy, it will converge, which is a contradiction. ■

**Theorem 1.2.4.** If  $X$  is complete, if  $A \subset X$  is totally bounded, then  $\bar{A}$  is compact.

*Proof.* Proof of first theorem. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open cover, we want to find a finite subcover. Cover  $X$  by a finite number of balls of radius 1. If each  $B_j$  can be covered by a finite subcover of this collection, then get a finite subcover for  $X$  itself. At least one of the balls can be covered by a finite subcover, call it  $B'$ . ■

**Theorem 1.2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Then, if  $(X, d)$  is totally bounded then it is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . We need to show it has a finite subcover. Suppose it does not. Let  $B_1^1, \dots, B_n^1$  be closed balls of radius 1 that cover  $X$ . Since there is no finite subcover of  $X$ , there is at least one  $j$  such that  $B_j^1$  is not finitely covered by  $\mathcal{C}$ . Set  $A_1 = B_j^1$ . Cover  $A_1$  by a finite number of closed balls of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $B_1^2, \dots, B_{n_2}^2$ . Then, there is at least one  $j$  so that  $A_1 \cap B_j^2$  is not finitely covered by  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $A_2 = B_j^2 \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$ , diameter of  $A_2 \leq 1$ . Cover  $A_2$  by a finite number of closed balls of radius  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $B_1^3, \dots, B_{n_3}^3$ . At least one of the  $A_2 \cap B_j^3$  cannot be finitely covered by  $\mathcal{C}$ , call that one  $A_3$ , etc. Diameter  $A_3 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Get a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of closed sets  $A_n \supseteq A_{n+1}$ , diameter  $A_n \rightarrow 0$ . For each  $n$ , choose  $x_n \in A_n$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. By completeness,  $\{x_n\}$  converges, say to  $x_*$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cover, there is  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $x_* \in \mathcal{O}$ . Thus, there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\text{Ball}(x_*, \epsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x_*$ , there is  $N$  such that  $x_n \in \text{Ball}(x_*, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$  for  $n \geq N$ , but there is  $N'$  such that if  $n \geq N'$  then  $\text{diam}(A_n) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , so  $A_n \subseteq \text{Ball}(x_*, \epsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}$ , ie  $A_n$  is covered by a finite subcover. Contradiction. ■

**Corollary 1.2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, let  $A \subseteq X$ , with  $A$  totally bounded. Then  $\bar{A}$  is compact.*

**Corollary 1.2.7.**  *$[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , the first is compact. Any closed bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is compact.*

**Example 1.2.1.** Let  $X$  be a set, and let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $B_b(X, M)$  be the set of all bounded functions from  $X$  to  $M$ . Metric  $d_\infty(f, g) = \sup\{d(f(x), g(x)) : x \in X\}$ , let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a topology for  $X$ , consider  $C_b(X^\mathcal{T}, M) =$  continuous functions in  $B_b(X, M)$ . What are the compact subsets of  $C_b$ ? What are the totally bounded subsets. Let  $J$  be a totally bounded subset of  $C_b(X, M)$ . Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in J$  such the  $\text{Ball}(g_j, \epsilon)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  cover  $J$ . Given any  $x \in X$ , such that  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are continuous, there are open sets,  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n$ , with  $x \in \mathcal{O}_j$ , for all  $j$  such that if  $y \in \mathcal{O}_j$ , then  $d(g_j(x), g_j(y)) < \epsilon$ , let  $\mathcal{O} = \bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_j$ , such that  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then for any  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $d(g_j(x), g_j(y)) < \epsilon$  for all  $j$ . Then for  $f \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a  $j$  with  $d_\infty(f, g_j) < \epsilon$ , and so for  $y \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(f(x), g_j(x)) + d(g_j(x), g_j(y)) + d(g_j(y), f(y)) < 3\epsilon$ . Thus, given  $x \in X$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\mathcal{O} \in J$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  such that for  $y \in \mathcal{O}$  has  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ , for all  $f \in J$ . The family  $f$  is equicontinuous at  $x$ . Since it is true for all  $x$ , we say that  $f$  is an equicontinuous set of functions. Also, for fixed  $x$ , given  $f \in F$ , there is  $g$  with  $f \in \text{Ball}(g_j, \epsilon)$ , so that  $d(f(x), g_j(x)) < \epsilon$ , i.e.,  $\{f(x) : f \in F\} \subseteq M$  is covered by the balls  $\text{Ball}(g_j(x), \epsilon)$ , so it is totally bounded. Hence,  $F$  is pointwise totally bounded.

**Theorem 1.2.8.** (Core of the Arzeli-Ascoli Theorem) Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be compact. Let  $F \subseteq C(X, M)$ . If  $F$  is equicontinuous and pointwise totally bounded, then  $F$  is totally bounded for  $d_\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then, by equicontinuity, for each  $x \in X$ , there is an open set  $\mathcal{O}_x$ , such that  $x \in \mathcal{O}_x$  such that if  $y \in \mathcal{O}_x$ , then for all  $f \in F$ , we have  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ . The  $\mathcal{O}_x$ 's form an open cover of  $X$ , so there is a finite subcover  $\mathcal{O}_{x_1}, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{x_n}$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\{f(x_j) : f \in F\}$  is totally bounded, so there is a finite subset,  $S_j$  such that the  $\epsilon$ -balls about the points of  $S_j$  cover the aforementioned set. Let  $S = \bigcup_j S_j$ , a finite set in  $M$ . Let  $\Psi = \{\psi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow S\}$  a finite set. For each  $\psi \in \Psi$ , let  $A_\psi = \{f \in F : f(x_j) \in \text{Ball}(\psi(j), \epsilon)\}$ . The  $A_\psi$ 's cover  $F$ . If  $f, g \in A_\psi$ , for any  $x$ , there is  $y \in X$ , there is  $j$  so that  $y \in \mathcal{O}_{x_j}$ . Then  $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq d(f(y), g(y)) (\leq \epsilon) + d(x_j < \epsilon, g_{x_j} \leq \epsilon) (\leq 2\epsilon) + d(g(x_j), g(y)) \leq \epsilon < 4\epsilon$ , i.e. diameter  $(A_\psi) < 4\epsilon$ . ■

**Theorem 1.2.9.** (Arzela-Ascoli): Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a complete metric space. Then,  $F \subseteq C(X, M)$  is compact in  $d_\infty$  if it is closed and equicontinuous and pointwise totally bounded.

**Definition 1.2.2.** Locally compact spaces. A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is locally compact if for each  $x \in X$ , there is a  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  is compact.

## 1.3 Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces

**Note 1.3.1.** LCH := “locally compact Hausdorff”

$(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a LCH space.

**Lemma 1.3.1.** Let  $C \subseteq X$  be compact. Then there is open  $\mathcal{O}$  with  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  compact.

*Proof.* For each  $x \in C$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_x$  be open with  $x \in \mathcal{O}_x$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{O}_x}$  compact.  $\{\mathcal{O}_x\}_{x \in C}$  covers  $C$ , so there is a finite subcover  $\mathcal{O}_{x_1}, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{x_n}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{x_j}$ , so  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{O}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bar{\mathcal{O}_{x_j}}$  is compact. ■

**Theorem 1.3.2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a LCH. Let  $C = X$  be compact,  $\mathcal{O}$  open,  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Then there is open  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $C \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  compact,  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous lemma, we can choose  $\mathcal{O}_1$ ,  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1 \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}_1$ , the last of which is compact. Let  $\mathcal{O}_2 = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}_1$ , see  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is compact. So we can assume  $\mathcal{O}$  has compact closure.  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . Let  $B = \bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ , closed  $\subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ .  $C, B$  are disjoint compact subsets of  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . Because  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  is compact, so normal, we can find disjoint relatively open  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ , with  $C \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ ,  $B \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{V}'$  is closed,  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}'$ . Thus,  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}'$ , so  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \cap B = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . ■

**Theorem 1.3.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be LCH. Let  $C \subseteq X$  be compact,  $\mathcal{O}$  open,  $C \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Then there is a continuous  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $f(x) = 1$ , for  $x \in C$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin \mathcal{O}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose open  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $C \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{U}}$  (compact)  $\subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Choose  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $C \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{U}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{V}$  closed in  $\mathcal{U}$ , disjoint from  $C$ , so by Urysohn's Lemma, there exists  $\tilde{f} : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that when  $x \in C$ , it evaluates to 1 and it evaluates to 0 for  $x \in \bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{V}$ . Let  $f$  be defined by  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x)$  if  $x \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ . We need  $f$  to be continuous. If  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ , as  $\tilde{f}$  is. If  $x \notin \mathcal{U}$ , then  $x \notin \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ , so  $x \in X \setminus \bar{\mathcal{V}}$  open, on  $X \setminus \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ ,  $f(x) = 0$ . ■

**Definition 1.3.1.** For  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  LCH, let  $C_c(X)$  be the set of continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions on  $X$  “of compact support”, i.e. there is a compact set outside of which  $f \equiv 0$ .  $C_c(X)$  is an algebra for pointwise operations.  $e, f, g \in C_c(X)$ , then  $f + g, fg, rf (r \in \mathbb{R}) \in C_c(X)$ .

**Note 1.3.2.**  $C_c(X) \subseteq C_b(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty$ , usually not complete if  $X$  is not compact. Its completion is the algebra of continuous functions that “vanish at infinity,”  $f \in C_\infty(X)$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there is a compact set  $C_\epsilon$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq \epsilon$  for  $x \notin C_\epsilon$ .  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is locally compact.

# Chapter 2

## Measure Theory

### 2.1 Introduction to Measure Theory

**Note 2.1.1.** Recall the first day of lecture:  $C([0, 1])$ , for the  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  norms, we can have a Cauchy sequence. We want to figure out a way to accurately find the integral in these troublesome cases. We want a set and a family of subsets  $\mathcal{F}$ , and some function  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . We want additivity, i.e. if  $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$ , and if  $E$  and  $F$  are disjoint and  $E \oplus F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mu(E \cup F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F)$ . Also if  $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $E \subseteq F$ ,  $F = E \oplus (F \setminus E)$  (let  $\oplus$  be the disjoint union), so  $\mu(F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F \setminus E)$ , i.e.  $\mu(F \setminus E) = \mu(F) - \mu(E)$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $R$  be a nonempty family of subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $R$  is a ring if  $R$  is closed under finite unions and differences of elements  $E \setminus F$ . This implies closed under finite intersection over  $E \cap F = E \setminus (E \setminus F)$ . If also  $X \in R$ , call  $\mathcal{R}$  an algebra (or a field).

**Definition 2.1.2.** A finitely added measure or a ring  $R$  of sets is a finite  $\mu : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $E, F \in R$  and are disjoint, then  $\mu(E \oplus F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F)$

**Definition 2.1.3.** A ring  $R$  is said to be a  $\sigma$ -ring if it is closed under taking countable unions of elements of  $R$ , so we can take countable intersections.

**Definition 2.1.4.** A  $\sigma$ -algebra:  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , then  $\bigcap E_n = E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \setminus E_n)$

**Definition 2.1.5.** Let  $R$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring. By a measure on  $R$  we mean a function  $\mu : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n$ , Banach spaces, which is countable additive, i.e. if  $\{E_n\}_n^{\infty}$  is a disjoint family of elements in  $R$ . Then,

$$\mu \left( \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

**Theorem 2.1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a collection of rings (or algebras, or  $\sigma$ -algebras, or  $\sigma$ -rings, etc) of a given set  $X$ . Then the intersection of these rings is a ring (or ...).

**Definition 2.1.6.** Given any collection of subsets of  $X$ , there is a smallest ring (...) that contains the collection, namely the intersection of all contains rings, etc.

**Definition 2.1.7.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space.

1. The  $\sigma$ -ring generated by  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the  $\sigma$ -ring of Borel subsets of  $X$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a LCH space, then the  $\sigma$ -ring generated by the compact subsets is called the  $\sigma$ -ring of Borel sets.

**Note 2.1.2.**  $X = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S} = \{[a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b\}$

**Note 2.1.3.** Let  $P = \{[a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} : a < b\}$ .



**Definition 2.1.8.** Let  $X$  be a set,  $P$  a collection of subsets. We say that  $P$  is a pre-ring if

1. For  $E, F \in P$ , we have that  $E \cap F \in P$
2. For  $E, F \in P$ , there are  $G_1, \dots, G_n \in P$ , such that  $E \setminus F = \bigoplus^n G_j$ .

**Note 2.1.4.** Let  $\alpha$  be a non-decreasing left-continuous function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , if  $s < t$ , then  $\alpha(s) \leq \alpha(t)$ . Now, given  $\alpha$ , define  $\mu_\alpha([a, b)) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.2.**  $\mu_\alpha$  on  $P$  is countably additive.

*Proof.* Need: if  $[a_0, b_0) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty [a_n, b_n)$ , then  $\mu_\alpha([a_0, b_0)) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu_\alpha([a_n, b_n))$ . Need to show  $\geq$ : Suffices to show that for each  $n$ ,  $\mu_\alpha([a_0, b_0)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_\alpha([a_j, b_j))$ . we know that the  $[a_j, b_j)$  are disjoint. We can renumber these intervals so that  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$ . Since disjoint,  $b_j \leq a_{j+1}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\alpha(b_1) - \alpha(a_1) + \alpha(b_2) - \alpha(a_2) + \dots + \alpha(b_n) - \alpha(a_n) = -\alpha(a_1) + (\alpha(b_1) - \alpha(a_2)) (\leq 0) + \dots + (\alpha(b_{n-1}) - \alpha(a_n)) (\leq 0) + \alpha(b_n) \leq \alpha(b_n) - \alpha(a_1) \leq \alpha(b_0) - \alpha(a_0) = \mu_\alpha([a_0, b_0))$ . We now need  $\mu_\alpha([a_0, b_0)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu_\alpha([a_j, b_j))$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $\epsilon_j$ 's,  $\epsilon_j > 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \epsilon_j \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , where  $\epsilon_j = \frac{\epsilon}{2^{j+1}}$ . Choose  $b'_0 < b_0$ , such that (since  $\alpha$  is left continuous),  $\alpha(b'_0) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \geq \alpha(b_0)$ , for each  $j$ , choose  $a'_j < a_j$  such that  $\alpha(a'_j) + \epsilon_j \geq \alpha(a_j)$ ,  $\alpha(a'_j) < \alpha(a_j)$ . Then,  $[a_0, b'_0] \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty (a'_j, b_j)$ , so there is a finite subcover. Remember finite subcover  $\mathcal{C}$  as follows. Let  $(a'_1, b_1)$  be the interval in  $\mathcal{C}$ , with smallest  $a_1$ . Assume  $b_1 \leq b'_0$ . Let  $(a'_2, b_2)$  the interval in  $\mathcal{C}$  that contains  $b_1$  and has smallest  $a'_2$ , so  $a'_2 < b_2$ . Continue  $\dots (a'_j, b_j)$ ,  $a_{j+1} < b_j$ . As soon as  $b_j > b'_0$ , STOP.  $\mu_\alpha([a_0, b_0]) = \alpha(b_0) - \alpha(a_0) \leq \alpha(b'_0) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \alpha(a_0) \leq \alpha(b'_0) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \alpha(a'_0) \leq \alpha(b_n) - \alpha(a'_0) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ ,  $b_n > b'_0$ ,  $a'_{j+1} \leq b_j$ ,  $\alpha(a'_{j+1}) \leq \alpha(b_j)$ ,  $\alpha(b_j) - \alpha(a'_j) \geq 0$ . ■

Insert stuff in picture above.

**Definition 2.1.9.** A premeasure is a function  $\mu$  defined on a semiring  $P$ ,  $\mu : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , and is countably additive. Each  $\mu_\alpha$  is a pre-measure.

**Theorem 2.1.3.**  $\mu : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  just finitely added. Then, if  $E \in P$  contains  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n F_j$ . Then,  $\mu(E) \geq \sum \mu(F_j)$ .

*Proof.*  $E = \bigoplus H_n \oplus E_n \oplus F_j$ ,  $\mu(E) = \sum \mu(H_n)(\geq 0) + \sum \mu(E \cap F_j)(= F_j)$  ■

**Definition 2.1.10.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of sets

$[a_0, b'_0] \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n (a'_j, b_j)$  overlapping,  $b_j > a'_{j+1}$ ,  $a'_1 < a_0$ ,  $b_n > b'_0$ . Then  $\alpha(b'_0) - \alpha(a_0) \leq \sum \alpha(b_j) - \alpha(a_j)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha(b_j) - \alpha(a_j) &= \alpha(b_n) - (\alpha(a'_n) - \alpha(b_{n-1}))(< 0) - \dots - (\alpha(a'_2) - \alpha(b_1))(< 0) - \alpha(a'_1) \\ &\geq \alpha(b_n) - \alpha(a'_1) \\ &\geq \alpha(b'_0) - \alpha(a_0). \end{aligned}$$

■

We saw that if  $E \supseteq \bigoplus_{j=1}^n F_j$ , for  $\mu$  on every  $P$ , then  $\mu(E) \geq \sum \mu(F_j)$ .

**Definition 2.1.11.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ . let  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , we say that  $\mu$  is countably additive if whenever we have that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ , then  $\mu(E) \leq \sum \mu(F_j)$ .

**Definition 2.1.12.**  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  is monotone if  $E \supseteq F$  implies that  $\mu(E) \supseteq \mu(F)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.4.** Let  $P$  be a semiring,  $\mu : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , countably additive  $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ . Then  $\mu$  is countably subadditive,  $E \subseteq \bigcup F_j$  want  $\mu(E) \leq \sum \mu(F_j)$ .

*Proof.* Then,  $E \subseteq \cup F_j \cap E$ , and by  $\mu$  monotone,  $\mu(F_j \cap E) \leq \mu(F_j)$ , so it suffices to show that for  $E = \cup^\infty F_j$ , then disjointage: set  $H_j$  (not really in  $P$ ) =  $F_j \setminus \cup_{k < j} F_k$ .  $H_1 = F_1$ . Then,  $E = \bigoplus H_j$ . Note that  $H_j = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_k} G_{jk}$ , with  $G_{jk} \in P$ . Thus,  $E = \bigoplus G_{jk} \in P$ . Next, by the countable additivity of  $\mu$ , we must have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &= \sum_{j,k} \mu(G_{jk}) = \sum_j \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \mu(G_{jk}) \\ &\leq \sum_j \mu(F_j). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\bigoplus_k G_{jk} \subseteq F_j$  and  $\sum_k \mu(G_{jk}) \leq \mu(F_j)$ . ■

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of a set  $X$ , and let  $\mu$  be any function from  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ . For any  $A \subseteq X$ , set  $\mu^*(A) = \inf\{\sum \mu(F_j) : F_j \in \mathcal{F}, A \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^\infty F_j\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}) = \{A \subseteq X : \exists \{F_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{F}, \text{ with } A \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^\infty F_j\}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, this is hereditary (i.e. if  $A \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $B \subseteq A$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})$ ). Finally, note that the  $F'_j$ s cover  $A$ . Set  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

**Example 2.1.1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , then let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}) =$  countable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 2.1.2.** Properties:

1. Monotone.
2.  $\mu^*$  is countably sub-additive.

*Proof.* (2): Let  $A, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $A \subseteq \cup B_j$ . Want  $\mu^*(A) \leq \sum \mu^*(B_j)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, choose  $\{\epsilon_j > 0\}$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \epsilon_j < \epsilon$ , for each  $j$ , choose  $\{F_k^j\}_{k=1}^\infty$  with  $B_j \subseteq \cup_k F_k^j$  but  $\sum_k \mu(F_k^j) \leq \mu^*(B_j) + \epsilon_j$ . Then,  $A \subseteq \cup_{j,k} F_k^j$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &\leq \sum_{j,k} \mu(F_k^j) = \sum_j \sum_k \mu(F_k^j) \\ &\leq \sum_j (\mu^*(B_j) + \epsilon_j) \dots \end{aligned}$$

■

**Definition 2.1.13.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $X$ . By an outer measure on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we mean a finite  $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$  that is monotone and countably subadditive,  $\mathcal{V}(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Let  $P$  be a semiring, and let  $\mu$  be a premeasure on  $P$ , i.e.  $\mu$  is countably additive. Let  $\mu^*$  be the corresponding outer measure on  $\mathcal{H}(P)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.5.** For any  $E \in P$ ,  $\mu^*(E) = \mu(E)$ , i.e.  $\mu^*$  is an exterior of  $\mu$  to all of  $\mathcal{H}(P)$ .

*Proof.*  $\mu^*(E) = \inf\{\sum \mu(F_j) : E \subseteq \cup F_j\}$ , so  $\mu(E) \leq \mu^*(E)$ , but  $\mu$  is countably additive, so  $\mu(E) \leq \sum \mu(F_j)$ . For  $E_n$ ,  $\mu(E) = \mu^*(E)$ . ■

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an outer measure on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{H}$ . We say that  $E$  splits all sets in  $\mathcal{H}$  if for any  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}(A) = \mathcal{V}(A \cap E) + \mathcal{V}(A \setminus E)$  (Note that  $A = A \cap E \oplus A \setminus E$ . By subadditive, we have  $\leq$ , so we have that  $\mathcal{V}(A) \geq$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}) = \{E \in \mathcal{H} : E \text{ splits all sets in } \mathcal{H}\}$ , with  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.6.**  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, and  $\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is countably additive and therefore a measure.

*Proof.* Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ . We want  $E \cup F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ , we want that  $\mathcal{V}(A) \geq \mathcal{V}(A \cap (E \cup F)) + \mathcal{V}(A \setminus (E \cup F)) = \mathcal{V}(A \cap E \oplus (A \setminus E) \cap F) \leq \mathcal{V}(A \cap E) + \mathcal{V}((A \setminus E) \cap F) + \mathcal{V}((A \setminus E) \setminus F) = \mathcal{V}(A \cap E) + \mathcal{V}(A \setminus E) = \mathcal{V}(A)$ , because  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ .

Now, we want to show that if  $E, F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$  the  $E \setminus F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ . We want  $\mathcal{V}(A) = \mathcal{V}(A \cap (E \setminus F)) + \mathcal{V}(A \setminus (E \setminus F)) = \mathcal{V}((A \cap E) \setminus F) + \mathcal{V}((A \setminus E) \cup (A \cap F)) = \mathcal{V}((A \setminus E) \oplus (A \cap F \cap E)) \leq \mathcal{V}((A \cap E) \setminus F) + \mathcal{V}(A \setminus E) + \mathcal{V}(A \cap F \cap E) = \mathcal{V}(A \cap E) + \mathcal{V}(A \setminus E) = \mathcal{V}(A)$ . ■

$\mathcal{H}$  is hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $X$ ,  $\nu$  is an outer measure defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $M(\nu) = \{E \in \mathcal{H} : \nu(A \cap E) + \nu(A \setminus E) = \nu(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . We saw that  $M(\nu)$ , the  $\nu$ -measurable sets is a ring. We now claim that if  $E, F \in M(\nu)$ ,  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then for all  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\nu(A \cap E \oplus A \cap F) = \nu(A \cap E) + \nu(A \cap F)$ .

*Proof.*  $E$  splits  $A \cap (E \oplus F)$ , or equivalently  $\nu((A \cap (E \oplus F)) \cap E) + \nu((A \cap (E \oplus F)) \setminus E) = \nu((A \cap E) \oplus (A \cap F))$ . ■

**Theorem 2.1.7.**  $M(\nu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, and  $\nu$  is countably additive on  $M(\nu)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq M(\nu)$ . Let  $G = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty E_j$ . We want to show that  $G \in M(\nu)$ . Given  $A$ , we need to show that  $G$  splits  $A$ . Can disjointize the  $E_j$ 's, so  $G = \bigoplus_{j=1}^\infty F_j$ ,  $F_j \in M(\nu)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A) &= \nu(A \cap \bigoplus_{j=1}^n F_j) + \nu(A \setminus \bigoplus_{j=1}^n F_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(A \cap F_j) + \nu(A \setminus G) \text{ by nu monotone} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(A \cap F_j) + \nu(A \setminus G) \text{ by nu monotone} \\ \nu(A) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \nu(A \cap F_j) + \nu(A \setminus G) \geq (\text{countably additive}) \nu(A \cap G) + \nu(A \setminus G) \geq \nu(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $M(\nu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring. ■

**Note 2.1.5.** For a set  $X$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A) &= 1, A \neq \emptyset \\ \nu(\emptyset) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.1.8.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mu)$  be a premeasure. Let  $\mu^*$  be the corresponding outer measure on  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$ . Then,  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq M(\mu^*)$ . Define

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum \mu(E_j) : E_j \in \mathcal{P}, A \subseteq \bigcup E_j \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $E \setminus F = \bigoplus^n G_j$ ,  $G_j \in \mathcal{P}$ . Hence,  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap F) + \mu^*(E \setminus F)$ , so  $\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap F) + \mu^*(E \setminus F)$ . Then, let  $E \in \mathcal{P}$ , then let  $A \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$ , we need  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E)$ . Now, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and choose  $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{P}$ ,  $A \subseteq \bigcup^n F_j$ ,  $\mu^*(A) + \epsilon \geq \sum^n \mu(F_j)$ . Then,  $\epsilon + \mu(A) \geq \sum^n \mu(F_j) = \sum^n \mu(F_j \cap E) + \sum^n \mu^*(F_j \setminus E) = \sum \mu(\bigcup F_j \cap E) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E)$  (monotone) +  $\mu^*(A \setminus E)$  (countably additive)  $\geq \mu^*(A)$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \setminus E)$ . Hence,  $E \in M(\mu^*)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq M(\mu^*)$ . ■

$\mathcal{H}, \nu M(\nu)$ . If  $A \in M(\nu)$  and if  $\nu(A) = 0$ , then  $A = \emptyset$ , then for any  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B \in M(\nu)$  (with  $\nu(B) = 0$ ), “complete,” given any  $D \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\nu(D) \geq \nu(D \cap B) + \nu(D \setminus B)$ , by monotone.

**Note 2.1.6.** If  $(\mathcal{P}, \mu)$  is a premeasure then  $\mu^*$  on  $M(\mu^*)$  is a complete measure. Can restrict  $\mu^*$  to the  $\mathcal{S}(\mu) = \sigma$ -ring generated by  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(\mu) \subseteq M(\mu^*)$ , but  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mu)$  need not be complete. For  $\alpha$  a left-cont non-decreasing function,  $\mu_\alpha^*$  on  $M(\mu_\alpha)$  is called a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure, which

is complete its restriction to  $\mathcal{S}$  ( $\mathcal{P}$  is called a Borel-Stieltjes measure. Maybe not be complete.  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$  are the Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ . But different  $\alpha$ 's maybe have different  $M(\mu^*)$ . When using just one measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we usually use  $M(\mu_\alpha^*)$ . When using many of the  $\mu_\alpha$ 's, use  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ , because they are all defined on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ , if considering  $\alpha$ 's with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (\alpha(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \alpha(t)) = 1$ . Then, the  $\mu_\alpha$  have  $\mu_\alpha(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ . The  $\mu_\alpha$  are the (Borel) probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next, note that in the case of  $\alpha(t) = t$ , gives Lebesgue measuer on  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is the translation invariant.

$$[a, b), [a + c, b + c), b - a = (b + c) - (a + c).$$

**Definition 2.1.14.** A measure  $\mu$  or  $\sigma$ -rings is said to be  $\sigma$ -finite if for all  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ , there are  $\{F_j\} \subset \mathcal{S}$  with  $\mu(F_j) < \infty$  and  $E \subseteq \cup F_j$ .

**Theorem 2.1.9.** For  $\mu, \mathcal{S}, \mu^*, \mu^*(A) = \inf\{\sum \mu(E_j) : A \subseteq \cup^\infty E_j, E_j \in \mathcal{S}\}$ , we can disjointize  $A \subseteq \oplus F_j \sum \mu(F_j) \leq \sum \mu(E_j), \sum \mu(F_j) = \mu(\oplus F_j), \mu^* = \dots$

**Theorem 2.1.10.** Let  $(\mu, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $M(\mu^*)$  be the  $\mu^*$ -measureable sets the  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq M(\mu^*)$ . We can then consider  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq M(\mu^*)$ . Then, the restriction of  $\mu^*$  to  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is the largest extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{S}_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be another extension of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then, for  $A \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . ■

Midterm is on next Thursday :(  
 $(\mathcal{P}, \mu), \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P}), \mu^*, M(\mu^*) \supseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring. For any  $A \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P}), \mu^*(A) = \inf\{\mu^*(E) : E \in M(\mu^*), A \subseteq E\}$ . Then, for each  $n$ , choose  $E_n \supseteq A$  such that  $\mu^*(E_n) \leq \mu^*(A) + 1/n$ . Then, set  $E = \bigcap E_n \supseteq A, \mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.11.** Assume that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. For all  $A \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{P})$  there are  $\{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}, \mu(E_n) < \infty$  and  $A \subseteq \bigcup E_n$ . Then, for any  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq M(\mu^*), \mu$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ , and any extension,  $\mu'$ , of  $\mu$ , then  $\mu'(F) = \mu^*(F)$ , for any  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  (so extension  $\mu'$  is unique).

*Proof.* Part 1: Assume that  $F \in \mathcal{S}, F \subseteq E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}), \mu(E) < \infty, E = E \cap F \oplus E \setminus F$ .  $\mu'(E) = \mu(E) = \mu^*(E \cap F) + \mu^*(E \setminus F) \geq \mu'(E \cap F) + \mu'(E \setminus F) = \mu'(E)$ . But  $\infty > \mu^*(E \cap F) \geq \mu'(E \cap F), \infty > \mu^*(E \setminus F) \geq \mu(E \setminus F)$ . Thus,  $\mu^*(E \cap F) = \mu'(E \cap F), \mu^*(F) = \mu'(F)$ .

For general  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ , assume  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then there exists  $\{E_j\} : F \subseteq \bigcup E_j, \mu(E_j) < \infty$ , can disjointize, so assume that  $F \subseteq \bigoplus E_j$ . Then,  $\mu'(F) = \sum \mu'(F \cap E_j) = \sum \mu^*(F \cap E_j) = \mu^*(\bigoplus F \cap E_j) = \mu^*(F)$ . ■

## 2.2 Continuity Properties of Measures

**Theorem 2.2.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $\{E_j\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , increasing, i.e.  $E_{j+1} \supseteq E_j$ . Let  $E = \bigcup^\infty E_j$ . Then,  $\mu(E) = \lim \mu(E_j)$ .

*Proof.*  $E = E_1 \oplus (E_2 \setminus E_1) \oplus (E_3 \setminus E_2) \cdots (E_{j+1} \setminus E_j)$ . Hence, it must be the case that

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{j+1} \setminus E_j) + \mu(E_1).$$

Then,  $\mu(E_n) = \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2 \setminus E_1) + \dots + \mu(E_n \setminus E_{n-1})$  partial sum. Thus,  $\mu(E_n) \rightarrow \mu(E)$ . ■

**Theorem 2.2.2.**  $\{E_j\}, E_{j+1} \subseteq E_j, E = \bigcap E_j$ .  $\mu(E_j) \rightarrow \mu(E)$ , and if  $(\mu(E_1) < \infty)$ , then  $\mu(E_j) \rightarrow \mu(E)$ .

*Proof.* See online notes (hopefully?). ■

**Example 2.2.1.** A counterexample,  $\mathbb{R}, M$  Lebesgue:  $E_j = [j, \infty)$ .  $\mu(E_j) = \infty, \bigcap E_j = \emptyset \rightarrow 0$ .

$\mathbb{R}$ , Lebesgue measure,  $\mu_\alpha, \alpha([a, b)) = b - a$ . Translation movement.

$$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow T$$

$$t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t},$$

fundamental domain  $[0, 1)$ , transfer Lebesgue measure restricted to  $[0, 1)$  onto  $S^1$ . Then, we get a rotation invariant measure on  $T$ , with  $\mu(T) = 1$ . In the group  $T$ , let  $G$  be the subgroup of elements of finite order,  $\{e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{n}}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .  $G$  is a countable subgroup (Dense in  $T$ ). Consider  $T/G = \{\text{cosets}\}$ , which is uncountable. Let  $A \subset T$  consist of a closure of one point for each coset

of  $G$ , each element of  $T$  is in one coset. Thus,  $T = \bigoplus_{r \in G} rA$ . Given  $z \in T$ , there is  $a \in A$ , in the same coset as  $z$ , i.e.,  $z = ra$ . By translation of invariance,  $\mu(rA) = \mu(A)$  for all  $r \in G$ , but  $G$  is countable,

$$1 = \mu(T) = \sum_{r \in G} \mu(rA) = \sum_{r \in G} \mu(A).$$

Hence,  $A$  is not measurable.

**Note 2.2.1.** Berkeley professor Solovey showed that you cannot show that there are non-measurable sets without something like the axiom of choice.

## 2.3 Introduction to Integration

$(X, \mathcal{S})$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a ring of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $B$  be a vector space. Given  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in E \\ 0 & x \notin E. \end{cases}$$

If  $b \in B$ ,

$$b\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} b & x \in E \\ 0 & x \notin E. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.3.1.** By a simple  $B$ -valued function on  $X$ , we mean  $f : X \rightarrow B$  that has finite range, and for any  $b \in \text{range}(f)$ ,  $b \neq 0$ ,  $f^{-1}(b) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Thus,

$$f = \sum b_j \chi_{E_j},$$

where the  $b_j$  are not equal to 0 (or  $f \equiv 0$ ),  $E_j$ 's are disjoint and in  $\mathcal{S}$ . If

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \chi_{E_j},$$

with the  $E_j$ 's disjoint, but the  $b_j$ 's not distinct and  $b_j$  maybe 0.

**Lemma 2.3.1.** Let

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \chi_{E_j},$$

$E_j \in \mathcal{S}$  disjoint,  $b_j$  disjoint,  $\neq 0$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $c \in B$ , set  $g = c\chi_F$ . Then,  $f + g$  is a SMF.



*Proof.* Let  $E_{n+1} := F \setminus \bigoplus E_j$ . Then

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j E_j,$$

where  $b_{n+1} = 0$ ,  $F = \bigoplus (F \cap E_j)$ ,  $E_j = (E_j \cap F) \oplus (E_j \setminus F)$ . Note that  $F \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n+1}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j \chi_{E_j \cap F} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j \chi_{E_j \setminus F}, \\ g &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c \chi_{F \cap E_j}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$f + g = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (b_j + c) \chi_{E_j \cap F} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j \chi_{E_j \setminus F},$$

where  $E_j \cap F, E_j \setminus F \in \mathcal{S}$ . ■

**Lemma 2.3.2.** *If  $f, g$  are SMF's, then so is  $f + g$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$f = \sum b_j \chi_{E_j},$$

and

$$g = \sum c_k \chi_{F_k},$$

then  $f + c_1 \chi_{F_1}$ . ■

Let  $\mu$  be a finitely additive measure on  $\mathcal{S}$ . By a simple,  $\mu$ -integrable function, we mean a SMF

$$f = \sum b_j \chi_{E_j},$$

with disjoint  $E_j$  and distinct, nonzero  $b_j$ , such that  $\mu(E_j) < \infty$  for all  $j$ . Then,

$$\int b \chi_E d\mu = b \mu(E), \quad \mu(E) < \infty.$$

**Definition 2.3.2.** We define the integral as:

$$\int f d\mu = \sum b_j \mu(E'_j).$$

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *If*

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \chi_{E_j}$$

*is SIF, if  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $c \in B$ , then  $f + g$  is a SIF and*

$$\int (f + g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E_{n+1} = F \setminus \bigoplus E_j$ , then  $f + g$  (refer to above), so  $f + g$  is SIF. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f + g) d\mu &= \sum (b_j + c) \mu(E_j \cap F) + \sum b_j \mu(E_j \setminus F) \\ &= \sum b_j \mu(E_j \cap F) + \sum b_j \mu(E_j \setminus F) + \sum c \mu(E_j \cap F) = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu \\ &= \sum b_j \mu(E_j). \end{aligned}$$

■

**Lemma 2.3.4.** *If  $f$  is SMF, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\alpha f$ ,*

$$f = \sum b_j \chi_{E_j} \quad \alpha f = \sum (\alpha b_j) \chi_{E_j},$$

*SMF( $X, \mathcal{S}, B$ ) forms a vector space under pointwise operations, SIF( $X, \mathcal{S}, \mu, B$ ).*

**Note 2.3.1.** SIF( $X, \mathcal{S}, \mu, B$ ), and

$$f \mapsto \int f d\mu$$

is a linear operator.

If  $f \in \text{SIF}(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu, \mathbb{R})$  and if  $f \geq 0$ , then

$$\int f d\mu \geq 0, f = \sum b_j \chi_{E_j}, b_j \in \mathbb{R}, b_j \geq 0,$$

we have that

$$\int f d\mu = \sum b_j \mu(E_j) \geq 0,$$

for  $f, g \in \text{SIF}(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ , we say that  $f \geq g$  if  $f(x) \geq g(x)$  for any  $x$ , or equivalently,  $f - g \geq 0$ . If  $f \geq g$ , then

$$\int f d\mu \geq \int g d\mu.$$

Let  $B$  have a norm  $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_B$ . For  $f$  any  $B$ -valued function, define

$$x \mapsto \|f(x)\|$$

is  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -valued, if  $f$  is a SMF,

$$f = \sum b_j \chi_{E_j},$$

then  $\|f(x)\| = \sum \|b_j\| \chi_{E_j}$ , so  $x \mapsto \|f(x)\|$  is SMF. If  $f$  is SMF, then  $x \mapsto \|f(x)\|$  is SMF.

**Definition 2.3.3.**  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on  $\text{SIF}(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu, B)$  by

$$\|f\|_1 = \int \|f(x)\| d\mu(x).$$

**Note 2.3.2.** Some properties of this include:

$$1. \|\alpha f\|_1 = \int \|\alpha f(x)\| d\mu(x) = |\alpha| \cdot \|f\|_1.$$

$$2. \|f + g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1. \text{ Then,}$$

$$\int \|f(x) + g(x)\| d\mu(x) \leq \int (\|f(x)\| + \|g(x)\|) d\mu(x) = \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1,$$

so  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is a norm on SIF.

If  $f$  is SIF and

$$\|f\| = \int f d\mu = 0,$$

then

$$\|f\| = \sum |b_j| \chi_{E_j}(x), 0 = \|f\|_1 = \sum |b_j| \mu(E_j) \implies \mu(E_j) = 0, \forall j.$$

Let  $N(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu) = \{E \in \mathcal{S} : \mu(E) = 0\}$ , where  $N$  stands for null sets, ring. Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{\text{SIF} f : \|f\|_1 = 0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a vector space of SIF,  $\text{SIF}/\mathcal{N}$  is a vector space, and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  drops to give a norm on  $\text{SIF}/\mathcal{N}$ .  $(\text{SIF}/\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_1)$ . We need to find the completion. Let  $\{b_j\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $B$ . Then,  $f_j = b_j \chi_E, \{f_j\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . We need  $B$  to be complete, so we

need a Banach space. Let  $\{E_j\}$  be a disjoint collection of  $\subseteq \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mu(E_j) \leq \frac{1}{2^j}$ . Choose  $b \in B$ ,  $\|b\| = 1$ . Let

$$f_n = \sum_{j=1}^n b\chi_{E_j} = b\chi_{\bigoplus_{j=1}^n E_j},$$

where  $\{f_j\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . Should converge to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b\chi_{E_j} = b\chi_{\infty E_j},$$

and note that

$$\mu\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n E_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2^j}.$$

**Definition 2.3.4.**  $(X, \mathcal{S})$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring. A  $B$ -valued function on  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable if there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of SMF that converges pointwise to  $f$ , for  $(\|\cdot\|_B)$ .