

# COL334 Assignment 1

Dipen Kumar (2018CS50098)

October 23, 2020

## 1. Network Analysis

---

1. Run traceroute via Nepal Telecom DSL broadband network (via wifi) for www.google.com

```
(base) Dipens-MacBook-Air:~ dipenkumar$ traceroute www.google.com
traceroute to www.google.com (216.58.196.196), 64 hops max, 52 byte packets
 1 192.168.1.1 (192.168.1.1) 1.959 ms 11.315 ms 1.376 ms
 2 1-adsl.ntc.net.np (49.244.128.1) 41.936 ms 38.242 ms 63.786 ms
 3 202.70.88.181 (202.70.88.181) 39.150 ms 38.222 ms 39.726 ms
 4 10.26.213.41 (10.26.213.41) 39.777 ms 46.367 ms 60.350 ms
 5 * * bhr.ger-04.ge1-1.ntc.net.np (202.70.93.97) 44.654 ms
 6 202.70.93.190 (202.70.93.190) 41.842 ms 42.933 ms 42.476 ms
 7 bhr.core-but.core.ntc.net.np (202.70.93.158) 143.204 ms 45.853 ms 41.365 ms
 8 74.125.119.92 (74.125.119.92) 71.031 ms 68.093 ms 68.854 ms
 9 108.170.251.113 (108.170.251.113) 130.639 ms 129.117 ms
   108.170.251.97 (108.170.251.97) 129.567 ms
10 216.239.47.99 (216.239.47.99) 128.929 ms
   216.239.56.253 (216.239.56.253) 131.161 ms 133.068 ms
11 kul06s14-in-f196.1e100.net (216.58.196.196) 129.772 ms 129.789 ms 129.911 ms
```

2. I have been using macOS and don't find any path that defaults to IPv6. Although, we can use -4 with tracert command in windows to force traceroute to use IPv4. I have seen 10.26.213.41 and 192.168.1.1 which are private IP addresses. Two occurrences of missing routers along the path that do not seem to reply to the traceroute requests.
3. Ping command when used with -s in macOS allows us to specify the size of packets to send. In my case the maximum size of ping packets that I am able to send is 1451 data bytes.

```
(base) Dipens-MacBook-Air:~ dipenkumar$ ping -t 1 -s 1452 www.google.com
PING www.google.com (216.58.196.196): 1452 data bytes

--- www.google.com ping statistics ---
1 packets transmitted, 0 packets received, 100.0% packet loss
(base) Dipens-MacBook-Air:~ dipenkumar$ ping -t 1 -s 1451 www.google.com
PING www.google.com (216.58.196.196): 1451 data bytes
76 bytes from 216.58.196.196: icmp_seq=0 ttl=56 time=173.972 ms
wrong total length 96 instead of 1479

--- www.google.com ping statistics ---
1 packets transmitted, 1 packets received, 0.0% packet loss
round-trip min/avg/max/stddev = 173.972/173.972/173.972/0.000 ms
```

## 2. Traceroute Script

Yes we can replicate the traceroute functionality using ping. Ping command when used with -m in macOS allows us to initialize a TTL.

I have given my python script replicating traceroute command using ping along with this report in zip format.

```
(base) Dipens-MacBook-Air:2018CS50098 dipenkumar$ python traceroute.py
traceroute www.iitd.ac.in
traceroute to www.iitd.ac.in (103.27.9.24), 64 hops max
 1 192.168.1.1 1.257 ms 11.501 ms 4.304 ms
 2 49.244.128.1 52.047 ms 173.696 ms 51.234 ms
 3 202.70.88.181 182.395 ms 109.348 ms 36.524 ms
 4 * * *
 5 202.70.93.201 54.950 ms 169.145 ms 239.144 ms
 6 180.87.39.193 1040.593 ms 387.610 ms 326.492 ms
 7 180.87.39.26 445.848 ms 325.216 ms 60.316 ms
 8 172.17.125.238 * * *
 9 14.140.210.22 141.514 ms 178.112 ms 225.567 ms
10 10.119.234.161 * * *
11 10.119.233.65 * * *
12 10.119.233.66 * * *
13 * * *
14 103.27.9.24 349.513 ms 254.983 ms 47.265 ms
```

## 3. Internet architecture

1. Yes, the number of hops between nodes in the same continent lower than the number of hops between nodes in different continents. This is because our packet is travelling through many ISP network to reach the destination in the other continent w.r.t when the destination is in the same continent. Yes, Google and Facebook differ from the others in the number of hops required to reach them because they have create their own large network and they directly peer with many local/regional ISP networks to decrease the latency and save cost (money otherwise they would have to give to Tier 1 ISP). The number of hops required to reach them is fairly small from almost every part of world when compared with others end systems separated by continents and large distance. In case of Google and Facebook our packet is not travelling through too many intermediate ISP networks and hence number of hops is small.

	Google	Facebook	IITD	University of Utah	University of Cape Town
Germany	9	9	30+	29	30+
USA	8	14	30+	20	30+
My System	11	15	14	32	30+

2. Yes, the latency seem to be related to the number of hops, being higher when there are more hops because the number of hops specifies the number of packet switches that were traversed through by our packet to reach the destination. each packet switch will add its processing, queuing, transmission and propagation delay to the overall delay for a packet to reach the destination from the source. This will increase the latency when for more hops.

	Google	Facebook	IITD	University of Utah	University of Cape Town
Germany	3.883 ms	3.682 ms	time out	153.429 ms	time out
USA	2.776 ms	39.821 ms	time out	51.936 ms	time out
My System	71.220 ms	139.104 ms	110.857 ms	351.664 ms	time out

3. Web servers of universities are resolved to the same IP address irrespective of from where we do a traceroute to them because they have a single server located fixed in the world. Whereas web servers of big content and service providers like facebook and google have multiple data centers with multiple servers all around the world. They have created their own network and hence DNS will lookup the address and get reply from authoritative DNS server with an IP address optimized for the client location. When enquired from different part of the world, different DNS servers respond and each will respond with the different addresses optimized for them. Hence they are resolved to different IP addresses when queried from different parts of the world. We can use nslookup to change the DNS server that we want to use and hence will get IP address accordingly. Also busy sites replicated over multiple servers, with each running one different end system and each having different IP address. DNS replies with different IP addresses to distribute the traffic among replicated servers.
4. Yes, the paths are different. Those paths are longer where destination IP address was fetched by traceroute server sitting on some other continent because in that case that IP address is optimized for that traceroute server in that continent and hence our packet will need to traverse more through many ISP networks to reach that destination IP address and thus hops number increases, path length increases and changes accordingly to reach that destination IP address.
5. It is very difficult to find such country. So far all the countries I checked have local ISP directly peered to Google and Facebook. We have to check every IP address in the path and track the path to see if our data is going from regional to tier 1 ISP and then to Google and Facebook in that case we can say local ISP is not directly peered to Google and Facebook. Whois services is limited to check extensively every IP address. We can get rough idea from number of hops, if it is large implies not directly peered and going through many intermediate ISP networks. But if it is low the we can say it is directly peered to local ISP network and immediately our packet get into Google or Facebook network. In my case I am using service of Nepal Telecom and it then forward the packet to Japan Tokyo Google Llc, that local ISP Nepal Telecom is directly peered with google.