

1819-108-C1-W4-02

Kārlis Kreilis

February 2019

► Prove that the expression (18.112) yields the n th Laguerre polynomial.

Evaluating the n th derivative in (18.112) using Leibnitz' theorem, we find

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(x) &= \frac{e^x}{n!} \sum_{r=0}^n {}^nC_r, \frac{d^r x^n}{dx^r} \frac{d^{n-r} e^{-x}}{dx^{n-r}} \\ &= \frac{e^x}{n!} \sum_{r=0}^n, \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} x^{n-r} (-1)^{n-r} e^{-x} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^{n-r} \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!(n-r)!} x^{n-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Relabelling the summation using the index $m = n - r$, we obtain

$$L_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \frac{n!}{(m!)^2 (n-m)!} x^m,$$

which is precisely the expression (18.111) for the n th Laguerre polynomial. ◀

Mutual orthogonality

In section 17.4, we noted that Laguerre's equation could be put into Sturm-Liouville form with $p = xe^{-x}$, $q = 0$, $\lambda = v$ and $\rho = e^{-x}$, and its natural interval is thus $[0, \infty]$. Since the Laguerre polynomials $L_n(x)$ are solutions of the equation and are regular at the end-points, they must be mutually orthogonal over this interval with respect to the weight function $\rho = e^{-x}$, i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty L_n(x) L_k(x) e^{-x} dx = 0 \quad \text{if } n \neq k.$$

This result may also be proved directly using the Rodrigues' formula (18.112). Indeed, the normalisation, when $k = n$, is most easily found using this method.

► Show that

$$I \equiv \int_0^\infty L_n(x) L_n(x) e^{-x} dx = 1. \quad (18.113)$$

Using the Rodrigues' formula (18.112), we may write

$$I = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty L_n(x) \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^n e^{-x}) dx = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty \frac{d^n L_n}{dx^n} x^n e^{-x} dx,$$

where, in the second equality, we have integrated by parts n times and used the fact that the boundary terms all vanish. When $d^n L_n/dx^n$ is evaluated using (18.111), only the derivative of the $m = n$ term survives and that has the value $[(-1)^n n! n!]/[(n!)^2 0!] = (-1)^n$. Thus we have

$$I = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-x} dx = 1,$$

where, in the second equality, we use the expression (18.153) defining the gamma function (see section 18.12). ◀