Module 3: Recommended Exercises

TMA4268 Statistical Learning V2021

Michail Spitieris, Emma Skarstein, Stefanie Muff Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU

January 26, 2021

We strongly recommend you to work through the Section 3.6 in the course book (Lab on linear regression)

Problem 1 (Extension from Book Ex. 9)

\$ weight

: num

This question involves the use of multiple linear regression on the Auto data set from ISLR package (you may use ?Auto to see a description of the data). First we exclude from our analysis the variable name and look at the data summary and structure of the dataset.

```
library(ISLR)
Auto = subset(Auto, select = -name)
# Auto$origin = factor(Auto$origin)
summary(Auto)
##
                      cylinders
                                      displacement
                                                       horsepower
         mpg
##
           : 9.00
                            :3.000
    Min.
                    Min.
                                     Min.
                                            : 68.0
                                                      Min.
                                                             : 46.0
##
    1st Qu.:17.00
                    1st Qu.:4.000
                                     1st Qu.:105.0
                                                      1st Qu.: 75.0
   Median :22.75
                    Median :4.000
                                     Median :151.0
                                                      Median: 93.5
##
           :23.45
                            :5.472
                                            :194.4
                                                             :104.5
##
    Mean
                    Mean
                                     Mean
                                                      Mean
    3rd Qu.:29.00
##
                    3rd Qu.:8.000
                                     3rd Qu.:275.8
                                                      3rd Qu.:126.0
           :46.60
##
    Max.
                    Max.
                            :8.000
                                     Max.
                                            :455.0
                                                      Max.
                                                             :230.0
##
        weight
                    acceleration
                                         year
                                                         origin
##
    Min.
           :1613
                   Min.
                           : 8.00
                                    Min.
                                           :70.00
                                                     Min.
                                                            :1.000
##
    1st Qu.:2225
                   1st Qu.:13.78
                                    1st Qu.:73.00
                                                     1st Qu.:1.000
##
    Median:2804
                   Median :15.50
                                    Median :76.00
                                                     Median :1.000
           :2978
                                           :75.98
##
    Mean
                   Mean
                           :15.54
                                    Mean
                                                     Mean
                                                            :1.577
##
    3rd Qu.:3615
                   3rd Qu.:17.02
                                    3rd Qu.:79.00
                                                     3rd Qu.:2.000
##
   Max.
           :5140
                   Max.
                           :24.80
                                    Max.
                                           :82.00
                                                     Max.
                                                            :3.000
str(Auto)
##
  'data.frame':
                    392 obs. of 8 variables:
##
    $ mpg
                  : num
                         18 15 18 16 17 15 14 14 14 15 ...
    $ cylinders
                  : num
                         888888888...
   $ displacement: num
                         307 350 318 304 302 429 454 440 455 390 ...
    $ horsepower
                  : num
                         130 165 150 150 140 198 220 215 225 190 ...
```

3504 3693 3436 3433 3449 ...

```
## $ acceleration: num 12 11.5 11 12 10.5 10 9 8.5 10 8.5 ...
## $ year : num 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 ...
## $ origin : num 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
```

We obtain a summary and see that all variables are numerical (continuous). However, when we check the description of the data (again with ?Auto) we immediately see that origin is actually encoding for either American (origin=1), European (origin=2) or Janapense (origin=3) origin of the car, thus the values 1, 2 and 3 do not have any actual numerical meaning. We therefore need to first change the data type of that variable to let R know that we are dealing with a qualitative (categorical) variable, instead of a continuous one (otherwise we will obtain wrong model fits). In R such variables are called factor variables, and before we continue to do any analyses we first need to convert origin into a factor variable (a synonymous for "qualitative predictor"):

```
Auto$origin = factor(Auto$origin)
```

a)

Use the function ggpairs() from GGally package to produce a scatterplot matrix which includes all of the variables in the data set.

b)

Compute the correlation matrix between the variables. You will need to remove the factor covariate origin, because this is no longer a continuous variable.

$\mathbf{c})$

Use the lm() function to perform a multiple linear regression with mpg (miles per gallon, a measure for fuel consumption) as the response and all other variables (except name) as the predictors. Use the summary() function to print the results. Comment on the output. In particular:

- i. Is there a relationship between the predictors and the response?
- ii. Is there evidence that the weight of a car influences mpg? Interpret the regression coefficient β_{weight} (what happens if a car weights 1000kg more, for example?).
- iii. What does the coefficient for the year variable suggest?

d)

Look again at the regression output from question c). Now we want to test whether the origin variable is important. How does this work for a factor variable with more than only two levels?

e)

Use the autoplot() function from the ggfortify package to produce diagnostic plots of the linear regression fit by setting smooth.colour = NA, as sometimes the smoothed line can be misleading. Comment on any problems you see with the fit. Do the residual plots suggest any unusually large outliers? Does the leverage plot identify any observations with unusually high leverage?

f)

For beginners, it can be difficult to decide whether a certain QQ plot looks "good" or "bad", because we only look at it and do not test anything. A way to get a feeling for how "bad" a QQ plot may look, even when the normality assumption is perfectly ok, we can use simulations: We can simply draw from the normal distribution and plot the QQ plot. Use the following code to repeat this six times:

```
set.seed(2332)
n = 100

par(mfrow = c(2, 3))
for (i in 1:6) {
    sim = rnorm(n)
    qqnorm(sim, pch = 1, frame = FALSE)
    qqline(sim, col = "blue", lwd = 1)
}
```

 \mathbf{g}

Let us look at interactions. These can be included via the * or : symbols in the linear predictor of the regression function (see Section 3.6.4 in the course book).

Fit another model for mpg, including only displacement, weight, year and origin as predictors, plus an interaction between year and origin (interactions can be included as year*origin; this adds the main effects and the interaction at once). Is there evidence that the interactions term is relevant? Give an interprentation of the result.

h)

Try a few different transformations of the variables, such as $\log(X)$, \sqrt{X} , X^2 . See Section 3.6.5 in the course book for how to do this. Perhaps you manage to improve the residual plots that you got in e)? Comment on your findings.

Problem 2

a)

A core finding for the least-squares estimator $\hat{\beta}$ of linear regression models is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} ,$$

with $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim N_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}).$

- Show that $\hat{\beta}$ has this distribution with the given mean and covariance matrix.
- What do you need to assume to get to this result?
- What does this imply for the distribution of the jth element of $\hat{\beta}$?
- In particular, how can we calculate the variance of $\hat{\beta}_i$?

b)

What is the interpretation of a 95% confidence interval? Hint: repeat experiment (on Y), on average how many CIs cover the true β_j ? The following code shows an interpretation of a 95% confidence interval. Study and fill in the code where is needed

• Model: $Y = 1 + 3X + \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon \sim N(0, 1)$.

```
beta0 = ...
beta1 = ...
true_beta = c(beta0, beta1) # vector of model coefficients
true_sd = 1 # choosing true sd
X = runif(100, 0, 1) # simulate the predictor variable X
Xmat = model.matrix(~X, data = data.frame(X)) # create design matrix
ci int = ci x = 0  # Counts how many times the true value is within the confidence interval
nsim = 1000
for (i in 1:nsim) {
   y = rnorm(n = 100, mean = Xmat ** true_beta, sd = rep(true_sd, 100))
   mod = lm(y \sim x, data = data.frame(y = y, x = X))
    ci = confint(mod)
    ci_int[i] = ifelse(..., 1, 0) # if true value of beta0 is within the CI then 1 else 0
    ci_x[i] = ifelse(..., 1, 0) # if true value of beta_1 is within the CI then 1 else 0
}
c(mean(ci_int), mean(ci_x))
```

c)

What is the interpretation of a 95% prediction interval? Hint: repeat experiment (on Y) for a given x_0 . Write R code that shows the interpretation of a 95% PI. Hint: In order to produce the PIs use the data point $x_0 = 0.4$. Furthermore you may use a similar code structure as in b).

\mathbf{d}

Construct a 95% CI for $\mathbf{x}_0^T \beta$. Explain what is the connections between a CI for β_j , a CI for $\mathbf{x}_0^T \beta$ and a PI for Y at \mathbf{x}_0 .

e)

Explain the difference between *error* and *residual*. What are the properties of the raw residuals? Why don't we want to use the raw residuals for model check? What is our solution to this?