```
Module 11: Solutions to Recommended Exercises
TMA4268 Statistical Learning V2023
Daesoo Lee, Emma Skarstein, Kenneth Aase, Stefanie Muff
Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU
April 27, 2023
Problem 1
a)
It is a 4-4-4-3 feedforward neural network with an extra bias node in both the input and the two hidden layers. It can be written in the following form
                y_c(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_o(eta_{0c} + \sum_{m=1}^4 eta_{mc} z_m) = \phi_o(eta_{0c} + \sum_{m=1}^4 eta_{mc} \phi_{h*}(\gamma_{0m} + \sum_{l=1}^4 \gamma_{lm} \phi_h(lpha_{0l} + \sum_{j=1}^4 lpha_{jl} x_j))).
b)
It is not clear wheter the network has 3 input nodes, or 2 input nodes plus one bias node (both would lead to the same representation). The hidden
layer has 4 nodes, but no bias node, and the output layer consists of two nodes. This can be used for regression with two responses. If we have a
classifiation problem with two classes then we usually use only one output node, but is is possible to use softmax activation for two classes, but
that is very uncommon. Remember that for a binary outcome, we would usually only use one output node that encodes for the probability to be in
one of the two classes.
c)
When the hidden layer has a linear activation the model is only linear in the original covariates, so adding the extra hidden layer will not add non-
linearity to the model. The feedforward model may find latent structure in the data in the hidden layer. In general, however, we would then
recommend to directly use logistic regression, because you then end up with a model that is easier to interpret.
d)
This is possible because the neural network is fitted using iterative methods. But, there is not one unique solutions here, and the network will
benefit greatly by adding some sort of regulariztion, like weight decay and early stopping.
Problem 2
a)
This is a feedforward network with 10 input nodes plus a bias node, a hidden layer with 5 nodes plus a bias node, and a single node in the output
layer. The hidden layer has a ReLU activiation function, whereas the output layer has a linear activation function.
The number of the estimated parameters are (10+1)*5+(5+1)=61.
b)
Feedforward network with two hidden layers. Input layer has 4 nodes and no bias term, the first hidden layer has 10 nodes and ReLU activation
and a bias node, the second hidden layer has 5 nodes plus a bias node and ReLU activiation. One node in output layer with sigmoid activiation.
The number of estimated parameters are 4 * 10 + (10 + 1) * 5 + (5 + 1) = 101.
c)
In module 7 we had an additive model of non-linear function, and interactions would be added manually (i.e., explicitly). Each coefficient estimated
would be rather easy to interpret. For neural nets we know that with one hidden layer and squashing type activation we can fit any function
(regression), but may need many nodes - and then the interpretation might not be so easy. Interactions are automatically handled with the non-
linear function of sums.
Problem 3
1. Load and preprocess data
 # load
 boston_housing <- dataset_boston_housing()</pre>
 x_train <- boston_housing$train$x</pre>
 y_train <- boston_housing$train$y</pre>
 x_test <- boston_housing$test$x</pre>
 y_test <- boston_housing$test$y</pre>
 # preprocess
 mean <- apply(x_train, 2, mean)</pre>
 std <- apply(x_train, 2, sd)</pre>
 x_train <- scale(x_train, center = mean, scale = std)</pre>
 x_test <- scale(x_test, center = mean, scale = std)</pre>
a)
2. Define the model
 model_r <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
   layer_dense(units = 64, activation = "relu", input_shape = 13) %>%
   layer_dense(units = 32, activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_dense(units = 1)
 summary(model_r)
 ## Model: "sequential"
 ## Layer (type)
                                         Output Shape
                                                                           Param #
 ## dense_2 (Dense)
                                                                           896
                                          (None, 64)
 ## dense_1 (Dense)
                                          (None, 32)
                                                                           2080
 ## dense (Dense)
                                          (None, 1)
 ## Total params: 3,009
 ## Trainable params: 3,009
 ## Non-trainable params: 0
3. Compile
 model_r %>% compile(
   loss = "mean_squared_error",
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001), # adam is the most common optimizer for its robustness.
   metrics = c("mean_absolute_error")
4. Train the model
 history <- model_r %>% fit(
   x_train, y_train,
   epochs = 100,
   batch_size = 32,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
5. Test
 scores <- model_r %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss (MSE):", scores[[1]], "\n",
     "Test mean absolute error (MAE):", scores[[2]], "\n")
 ## Test loss (MSE): 21.91999
 ## Test mean absolute error (MAE): 2.906326
Plot training history
 plot(history)
     600 -
     400
     200
                                                                             data
                                                                              training
                                                                              validation
     20
 mean_absolute_error
      15
                                  40
          0
                      20
                                      epoch
Additional plot: confusion matrix
 predictions <- model_r %>% predict(x_test)
 plot_df <- data.frame(Predicted = predictions, Actual = y_test)</pre>
 ggplot(plot_df, aes(x = Actual, y = Predicted)) +
   geom_point() +
   geom_abline(slope = 1, intercept = 0, color = "red", linetype = "dashed") +
   theme_bw() +
   xlab("Actual Values") +
   ylab("Predicted Values") +
   ggtitle("Predicted vs. Actual Values (Feedforward NN)") +
   xlim(0, 55) +
   ylim(0, 55)
      Predicted vs. Actual Values (Feedforward NN)
   40
Predicted Valu
                                    20
                                                               40
                                         Actual Values
b)
Comparison to a Linear Regression Model
 # Fit a linear regression model
 linear_model <- lm(y_train ~ ., data = as.data.frame(cbind(x_train, y_train)))
 # Make predictions on the test set
 predictions <- predict(linear_model, as.data.frame(x_test))</pre>
 # Calculate the mean squared error and mean absolute error
 mse <- mean((y_test - predictions)^2)</pre>
 mae <- mean(abs(y_test - predictions))</pre>
 cat("=== [Feedforward Neural Network] === \n", "Test loss (MSE):", scores[[1]],
     "Test mean absolute error (MAE):", scores[[2]],
     "=== [Linear Regression] === \n",
     "Test loss (MSE):", mse, "\n",
     "Test mean absolute error (MAE):", mae, "\n",
     "======\n\n")
 ## === [Feedforward Neural Network] ===
    Test loss (MSE): 21.91999
 ## Test mean absolute error (MAE): 2.906326
 ##
 ## === [Linear Regression] ===
 ## Test loss (MSE): 23.1956
 ## Test mean absolute error (MAE): 3.464186
    plot_df <- data.frame(Predicted = predictions, Actual = y_test)</pre>
 ggplot(plot_df, aes(x = Actual, y = Predicted)) +
   geom_point() +
   geom_abline(slope = 1, intercept = 0, color = "red", linetype = "dashed") +
   theme_bw() +
   xlab("Actual Values") +
   ylab("Predicted Values") +
   ggtitle("Predicted vs. Actual Values (Linear Regression)") +
   xlim(0, 55) +
   ylim(0, 55)
      Predicted vs. Actual Values (Linear Regression)
   40
Predicted Values
                                         Actual Values
c)
   • The feedforward neural network (FNN) demonstrates superior performance compared to the linear model. However, the FNN comes with
     reduced interpretability and increased complexity. As a result, some may prefer the simpler and more interpretable linear model.
Problem 4: Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)
Problem 4.1: Image Classification with CNN
1. Load and preprocess data
 cifar10 <- dataset_cifar10()</pre>
 x_train <- cifar10$train$x / 255</pre>
 y_train <- to_categorical(cifar10$train$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
 x_test <- cifar10$test$x / 255</pre>
 y_test <- to_categorical(cifar10$test$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
a)
2. Define the model
 model_c <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 32, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu", input_shape = c(32, 32, 3)) %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 64, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_flatten() %>%
   layer_dense(units = 64, activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_dense(units = 10, activation = "softmax")
 summary(model_c)
 ## Model: "sequential_1"
 ## Layer (type)
                                          Output Shape
                                                                           Param #
 ## conv2d_1 (Conv2D)
                                          (None, 30, 30, 32)
                                                                           896
    max_pooling2d_1 (MaxPooling2D)
                                          (None, 15, 15, 32)
 ## conv2d (Conv2D)
                                          (None, 13, 13, 64)
                                                                           18496
 ## max_pooling2d (MaxPooling2D)
                                         (None, 6, 6, 64)
 ## flatten (Flatten)
                                          (None, 2304)
 ## dense_4 (Dense)
                                          (None, 64)
                                                                           147520
 ## dense_3 (Dense)
                                          (None, 10)
 ## Total params: 167,562
 ## Trainable params: 167,562
 ## Non-trainable params: 0
3. Compile
 model_c %>% compile(
   loss = "categorical_crossentropy",
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001), # adam is the most common optimizer for its robustness.
   metrics = c("accuracy")
4. Train the model
 history <- model_c %>% fit(
   x_train, y_train,
   epochs = 20,
   batch\_size = 64,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
5. Test
 scores <- model_c %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss:", scores[[1]], "\n",
     "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]], "\n")
 ## Test loss: 1.237937
 ## Test accuracy: 0.6794
Plot training history
 plot(history)
  SSO 0.9 -
    0.6 -
                                                                             data
    0.3 -
                                                                              training
                                                                              validation
    0.8 -
  accuracy
     0.5 -
                                      10
                                      epoch
Additional plot: confusion matrix
 library(caret)
 predictions <- model_c %>% predict(x_test)%>% k_argmax()
 y_true <- cifar10$test$y</pre>
 confusion_matrix <- confusionMatrix(factor(as.vector(predictions)), factor(y_true))</pre>
 print(confusion_matrix$table)
               Reference
 ## Prediction 0 1 2 3 4 5
             0 725 32 56 30 23 21 8 20
                        6 18 7 7 12 7
             2 77 14 614 84 85 84 66 45 23
 ##
             3 13 4 54 401 51 122 55 33
                      5 76 85 623 47 60 59
 ##
                      3 68 214 55 613 42 55
 ##
                 5
                     6 42 44 44 15 696 4
                    3 39 52 84 62 12 738
 ##
             8 89 35 24 30 16 12 25 10 822 38
 ##
             9 38 130 21 42 12 17 24 29 29 794
b)
The exact misclassification rate should be slightly different for each run. A misclassification rate is calculated as (number of misclassified samples /
total number of samples).
Problem 4.2: Improving the test accuarcy with data augmentation techniques
 # 1) Load and preprocess data
 cifar10 <- dataset_cifar10()</pre>
 x_train <- cifar10$train$x / 255</pre>
 y_train <- to_categorical(cifar10$train$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
 x_{test} <- cifar10$test$x / 255
 y_test <- to_categorical(cifar10$test$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
 # 2) Define the model
 model_ca <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 32, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu", input_shape = c(32, 32, 3)) %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 64, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_flatten() %>%
   layer_dense(units = 64, activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_dense(units = 10, activation = "softmax")
 # 3) Compile
 model_ca %>% compile(
   loss = "categorical_crossentropy",
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001),
   metrics = c("accuracy")
 # 4) Data augmentation
 datagen <- image_data_generator(</pre>
   rotation\_range = 10,
   width_shift_range = 0.1,
   height_shift_range = 0.1,
   horizontal_flip = TRUE
 # Compute the data generator internal statistics
 datagen %>% fit_image_data_generator(x_train)
 # 5) Train the model with data augmentation
 batch\_size = 64
 train\_generator <- flow\_images\_from\_data(x = x\_train, y = y\_train, generator = datagen, batch\_size = batch\_size)
 history <- model_ca %>% fit_generator(
   generator = train_generator,
   steps_per_epoch = as.integer(nrow(x_train) / batch_size),
   epochs = 20,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
 # Test
 scores <- model_ca %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss:", scores[[1]], "\n",
     "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]], "\n")
 ## Test loss: 0.860364
 ## Test accuracy: 0.7045
 # Plot training history
 plot(history)
     1.6 -
  $$0 1.2 -
     1.0 -
                                                                             data
     0.8 -
                                                                              training
                                                                              validation
    0.7 -
accuracy 9.0
     0.5
                                                      15
                       5
                                                                      20
                                      epoch
 # Additional plot: confusion matrix
 predictions <- model_ca %>% predict(x_test)%>% k_argmax()
 y_true <- cifar10$test$y</pre>
 confusion_matrix <- confusionMatrix(factor(as.vector(predictions)), factor(y_true))</pre>
 print(confusion_matrix$table)
 ##
              Reference
 ## Prediction 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
             0 722 9 51 10 9 10 2 10 44 11
             1 16 804 6 11 2 8 2 2 18
             2 47 2 487 46 36 38 17 13
 ##
             3 10 3 38 448 28 172 21 22 4
             4 25 1 108 72 623 63 13 35 7
             5 1 2 27 71 1 467 5 19 1
 ##
             6 20 16 188 206 154 107 918 30 12
             7 18 4 39 64 130 94 5 828 7 11
 ##
             8 73 29 23 23 11 11 6 6 831 18
 ##
             9 68 130 33 49 6 30 11 35 67 917
a)
   1. Increased size of the training dataset: Data augmentation allows for the creation of new training examples from the existing ones, which
     increases the size of the training dataset. A larger dataset helps in building more robust machine learning models that are less likely to
     overfit to the training data.
   2. Improved generalization: By augmenting the training data, the model is exposed to more diverse examples, which helps it to generalize
     better to new, unseen data.
   3. Increased model performance: Data augmentation can improve the performance of the model by reducing overfitting, especially in cases
     where the original dataset is small.
   4. Cost-effectiveness: Data augmentation can be a cost-effective way of creating new training data, especially when collecting new data is
     expensive or time-consuming.
   5. Reduced bias: Data augmentation can help to reduce bias in the dataset by balancing the class distribution, which is particularly important in
     cases where the original dataset is imbalanced.
   6. Robustness to input variations: Data augmentation can make the model more robust to input variations such as rotation, scaling, and
     translation, which is useful in applications such as object recognition and natural language processing.
Problem 5: Univariate Time Series Classification with CNN
1. Load and preprocess data
 # load the Wafer dataset
 train <- read.delim("dataset/Wafer/Wafer_TRAIN.tsv", header = FALSE, sep = "\t")
 test <- read.delim("dataset/Wafer/Wafer_TEST.tsv", header = FALSE, sep = "\t")</pre>
 # the first column in `train` and `test` contains label info.
 # therefore we separate them into `x` and `y`.
 x_train <- train[,2:dim(train)[2]]</pre>
 y_train <- clip(train[,1], 0, 1) #- 1</pre>
 y_train <- to_categorical(y_train)</pre>
 x_test <- test[,2:dim(test)[2]]</pre>
 y_test <- clip(test[,1], 0, 1) #- 1</pre>
 y_test <- to_categorical(y_test)</pre>
 # create a channel dimension so that `x` has dimension of (batch, channel, length)
 x_train <- array(as.matrix(x_train), dim = c(nrow(x_train), ncol(x_train), 1))</pre>
 x_{test} < - array(as.matrix(x_{test}), dim = c(nrow(x_{test}), ncol(x_{test}), 1))
 # preprocess
 # The provided dataset has already been preprocessed, therefore no need for it.
a)
2. Define the model
 # Define the model
 model_1dc <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
     layer_conv_1d(filters = 16, kernel_size = 8, activation = "relu", input_shape = c(dim(x_train)[2], 1)) %>%
     layer_max_pooling_1d(pool_size = 2) %>%
     layer_conv_1d(filters = 32, kernel_size = 5, activation = "relu") %>%
     layer_max_pooling_1d(pool_size = 2) %>%
     layer_conv_1d(filters = 64, kernel_size = 3, activation = "relu") %>%
     layer_max_pooling_1d(pool_size = 2) %>%
     layer_flatten() %>%
     layer_dense(units = 2, activation = "softmax")
 summary(model_1dc)
 ## Model: "sequential_3"
 ## Layer (type)
                                                                           Param #
                                          Output Shape
 ## conv1d_2 (Conv1D)
                                          (None, 145, 16)
 ## max_pooling1d_2 (MaxPooling1D)
                                          (None, 72, 16)
 ## conv1d_1 (Conv1D)
                                          (None, 68, 32)
                                                                           2592
 ## max_pooling1d_1 (MaxPooling1D)
                                          (None, 34, 32)
                                                                           6208
 ## conv1d (Conv1D)
                                          (None, 32, 64)
 ## max_pooling1d (MaxPooling1D)
                                          (None, 16, 64)
                                          (None, 1024)
 ## flatten_2 (Flatten)
 ## dense_7 (Dense)
                                          (None, 2)
                                                                           2050
 ## Total params: 10,994
 ## Trainable params: 10,994
 ## Non-trainable params: 0
3. Compile
 model_1dc %>% compile(
   loss = "categorical_crossentropy",
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001),
   metrics = c("accuracy")
4. Train the model
 history <- model_1dc %>% fit(
   x_train, y_train,
   epochs = 100,
   batch\_size = 64,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
 scores <- model_1dc %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss:", scores[[1]], "\n",
     "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]], "\n")
 ## Test loss: 0.01831522
 ## Test accuracy: 0.9969176
 # Plot training history
 plot(history)
     0.3 -
  S 0.2 -
     0.1 -
     0.0 -
                                                                              training
     1.00 -
                                                                              validation
  accuracy
96.0
     0.92 -
                      20
                                                                      100
                                       epoch
b)
Comparison to a Logistic Regression Model
```

dataset

x_train <- train[,2:dim(train)[2]]
y_train <- clip(train[,1], 0, 1)
x_test <- test[,2:dim(test)[2]]
y_test <- clip(test[,1], 0, 1)</pre>

Fit a linear regression model

Make predictions on the test set

predictions <- as.factor(predictions)</pre>

"=== [Logistic Regression] === \n\n",

"======\n\n")

=== [1D CNN] ===

Test accuracy: 0.9969176

Test accuracy: 0.9018494

=== [Logistic Regression] ===

 $\#linear_model <- lm(y_train \sim ., data = as.data.frame(cbind(x_train, y_train)))$

predictions <- predict(logit_reg, newdata = x_test, type = "response")</pre>

predictions <- as.integer(predictions > 0.5) # cutoff = 0.5

result <- confusionMatrix(predictions, as.factor(y_test))</pre>

cat("=== [1D CNN] === \n", "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]],

"Test accuracy:", result\$overall["Accuracy"], "\n",

 $logit_reg <- glm(y_train ~ ., data = as.data.frame(cbind(x_train, y_train)), family = "binomial")$