## The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information				
Data Set	HCA202.FRMGHAM			
Response Variable	DEATH	Death indicator		
Number of Response Levels	2			
Model	binary logit			
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring			

Number of Observations Read	11627
Number of Observations Used	11575

Response Profile			
Ordered Total Value DEATH Frequency			
1	0	8080	
2	1	3495	

## Probability modeled is DEATH=0.

Note: 52 observations were deleted due to missing values for the response or explanatory variables.

Class Level Information			
Class	Class Value Variables		
SEX	1	1	
	2	-1	

## **Model Convergence Status** Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion Intercept and Covariates				
AIC	14181.429	12716.883		
sc	14188.786	12746.310		
-2 Log L	14179.429	12708.883		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0				
Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq	
Likelihood Ratio	1470.5456	3	<.0001	
Score	1399.0749	3	<.0001	
Wald	1240.6637	3	<.0001	

## The LOGISTIC Procedure

Type 3 Analysis of Effects				
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
AGE	1	1046.9900	<.0001	
SEX	1	315.1594	<.0001	
ВМІ	1	9.0980	0.0026	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq						
Intercept		1	5.6384	0.1955	831.7843	<.0001
AGE		1	-0.0788	0.00243	1046.9900	<.0001
SEX	1	1	-0.3882	0.0219	315.1594	<.0001
ВМІ		1	-0.0159	0.00528	9.0980	0.0026

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Point 95% Wald Confidence Limits					
AGE	0.924	0.920 0.929			
SEX 1 vs 2	0.460	0.422 0.50			
ВМІ	0.984	0.974	0.994		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses						
Percent Concordant 71.6 Somers' D 0.432						
Percent Discordant	28.4	Gamma	0.432			
Percent Tied	Percent Tied 0.0 Tau-a 0.18					
Pairs	28239600	С	0.716			