| | Directed Graphs | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | Given a DAG and two vertices v and w , describe an algorithm to find the lowest common ancestor (LCA) of v and w . The LCA of v and w is an ancestor of v and w that has no descendants that are also ancestors of v and w . | | | | | | |
| | Computing the LCA is useful in multiple inheritance in programming languages, analysis of genealogical data (find degree of inbreeding in a pedigree graph), and other applications. | | | | | | |
| | Hint: determine a way to find the height of vertices v and w as a distance from a root. Use that to find the lowest common ancestor of v and w . | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Topological Sort | | | | | | |
| 2. | Describe a method that checks whether or not a given permutation of a DAG's vertices is a topological ordering of that DAG. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. | A colleague suggests an alternate method to find the topological ordering of a DAG: run BFS, and label the vertices by increasing distance to their respective source. Explain why your colleague's algorithm won't necessarily always produce a true topological ordering. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Design an algorithm to determine whether a directed graph has a unique topological ordering. | | | | | | |
| | Hint: If the directed graph has multiple topological orderings, then a second topological order can be obtained by swapping a pair of consecutive vertices. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Homework 6

Strongly Connected Components

 ${\rm CompSci~404.1}$

Name: ___

5. Describe what the strongly connected components of a directed acyclic graph (DAG) are.

| CompSci 404.1 | | Name: | Name: | | | | Homework 6 | |
|---------------|--|---|-------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | pesn't believe the prove your collea | | ongly connecte | ed components | in G^R are the | same as in G . | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | ed Kosaraju's algored componets | | | | BFS on a DA | G to determine | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | | storder of a grapent? Why or why | | the same as the | he postorder of | the graph. Is | there any truth | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 9. | | ssary to first tra e been designed t | | | | araju's algorit | hm? Could the | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |