```
Daesoo Lee, Emma Skarstein, Kenneth Aase, Stefanie Muff
Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU
April 27, 2023
Problem 1
a)
Write down the equation that describes and input is related to output in this network, using general activation functions \phi_o, \phi_h and \phi_{h^*} and bias
nodes in all layers. What would you call such a network?
Image created here http://alexlenail.me/NN-SVG/index.html
b)
The following image is the illustration of an artificial neural network at Wikipedia.
   • What can you say about this network architecture
   • What do you think it can be used for (regression/classification)?
                           Hidden
  Input
                                                    Output
Image taken from https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Colored neural network.svg
c)
What are the similarities and differences between a feedforward neural network with one hidden layer with linear activation and sigmoid
output (one output) and logistic regression?
d)
In a feedforward neural network you may have 10'000 weights to estimate but only 1000 observations. How is this possible?
Problem 2
a)
Which network architecture and activation functions does this formula correspond to?
                                      \hat{y}_1(\mathbf{x}) = eta_{01} + \sum_{m=1}^5 eta_{m1} \cdot \max(lpha_{0m} + \sum_{j=1}^{10} lpha_{jm} x_j, 0)
How many parameters are estimated in this network?
b)
Which network architecture and activation functions does this formula give?
                       \hat{y}_1(\mathbf{x}) = (1 + \exp(-eta_{01} - \sum_{m=1}^5 eta_{m1} \max(\gamma_{0m} + \sum_{l=1}^{10} \gamma_{lm} \max(\sum_{i=1}^4 lpha_{jl} x_j, 0), 0))^{-1}
How many parameters are estimated in this network?
c)
In a regression setting: Consider
   • A sum of non-linear functions of each covariate in Module 7.
   • A sum of many non-linear functions of sums of covariates in feedforward neural networks (one hidden layer, non-linear activation in hidden
     layer) in Module 11.
Explain how these two ways of thinking differ? Pros and cons?
Problem 3: Regression with Feedforward Neural Network (FNN)
The following problem involves training a feedforward neural network on the Boston Housing Prices dataset. The Boston Housing Prices dataset is
a collection of housing prices data from the Boston area, containing 506 samples with 13 numerical features each. The features include factors
such as crime rate, average number of rooms per dwelling, property tax rate, and more. The goal is to predict the median value of owner-occupied
homes in $1000s.
In this example, we will design our feedforward neural network (FNN) architecture using a series of fully connected (dense) layers. The model will
take the 13 input features and learn to map them to a single output representing the predicted median housing price. To accomplish this, the
network will be trained using an appropriate loss function, such as mean squared error, and an optimization algorithm like stochastic gradient
descent or Adam.
1. Load and preprocess data
 # load
 boston_housing <- dataset_boston_housing()</pre>
 x_train <- boston_housing$train$x</pre>
 y_train <- boston_housing$train$y</pre>
 x_test <- boston_housing$test$x</pre>
 y_test <- boston_housing$test$y</pre>
 # preprocess
 mean <- apply(x_train, 2, mean)</pre>
 std <- apply(x_train, 2, sd)</pre>
 x_train <- scale(x_train, center = mean, scale = std)</pre>
 x_test <- scale(x_test, center = mean, scale = std)</pre>
a) Fill in the missing parts in the following steps and run the model.
2. Define the model
 model_r <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
   layer_dense(units = 64, activation = "relu", input_shape = ...) %>% # fill in the length of the input.
   layer_dense(units = 32, activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_dense(units = ...) # fill in the `units` (i.e., output size) of the last layer.
 summary(model_r)
What should the output size be to be compatible with y?
3. Compile
 model_r %>% compile(
   loss = "...", # fill in the loss function.
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001), # adam is the most common optimizer for its robustness.
   metrics = c("mean_absolute_error")
For the loss function, choose one among 'binary_crossentropy', 'categorical_crossentropy' and 'mean_squared_error'.
4. Train the model
 history <- model_r %>% fit(
   x_train, y_train,
   epochs = 100,
   batch_size = 32,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
5. Test
 scores <- model_r %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss (MSE):", scores[[1]], "\n",
      "Test mean absolute error (MAE):", scores[[2]], "\n")
Plot training history
 plot(history)
Additional plot: confusion matrix
 predictions <- model_r %>% predict(x_test)
 plot_df <- data.frame(Predicted = predictions, Actual = y_test)</pre>
 ggplot(plot_df, aes(x = Actual, y = Predicted)) +
   geom_point() +
   geom_abline(slope = 1, intercept = 0, color = "red", linetype = "dashed") +
   theme_bw() +
   xlab("Actual Values") +
   ylab("Predicted Values") +
   ggtitle("Predicted vs. Actual Values (Feedforward NN)") +
   xlim(0, 55) +
   ylim(0, 55)
b) Fit a linear regression model and compare its performance (i.e., MSE, MAE) to that
of the feedforward network.
Comparison to a Linear Regression Model
 # Fit a linear regression model
 linear_model <- lm(..., data = as.data.frame(cbind(x_train, y_train))) # fill in the expression</pre>
 # Make predictions on the test set
 predictions <- predict(linear_model, as.data.frame(x_test))</pre>
 # Calculate the mean squared error and mean absolute error
 mse <- ... # fill in (write an expression to compute MSE)
 mae <- ... # fill in (write an expression to compute MAE)
 cat("=== [Feedforward Neural Network] === \n", "Test loss (MSE):", scores[[1]],
     "\n",
      "Test mean absolute error (MAE):", scores[[2]],
     "======= \n\n",
      "=== [Linear Regression] === \n",
      "Test loss (MSE):", mse, "\n",
      "Test mean absolute error (MAE):", mae, "\n",
      "======\n\n")
 plot_df <- data.frame(Predicted = predictions, Actual = y_test)</pre>
 ggplot(plot_df, aes(x = Actual, y = Predicted)) +
   geom_point() +
   geom_abline(slope = 1, intercept = 0, color = "red", linetype = "dashed") +
   theme_bw() +
   xlab("Actual Values") +
   ylab("Predicted Values") +
   ggtitle("Predicted vs. Actual Values (Linear Regression)") +
   xlim(0, 55) +
   ylim(0, 55)
c) Please share your thoughts on the comparative performance of the two models
based on their results.
Problem 4: Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)
Problem 4.1: Image Classification with CNN
The following problem involves training a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model on an image dataset, called CIFAR-10. The CIFAR-10
dataset is a collection of 60,000 32x32 color images in 10 classes, with 6,000 images per class. The dataset is split into 50,000 training images
and 10,000 testing images. The 10 classes include airplanes, automobiles, birds, cats, deer, dogs, frogs, horses, ships, and trucks. The examples
and description of CIFAR-10 can be found here.
In this example, we have designed our CNN model architecture following established models such as AlexNet [1], VGG [2], and ResNet [3]. Our
design incorporates a common pattern where the channel dimension size (i.e., filters) increases while the input shape to each layer decreases
across the layers (see the figure below). It is also worth noting that a convolutional layer is sometimes followed by a non-linear pooling layer which
reduces the spatial dimension size. One most common pooling layer is the max pooling layer (see the figure below). Our example model follows
the same protocol while keeping the model size small.
[1] Krizhevsky, Alex, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." Communications of
the ACM 60.6 (2017): 84-90. [2] Simonyan, Karen, and Andrew Zisserman. "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition."
arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556 (2014). [3] He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." Proceedings of the IEEE conference
on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2016.
                         Conv2
                                                                FC6 FC7 FC8
   Input data
              Conv1
                                                         Conv5
                     27×27×256
          55×55×96
                                                                          1000
Fig. Architecture of AlexNet. The input data has a dimension of (height x width x #channels. #channels is 3 for RGB colors. The common CNN
architecture design is the increase in #channels and decrease in height-width size across the layers.)
    12
               20
                         30
                                     0
               12
                                                                                                30
     8
                                    0
                                                                                      20
                                               2 \times 2 Max-Pool
    34
                         37
                                                                                                37
                                                                                     112
               70
                                     4
   112
                         25
                                   12
             100
Fig. Max Pooling Layer: The 2x2 max pooling layer decreases the spatial dimensions by a factor of 2 in both height and width, applying the
maximum operation within each local region.)
1. Load and preprocess data
 cifar10 <- dataset_cifar10()</pre>
 x_train <- cifar10$train$x / 255</pre>
 y_train <- to_categorical(cifar10$train$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
 x_test <- cifar10$test$x / 255</pre>
 y_test <- to_categorical(cifar10$test$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
a) Fill in the missing parts in the following steps and run the model.
2. Define the model
 model_c <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 32, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu", input_shape = c(32, 32, 3)) %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 64, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_flatten() %>%
   layer_dense(units = 64, activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_dense(units = 10, activation = "softmax")
 summary(model_c)
3. Compile
 model_c %>% compile(
   loss = "...",
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001), # adam is the most common optimizer for its robustness.
   metrics = c("accuracy")
For the loss function, choose one among 'binary_crossentropy', 'categorical_crossentropy' and 'mean_squared_error'.
4. Train the model
 history <- model_c %>% fit(
   x_train, y_train,
   epochs = 20,
   batch_size = 64,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
5. Test
 scores <- model_c %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss:", scores[[1]], "\n",
      "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]], "\n")
Plot training history
 plot(history)
Additional plot: confusion matrix
 library(caret)
 predictions <- model_c %>% predict(x_test)%>% k_argmax()
 y_true <- cifar10$test$y</pre>
 confusion_matrix <- confusionMatrix(factor(as.vector(predictions)), factor(y_true))</pre>
 print(confusion_matrix$table)
b) Compute the misclassification error given the confusion matrix.
Problem 4.2: Improving the test accuarcy with data augmentation techniques
Data augmentation techniques are used to artificially increase the size of the training dataset by applying various transformations to the original
images. This helps to improve the robustness and generalization ability of CNN models. Data augmentation can be viewed as a regularization
technique for better generalization.
Here are some commonly used data augmentation techniques for image data (the visual examples can be found here):
   • Rotation: Rotating the image by a certain degree to generate new samples.

    Flip: Flipping the image horizontally or vertically to generate new samples.

    Zooming: Zooming into or out of the image by a certain factor to generate new samples.

    Translation: Shifting the image horizontally or vertically by a certain distance to generate new samples.

    Shearing: Tilting the image in a particular direction by a certain angle to generate new samples.

    Noise addition: Adding random noise to the image to generate new samples.

    Color jittering: Modifying the brightness, contrast, or hue of the image to generate new samples.

   • Cropping: Cropping a portion of the image to generate new samples.
By applying these transformations to the original images, we can generate new samples that are different from the original ones but still share the
same class label. This helps the model to learn to recognize the important features of the images, regardless of their position or orientation, and
make more accurate predictions on unseen data.
In this example, we apply several simple data augmentations such as random rotations, width and height shifts, and horizontal flips.
 # 1) Load and preprocess data
 cifar10 <- dataset_cifar10()</pre>
 x_train <- cifar10$train$x / 255</pre>
 y_train <- to_categorical(cifar10$train$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
 x_{test} <- cifar10$test$x / 255
 y_test <- to_categorical(cifar10$test$y, num_classes = 10)</pre>
 # 2) Define the model
 model_ca <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 32, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu", input_shape = c(32, 32, 3)) %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_conv_2d(filters = 64, kernel_size = c(3, 3), activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_max_pooling_2d(pool_size = c(2, 2)) %>%
   layer_flatten() %>%
   layer_dense(units = 64, activation = "relu") %>%
   layer_dense(units = 10, activation = "softmax")
 # 3) Compile
 model_ca %>% compile(
   loss = "categorical_crossentropy",
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001),
   metrics = c("accuracy")
 # 4) Data augmentation
 datagen <- image_data_generator(</pre>
   rotation\_range = 10,
   width_shift_range = 0.1,
   height_shift_range = 0.1,
   horizontal_flip = TRUE
 # Compute the data generator internal statistics
 datagen %>% fit_image_data_generator(x_train)
 # 5) Train the model with data augmentation
 batch_size = 64
 train\_generator <- flow\_images\_from\_data(x = x\_train, y = y\_train, generator = datagen, batch\_size = batch\_size)
 history <- model_ca %>% fit_generator(
   generator = train_generator,
   steps_per_epoch = as.integer(nrow(x_train) / batch_size),
   epochs = 20,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
 scores <- model_ca %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss:", scores[[1]], "\n",
      "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]], "\n")
 # Plot training history
 plot(history)
 # Additional plot: confusion matrix
 predictions <- model_ca %>% predict(x_test)%>% k_argmax()
 y_true <- cifar10$test$y</pre>
 confusion_matrix <- confusionMatrix(factor(as.vector(predictions)), factor(y_true))</pre>
 print(confusion_matrix$table)
a) What do you think of the effects of data augmentation given the obtained results?
Problem 5: Univariate Time Series Classification with CNN
The following problem involves training a 1-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network (1D-CNN) model on a univariate time series dataset called
Wafer, from the UCR Time Series Classification Archive. The Wafer dataset, formatted by R. Olszewski as part of his thesis at Carnegie Mellon
University in 2001, contains inline process control measurements from various sensors during the processing of silicon wafers for semiconductor
fabrication. Each sample within the dataset includes measurements from a single sensor during the processing of one wafer by one tool. The data
is categorized into two classes: normal and abnormal. The Wafer dataset is a collection of 7164 time series samples, each with a length of 152.
The dataset is divided into 1000 training samples and 6164 testing samples with the two different classes.
Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have been proven effective not only at capturing spatial patterns in image data but also at detecting
temporal patterns in time series data [4]. In this example, we will design our 1D-CNN model architecture to learn patterns and features from the
univariate time series data to perform a time series classification. The model will consist of several 1D convolutional layers, followed by pooling
layers to reduce dimensionality and extract relevant features and fully connected (dense) layers for classification.
[4] Wang, Zhiguang, Weizhong Yan, and Tim Oates. "Time series classification from scratch with deep neural networks: A strong baseline." 2017
International joint conference on neural networks (IJCNN). IEEE, 2017.
 Wafer
 One exemplar per class,
 with z-normalization
                                                                 Class -1
                                    0
                                     0
                                             20
                                                     40
                                                            60
                                                                    80
                                                                            100
                                                                                    120
                                                                                            140
                                                                                                   160
                                                                 Class 1
                                    0 |
                                                            60
                                                                    80
                                                                            100
                                                                                    120
                                                                                           140
                                                                                                   160
Fig. Examples of the samples from the Wafer dataset with respect to different classes.)
1. Load and preprocess data
 # load the Wafer dataset
 train <- read.delim("dataset/Wafer/Wafer_TRAIN.tsv", header = FALSE, sep = "\t")</pre>
 test <- read.delim("dataset/Wafer/Wafer_TEST.tsv", header = FALSE, sep = "\t")</pre>
 # the first column in `train` and `test` contains label info.
 # therefore we separate them into x and y.
 x_train <- train[,2:dim(train)[2]]</pre>
 y_train <- clip(train[,1], 0, 1) #- 1</pre>
 y_train <- to_categorical(y_train)</pre>
 x_test <- test[,2:dim(test)[2]]</pre>
 y_test <- clip(test[,1], 0, 1) #- 1</pre>
 y_test <- to_categorical(y_test)</pre>
 # create a channel dimension so that `x` has dimension of (batch, channel, length)
 x_train <- array(as.matrix(x_train), dim = c(nrow(x_train), ncol(x_train), 1))</pre>
 x_{test} < - array(as.matrix(x_{test}), dim = c(nrow(x_{test}), ncol(x_{test}), 1))
 # preprocess
 # The provided dataset has already been preprocessed, therefore no need for it.
a) Fill in the missing parts in the following steps and run the model.
2. Define the model
 # Define the model
 model_1dc <- keras_model_sequential() %>%
      layer_conv_1d(filters = ..., kernel_size = ..., activation = "..", input_shape = c(dim(x_train)[2], 1)) \%
     layer_max_pooling_1d(pool_size = 2) %>%
     layer_conv_1d(filters = .., kernel_size = .., activation = "..") %>%
     layer_max_pooling_1d(pool_size = 2) %>%
     layer_conv_1d(filters = .., kernel_size = .., activation = "..") %>%
     layer_max_pooling_1d(pool_size = 2) %>%
     layer_flatten() %>%
     layer_dense(units = 2, activation = "softmax")
 summary(model_1dc)
We're building a CNN model that has filter sizes of {16, 32, 64} and kernel sizes of {8, 5, 3} with the relu activation function for all convolutional
layers. Fill in the blanks accordingly.
3. Compile
 model_1dc %>% compile(
   loss = "categorical_crossentropy",
   optimizer = optimizer_adam(learning_rate = 0.001),
   metrics = c("accuracy")
4. Train the model
 history <- model_1dc %>% fit(
   x_train, y_train,
   epochs = 100,
   batch_size = 64,
   validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
 scores <- model_1dc %>% evaluate(x_test, y_test, verbose = 0)
 cat("Test loss:", scores[[1]], "\n",
      "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]], "\n")
 # Plot training history
 plot(history)
b) Fit a logistic regression model and compare its performance (i.e., accuracy) to that
of the CNN model.
Comparison to a Logistic Regression Model
 # dataset
 x_train <- train[,2:dim(train)[2]]</pre>
 y_train <- clip(train[,1], 0, 1)</pre>
 x_test <- test[,2:dim(test)[2]]</pre>
 y_test <- clip(test[,1], 0, 1)</pre>
 # Fit a linear regression model
 logit_reg \leftarrow glm(..., data = as.data.frame(cbind(x_train, y_train)), family = "...") # fill in
 # Make predictions on the test set
 predictions <- predict(logit_reg, newdata = x_test, type = "response")</pre>
 predictions < as.integer(predictions > 0.5) # cutoff = 0.5
 predictions <- as.factor(predictions)</pre>
 result <- confusionMatrix(predictions, as.factor(y_test))</pre>
 cat("=== [1D CNN] === \n", "Test accuracy:", scores[[2]],
      "======= \n\n",
      "=== [Logistic Regression] === \n",
      "Test accuracy:", result$overall["Accuracy"], "\n",
      "======\n\n")
```

Module 11: Recommended Exercises

TMA4268 Statistical Learning V2023